DEGREE BOUNDS FOR GRÖBNER BASES IN ALGEBRAS OF SOLVABLE TYPE

MATTHIAS ASCHENBRENNER AND ANTON LEYKIN

ABSTRACT. We establish doubly-exponential degree bounds for Gröbner bases in certain algebras of solvable type over a field (as introduced by Kandri-Rody and Weispfenning). The class of algebras considered here includes commutative polynomial rings, Weyl algebras, and universal enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. For the computation of these bounds, we adapt a method due to Dubé based on a generalization of Stanley decompositions. Our bounds yield doubly-exponential degree bounds for ideal membership and syzygies, generalizing the classical results of Hermann and Seidenberg (in the commutative case) and Grigoriev (in the case of Weyl algebras).

INTRODUCTION

The algorithmic aspects of Weyl algebras were first explored by Castro [7]. Galligo [13], Takayama [38] and others in the mid-1980s. In particular, they laid out a theory of Gröbner bases in this slightly non-commutative setting. Since then, Gröbner bases in Weyl algebras have been widely used for practical computations in algorithmic D-module theory as promoted in [33]. (Some authors [11] prefer the term "Janet basis" in this context, due to the pioneering work on linear differential operators by Janet [20] in the 1920s.) In the early 1990s, Kandri-Rody and Weispfenning [21], by isolating the features of Weyl algebras which permit Gröbner basis theory to work, extended this theory to a larger class of non-commutative algebras, which they termed *algebras of solvable type* over a given coefficient field K. This class of algebras includes the universal enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras over K, by a theorem attributed to Poincaré, Birkhoff and Witt. (For this reason, algebras of solvable type are sometimes called *PBW-algebras*; see, e.g., [6, 32]. Another designation in use is *polynomial rings of solvable type*.) Working implementations of these algorithms exist and are in widespread use; see [14, Section 2.6] and [25]. Similar extensions of Gröbner basis theory to non-commutative algebras were studied by Apel [2] and Mora [30]. See Sections 2 and 3 below for a recapitulation of the basic definitions, and [6] for a comprehensive introduction to this circle of ideas.

In this paper we are interested in degree bounds for left Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type. It follows trivially from the case of commutative polynomials (as treated in [19]) and Section 5.2 below that the degrees of the elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of a left ideal I in an algebra of solvable type may depend doubly-exponentially on the maximum of the degrees of given generating elements of I. In view of the popularity of this kind of non-commutative Gröbner basis theory, it is surprising that little seems to be known about *upper degree bounds* for Gröbner bases (and, by extension, about the worst-case complexity of Buchberger's algorithm) in this setting. Perhaps it was believed that the

Date: November 18, 2008.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13P10, 13N10; Secondary 68Q40, 16S32.

Key words and phrases. Gröbner bases, Weyl algebras, degree bounds, Stanley decompositions.

The first author was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

upper degree bound for one-sided Gröbner bases, at least in the context of Weyl algebras, also follows from the commutative polynomial case by passing to the associated graded algebra for a certain filtration (which turns out to be nothing but a commutative polynomial ring over the given coefficient field). If true, the problem would have boiled down to the doubly-exponential degree bounds for Gröbner bases in commutative polynomial rings over fields found in the 1980s (see, e.g., [29]). However, we would like to emphasize that we *could not find* and we *do not believe there exists* a simple way to establish such a degree bound by reducing the question to commutative algebra. (See Section 3.5 for further discussion.)

A general uniform degree bound for left Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type was established by Kredel and Weispfenning [22] (using parametric Gröbner bases). They showed that, given a monomial ordering \leq on \mathbb{N}^N , there exists a computable function $(d, m) \mapsto B(d, m)$ with the following property: for every solvable algebra R over some field, generated by N generators whose commutator relations have degree at most d, every left ideal of R generated by m elements of R of degree at most d has a Gröbner basis (with respect to \leq) whose elements have degree at most B(d, m).

In contrast to this, here we are mainly interested in finding explicit, doubly-exponential degree bounds. We follow a road to establish such bounds paved by Dubé [12], who gave a self-contained and constructive combinatorial argument for the existence of a doubly-exponential degree bound for Gröbner bases in commutative polynomial rings over a field of arbitrary characteristic. Earlier proofs of results of this type (as in [29]) proceed by first homogenizing and then placing the ideal under consideration into *generic coordinates*. The drawback of this method is that it seems difficult to adapt it to situations as general as the ones considered here; for one thing, it only works smoothly in characteristic zero. See [15] for the delicacies involved in using automorphisms of the Weyl algebra. (Developing the ideas of the latter paper further, a doubly-exponential complexity result for Gröbner bases in Weyl algebras over fields of characteristic zero was established in [11]; the revised journal version of [11] is [10].)

The main new technical tool in [12] are decompositions, called *cone decompositions*, of commutative polynomial rings over a field K into a direct sum of finitely many K-linear subspaces of a certain type. These decompositions generalize the *Stanley decompositions* of a given finitely generated commutative graded K-algebra R studied in [37]. A Stanley decomposition of R encodes a lot of information about R; for example, the Hilbert function of R can be easily read off from it. It has been noted in several other places in the literature that Stanley decompositions are ideally suited to avoid the assumption of general position, and, for example, can also be used to circumvent the use of generic hyperplane sections in the proof of Gotzmann's Regularity Theorem [28].

The present paper grew out of an attempt by the authors to better understand Dubé's article [12]. We modified the notions of cone decompositions and the argument of [12] to work for a subclass of the class of algebras of solvable type over an arbitrary coefficient field K, namely the ones whose commutation relations are given by *quadric* polynomials. (This restriction was necessary in order to be able to freely homogenize the algebras and ideals under consideration.) We refer to Section 2 below for precise definitions, and only note here that this class of algebras includes commutative polynomial rings, as well as Weyl algebras and the universal enveloping algebra of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Many more examples of quadric algebras of solvable type can be found in [26, Section I.5]. (E.g., Clifford algebras, in particular Grassmann algebras, as well as q-Heisenberg algebras and the Manin algebra of 2×2 -quantum matrices.)

Let now K be a field, and let $R = K\langle x \rangle$ be a quadric K-algebra of solvable type with respect to $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and a monomial ordering $\leq of \mathbb{N}^N$. Our main theorem is:

Theorem 0.1. Every left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d has a Gröbner basis consisting of elements of degree at most

$$D(N,d) := 2\left(\frac{d^2}{2} + d\right)^{2^{N-2}}$$

Theorem 0.1 is deduced from the homogeneous case: we first show that if R is homogeneous, then the reduced Gröbner basis of every left ideal of R generated by homogeneous elements of degree at most d consists of elements of degree at most D(N - 1, d), and then obtain the bound in Theorem 0.1 by dehomogenizing. Our theorem also yields uniform bounds for reduced Gröbner bases in the inhomogeneous case. (See [23, 39] for non-explicit uniform degree bounds for reduced Gröbner bases in commutative polynomial rings over fields.) For example, if the monomial ordering \leq is degree-compatible, then the reduced Gröbner basis of every left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d consists of elements of degree at most D(N, d). (Corollary 5.9.) In the case where the monomial ordering is not degree-compatible, the issues are somewhat more subtle:

Corollary 0.2. The elements of the reduced Gröbner basis with respect to \leq of every left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d have degree at most

$$2D(N+1,d)(N+1)N^{N/2}$$
.

It is routine to deduce from Theorem 0.1:

Corollary 0.3. Suppose the monomial ordering \leq is degree-compatible. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be of degree at most d, and let $f \in R$. If there are $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in R$ such that

$$y_1f_1 + \dots + y_nf_n = f_2$$

then there are such y_i of degree at most $\deg(f) + D(N, d)$. Moreover, the left module of solutions to the linear homogeneous equation

$$y_1f_1 + \dots + y_nf_n = 0$$

is generated by solutions all of whose components have degree at most 3D(N, d).

For $R = K[x_1, ..., x_N]$, this corollary is essentially a classical result due to Hermann [18] (corrected and extended by Seidenberg [34]). In the case where R is a Weyl algebra, the first statement in this corollary also partly generalizes a result of Grigoriev [15] who showed that if a system of linear equations

$$y_1 a_{1j} + \dots + y_n a_{nj} = b_j \qquad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$
 (*)

with coefficients $a_{ij}, b_j \in R$ of degree at most d has a solution (y_1, \ldots, y_n) in R, then this system admits such a solution with $\deg(y_i) \leq (md)^{2^{O(N)}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The methods of [15] are quite different from ours, and follow the lead of Hermann and Seidenberg. By arguments as in [4, Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 4.2] one may obtain uniform degree bounds on solutions to systems of linear equations such as (*) by reduction to Corollary 0.3 (the case m = 1); however, this yields bounds of the form $d^{2^{O(mN)}}$ that are worse than those obtained by Grigoriev. (Similarly if one tries to use Nagata's "idealization" technique as in [1].) Probably, Corollary 0.3 could be extended from a single linear equation to systems of linear equations with our techniques, by considering Gröbner bases of submodules of finitely generated free modules over R, as carried out in [11] in the case of Weyl algebras. By virtue of an observation from [6], our main theorem, although ostensibly only about one-sided ideals, also has consequences for their two-sided counterparts:

Corollary 0.4. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be of degree at most d, and let $f \in R$. The two-sided ideal of R generated by f_1, \ldots, f_n has a Gröbner basis whose elements have degree at most D(2N, d). If \leq is degree-compatible, and there are a finite index set J and $y_{ij}, z_{ij} \in R$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n, j \in J$) such that

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} y_{1j} f_1 z_{1j} + \dots + \sum_{j \in J} y_{nj} f_n z_{nj}$$

then there are such J and y_{ij} , z_{ij} with

$$\deg(y_{ij}), \deg(z_{ij}) \leq \deg(f) + D(2N, d) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, j \in J.$$

Weyl algebras are simple (i.e., their only two-sided ideals are the trivial ones). Hence in this case, the previous corollary is vacuous; however, there do exist many non-commutative non-simple algebras satisfying the hypotheses stated before Theorem 0.1, for example, among the universal enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

As shown in [31], Gröbner basis theory also extends in a straightforward way to certain K-algebras closely related to Weyl algebras, namely the rings $R_n(K)$ of partial differential operators with rational functions in $K(x) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ as coefficients. Here $R_n(K)$ is the K-algebra generated by K(x) and pairwise distinct symbols $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n$ subject to the commutation relations

$$\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i, \quad \partial_i c(x) = c(x)\partial_i + \frac{\partial c(x)}{\partial x_i} \qquad (1 \le i \le j \le n, \ c(x) \in K(x)).$$

By [33, Proposition 1.4.13], our main theorem implies the existence of a doubly-exponential degree bound for Gröbner bases for left ideals in $R_n(K)$: every left ideal of $R_n(K)$ generated by elements of degree at most d has a Gröbner basis with respect to a given monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^n consisting of elements of degree at most D(2n, d). As above, this result can then be used to prove an analogue of Corollary 0.3 for $R_n(K)$ (also partially generalizing [15]); we omit the details.

Assume now that K has characteristic zero, and let $R = A_n(K)$ be the n-th Weyl algebra. A proper left ideal I of R is called *holonomic* if the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of R/I equals n, exactly half of the dimension of R. The Bernstein inequality, versions of which are also known as the Fundamental Theorems of Algebraic Analysis (see Theorems 1.4.5 and 1.4.6 of [33]), states that $n \leq \dim R/I < 2n$. Therefore, holonomic ideals are proper ideals of the minimal possible dimension, which brings up an analogy with zero-dimensional ideals in the commutative polynomial setting. Now, there is a bound on the degrees of the elements of a reduced Gröbner basis of a zero-dimensional ideal in a commutative polynomial ring over a field generated in degree at most d that is (*single*) exponential. Namely, this is the Bézout bound: d^n , where n is the number of indeterminates. (See, e.g., [24].) Holonomic ideals of R are closely related to zero-dimensional left ideals of the algebra $R_n(K) = K(x) \otimes_{K[x]} R$ of differential operators with coefficients in rational functions: if I is a holonomic ideal of R, then the left ideal of $R_n(K)$ generated by I is zero-dimensional, and if conversely J is a zero-dimensional left ideal of $R_n(K)$ then $J \cap R$ is a holonomic ideal; see [33, Corollary 1.4.14 and Theorem 1.4.15]. Only a doubly-exponential Bézout bound is known [16] for zero-dimensional ideals of $R_n(K)$.

So far, to our knowledge, a (single) exponential bound for the degrees of elements in Gröbner bases has been produced only for one very special class of holonomic ideals used in a particular application. These are the *GKZ-hypergeometric ideals*, with a homogeneity assumption (cf. [33, Corollary 4.1.2]). It would be interesting to see if holonomicity (zero-dimensionality) implies a general exponential bound in the algebras $A_n(K)$ ($R_n(K)$), respectively), as well as whether there is a better bound for ideals of minimal possible dimension in solvable algebras in general.

