A Non-linear Dynamical Systems' Proof of Kraft-McMillan Inequality and its Converse

Nithin Nagaraj School of Natural Sciences and Engineering National Institute of Advanced Studies Email: nithin_nagaraj@yahoo.com

October 31, 2007

In this short paper, we shall provide a dynamical systems' proof of the famous Kraft-McMillan inequality and its converse. Kraft-McMillan inequality is a basic result in information theory which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the lengths of the codewords of a code to be uniquely decodable [1, 2, 3].

1 Kraft-McMillan Inequality

Given a binary prefix code set C for an alphabet set A, the codewords c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N with lengths l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_N necessarily satisfy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} 2^{-l_i} \le 1 \tag{1}$$

where N = |A|, the cardinality of set A. A binary prefix code C is a set of binary codewords such that no codeword is a prefix of another. Prefix codes are known to be uniquely decodable and easy to decode. A famous example of prefix codes are the Huffman codes which have minimum redundancy.

The Binary map

Consider the binary map (Fig. 1) $T : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcrcrc} x & \mapsto & 2x, & & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ & \mapsto & 2x - 1, & & \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1. \end{array}$$

It is well known that the binary map is a non-linear chaotic dynamical system, which preserves the Lebesgue measure (ordinary length measure). We shall prove two simple lemmas regarding the binary map which will be used to prove the Kraft-McMillan's inequality.

Lemma 1:

Given any sequence (or string) S of 0s and 1s of length m, there exists an unique interval on the binary map of length 2^{-m} such that all initial conditions in that interval will have S as the binary symbolic sequence corresponding to the first m iterations.

Proof:

Consider the given string S of length m as a binary prefix in [0, 1) (i.e. think of S as 0.S in binary). The interval $[0.S\overline{0}, 0.S\overline{1})$, where the overline indicates infinite repetition, consists of all possible binary numbers in [0, 1) which have S as the desired prefix. All these binary numbers when fed as initial conditions to the binary map will yield S as the symbolic sequence in m iterations (this is because the binary map can be thought of as a shift map which spits out the leading bits of the binary representation of the initial condition). The length of this interval is $0.S\overline{1} - 0.S\overline{0}$ which is 2^{-m} .

Lemma 2:

Two symbolic sequences S_1 and S_2 which are not prefixes of each other correspond to two **disjoint** intervals of lengths 2^{-m_1} and 2^{-m_2} respectively, where m_1 and m_2 are the lengths of S_1 and S_2 respectively.

Proof:

The proof is obvious.

Proof of Kraft-McMillan Inequality

Since c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N with lengths l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_N are prefix codes, using Lemma 1 and 2, these can be seen as symbolic sequences of **disjoint** intervals on [0,1) with lengths $2^{-l_1}, 2^{-l_2}, \ldots, 2^{-l_N}$ respectively. Any collection of disjoint intervals on [0,1) necessarily satisfy Equation 1. \Box

2 Converse of Kraft-McMillan inequality

Given a set of codeword lengths that satisfy Equation 1, there exists a uniquely decodable binary prefix code with these codeword lengths.



Figure 1: (a) Binary Map. (b) Symbolic sequences of length 1. (c) Symbolic sequences of length 2. (d) Symbolic sequences of length 3.

Proof:

Let l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_M be the specified **distinct** codeword lengths such that they satisfy Equation 1. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $l_1 < l_2 < \ldots < l_M$. Let there be a_1 codewords of length l_1 , a_2 codewords of length l_2 and so on up to a_M codewords of length l_M . Kraft-McMillan inequality can be re-written as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i 2^{-l_i} \le 1, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i = N.$$
(2)

where N = |A| as before. Let us determine the maximum number of codewords that can have a particular codeword length l_i while still satisfying Equation 2. If there are $2^{l_i} + 1$ or more codewords with length l_i , then $(2^{l_i} + 1)2^{-l_i} = 1 + 2^{-l_i} > 1$ violating Equation 2. Thus there can at most be 2^{l_i} codewords of length l_i .

Let us begin with l_1 . We know that there are exactly 2^{l_1} disjoint intervals with length 2^{-l_1} on the binary map which have symbolic sequence of length l_1 . Since the intervals are disjoint, the symbolic sequences are necessarily prefix codewords. We first assign the symbolic sequences of a_1 of these disjoint intervals as codewords. Once a_1 disjoint intervals of length 2^{-l_1} were used up, we have lost $a_1 2^{l_2-l_1}$ intervals of length 2^{-l_2} . The number of available disjoint intervals of length 2^{-l_2} is $2^{l_2} - a_1 2^{l_2-l_1}$. If $a_2 < 2^{l_2} - a_1 2^{l_2-l_1}$ then we can allocate disjoint intervals to a_2 codewords of length l_2 . This requires $a_2 < 2^{l_2}(1 - a_1 2^{-l_1})$, which reduces to $a_1 2^{-l_1} + a_2 2^{-l_2} < 1$ which is necessarily true from Equation 2. Thus we can use the symbolic sequence of a_2 disjoint intervals as prefix codewords (of length



Figure 2: Converse of Kraft-McMillan inequality. (a) Assigning intervals to codewords of lengths $\{3, 3, 2, 2\}$ which satisfies the Kraft-McMillan inequality. (b) Assigning intervals to codewords of lengths $\{3, 3, 2, 1\}$ which satisfies Kraft-McMillan inequality with equality. This is known as a *complete* code.

 l_2). This argument is repeated for a_3 and so on until we have allocated unique disjoint intervals to all codewords (see example in Fig. 2). We have thus proved the converse of Kraft-McMillan inequality by construction of prefix codewords using symbolic sequences of disjoint intervals on the binary map.

The arguments above can be extended in a straightforward manner for ternary and higher bases. In the case of codewords of base-*B*, the *B*-ary dynamical system is used $(x \mapsto Bx \mod 1 \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1)).$

References

- L.G. Kraft, A device for quantizing, grouping and coding amplitude modulated pulses, M.S. thesis, Dept. of Electrical Engg., MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1949.
- [2] B. McMillan, Two inequalities implied by unique decipherability, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol. IT-2, pp. 115-116, 1956.
- [3] J. Karush, A simple proof of an inequality of McMillan, IRE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol IT-7, pp. 118, 1961.