Finally, we would like to mention that although our study is limited to the most frequently used type of bases, Gröbner bases, there are other kinds of "standard bases" for ideals that may be introduced for algebras of solvable type. For example, [17] explores involutive bases in the Weyl algebra.

0.1. **Organization of the paper.** Sections 1 and 2 mainly have preliminary character, and deal with generalities on monomials and K-algebras, respectively. In Section 3 we review the fundamentals of Gröbner basis theory for algebras of solvable type. In Section 4 we adapt Dubé's method to the non-commutative situation, and in Section 5 we prove the main theorem and its corollaries 0.2 and 0.3. In Section 6 we study the two-sided situation.

0.2. Acknowledgments. We would like to express our gratitude to Dima Grigoriev, Viktor Levandovskyy and the anonymous referees for their numerous suggestions and corrections which helped us to improve the paper.

1. MONOMIALS AND MONOMIAL IDEALS

In this section we collect a few notations and conventions concerning multi-indices, monomials and monomial ideals.

1.1. **Multi-indices.** Throughout this note, we let d, m, N and n range over the set $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ of natural numbers, and α, β, γ and λ range over \mathbb{N}^N . We let $\mathbb{N}^0 = \{0\}$ by convention, and identify \mathbb{N}^N with the subset $\mathbb{N}^N \times \{0\}$ of \mathbb{N}^{N+1} in the natural way. We think of the elements of \mathbb{N}^N as *multi-indices*. Recall that a **monomial ordering** of \mathbb{N}^N is a total ordering of \mathbb{N}^N compatible with addition in \mathbb{N}^N whose smallest element is 0. It is well-known that any monomial ordering is a well-ordering. Given total orderings \leq_1 of \mathbb{N}^{N_1} and \leq_2 of \mathbb{N}^{N_2} ($N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$), the **lexicographic product** of \leq_1 and \leq_2 is the total ordering \leq of $\mathbb{N}^{N_1+N_2} = \mathbb{N}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{N_2}$ defined by

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \leq (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$$
 : $\iff \alpha_1 < \alpha_2, \text{ or } \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \text{ and } \beta_1 \leq \beta_2,$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{N_1}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{N_2}$. The lexicographic product of \leq_1 and \leq_2 extends \leq_1 . If \leq_1, \leq_2 are monomial orderings, then so is their lexicographic product. The **lexicographic ordering** of \mathbb{N}^N (the *N*-fold lexicographic product of the usual ordering of \mathbb{N}) is denoted by \leq_{lex} . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N)$ put $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N$. An ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N is said to be **degree-compatible** if $|\alpha| < |\beta| \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \beta$ for all α, β . An example of a degree-compatible monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N is the **degree-lexicographic ordering**:

$$\alpha \leq_{\text{dlex}} \beta$$
 : \iff $|\alpha| < |\beta|$, or $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and $\alpha \leq_{\text{lex}} \beta$.

In the rest of this subsection we fix a monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N .

Given a multi-index ω we define a weight function $wt = wt_{\omega}$ (taking non-negative integer values) on the set \mathbb{N}^N by

 $\operatorname{wt}(\alpha) := \omega \cdot \alpha$ (inner product of vectors in \mathbb{R}^N).

Then for all α , β we have $wt(\alpha + \beta) = wt(\alpha) + wt(\beta)$, and if $\omega_i > 0$ for each *i* then

$$|\alpha| \leqslant \operatorname{wt}(\alpha) \leqslant ||\omega|| \, |\alpha|. \tag{1.1}$$

Here and below, $||\omega||$ denotes the maximum among the absolute values of the components of ω . For a proof of the following quantitative version of a well-known fact about approximating monomial orderings by weight functions see [3]:

Proposition 1.1. Let d be given. Then there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^N$ with $||\omega|| \leq 2d(N+1)N^{N/2}$ such that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \iff \operatorname{wt}_{\omega}(\alpha) \leq \operatorname{wt}_{\omega}(\beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \text{ with } |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq d.$$

1.2. Monomials and K-linear spaces. In the rest of this section we fix a positive N, we let K denote a field, and we let R be a K-linear space. A **monomial basis** of R is family $\{x^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ of elements of R, indexed by the multi-indices in \mathbb{N}^{N} , which forms a basis of R. Of course, every K-linear space of countably infinite dimension has a monomial basis, for every positive N, but in the applications in the next sections, a specific monomial basis will always be given to us beforehand. Thus, in the following we assume that a monomial basis $\{x^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ of R is fixed. We call a basis element x^{α} of R a **monomial** (of R), and we denote by x^{\diamond} the set of monomials of R. Every $f \in R$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$$
 where $f_{\alpha} \in K$, with $f_{\alpha} = 0$ for all but finitely many α ,

and we define the **support** of such an f as the set supp f of all monomials x^{α} with $f_{\alpha} \neq f_{\alpha}$ 0. We have $x^{\alpha} \neq x^{\beta}$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$, so we can turn x^{\diamond} into an ordered monoid by setting $x^{\alpha} * x^{\beta} = x^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $x^{\alpha} \leqslant x^{\beta} \iff \alpha \leqslant \beta$. The map $\alpha \mapsto x^{\alpha} \colon \mathbb{N}^{N} \to x^{\diamond}$ is then an isomorphism of ordered monoids. A tuple of generators of x^{\diamond} is given by x = (x_1,\ldots,x_N) where $x_i = x^{\varepsilon_i}$, with ε_i = the *i*-th unit vector in \mathbb{N}^N .

There is a unique binary operation on R extending the operation * on x^{\diamond} and making the K-linear space R into a K-algebra. With this multiplication operation, of course, R is nothing but the ring K[x] of polynomials in indeterminates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ with coefficients from K: the unique K-linear bijection $K[x] \to R$ which for each multiindex α sends the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}$ of K[x] to the basis element x^{α} of R, is an isomorphism of K-algebras. However, in our applications below, the K-linear space Rwill already come equipped with a binary operation making it into a K-algebra, and this operation will usually not agree with * on x^{\diamond} (in fact, not even restrict to an operation on x^{\diamond}). In order to clearly separate the combinatorial objects arising in the study of the (generally, non-commutative) K-algebras later on, we chose to introduce the extra bit of terminology concerning monomial bases.

A monomial x^{α} divides a monomial x^{β} (or x^{β} is divisible by x^{α}) if $x^{\beta} = x^{\alpha} * x^{\gamma}$ for some multi-index γ ; in symbols: $x^{\alpha}|x^{\beta}$. If I is an ideal of x^{\diamond} , that is, if $x^{\alpha} \in I \Rightarrow$ $x^{\alpha} * x^{\beta} \in I$ for all α, β , then there exist $x^{\alpha(1)}, \ldots, x^{\alpha(k)} \in I$ such that each monomial in I is divisible by some $x^{\alpha(i)}$. (By Dickson's Lemma, [21, Lemma 1.1].) Given monomials x^{α} and x^{β} , the least common multiple of x^{α} and x^{β} is the monomial $\operatorname{lcm}(x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}) = x^{\gamma}$ where $\gamma_i = \max{\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}}$ for i = 1, ..., N. Let now \leq be a total ordering of \mathbb{N}^N . Given a non-zero $f \in R$, there is a unique λ with

$$f = f_{\lambda} x^{\lambda} + \sum_{\alpha < \lambda} f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \qquad f_{\lambda} \neq 0$$

We call $lc(f) = f_{\lambda}$ and $lm(f) = x^{\lambda}$ the leading coefficient respectively leading mono**mial** of f with respect to \leq . It is convenient to define lm(0) := 0 and extend \leq to a total ordering on the set $x^{\diamond} \cup \{0\}$ by declaring $0 < x^{\alpha}$ for all α . We also declare lc(0) := 0. We extend the notation \lim to subsets of R by a slight abuse: for $S \subseteq R$ put

$$\operatorname{lm}(S) := \left\{ \operatorname{lm}(f) : 0 \neq f \in S \right\} \subseteq x^\diamond.$$

6

1.3. Monomial cones and monomial ideals. By abuse of notation, we write $y \subseteq x$ to indicate that y is a subset of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$, and for $y \subseteq x$ we let y^\diamond be the submonoid of $(x^\diamond, *)$ generated by y. (So $\emptyset^\diamond = \{1\}$.)

A monomial cone defined by a pair (w, y), where $w \in x^{\diamond}$ and $y \subseteq x$, is the K-linear subspace C(w, y) of R generated by $w * y^{\diamond}$. Note that $C(w, \emptyset) = Kw$ for every $w \in x^{\diamond}$, and C(1, x) = R. Also, if $y \subseteq y' \subseteq x$ then $C(w, y) \subseteq C(w, y')$. We refer to [12, Section 3] for how to represent monomial cones graphically in the (slightly misleading) case N = 2. If we identify R with the commutative polynomial ring R = K[x] as explained above, then C(w, y) is nothing but the K-linear subspace wK[y] of K[x].

We say that a K-linear subspace I of R is a **monomial ideal** if I is spanned by monomials, and $C(w, x) \subseteq I$ for all monomials $w \in I$. (Hence, if R = K[x], then I is a monomial ideal of K[x] in the usual sense of the word.) A set of **generators** for a monomial ideal I of R is defined to be a set of monomials F such that $I = \sum_{w \in F} C(w, x)$ (so the set $F * x^{\diamond}$ generates I as a K-linear space). A K-linear subspace of R is a monomial ideal if and only if the set of monomials in I is an ideal of $(x^{\diamond}, *)$. Every K-subspace of R generated by monomials has a unique minimal set of generators, which is finite.

Given a monomial ideal I of R and a monomial w we put

$$(I:w) :=$$
 the K-linear subspace of R generated by $\{v \in x^{\diamond} : w * v \in I\},\$

a monomial ideal of R containing I.

Let now M be a K-linear subspace of R generated by monomials, and let I be a monomial ideal of R. Then the K-linear subspace $M \cap I$ of M has a natural complement:

$$M = (M \cap I) \oplus \mathrm{nf}_I(M),$$

where $nf_I(M)$ denotes the K-linear subspace of R generated by the monomials in $M \setminus I$.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ALGEBRAS OVER FIELDS

In this section we let K be a field (of arbitrary characteristic). All K-algebras are assumed to be associative with unit 1. Given a subset G of a K-algebra R we denote by (G) the *left* ideal of R generated by G. We also let \leq be a monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N .

2.1. Multi-filtered K-algebras and modules. A multi-filtration on R (indexed by \mathbb{N}^N) is an increasing (with respect to \leq) family of K-linear subspaces $\{R_{(\leq \alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ of R whose union is R and such that $1 \in R_{(\leq 0)}$ and $R_{(\leq \alpha)} \cdot R_{(\leq \beta)} \subseteq R_{(\leq \alpha+\beta)}$. A multi-filtered K-algebra is a K-algebra equipped with a multi-filtration. Suppose R is a multi-filtered K-algebra. A **multi-filtration** on a left R-module M (indexed by \mathbb{N}^N) is an increasing family of K-linear subspaces $\{M_{(\leq \alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ of M which exhausts M and such that $R_{(\leq \alpha)}$. $M_{(\leq\beta)} \subseteq M_{(\leq\alpha+\beta)}$. A multi-filtered left *R*-module is a left *R*-module equipped with a multi-filtration. Suppose that M is a multi-filtered left R-module. For every α the set $M_{(<\alpha)} := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_{(\leq \alpha)}$ is a K-linear subspace of M. Here $M_{(<0)} := \{0\}$ by convention. For every non-zero $f \in M$ there exists a unique α with $f \in M_{(\leq \alpha)} \setminus M_{(<\alpha)}$, and we call $\alpha = \deg(f)$ the **degree** of f. Given a left R-submodule M' of M, we always construe M' as a multi-filtered left R-module by means of the multi-filtration $\{M'_{(\leqslant \alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ given by $M'_{(\leq \alpha)} := M' \cap M_{(\leq \alpha)}$ for every α , and we make the quotient M/M' into a multi-filtered left \hat{R} -module by the multi-filtration induced on M/M' from M by the natural surjection $M \to M/M'$, given by $(M/M')_{(\leq \alpha)} := (M_{(\leq \alpha)} + M')/M'$ for every α . For a two-sided ideal I of R, the induced filtration makes R/I a multi-filtered K-algebra.

2.2. Multi-graded *K*-algebras and modules. A multi-grading on *R* (indexed by \mathbb{N}^N) is a family $\{R_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ of *K*-linear subspaces of *R* such that $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha} R_{(\alpha)}$ (internal direct sum of *K*-linear subspaces of *R*) and $R_{(\alpha)} \cdot R_{(\beta)} \subseteq R_{(\alpha+\beta)}$ for all multi-indices α, β . A *K*-algebra equipped with a multi-grading is called a **multi-graded** *K*-algebra. Suppose *R* is multi-graded. A **multi-grading** on a left *R*-module *M* (indexed by \mathbb{N}^N) is a family $\{M_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ of *K*-linear subspaces of *M* such that $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{(\alpha)}$ and $R_{(\alpha)} \cdot M_{(\beta)} \subseteq$ $M_{(\alpha+\beta)}$ for all α, β . A left *R*-module equipped with a multi-grading is called a **multigraded left** *R*-module. Let *M* be a multi-graded left *R*-module. We call the *K*-linear subspace $M_{(\alpha)}$ of *M* the **homogeneous component** of degree α of *M*. We always view *R* as a multi-filtered *K*-algebra, and *M* as a multi-filtered left *R*-module by means of the natural multi-filtrations $\{R_{(\leq \alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ and $\{M_{(\leq \alpha)}\}_{\alpha}$ given by

$$R_{(\leqslant \alpha)} := \bigoplus_{\beta \leqslant \alpha} R_{(\beta)}, \quad M_{(\leqslant \alpha)} := \bigoplus_{\beta \leqslant \alpha} M_{(\beta)} \qquad \text{for every } \alpha.$$

Every $f \in M$ has a unique representation in the form $f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{(\alpha)}$ where $f_{(\alpha)} \in M_{(\alpha)}$ for all α , and $f_{(\alpha)} = 0$ for all but finitely many α . We call $f_{(\alpha)}$ the homogeneous component of degree α of f. Similarly, given a K-linear subspace V of M which is homogeneous (i.e., for $f \in M$ we have $f \in V$ if and only if $f_{(\alpha)} \in V$ for each α), the homogeneous component of degree α of V is denoted by $V_{(\alpha)} := V \cap M_{(\alpha)}$, so

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{(\alpha)}$$
 (internal direct sum of K-linear subspaces of M).

If M' is a homogeneous left R-submodule of M, then the $M'_{(\alpha)}$ furnish M' with a multigrading, and we make M/M' into a multi-graded left R-module by the multi-grading induced from M, given by $(M/M')_{(\alpha)} := (M_{(\alpha)} + M')/M'$ for every α . The multi-filtration of M/M' associated to this multi-grading agrees with the multi-filtration of M/M' induced from the multi-filtered left R-module M. If I is a two-sided ideal of R, then R/I a multi-graded K-algebra by means of the induced multi-grading.

2.3. The associated multi-graded algebra. Suppose R is multi-filtered, and let M be a multi-filtered left R-module M. The left R-module

$$\operatorname{gr} M = \bigoplus_{\alpha} (\operatorname{gr} M)_{(\alpha)} \quad \text{with} (\operatorname{gr} M)_{(\alpha)} = M_{(\leqslant \alpha)} / M_{(<\alpha)}$$

is a multi-graded left gr R-module in a natural way, called the **multi-graded left** gr Rmodule associated to M. (For M = R we obtain a multi-graded K-algebra called the **multi-graded** K-algebra gr R associated to R.) For non-zero $f \in M$ of degree α ,

$$\operatorname{gr} f := f + M_{(<\alpha)} \in (\operatorname{gr} M)_{(\alpha)}$$

is the **initial form** (or **symbol**) of f, and $\operatorname{gr} 0 := 0 \in \operatorname{gr} M$. Given a left R-submodule M' of M, the inclusion $M' \to M$ induces an embedding $\operatorname{gr} M' \to \operatorname{gr} M$ of multi-graded left R-modules, and we identify $\operatorname{gr} M'$ with its image under this embedding.

2.4. The Rees algebra. Suppose R is multi-filtered. The Rees algebra of R is the multigraded K-algebra

$$R^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \ (R^*)_{(\alpha)} \qquad \text{with} \ (R^*)_{(\alpha)} = R_{(\leqslant \alpha)}.$$

For a non-zero element f of R of degree α we let $f^* := f \in (R^*)_{(\alpha)}$ be the **homogenization** of f; by convention $0^* := 0$. Let I be a two-sided ideal of R. We let I^* be the two-sided ideal of R^* generated by all f^* with $f \in I$; the ideal I^* is homogeneous, and

is called the **homogenization** of I. The natural surjection $R \to R/I$ is a morphism of multi-filtered K-algebras which induces a surjective morphism $R^* \to (R/I)^*$ of multigraded K-algebras whose kernel is I^* ; the induced morphism $R^*/I^* \to (R/I)^*$ is an isomorphism of multi-graded K-algebras. The natural inclusions $(R^*)_{(\alpha)} = R_{(\leq \alpha)} \subseteq R$ combine to a K-linear map $h \mapsto h_* \colon R^* \to R$ which is a surjective morphism of multigraded K-algebras satisfying $(f^*)_* = f$ for all $f \in R$. For $h \in R^*$ the element h_* of R is called the **dehomogenization** of h. We extend this notation to subsets of R^* : $H_* := \{h_* \colon h \in H\}$ for $H \subseteq R^*$. If J is a left ideal of R^* , then J_* is a left ideal of R. Hence if $H \subseteq R^*$ then $(H)_* = (H_*)$.

2.5. Filtered and graded algebras. By a filtered *K*-algebra we will mean an multifiltered algebra with filtration indexed by \mathbb{N} , and similarly a multi-graded *K*-algebra whose grading is indexed by \mathbb{N} is just called a graded *K*-algebra. Analogous terminology is used in the case of left *R*-modules. (Most of our multi-filtered or multi-graded objects will actually be filtered, respectively graded; we introduced the more general concepts in order to be able to speak about the "fine filtration" (Lemma 2.3) of an algebra of solvable type.

Suppose $R = \bigcup_d R_{(\leq d)}$ is a filtered K-algebra. We denote by t the **canonical element** of R^* , that is, the unit 1 of R, considered as an element of $(R^*)_{(1)} = R_{(\leq 1)}$. In this case the natural surjections

$$(R^*)_{(d)} = R_{(\leq d)} \to R_{(\leq d)}/R_{($$

combine to a surjective K-algebra morphism $R^* \to \operatorname{gr} R$ which has kernel R^*t and hence induces an isomorphism of graded K-algebras $R^*/R^*t \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{gr} R$.

2.6. Homogenization of graded algebras. Suppose now that $R = \bigoplus_d R_{(d)}$ is a graded *K*-algebra. We make the ring R[T] of polynomials in one commuting indeterminate *T* over *R* into a graded *K*-algebra using the grading

$$R[T] = \bigoplus_{d} R[T]_d \qquad \text{with } R[T]_{(d)} := \bigoplus_{i+j=d} R_{(i)} T^j.$$

The K-linear map $R[T] \to R^*$ with $fT^j \mapsto ft^j$ for all $f \in R_{(i)}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ is an isomorphism of graded K-algebras. In the following we always identify the Rees algebra of a graded K-algebra R with the graded K-algebra R[T]. Then the canonical element of R^* is T, and for non-zero $f \in R$ of degree d we have

$$f^* = \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_{(i)} T^{d-i} \in (R^*)_{(d)},$$

and for $h = \sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i T^i \in R^*$ we get $h_* = \sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i \in R$.

2.7. Non-commutative polynomials. In the following we let $X = (X_1, ..., X_N)$ be a tuple of N distinct indeterminates over K and denote by X^* the free monoid generated by $\{X_1, ..., X_N\}$. The free K-algebra $K\langle X \rangle = K\langle X_1, ..., X_N \rangle$ generated by X (that is, the monoid algebra of X^* over K) has a natural grading

$$K\langle X\rangle = \bigoplus_d K\langle X\rangle_{(d)}$$

defined by the length of words in X^* . Let I be a two-sided ideal of $K\langle X \rangle$. The K-algebra $R = K\langle X \rangle / I$ is generated by the cosets $X_i + I$ (i = 1, ..., N). Let T be an indeterminate over K distinct from $X_1, ..., X_N$. We identify the Rees algebra $K\langle X \rangle^*$ of $K\langle X \rangle$ with the graded K-algebra $K\langle X \rangle [T]$ as explained in the previous subsections; similarly, the

Rees algebra R^* of R will be identified with $K\langle X \rangle^* / I^* = K\langle X \rangle [T] / I^*$. For a non-zero $f \in K\langle X \rangle$ of degree d we define the homogeneous polynomial

$$f^{\mathbf{h}} := \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_{(i)} T^{d-i} \in K\langle X, T \rangle.$$

$$(2.1)$$

The two-sided ideal $I^{\rm h}$ of $K\langle X, T \rangle$ generated by $f^{\rm h}$ for non-zero $f \in I$ and the polynomials $X_iT - TX_i$ (i = 1, ..., N) is homogeneous, and the natural K-linear map $K\langle X, T \rangle \rightarrow K\langle X \rangle[T]$ induces an isomorphism of graded K-algebras

$$K\langle X,T\rangle/I^{\mathrm{h}} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^* = K\langle X\rangle[T]/I^*.$$
 (2.2)

2.8. Affine algebras. In the rest of this section, we let R be a finitely generated K-algebra and we fix a tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ of elements of R. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N)$ put $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}$. We say that the K-algebra R is affine with respect to x if the family $\{x^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ is a monomial basis of the K-linear space R. (Note that then x_1, \ldots, x_N generate R as a K-algebra.) Usually, we obtain affine K-algebras by specifying a commutation system in $K\langle X \rangle$, that is, a family $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq N}$ of $\binom{N}{2}$ polynomials

$$R_{ij} = X_j X_i - c_{ij} X_i X_j - P_{ij}$$

where $0 \neq c_{ij} \in K$ and $P_{ij} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha} K X^{\alpha}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. (2.3)

Let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ be a commutation system and $I = I(\mathcal{R})$ be the two-sided ideal of $K\langle X \rangle$ generated by the polynomials R_{ij} $(1 \leq i < j \leq N)$, and suppose $R = K\langle X \rangle / I$ with $x_i = X_i + I$ (i = 1, ..., N). We say that the finitely presented K-algebra R is **defined** by \mathcal{R} . We construe $K\langle X \rangle$ as a filtered K-algebra via filtration by degree of polynomials in $K\langle X \rangle$, and we equip R with the filtration induced by the natural surjection $K\langle X \rangle \rightarrow K\langle X \rangle / I = R$, called the **standard filtration** of R (with respect to x_1, \ldots, x_N). If R turns out to be affine, then the generators x_1, \ldots, x_N of the K-algebra R have degree 1.

Examples 2.1. Affineness of *K*-algebras may be shown using the techniques in [5], and also with Mora's theory [30] of Gröbner bases for two-sided ideals in $K\langle X \rangle$ (cf. [21, Theorem 1.11]). Some prominent examples for affine *K*-algebras:

- (1) A *K*-algebra is called **semi-commutative** if for every pair f, g of its elements there is a non-zero $c \in K$ with fg = cgf. If $P_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ in (2.3), then the *K*-algebra defined by \mathcal{R} is affine and semi-commutative. If in addition $c_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, then the *K*-algebra defined by \mathcal{R} is naturally isomorphic to the *K*-algebra $K[x] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$ of commutative polynomials in the tuple of indeterminates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ with coefficients in *K*.
- (2) The *n*-th Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ over K is the K-algebra generated by N = 2n generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n$ subject to the relations

$x_j x_i = x_i x_j,$	$\partial_j \partial_i = \partial_i \partial j$	for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
$\partial_j x_i = x_i \partial_j$		for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$,
$\partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + 1$		for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The K-algebra $A_n(K)$ is affine with respect to the generating tuple $(x, \partial) := (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n)$. The standard filtration of $A_n(K)$ is also known as the Bernstein filtration of $A_n(K)$.

- (3) Let g be a Lie algebra over K of dimension n, and let {x₁,...,x_N} be a basis of g. The universal enveloping algebra of g is a K-algebra U(g) which contains g as
 - K-linear subspace and is generated by x_1, \ldots, x_N subject to the relations

$$x_j x_i = x_i x_j - [x_j, x_i]_{\mathfrak{g}}$$
 for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$

The fact that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is affine with respect to the tuple (x_1, \ldots, x_N) is known as the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem [5, Theorem 3.1]. (Hence affine algebras are also known as "algebras with PBW-basis.")

We say that a commutation system $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ as above is **quadric** if every polynomial P_{ij} has degree ≤ 2 , **linear** if every P_{ij} has degree ≤ 1 , and **homogeneous** if all R_{ij} are either zero or homogeneous (necessarily of degree 2). All examples of affine K-algebras given above are defined by linear commutation systems.

2.9. Algebras of solvable type. The definition below is due to Kandri-Rody and Weispfenning [21]. Recall that \leq denotes a monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N .

Definition 2.2. The *K*-algebra *R* is said to be **of solvable type** with respect to the fixed monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N and the tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ if *R* is affine with respect to *x*, and for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ there are $c_{ij} \in K$, $c_{ij} \neq 0$, and $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + p_{ij}$$
 and $\operatorname{lm}(p_{ij}) < x_i x_j$.

(Note that the c_{ij} and p_{ij} are then uniquely determined.)

If R is of solvable type with respect to \leq and x, then (cf. [21, Lemma 1.4])

$$lm(f \cdot g) = lm(f) * lm(g) \qquad \text{for non-zero } f, g \in R.$$
(2.4)

In particular, R is an integral domain. If R is semi-commutative, then R is of solvable type with respect to x and every monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N , and each homogeneous component $R_{(\alpha)}$ of R has the form $R_{(\alpha)} = Kx^{\alpha}$. Therefore:

Lemma 2.3. Suppose R is of solvable type with respect to \leq and x. Then

$$R_{(\leqslant \alpha)} := \bigoplus_{\beta \leqslant \alpha} K x^{\beta}$$

defines a multi-filtration of R, and its associated multi-graded K-algebra $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} R$ is semicommutative with respect to \leq and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$, where $\xi_i := \operatorname{gr}_{\leq} x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. If $c_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, then $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} R = K[\xi]$ is commutative.

Here is a way of constructing K-algebras of solvable type [21, Theorem 1.7]:

Proposition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ be a commutation system with R_{ij} as in (2.3), let $I = I(\mathcal{R})$, and suppose $R = K\langle X \rangle / I$ with $x_i = X_i + I$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then R is of solvable type with respect to the monomial ordering \leq and the tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ of generators for R if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\operatorname{lm}(P_{ij}) < \operatorname{lm}(X_i X_j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, and (2) $I \cap \bigoplus_{\alpha} K X^{\alpha} = \{0\}.$

Remark 2.5. Suppose that *R* is affine with respect to \leq and *x*, and let $\pi : K\langle X \rangle \to R$ be the surjective *K*-algebra morphism with $X_i \mapsto x_i$ for i = 1, ..., N. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ be a commutation system as in (2.3) satisfying condition (1) in Proposition 2.4 and with ker π containing $I = I(\mathcal{R})$. Then $I = \ker \pi$, so *R* is of solvable type with respect to \leq and *x*. (Note that ker $\pi \cap \bigoplus_{\alpha} KX^{\alpha} = \{0\}$ since *R* is affine; in particular, $I \cap \bigoplus_{\alpha} KX^{\alpha} = \{0\}$, hence $K\langle X \rangle = I \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha} KX^{\alpha}$ by Proposition 2.4, and thus $I = \ker \pi$.)

Every K-algebra of solvable type arises as described in Proposition 2.4: Suppose $R = K\langle x \rangle$ is of solvable type as in Definition 2.2; let π be as in Remark 2.5, for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ let P_{ij} be the unique polynomial in $\bigoplus_{\alpha} KX^{\alpha}$ with $\pi(P_{ij}) = p_{ij}$, and define the commutation system $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ as in (2.3). Then clearly ker π contains $I = I(\mathcal{R})$. So ker $\pi = I$ by the preceding remark, and π induces an isomorphism $K\langle X \rangle / I \rightarrow R$. Hence we may define properties of a K-algebra of solvable type in terms of the unique commutation system defining it. For example, we say that a K-algebra of solvable type is **quadric** or **homogeneous** if its defining commutation system is quadric or homogeneous, respectively. If R is of solvable type with respect to a degree-compatible monomial ordering, then R is quadric.

Condition (1) in the previous proposition automatically holds if $P_{ij} \in K$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, or if \leq is degree-compatible and deg $P_{ij} < 2$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. Hence the *n*-th Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ over K is of solvable type with respect to the generating tuple (x, ∂) and *every* monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^{2n} . Similarly, the universal enveloping algebra of an N-dimensional Lie algebra over K is of solvable type with respect to the generating tuple x and every monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N . The only commutative K-algebra of solvable type with respect to x is the commutative polynomial ring $K[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$, which is of solvable type with respect to every monomial ordering of \mathbb{N}^N . All of those examples are quadric.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that N > 0 and x_N is in the center of R. Let $S = R/Rx_N$, and for i = 1, ..., N - 1 let y_i be the image of x_i under the natural surjection $R \to S$.

- (1) If R is affine with respect to x, then S is affine with respect to $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{N-1})$.
- (2) If R is of solvable type with respect to \leq and the tuple x, then S is of solvable type with respect to the restriction of \leq to \mathbb{N}^{N-1} and y, and if in addition R is quadric (homogeneous), then S is quadric (homogeneous, respectively).

Proof. Part (1) is clear. For (2), suppose R is of solvable type with respect to \leq and x. Let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq N}$ be the commutation system in $K\langle X \rangle$ defining R. Let $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_{N-1})$ be a tuple of distinct indeterminates over K. The commutation system $S = (S_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j < N}$ in $K\langle Y \rangle$ with $S_{ij} := R_{ij}(Y, 0)$ for $1 \leq i < j < N$ satisfies condition (1) in Proposition 2.4, and I(S) is contained in the kernel of the K-algebra morphism $K\langle Y \rangle \rightarrow S$ with $Y_i \mapsto y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$. Hence by (1) and Remark 2.5, S is of solvable type with respect to the restriction of \leq to \mathbb{N}^{N-1} and y. If \mathcal{R} is quadric (homogeneous) then S clearly is quadric (homogeneous, respectively).

2.10. Quadric algebras of solvable type. In the rest of this section, $\pi: K\langle X \rangle \to R$ is the K-algebra morphism with $\pi(X_i) = x_i$. Also let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ be a commutation system defining $R = K\langle x \rangle$, with R_{ij} as in (2.3), and we assume that R is quadric of solvable type with respect to \leq and x. We put $p_{ij} := \pi(P_{ij})$. We have $\lim(\pi(v)) = \lim(\pi(w))$ for all words $v, w \in \langle X \rangle$ which are rearrangements of each other, by (2.4). This observation is crucial for the proof of the next lemma, to be used in the following subsection:

Lemma 2.7. For every d we have

$$R_{(\leqslant d)} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leqslant d} K x^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. For a word $w = X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_m} \in X^*$ with $i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ we define the "misordering index" i(w) of w as the number of pairs (k, l) with $1 \leq k < l \leq m$ and $i_k > i_l$. We equip $\mathbb{N}^{N+1} = \mathbb{N}^N \times \mathbb{N}$ with the lexicographic product of the given monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N and the usual ordering of \mathbb{N} . It suffices to show, by induction on $(\alpha, i) \in \mathbb{N}^N \times \mathbb{N}$, that every $w \in \langle X \rangle$ with $\operatorname{Im}(\pi(w)) = x^{\alpha}$ and the misordering index i(w) = i belongs to $I(\mathcal{R}) + \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq d} KX^{\beta}$ where d = length of w. If i(w) = 0 then $w \in \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq d} KX^{\beta}$, and there is nothing to show; so suppose i(w) > 0 (in particular, d > 0). Then there are i, j and u, v with $i < j, w = uX_jX_iv$ and i(u) = 0. We have $uR_{ij}v \in I(\mathcal{R})$ and

$$w = c_{ij}uX_iX_jv + uP_{ij}v + uR_{ij}v.$$

We also have $\ln(\pi(uX_iX_jv)) = \ln(\pi(w))$ and $i(uX_iX_jv) = i(w) - 1$, and moreover $\ln(\pi(uP_{ij}v)) < \ln(\pi(w))$ and $\deg(uP_{ij}v) \leq d$ since \mathcal{R} is quadric. Thus by inductive hypothesis, uX_iX_jv and $uP_{ij}v$ are elements of $I(\mathcal{R}) + \bigoplus_{|\beta| \leq d} KX^{\beta}$; hence so is w. \Box

2.11. Homogenization and homogeneous algebras of solvable type. Let T be an indeterminate over K distinct from X_1, \ldots, X_N . In the following we identify the Rees algebra R^* of R with the graded K-algebra $K\langle X, T\rangle/I(\mathcal{R})^h$ via the isomorphism (2.2). Then the canonical element of R^* is $t = T + I(\mathcal{R})^h$, and the K-algebra R^* is generated by $x_1^*, \ldots, x_N^*, t \in (R^*)_{(1)}$, where $x_i^* = X_i + I(\mathcal{R})^h$ is the homogenization of x_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$. Let $x^* := (x_1^*, \ldots, x_N^*)$. By Lemma 2.7, for every d we have

$$(R^*)_{(d)} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leqslant d} K(x^*)^{\alpha} t^{d-|\alpha|}.$$

In particular, the K-algebra R^* is affine with respect to (x^*, t) . In fact:

Corollary 2.8. The Rees algebra R^* of R is homogeneous of solvable type with respect to the lexicographic product \leq^* of the monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N and the usual ordering of \mathbb{N} , and the generating tuple (x^*, t) .

Proof. We construct a homogeneous commutation system \mathcal{R}^{h} in $K\langle X, T \rangle$ by enlarging the family $(R_{ij}^{h})_{1 \leq i < j \leq N}$ by the polynomials $X_iT - TX_i$ (i = 1, ..., N). (See (2.1) for the definition of R_{ij}^{h} .) Then \mathcal{R}^{h} satisfies condition (1) in Proposition 2.4 (by choice of \leq^*). Clearly the surjective K-algebra morphism $K\langle X, T \rangle \to R^*$ with $X_i \mapsto x_i^*$ and $T \mapsto t$ sends every polynomial in $I(\mathcal{R}^{h})$ to zero, hence induces an isomorphism $K\langle X, T \rangle / I(\mathcal{R}^{h}) \to R^*$ by Remark 2.5. Thus R^* is of solvable type as claimed. \Box

In the following, by abuse of notation, we denote the homogenization $x_i^* \in R^*$ of $x_i \in R$ also just by x_i , for i = 1, ..., N. So the homogenization of $f \in R$ of degree d is

$$f^* = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} t^{d-|\alpha|} \in (R^*)_{(d)}$$

and for every α and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the dehomogenization of $x^{\alpha}t^{i}$ is given by $(x^{\alpha}t^{i})_{*} = x^{\alpha}$.

Examples 2.9.

- (1) The Rees algebra of the commutative polynomial ring $K[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$ is the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \ldots, x_N, t]$ equipped with its usual grading by (total) degree.
- (2) If $R = A_n(K)$, then R^* is the graded K-algebra generated by 2n + 1 generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n, t$ subject to the homogeneous relations

$x_j x_i = x_i x_j,$	$\partial_j \partial_i = \partial_i \partial j$	for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
$\partial_j x_i = x_i \partial_j$		for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$,
$\partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + t^2$		for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
$x_i t = t x_i,$	$\partial_i t = t \partial_i$	for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The Rees algebra of $A_n(K)$ is known as the homogenized Weyl algebra, cf. [33].

(3) Let g be a Lie algebra over K with basis {x₁,...,x_N}. The Rees algebra of the universal enveloping algebra U(g) of g is the graded K-algebra generated by x₁,...,x_N, t subject to the homogeneous relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_j x_i &= x_i x_j + [x_j, x_i]_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot t & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ x_i t &= t x_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

This algebra is called the homogenized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} in [35].

The elements $y_i = \operatorname{gr} x_i \in (\operatorname{gr} R)_{(1)}$ generate the K-algebra gr R. Moreover:

Corollary 2.10. The associated graded algebra gr R of R is homogeneous of solvable type with respect to the given monomial ordering $\leq of \mathbb{N}^N$ and the tuple $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)$. Moreover, if deg $P_{ij} < 2$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ then gr R is semi-commutative, and gr R is commutative if and only if deg $P_{ij} < 2$ and $c_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemmas 2.6, (2) and 2.8. Suppose deg $P_{ij} < 2$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. Then $x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + p_{ij}$ where $p_{ij} \in R_{(<2)}$, and hence $y_j y_i = c_{ij} y_i y_j$ in gr R, for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. Therefore gr R is semi-commutative, and commutative if and only if $c_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$.

In each of the examples in 2.9, the associated graded algebra is commutative. We have only considered the homogenization of R with respect to the standard filtration of R; for other types of homogenizations see [6, Section 4.7].

Now assume that R is homogeneous. Then R is a graded K-algebra, equipped with the grading induced from $K\langle X \rangle$ by $\pi \colon K\langle X \rangle \to R$. By Lemma 2.7 we have

$$R_{(d)} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=d} K x^{\alpha}$$

for every d. Hence if N > 0 then

$$\dim_{K} R_{(d)} = \binom{N+d-1}{d} \quad \text{for every } d.$$
(2.5)

For a homogeneous K-linear subspace V of R, the **Hilbert function** $H_V \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ of V is defined by

$$H_V(d) := \dim_K V_{(d)}$$
 for each d.

Clearly if a homogeneous K-linear subspace V of R can be decomposed as a direct sum

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$$

of a family $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ of homogeneous K-linear subspaces $V_i \subseteq V$ of R, then

1

$$H_V(d) = \sum_{i \in I} H_{V_i}(d)$$
 for each d ,

where all but finitely many summands in the sum on the right hand side are zero. In many interesting cases, $H_V(d)$ agrees with a polynomial function for sufficiently large values of d. (Lemma 4.11.) The (necessarily unique) polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ such that $H_V(d) = P(d)$ for all sufficiently large d will be denoted by P_V , and called the **Hilbert polynomial of** V. The smallest $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_V(d) = P_V(d)$ for all $d \ge r$ is called the **regularity** of the Hilbert function H_V , which we denote here by $\sigma(V)$. For example, if N > 0 then

$$P_R = \frac{1}{(N-1)!}(T+N-1) \cdot (T+N-2) \cdots (T+1)$$

14

by (2.5), with $\sigma(R) = 0$. In a similar vein, for a finitely generated graded left *R*-module M, each of the homogeneous components $M_{(d)}$ has finite dimension as a *K*-linear space, and the function $H_M \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$H_M(d) := \dim_K M_{(d)}$$
 for each d

is called the **Hilbert function** of M. There exists a polynomial $P_M \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ of degree less than N with $H_M(d) = P_M(d)$ for d sufficiently large, called the **Hilbert polynomial** of R. The degree of P_M is one less than the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the graded left R-module M. (See, e.g., [6, Ch. 7].) In particular, if I is a homogeneous left ideal of R, then P_I exists and has degree less than N, and $P_{R/I} = P_R - P_I$ (if R/I is considered as a left R-module). We define the **regularity** r(M) of H_M similarly to the regularity of H_V above.

3. GRÖBNER BASES IN ALGEBRAS OF SOLVABLE TYPE

In this section we let $R = K\langle x \rangle$ be a K-algebra of solvable type with respect to a fixed monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N and a tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

3.1. Left reduction. Given $f, f', g \in R, g \neq 0$, we write $f \xrightarrow{g} f'$ if there exist $c \in K$ and multi-indices α, β such that

$$\ln(x^{\beta}g) = x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{supp} f, \quad \operatorname{lc}(cx^{\beta}g) = f_{\alpha}, \quad f' = f - cx^{\beta}g.$$

We say that $f \in R$ is **reducible** by a non-zero $g \in R$ if $\operatorname{Im}(g)$ divides some monomial in the support supp f of f, that is, if $f \xrightarrow{g} f'$ for some $f' \in R$. In this case, if R is homogeneous and f, g are homogeneous elements of R, then f' is also homogeneous.

Let G be a subset of R. We say that an element f of R is **reducible by** G if f is reducible by some non-zero $g \in G$; otherwise we call f **irreducible** by G. We write $f \xrightarrow{G} f'$ if $f \xrightarrow{g} f'$ for some $g \in G$. The reflexive-transitive closure of the relation $\xrightarrow{G} f'$ is denoted by $\xrightarrow{*}_{G}$. We say that $f_0 \in R$ is a G-normal form of $f \in R$ if $f \xrightarrow{*}_{G} f_0$ and f_0 is irreducible by G. One may show that the relation \xrightarrow{G} is well-founded, hence every element of R has a G-normal form [21, Lemma 3.2]. If R is homogeneous and G consists entirely of homogeneous elements of R, then every homogeneous element of R has a homogeneous G-normal form.

3.2. Gröbner bases of left ideals in *R*. Let *G* be a finite subset of *R*. Note that if $f \xrightarrow[G]{} f'$ $(f, f' \in R)$, then there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in R$ such that

$$f = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_m g_m + f', \qquad \operatorname{lm}(p_1 g_1), \dots, \operatorname{lm}(p_m g_m) \leqslant \operatorname{lm}(f).$$

In particular, if $f \xrightarrow[G]{} 0$ then f is an element of the left ideal (G) of R generated by G. If $f \xrightarrow[G]{} 0$ for every $f \in (G)$, then G is called a **Gröbner basis** (with respect to our monomial ordering \leq). The following proposition (for a proof of which see [21, Lemma 3.8]) gives equivalent conditions that help to identify Gröbner bases.

Proposition 3.1. The following are equivalent:

- (1) G is a Gröbner basis.
- (2) Every non-zero element of (G) is reducible by G.
- (3) Every element of R has a unique G-normal form.
- (4) For every non-zero $f \in (G)$ there is a non-zero $g \in G$ with $\operatorname{Im}(g) | \operatorname{Im}(f)$.

Given a left ideal I of R, we say that a subset G of I which is a Gröbner basis and which generates I is a **Gröbner basis of** I (with respect to \leq). Suppose now that G is a Gröbner basis of I = (G). Given $f \in R$, we denote by $nf_G(f)$ the unique G-normal form of f, so $f - nf_G(f) \in I$. Moreover, if $f, g \in R$ have distinct G-normal forms, then $h := nf_G(f) - nf_G(g)$ is a non-zero element of R which is irreducible by G, so $h \notin I$ by the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Proposition 3.1 and thus $f - g \notin I$. Hence two elements f and g of R have the same G-normal form if and only if $f - g \in I$.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose G is a Gröbner basis of I. Then the map

$$f \mapsto \operatorname{nf}_{G}(f) \colon R \to R$$

is K-linear, and its image $nf_G(R)$ satisfies

 $R = I \oplus \operatorname{nf}_{G}(R)$ (internal direct sum of K-linear subspaces of R).

A basis of the K-linear space $nf_G(R)$ is given by the set of all monomials of R not divisible (in $(x^\diamond, *)$) by some lm(g) with $g \in G$, $g \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $f, f', g \in R, g \neq 0$, and $c \in K, c \neq 0$. If $f \longrightarrow f'$ then $cf \longrightarrow cf'$, and if $f \in R$ is *G*-irreducible, then so is cf. This yields $\operatorname{nf}_G(cf) = \operatorname{cnf}_G(f)$. Also, $h := \operatorname{nf}_G(f) + \operatorname{nf}_G(f')$ is *G*-irreducible and $h - (f+f') \in I$, hence $h = \operatorname{nf}_G(h) = \operatorname{nf}_G(f+f')$ by the remark preceding the corollary, and thus $\operatorname{nf}_G(f + f') = \operatorname{nf}_G(f) + \operatorname{nf}_G(f')$. This shows *K*-linearity of $f \mapsto \operatorname{nf}_G(f)$. The rest of the corollary is clear. \Box

Note that $nf_G(R)$ does not depend on G: we have $nf_G(R) = nf_M(R)$ where M is the K-linear subspace of R generated by lm(I). (Notation as introduced in Section 1.)

Every left ideal I of R has a Gröbner basis. (Since being a Gröbner basis includes being finite, this means in particular that the ring R is left Noetherian.) To see this, note that lm(I) is an ideal of the commutative monoid of monomials of R (with multiplication *). Hence there is a finite set G of non-zero elements of I such that for every non-zero $f \in I$ we have lm(g)|lm(f) for some $g \in G$; then G is a Gröbner basis of I. This argument is non-constructive; however, as observed in [21], by an adaptation of **Buchberger's algorithm** one can construct a Gröbner basis of I from a given finite set of generators of I in an effective way (up to computations in the field K and comparisons of multi-indices in \mathbb{N}^N by the chosen monomial ordering \leq). The main ingredient is the following notion:

Definition 3.3. The S-polynomial of elements f and g of R is defined by

$$S(f,g) := d \operatorname{lc}(g) \cdot x^{\alpha} f - c \operatorname{lc}(f) \cdot x^{\beta} g,$$

where α and β are the unique multi-indices such that

$$x^{\alpha} * \operatorname{lm}(f) = x^{\beta} * \operatorname{lm}(g) = \operatorname{lcm}\big(\operatorname{lm}(f), \operatorname{lm}(g)\big),$$

and $c = \operatorname{lc}(x^{\alpha} f), d = \operatorname{lc}(x^{\beta} g).$

Now we can add the following equivalent condition ("Buchberger's criterion") to Proposition 3.1 (cf. [21, Theorem 3.11]):

G is a Gröbner basis
$$\iff S(f,g) \xrightarrow{*} 0$$
 for all $f,g \in G$.

Starting with a finite subset G_0 of R, Buchberger's algorithm successively constructs finite subsets

$$G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_k \subseteq \cdots$$

of elements of the left ideal $I = (G_0)$ as follows: Suppose that G_k has been constructed already. For every pair (f, g) of elements of G_k find a G_k -normal form r(f, g) of S(f, g).

If all of these normal forms are zero, then $G := G_k$ is a Gröbner basis of I, by the previous proposition, and the algorithm terminates. Otherwise, we put

$$G_{k+1} := G_k \cup \{r(f,g) : f, g \in G_k\}$$

and iterate the procedure. Dickson's Lemma guarantees that this construction eventually stops. (See [21] for details.)

One says that a Gröbner basis G of the left ideal I of R is **reduced** if lc(g) = 1 and $g \in nf_{G \setminus \{g\}}(R)$, for every $g \in G$. Every left ideal I of R has a unique reduced Gröbner basis (see [21, Section 4]); hence we can speak of *the* reduced Gröbner basis of I.

In summary, Gröbner bases of left ideals in R share properties similar to Gröbner bases of ideals in commutative polynomial rings over K, with slight differences; most notably, a collection of monomials in R is not automatically a Gröbner basis for the left ideal it generates [21, p. 17].

3.3. Gröbner bases in homogeneous algebras of solvable type. In this subsection R is assumed to be homogeneous. From Buchberger's algorithm and earlier remarks we immediately obtain that the reduced Gröbner basis of each homogeneous left ideal of R consists of homogeneous elements of R. It is also well-known (Macaulay) that if V is a homogeneous K-linear subspace of R, then

$$H_V(d) = \# \ln(V_{(d)})$$
 for every d.

(Here and below, the cardinality of a finite set S is denoted by #S.) Let now I be a homogeneous left ideal of R with Gröbner basis G. The K-linear subspace $M := nf_G(R)$ of R is generated by monomials of R, hence is homogeneous, with $R = I \oplus M$. Therefore, the Hilbert function of R/I can be expressed as:

$$H_{R/I}(d) = H_R(d) - H_I(d) = H_M(d) = \# \ln(M_{(d)})$$
 for every d.

3.4. Gröbner bases and dehomogenization. Here we assume that R is quadric (so R^* is of solvable type as explained in Section 2.11). We collect a few facts concerning the behavior of leading monomials, reductions, and S-polynomials under dehomogenization:

Lemma 3.4. Let $f, f', g \in R^*$ be homogeneous, $g \neq 0$. Then

- (1) $\operatorname{lm}(f_*) = (\operatorname{lm} f)_*, \operatorname{lc}(f_*) = \operatorname{lc}(f);$
- (2) if $f \xrightarrow{g} f'$, then $f_* \xrightarrow{g_*} f'_*$;
- (3) $(S(f, f'))_* = S(f_*, f'_*).$

Proof. For (1), note that $(x^{\alpha}t^{i})_{*} = x^{\alpha}$ and $(x^{\beta}t^{j})_{*} = x^{\beta}$, so if $\deg(x^{\alpha}t^{i}) = \deg(x^{\beta}t^{j})$, then $(x^{\alpha}t^{i})_{*} = (x^{\beta}t^{j})_{*}$ implies i = j, hence $x^{\alpha}t^{i} \leq x^{\beta}t^{j}$ if and only if $(x^{\alpha}t^{i})_{*} \leq (x^{\beta}t^{j})_{*}$. This observation immediately yields (1). For (2), suppose $f \xrightarrow{g} f'$, and let α, β

be multi-indices, $i,j\in\mathbb{N},$ and $c\in K$ such that

$$\ln(x^{\beta}t^{j}g) = x^{\alpha}t^{i} \in \operatorname{supp} f, \quad \operatorname{lc}(cx^{\beta}t^{j}g) = f_{(\alpha,i)}, \quad f' = f - cx^{\beta}t^{j}g.$$

Then $(f')_* = f_* - cx^\beta g_*$, and $\operatorname{Im}(x^\beta g_*) = x^\alpha$ by (1). Since f is homogeneous, we have $(f_*)_\alpha = f_{(\alpha,i)}$, so $x^\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f_*$ and $\operatorname{lc}(cx^\beta g_*) = (f_*)_\alpha$. Thus $f_* \xrightarrow{g_*} f'_*$. For (3), let α , β be multi-indices and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

The multi-indices and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $x^{\alpha}t^{i} \ast \operatorname{Im}(f) = x^{\beta}t^{j} \ast \operatorname{Im}(f') = \operatorname{Icm}\left(\operatorname{Im}(f), \operatorname{Im}(f')\right),$

and $c = lc(x^{\alpha}t^i f), d = lc(x^{\beta}t^j f')$. Then

$$S(f, f') = d \operatorname{lc}(f') \cdot x^{\alpha} t^{i} f - c \operatorname{lc}(f) \cdot x^{\beta} t^{j} f'$$

hence

$$\left(S(f,f')\right)_* = d\operatorname{lc}(f') \cdot x^{\alpha} f_* - c\operatorname{lc}(f) \cdot x^{\beta} f'_*.$$

By (1) we also have

$$x^{\alpha} * \operatorname{lm}(f_{*}) = x^{\beta} * \operatorname{lm}(f'_{*}) = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{lm}(f_{*}), \operatorname{lm}(f'_{*})\right)$$

and $c = \operatorname{lc}(x^{\alpha}f_{*}), d = \operatorname{lc}(x^{\beta}f'_{*})$. This yields (3).

The following corollary often allows us to reduce questions about arbitrary Gröbner bases to a homogeneous situation:

Corollary 3.5. Let I be a left ideal of R, and let G be a generating set for I. Let J be the left ideal of R^* generated by all g^* with $g \in G$, and let H be a Gröbner basis of J with respect to \leq^* consisting of homogeneous elements of R^* . Then $H_* = \{h_* : h \in H\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to \leq .

Proof. We have $I = J_* = (H)_* = (H_*)$, and by parts (2) and (3) of the previous lemma $S(f,g) \xrightarrow{*}_{H} 0$ for all $f,g \in H_*$. Hence H_* is a Gröbner basis of I.

Remark 3.6. In the situation of the previous corollary, if H is reduced, then H_* is not necessarily reduced. For example, suppose R = K[x], the commutative polynomial ring in a single indeterminate x over K, and $G = \{x^2, x + x^2\}$. Then $R^* = K[x, t]$ where t is an indeterminate distinct from x, and $J = (x^2, xt + x^2) = (xt, x^2)$. So $H = \{xt, x^2\}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of J; but $H_* = \{x, x^2\}$ is not reduced.

3.5. Gröbner bases and the associated graded algebra. Our algebra R of solvable type comes equipped with two multi-filtrations: the standard filtration on the one hand, and the "fine multi-filtration" defined in Lemma 2.3 on the other. In both cases, under mild assumptions, gr R is an ordinary commutative polynomial ring over K. (Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.10.) Thus it might be tempting to try and deduce Theorem 0.1 from the main result of [12] using "filtered-graded transfer". Indeed, the following is proved in [27]:

Proposition 3.7. Suppose \leq is degree-compatible. Let I be a left ideal of R. If G is a Gröbner basis of I, then

$$\operatorname{gr} G := \{\operatorname{gr} g : 0 \neq g \in G\}$$

is a Gröbner basis of the left ideal gr I of gr R consisting of homogeneous elements. Conversely, if H is a Gröbner basis of gr I consisting of homogeneous elements and G is a finite subset of I with gr G = H, then G is a Gröbner basis of I.

Proposition 3.7 breaks down if \leq is not degree-compatible:

Example 3.8. Suppose R = K[x, y] is the commutative polynomial ring in two indeterminates x and y over K, and consider the ideal $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ of R, where

$$f_1 = xy, \quad f_2 = x - y^2, \quad f_3 = x^2.$$

Then $G = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of I with respect to the lexicographic ordering of \mathbb{N}^2 (so $y^n < x$ for every n), since $S(f_1, f_2) = xy - y(x - y^2) = y^3$ is irreducible by G. However, gr G is a Gröbner basis of gr I with respect to the degree-lexicographic ordering of \mathbb{N}^2 . (To see this use Proposition 3.7 and verify that G is a Gröbner basis with respect to this ordering.)

18

Nevertheless, this proposition does seem to offer an easy way towards Theorem 0.1 in the special case where \leq is degree-compatible and gr R is commutative. In this case we have gr $R = K[y_1, \ldots, y_N]$ where $y_i = \text{gr } x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Unfortunately, however, if the non-zero elements f_1, \ldots, f_n of R generate a left ideal I of R, then gr $f_1, \ldots, \text{gr } f_n$ in general do not generate gr I, as the following example from [27] shows:

Example 3.9. Suppose $R = A_2(K)$ is the second Weyl algebra, and $I = (f_1, f_2)$ where

$$f_1 = x_1 \partial_1, \quad f_2 = x_2 (\partial_1)^2 - \partial_1.$$

Then gr $f_1 = \operatorname{gr} x_1 \partial_1$, gr $f_2 = \operatorname{gr} x_2 \operatorname{gr}(\partial_1)^2$ do not generate gr I. In fact, $\{\partial_1\}$ is a Gröbner basis for I with respect to the degree-lexicographic ordering of \mathbb{N}^4 .

It seems even less likely to be able to reduce the proof of Theorem 0.1 to the associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} R$ of R equipped with the fine multi-filtration. (For example, if the K-algebra $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} R$ is commutative, then $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} I$ is simply a monomial ideal of $\operatorname{gr}_{\leq} R$ in the usual sense of the word.)

3.6. Decomposition of left ideals. Let I be a left ideal of R. For $f \in R$ we put

$$(I:f) := \{g \in R : gf \in I\},\$$

a left ideal of R. If R, f and the left ideal I are homogeneous, then so is the left ideal (I : f) of R. For $f_1, f_2 \in R$ we also write $(f_1 : f_2) := ((f_1) : f_2)$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $f \in R$, and let G be a Gröbner basis of (I : f). Then

 $I + (f) = I \oplus \operatorname{nf}_G(R)f.$

Proof. Let $h \in I + (f)$. Then we can write h = a + bf with $a \in I$ and $b \in R$. Let $c := \operatorname{nf}_G(b)$; then $b - c \in (I : f)$ and h = (a + (b - c)f) + cf, where the first summand is in I and the second in $\operatorname{nf}_G(R)f$. This shows $I + (f) = I + \operatorname{nf}_G(R)f$; moreover, clearly $I \cap \operatorname{nf}_G(R)f = \{0\}$ by construction.

The previous lemma leads to a decomposition of I into K-linear subspaces of the form $S = nf_G(R)f$ for certain $f \in R$ and Gröbner bases G as follows: Take $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$, n > 0, such that $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$, and for $i = 2, \ldots, n$ let G_i be a Gröbner basis of $((f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}) : f_i)$; then

$$I = (f_1) \oplus \operatorname{nf}_{G_2}(R) f_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{nf}_{G_n}(R) f_n.$$

Example 3.11. Suppose $R = A_1(K)$ is the first Weyl algebra, so $R = K \langle x, \partial \rangle$ with the relation $\partial x - x\partial = 1$, and let $I = (f_1, f_2)$ where $f_1 = \partial$ and $f_2 = x$. Then in fact I = R, and the above decomposition procedure yields

$$R = (f_1) \oplus \inf_{G_2}(R) f_2 = (\partial) \oplus K \partial \cdot x \oplus K[x] \cdot x$$

Indeed, it is not hard to check that $G_2 = \{\partial^2, x\partial - 1\}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the left ideal $(f_1 : f_2)$ of R, with $nf_{G_2}(R) = K\partial \oplus K[x]$. In particular $\partial \notin (f_1 : f_2)$; this is slightly counterintuitive, since it is always true that $(I : f) \supseteq I$ in the commutative world.

4. CONES AND CONE DECOMPOSITIONS

We first summarize the algorithmic core of Dubé's approach dealing with cone decompositions of monomial ideals. Afterwards, we show how to define and construct cone decompositions of homogeneous left ideals. Here, we have to adapt Dubé's ideas to deal with non-commutativity. We only give proofs selectively, and refer to [12] for details. 4.1. Monomial cone decompositions. In this subsection we let R be a K-linear space and $\{x^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ be a monomial basis of R. Let M be a K-linear subspace of R spanned by monomials, and let \mathcal{D} be a finite set of pairs (w, y) where w is a monomial in x^{\diamond} and y is a subset of x. We define the **degree of** \mathcal{D} as

$$\deg \mathcal{D} := \max \left\{ \deg w : (w, y) \in \mathcal{D} \right\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\},\$$

where $\max \emptyset = -\infty$ by convention. We also set

$$\mathcal{D}^+ := \{ (w, y) \in \mathcal{D} : y \neq \emptyset \}.$$

We call \mathcal{D} a **cone decomposition of** M if $C(w, y) \subseteq M$ for every $(w, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$M = \bigoplus_{(w,y)\in\mathcal{D}} C(w,y),$$

and \mathcal{D} is a **monomial cone decomposition** if \mathcal{D} is a cone decomposition of some K-linear subspace of R. In the literature, "monomial cone decompositions" of finitely generated commutative graded K-algebras are also known as "Stanley decompositions" (since they were first introduced by Stanley in [36]). In this paper we stay with the perhaps more descriptive terminology introduced by Dubé in [12].

Lemma 4.1. Suppose \mathcal{D} is a monomial cone decomposition of a monomial ideal I. Then for each element w of the minimal set of generators of I there is some y with $(w, y) \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{D} is a monomial cone decomposition of I, there is some $(w', y) \in \mathcal{D}$ with $w \in C(w', y)$, so w = w' * a for some $a \in y^\diamond$. Since $w' \in I$, we can also write w' = w'' * b for some $w'' \in F$ and $b \in x^\diamond$. So w = w' * a = w'' * b * a, hence b * a = 1 due to minimality of w, and w = w' = w''.

In [37, 28], algorithms are given which, upon input of a finite list of generators of a monomial ideal I of R, produce a monomial cone decomposition for the natural complement $nf_I(R)$ of I in R. In fact, Dubé specified an algorithm which does much more, as we describe next. As before, M is a K-linear subspace of R generated by monomials, and I is a monomial ideal of R.

Definition 4.2. We say that a pair of monomial cone decompositions $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ splits M relative to I if

- (1) $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ is a cone decomposition of M,
- (2) $C(w, y) \subseteq I$ for all $(w, y) \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (3) $C(w, y) \cap I = \{0\}$ for all $(w, y) \in \mathcal{Q}$.

It is easy to see that if $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a pair of monomial cone decompositions which splits M relative to I, then \mathcal{P} is a monomial cone decomposition of $M \cap I$ and \mathcal{Q} is a monomial cone decomposition of $nf_I(M)$.

Algorithm 1 accomplishes a basic task: it gives a procedure for splitting a monomial cone relative to I. The computation of a generating set F_1 for the monomial ideal

$$(I: w * x_i) = ((I: w): x_i)$$

in this algorithm is carried out by Algorithm 2: if the monomial ideal I is generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in x^\diamond$, then $(I : x_i)$ is generated by w_1, \ldots, w_n where

$$w_j = \begin{cases} v_j & \text{if } x_i \text{ does not divide } v_j, \\ w_j = v_j / x_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where v_i/x_i denotes the monomial in x^\diamond satisfying $v_i = (v_i/x_i) * x_i$.

Input: $w \in x^\diamond$, $y \subseteq x$, and a finite set F of generators for (I : w); **Output**: SPLIT $(w, y, F) = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, where $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ splits the monomial cone C(w, y) relative to the monomial ideal I of R;

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{if } 1 \in F \text{ then return } \left(\{(w,y)\}, \varnothing\right); \\ \text{if } F \cap y^{\diamond} = \varnothing \text{ then return } \left(\varnothing, \{(w,y)\}\right); \\ \text{else} \\ \text{ choose } z \subseteq y \text{ maximal such that } F \cap z^{\diamond} = \varnothing; \\ \text{ choose } i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \text{ such that } x_i \in y \setminus z; \\ (\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) := \text{SPLIT}(w, y \setminus \{x_i\}, F); \\ F_1 := \text{QUOTIENT}(F, x_i); \\ (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{Q}_1) := \text{SPLIT}(w * x_i, y, F_1); \\ \text{ return } (\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{Q}_0 \cup \mathcal{Q}_1); \end{array}$

end

Algorithm 1: Splitting a monomial cone relative to *I*.

Input: a finite set *F* of generators for a monomial ideal *I* of *R*, and $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$; **Output**: QUOTIENT(*F*, x_i) = *F'*, where *F'* is a finite set of generators of the monomial ideal (*I* : x_i) of *R*;

 $\begin{array}{l} F' := \varnothing;\\ \textbf{while } F \neq \varnothing \ \textbf{do}\\ choose \ v \in F;\\ \textbf{if } x_i | v \ \textbf{then } F' := F' \cup \{v/x_i\};\\ \textbf{else}\\ F' := F' \cup \{v\};\\ \textbf{end}\\ F := F \setminus \{v\};\\ \textbf{end} \end{array}$

Algorithm 2: Computing a a set of generators for $(I : x_i)$.

Let $w \in x^{\diamond}$, $y \subseteq x$, and F be a set of generators for (I : w). One checks:

Lemma 4.3.

(1) $C(w, y) \subseteq I \iff 1 \in F;$ (2) $C(w, y) \cap I = \{0\} \iff F \cap y^{\diamond} = \emptyset.$

Algorithm 1 proceeds by recursively decomposing the cone C(w, y) as

$$C(w, y) = C(w, y \setminus \{x_i\}) \oplus C(w * x_i, y) \qquad (x_i \in y).$$

The lemma above shows that the base case is handled correctly. We refer to [12, Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4] for a detailed proof of the termination and correctness of Algorithm 1. The output of Algorithm 1 has a convenient property:

Definition 4.4. We say that a monomial cone decomposition \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard if

- (1) $\deg(w) \ge d$ for all $(w, y) \in \mathcal{D}^+$;
- (2) for every $(w, y) \in \mathcal{D}^+$ and d' with $d \leq d' \leq \deg(w)$ there is some $(w', y') \in \mathcal{D}^+$ with $\deg(w') = d'$ and $\#y' \geq \#y$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{SPLIT}(w, y, F)$. Then \mathcal{Q} is $\deg(w)$ -standard.

In the proof of this proposition we use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. Let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{SPLIT}(w, y, F)$.

- (1) For every $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}$ we have $F \cap (y')^{\diamond} = \emptyset$ and $y' \subseteq y$.
- (2) For every $y' \subseteq y$ with $F \cap (y')^{\diamond} = \emptyset$ there exists $y'' \subseteq y$ with $(w, y'') \in Q$ and $\#y'' \ge \#y'$.

Proof. We prove part (1) by induction on the number of recursive calls in Algorithm 1 needed to compute $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$. The base case (no recursive calls) is obvious. If $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, then $F \cap (y')^{\diamond} = \emptyset$ and $y' \subseteq y \setminus \{x_i\} \subseteq y$ follows by inductive hypothesis. Suppose $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}_1$; then by inductive hypothesis we obtain $F_1 \cap (y')^{\diamond} = \emptyset$ and $y' \subseteq y$. By the way that F_1 is computed from F in Algorithm 2, every element of F is divisible by some element of F_1 ; hence $F \cap (y')^{\diamond} = \emptyset$.

We show part (2) by induction on #y - #y'. If y' = y, then the algorithm returns $Q = \{(w, y)\}$, satisfying the condition in (2). Otherwise, we have $\#z \ge \#y'$ by maximality of z. Hence by inductive hypothesis applied to $(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) = \text{SPLIT}(w, y \setminus \{x_i\}, F)$, there exists $y'' \subseteq y \setminus \{x_i\}$ such that $(w, y') \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $\#y'' \ge \#z$.

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We proceed by the number of recursions in Algorithm 1 needed to compute $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$. If \mathcal{Q} is empty or a singleton, then the conclusion of the proposition holds trivially. Inductively, assume that \mathcal{Q}_0 is $\deg(w)$ -standard and \mathcal{Q}_1 is $(\deg(w) + 1)$ -standard. Let $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}^+$ and d with $\deg(w) \leq d \leq \deg(v')$ be given; we need to show that there exists a pair $(v'', y'') \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\deg(v'') = d$ and $\#y'' \geq \#y'$. This is clear by inductive hypothesis if $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ or if $d \geq \deg(w) + 1$. By Lemma 4.6 there exists $y'' \subseteq y$ with $(w, y'') \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\#y'' \geq \#y'$, covering the case that $d = \deg(w)$.

Applied to w = 1, y = x, and F = a set of generators for I, Algorithm 1 produces a pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ consisting of a monomial cone decomposition \mathcal{P} of I and a monomial cone decomposition \mathcal{Q} of $nf_I(R)$. We now analyze this situation in more detail. So suppose $I \neq R$, let F be a set of generators of I, and let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{SPLIT}(1, x, F)$. Let also $F_{\min} \subseteq F$ be the minimal set of generators for I. Then:

Lemma 4.7. For every $v \in F_{\min}$ there is $(v', y') \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\deg(v') = \deg(v) - 1$.

Proof. Let $v \in F_{\min}$. By Lemma 4.1 we have $(v, y) \in \mathcal{P}$ for some $y \subseteq x$. Since $1 \notin F$, the pair (v, y) arrived in \mathcal{P} during the computation of SPLIT(1, x, F) by means of a recursive call of the form SPLIT(v, y, F') where F' is a set of generators for (I : v). We have $v \in I$, and thus $1 \in F'$. This shows that the recursive call must have been made in (**), because the parameter F is passed on unchanged by the recursive call in (*). The call (**) occurred during the computation of some SPLIT(v', y, F'') where v' satisfies $v = v' * x_i$ for some i, and F'' is a finite set of generators for (I : v'). Part (2) of Lemma 4.6 now yields the existence of $y' \subseteq y$ such that $(v', y') \in Q$.

Corollary 4.8. The set of all $w \in F$ with $\deg(w) \leq 1 + \deg(\mathcal{Q})$ generates I.

Remark 4.9. In [28] one finds an algorithm which, given a finite list F of generators for a monomial ideal I of R, computes a *Stanley filtration*, that is, a list of pairs

$$((w(1), y(1)), \ldots, (w(m), y(m)))$$

each consisting of a monomial w(j) and a subset y(j) of x, such that for each j the set

$$\{(w(1), y(1)), \ldots, (w(j), y(j))\}$$

is a cone decomposition of $nf_{I(i)}(R)$ where

$$I(j) := I + C(w(j+1), x) + \dots + C(w(m), x).$$

It is easy to see (since Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 3.4 in [28] pursue similar "divide and conquer" strategies) that, for $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{SPLIT}(1, x, F)$, the pairs in \mathcal{Q} can be ordered to form a Stanley filtration.

4.2. Cone decompositions of homogeneous ideals. In the rest of this section, we let R be a K-algebra of solvable type with respect to $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a fixed monomial ordering $\leq of \mathbb{N}^N$. Note that in general (unless R is commutative), a monomial ideal of R is *not* a left ideal of the algebra R. Let I be a proper left ideal of R; then the K-linear subspace M of R generated by $\operatorname{Im}(I)$ is a monomial ideal of R. Moreover, let G be a Gröbner basis of I; then $\operatorname{Im}(I)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Im}(G)$, and $\operatorname{nf}_M(R) = \operatorname{nf}_G(R)$. The central outcome of the discussion in the previous subsection is:

Theorem 4.10. The homogeneous K-linear subspace $nf_G(R)$ of R has a standard monomial cone decomposition. More precisely, let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{SPLIT}(1, x, F)$ where F = lm(G). Then \mathcal{Q} is a standard monomial cone decomposition of $nf_G(R)$. Moreover, the set of all $g \in G$ with $\deg(g) \leq 1 + \deg \mathcal{Q}$ is still a Gröbner basis of I = (G).

In this subsection we establish an analogous decomposition result (Corollary 4.18 below) for I in place of $nf_G(R)$, provided R and I are homogeneous; thus: *until the end of this section we assume that* R *is homogeneous*. We first need to define the type of cones used in our decompositions: A **cone** of R is defined by a triple (w, y, h), where $w \in x^{\diamond}$, $y \subseteq x$, and $h \in R$ is homogeneous:

$$C(w, y, h) := C(w, y)h = \{gh : g \in C(w, y)\} \subseteq R.$$

Both monomial and general cones are homogeneous K-linear subspaces of R, and a monomial cone is a special case of a cone: C(w, y) = C(w, y, 1). Note, however, that $C(1, y, w) \neq C(w, y)$ in general. We introduced this definition of cone in order to be able to speak about cone decompositions of (not necessarily monomial) ideals in the non-commutative setting.

Let M be a homogeneous K-linear subspace of R, and let \mathcal{D} be a finite set of triples (w, y, h) where w a monomial in x^{\diamond} , y is a subset of x, and h is a non-zero homogeneous element of R. We define the **degree of** \mathcal{D} as

$$\deg \mathcal{D} := \max \left\{ \deg(w) + \deg(h) : (w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D} \right\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\},$$

where $\max \emptyset = -\infty$ by convention. We also set

$$\mathcal{D}^+ := \{(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D} : y \neq \varnothing\}.$$

We call \mathcal{D} a cone decomposition of M if $C(w, y, h) \subseteq M$ for every $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$M = \bigoplus_{(w,y,h) \in \mathcal{D}} C(w,y,h)$$

and \mathcal{D} is simply a **cone decomposition** if \mathcal{D} is a cone decomposition of some homogeneous K-linear subspace of R. By abuse of language we will also say that a cone decomposition \mathcal{D} is **monomial** if h = 1 for all $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 4.11. Let M be a homogeneous K-linear subspace M of R which admits a cone decomposition D. Then the Hilbert polynomial P_M of M exists. In fact, for $d > \deg(D)$:

$$H_M(d) = \sum_{(w,y,h)\in\mathcal{D}^+} \binom{d - \deg(w) - \deg(h) + \#y - 1}{\#y - 1} = P_M(d).$$

Proof. Let $h \in R$ be non-zero and homogeneous, and $w \in x^{\diamond}$. Then

$$H_{C(w,\varnothing,h)}(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \neq \deg(w) + \deg(h), \\ 1 & \text{if } d = \deg(w) + \deg(h), \end{cases}$$

and for non-empty $y \subseteq x$:

$$H_{C(w,y,h)}(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d < \deg(w) + \deg(h), \\ \binom{d - \deg(w) - \deg(h) + \#y - 1}{\#y - 1} & \text{if } d \ge \deg(w) + \deg(h). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for every d we have

$$H_M(d) = \sum_{(w,y,h)\in\mathcal{D}} H_{C(w,y,h)}(d).$$

The lemma now follows.

In particular, if \mathcal{D} is a cone decomposition of a homogeneous K-linear subspace M of R, then the regularity $\sigma(M)$ of the Hilbert function of M (as defined in Section 2.11) is bounded by $\deg(\mathcal{D}) + 1$, and for $d \ge \deg(\mathcal{D}^+)$ we have

$$H_M(d) = P_M(d) + \#\{(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}^+ : \deg(w) + \deg(h) = d\}.$$

The following is an adaptation of Definition 4.4:

Definition 4.12. We say that a cone decomposition \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard if

- (1) $\deg(w) + \deg(h) \ge d$ for all $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}^+$;
- (2) for every (w, y, h) ∈ D⁺ and d' with d ≤ d' ≤ deg(w) + deg(h) there is some (w', y', h') ∈ D⁺ with deg(w') + deg(h') = d' and #y' ≥ #y.

We also say that \mathcal{D} is **standard** if \mathcal{D} is 0-standard.

If $\mathcal{D}^+ = \emptyset$ then \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard for every *d*, whereas if $\mathcal{D}^+ \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard, then necessarily

$$d = \min \{ \deg(w) + \deg(h) : (w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}^+ \text{ for some } y \subseteq x \}.$$

If \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard for some *d*, then we let $d_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the smallest *d* such that \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard (so $d_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ if $\mathcal{D}^+ = \emptyset$).

Examples 4.13. The empty set is a standard cone decomposition of the trivial K-linear subspace $\{0\}$ of R. If $h \in R$ is non-zero and homogeneous, and $y \subseteq x$, then $\{(1, y, h)\}$ is a deg(h)-standard cone decomposition of C(1, y, h). In particular, $\{(1, x, 1)\}$ is a standard cone decomposition of R = C(1, x).

The following properties are straightforward:

Lemma 4.14.

- (1) Suppose M_1 and M_2 are homogeneous K-linear subspaces of M with $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, and let \mathcal{E}_1 , \mathcal{E}_2 be cone decompositions of M_1 respectively M_2 . Then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$ is a cone decomposition of M. If \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are d-standard, then so is \mathcal{E} .
- (2) Suppose \mathcal{D} is a d-standard cone decomposition of M, and let $f \in R$ be non-zero homogeneous. Then $\mathcal{D}f := \{(w, y, hf) : (w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a $(d + \deg f)$ -standard cone decomposition of Mf.

The lemma below shows how the degrees of cone decompositions of K-linear subspaces decomposing the K-linear space R are linked:

Lemma 4.15. Let M_1 , M_2 be K-linear subspaces of R with $R = M_1 \oplus M_2$. For i = 1, 2, let \mathcal{D}_i be a cone decomposition of M_i , which is d_i -standard for some d_i . Then

$$\max\{\deg \mathcal{D}_1, \deg \mathcal{D}_2\} = \max\{\deg \mathcal{D}_1^+, \deg \mathcal{D}_2^+\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$H_{M_1}(d) + H_{M_2}(d) = H_R(d) = \binom{d+N-1}{N-1}$$
 for every d (4.1)

and thus

$$P_{M_1} + P_{M_2} = \binom{T+N-1}{N-1}.$$
(4.2)

For $d \ge \max\{\deg \mathcal{D}_1^+, \deg \mathcal{D}_2^+\}$ and i = 1, 2, we have

$$H_{M_i}(d) = P_{M_i}(d) + \#\{(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}_i \setminus \mathcal{D}_i^+ : \deg(w) + \deg(h) = d\}.$$

Hence, by (4.1) and (4.2), neither \mathcal{D}_1 nor \mathcal{D}_2 contains a triple (w, y, h) with $y = \emptyset$ and $\deg(w) + \deg(h) \ge \max \{ \deg(\mathcal{D}_1^+), \deg(\mathcal{D}_2^+) \}$. It follows that for i = 1, 2 we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}_i) \leqslant \max\left\{\deg(\mathcal{D}_i \setminus \mathcal{D}_i^+), \deg(\mathcal{D}_i^+)\right\} \leqslant \max\left\{\deg(\mathcal{D}_1^+), \deg(\mathcal{D}_2^+)\right\}$$

as required.

Given $w \in x^{\diamond}$ as well as $y \subseteq x$ and a non-zero homogeneous $h \in R$, define

$$\mathcal{C}(w, y, h) := \left\{ (w, \emptyset, h) \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(w * x_i, y \cap \{ x_j : j \ge i \}, h \right) : x_i \in y \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that C(w, y, h) is a $(1 + \deg h)$ -standard cone decomposition of C(w, y, h).

Lemma 4.16. If M has a d-standard cone decomposition, then M has a d'-standard cone decomposition for every $d' \ge d$.

Proof. If \mathcal{D} is a *d*-standard cone decomposition of M with $\mathcal{D}^+ = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{D} is *d'*-standard for all *d'*. Therefore, suppose \mathcal{D} is a *d*-standard cone decomposition of M with $\mathcal{D}^+ \neq \emptyset$; it is enough to show that then M has a (d + 1)-standard cone decomposition. Now put

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ (w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D} : \deg(w) + \deg(h) = d \}.$$

Then trivially \mathcal{E} is d-standard and, since \mathcal{D} is d-standard, $\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{E}$ is (d+1)-standard. Put

$$\mathcal{E}' := \bigcup_{(w,y,h) \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}(w,y,h)$$

Then \mathcal{E}' is a (d+1)-standard cone decomposition of $\bigoplus_{(w,y,h)\in\mathcal{E}} C(w,y,h) \subseteq M$. Hence $\mathcal{E}' \cup (\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{E})$ is a (d+1)-standard cone decomposition of M.

Corollary 4.17. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_r \subseteq M$ be homogeneous K-linear subspaces of R with $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_r$. If each M_i has a d_i -standard cone decomposition, then M has a d-standard cone decomposition where $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_r\}$.

Combining Theorem 4.10 with Corollary 4.17 we obtain:

Corollary 4.18. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ be a left ideal of R where $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ are non-zero and homogeneous, and suppose n > 0. Let $d_i = \deg(f_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $d = \max\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$. Then there is a K-linear subspace M of I with $I = (f_1) \oplus M$, which admits a d-standard cone decomposition \mathcal{D} . (Hence $\{(1, x, f_1)\} \cup \mathcal{D}$ is a d-standard cone decomposition of I.)

Proof. For i = 2, ..., n let G_i be a Gröbner basis of $((f_1, ..., f_{i-1}) : f_i)$. Then

$$I = (f_1) \oplus M$$
 for $M := \operatorname{nf}_{G_2}(R) f_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{nf}_{G_n}(R) f_n$

as in the remark after Lemma 3.10. The principal left ideal (f_1) has a d_1 -standard cone decomposition $\{(1, x, f_1)\}$ (Example 4.13). For each i = 2, ..., n let \mathcal{D}_i be a standard monomial cone decomposition of $nf_{G_i}(R)$ guaranteed by Theorem 4.10; then

$$\mathcal{D}_i f_i = \{ (w, y, f_i) : (w, y) \in \mathcal{D}_i \}$$

is a d_i -standard cone decomposition of $nf_{G_i}(R)f_i$ by Lemma 4.14, (2). The claim now follows from Corollary 4.17.

4.3. Macaulay constants and exact cone decompositions. What is stated in this subsection generalizes the corresponding concepts in Section 6 of [12]. Let \mathcal{D} be a cone decomposition which is *d*-standard for some *d*. For every *i* we define the cone decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_i := \{ (w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D} : \# y \ge i \}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{D}^+ = \mathcal{D}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{D}_N \supseteq \mathcal{D}_{N+1} = \varnothing$$

We define the **Macaulay constants** b_0, \ldots, b_{N+1} of \mathcal{D} as follows:

$$b_i := \max \left\{ d_{\mathcal{D}}, 1 + \deg \mathcal{D}_i \right\} = \begin{cases} d_{\mathcal{D}} & \text{if } \mathcal{D}_i = \varnothing \\ 1 + \deg \mathcal{D}_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the definition it follows that $b_0 \ge \ldots \ge b_{N+1} = d_D$. The integer b_0 is an upper bound for the regularity $\sigma(M)$ of H_M . The name of the constants is due to the fact that Macaulay proved that if R is commutative and I a homogeneous ideal of R, then there are integers $b_0 \ge \cdots \ge b_{N+1} \ge 0$ such that

$$H_{R/I}(d) = \binom{d - b_{N+1} + N}{N} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \binom{d - b_i + i - 1}{i} \quad \text{for } d \ge b_0.$$

The b_i turn out to be the Macaulay constants of a special type of monomial cone decomposition of $nf_G(R)$ (for an arbitrary Gröbner basis G of I), which we now define in general:

Definition 4.19. A cone decomposition \mathcal{D} is called **exact** if \mathcal{D} is *d*-standard for some *d* and for every degree d', \mathcal{D}^+ contains at most one triple (w, y, h) with $\deg(w) + \deg(h) = d'$.

Exact cone decompositions have a strong rigidity property:

Lemma 4.20. Let \mathcal{D} be an exact cone decomposition with Macaulay constants b_i . Then for each i = 1, ..., N and each d with $b_{i+1} \leq d < b_i$ there is exactly one $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}^+$ such that $\deg(w) + \deg(h) = d$, and for this triple we have #y = i.

Proof. Suppose d satisfies $b_{i+1} \leq d < b_i$. Let $(w', y', h') \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $\#y' \geq i$ and $\deg(w') + \deg(h') = b_i - 1$. Then, since \mathcal{D} is $d_{\mathcal{D}}$ -standard, there exists $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\deg(w) + \deg(h) = d$ and $\#y \geq \#y' \geq i$. We have #y = i, since otherwise $(w, y, h) \in \mathcal{D}_{i+1}$ with $\deg(w) + \deg(h) = d \geq b_{i+1} > \deg \mathcal{D}_{i+1}$, contradicting the definition of b_{i+1} . By exactness of \mathcal{D} , (w, y, h) is the only triple in \mathcal{D}^+ with $\deg(w) + \deg(h) = d$. \Box

The next lemma allows one to split triples in cone decompositions to achieve exactness:

Lemma 4.21. Let \mathcal{D} be a d-standard cone decomposition of the K-linear subspace M of R, and let $(w, y, h), (v, z, g) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\deg(w) + \deg(h) = \deg(v) + \deg(g), \qquad \#z \ge \#y > 0.$$

Let $x_i \in y$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\mathcal{D}' := \left(\mathcal{D} \setminus \left\{ (w, y, h) \right\} \right) \cup \left\{ (w, y \setminus \{x_i\}, h), (w * x_i, y, h) \right\}$$

is also a d-standard cone decomposition of M.

Proof. We have

$$C(w, y, h) = C(w, y \setminus \{x_i\}, h) \oplus C(w * x_i, y, h).$$

So \mathcal{D}' remains a cone decomposition of M, and it is easy to see that \mathcal{D}' is d-standard. \Box

By a straightforward adaptation of Algorithms SHIFT and EXACT in [12], and using Lemma 4.21 instead of Lemma 6.2 of [12] in verifying their correctness, one obtains:

Theorem 4.22. There exists an algorithm that, given a d-standard cone decomposition \mathcal{D} of a K-linear subspace M of R, produces an exact d-standard decomposition \mathcal{D}' of M, whose Macaulay constant b_0 satisfies $b_0 \ge 1 + \deg(\mathcal{D})$.

Let now \mathcal{D} be an exact cone decomposition of a K-linear subspace M of R. Then

$$P_M(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=b_{i+1}}^{b_i-1} \binom{T-j+i-1}{i-1}$$

by Lemmas 4.11 and 4.20. One may show that this sum can be converted to

$$P_M(T) = \binom{T - b_{N+1} + N}{N} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^N \binom{T - b_i + i - 1}{i},$$

and once $b_{N+1} = d_{\mathcal{D}}$ has been fixed, the coefficients b_1, \ldots, b_N uniquely determine the polynomial P_M ; see [12, p. 768–769]; also, b_0 is the smallest $r \ge b_1$ such that $H_M(d) = P_M(d)$ for all $d \ge r$. In particular, the Macaulay constants $b_0 \ge b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_{N+1} = 0$ of an exact *standard* cone decomposition \mathcal{D} of M do not depend on our choice of \mathcal{D} , and the Hilbert function of M is uniquely determined by b_0, \ldots, b_N . Since every Klinear subspace M which admits a standard cone decomposition also has an exact standard cone decomposition (by the previous theorem), we may, in this case, simply talk of the **Macaulay constants** b_0, \ldots, b_N of M. All this applies to $M = nf_G(R)$ where G is a Gröbner basis of a left ideal of R; hence, by Theorems 4.10 and 4.22 we obtain:

Corollary 4.23. Let G be the reduced Gröbner basis of a left ideal of R, and let b_0, \ldots, b_N be the Macaulay constants of $nf_G(R)$. Then $deg(g) \leq b_0$ for every $g \in G$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1 AND ITS COROLLARIES

Let R be a K-algebra of solvable type with respect to $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and a monomial ordering $\leq of \mathbb{N}^N$, where N > 0.

5.1. **Degree bounds for Gröbner bases.** Let *I* be a left ideal of *R* generated by non-zero elements $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$, where n > 0, and let *d* be the maximum of the degrees of f_1, \ldots, f_n . The central result of this section is:

Proposition 5.1. Suppose the algebra R and the generators f_i of I are homogeneous, and N > 1. Then the elements of the reduced Gröbner basis of I have degree at most

$$D(N-1,d) = 2\left(\frac{d^2}{2} + d\right)^{2^{N-2}}$$

Before we give the proof we state an estimate proved in [12, Section 8]:

Lemma 5.2. Let $a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_N \ge d$ and $b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_N \ge 0$ be integers, and suppose that we have an equality of polynomials $\binom{T+N-1}{N-1} = P(T) + Q(T)$ where

$$P(T) = \binom{T-d+N}{N} + \binom{T-d+N-1}{N-1} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \binom{T-a_i+i-1}{i}$$
(5.1)
$$Q(T) = \binom{T+N}{N} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \binom{T-b_i+i-1}{i}.$$

Then $a_j + b_j \leq D(N - j, d)$ *for* j = 1, ..., N - 1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. After reordering the f_1, \ldots, f_n we may assume that $\deg(f_1) = d$. Let G be the reduced Gröbner basis of I, and let \mathcal{D} be a standard exact cone decomposition of $\operatorname{nf}_G(R)$, with Macaulay constants $b_0 \ge \cdots \ge b_{N+1} = 0$. Let \mathcal{E} be a d-standard exact cone decomposition of a K-linear subspace M of I such that $I = (f_1) \oplus M$ (by Corollary 4.18 and Theorem 4.22), with Macaulay coefficients $a_0 \ge \cdots \ge a_{N+1} = d$. Then $\mathcal{E} \cup \{(1, x, f_1)\}$ is a d-standard (but not exact) cone decomposition of I, with the same Macaulay constants a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1} as \mathcal{E} . The Hilbert polynomials of I and $\operatorname{nf}_G(R)$ are given by the polynomials P respectively Q as in (5.1). Hence $a_1+b_1 \le D := D(N-1, d)$, so $\max\{a_0, b_0\} = \max\{a_1, b_1\} \le D$ by Lemma 4.15. Now apply Corollary 4.23.

Remark 5.3. Suppose the hypothesis of the previous proposition holds. Implicit in the proof above, there is the uniform bound

$$\sigma(R/I) \leqslant D(N-1,d)$$

for the regularity of the Hilbert function of the left *R*-module R/I. A similar doublyexponential bound for $\sigma(R/I)$ was obtained (in the case of Weyl algebras) in [11]. In the case where *R* is a commutative polynomial ring, the regularity of the Hilbert function $\sigma(M)$ of a finitely generated *R*-module *M* is closely related to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity reg(*M*) of *M*. For example (see [9, 2.1]), in this case

$$\sigma(R/I) \leq \operatorname{reg}(R/I) = \operatorname{reg}(I) - 1.$$

There does exist a doubly-exponential bound on reg(I) in terms of N and d, valid independently of the characteristic of K (see [8]):

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leqslant (2d)^{2^{N-2}}.$$

It would be interesting to see whether this bound can also be deduced using the methods of the present paper.

We next address the inhomogeneous case:

Corollary 5.4. Suppose R is quadric. Then there exists a Gröbner basis G of I with the following property: for every $g \in G$ we can write

$$g = y_{g,1}f_1 + \dots + y_{g,n}f_n$$

where $y_{g,i} \in R$ with

$$\deg(y_{g,i}f_i) \leq D(N,d) = 2\left(\frac{d^2}{2} + d\right)^{2^{N-1}}$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n$,

and such that for i = 1, ..., n each f_i can be expressed as

$$f_i = \sum_{g \in G} z_{i,g} g$$

where $z_{i,g} \in R$, all but finitely many $z_{i,g} = 0$, and $\deg(z_{i,g}g) \leq d$ for every $g \in G$.

Proof. By the proposition above, the reduced Gröbner basis H with respect to \leq^* of the left ideal of R^* generated by f_1^*, \ldots, f_n^* consists of homogeneous elements of degree at most D(N, d). Hence for every $h \in H$ there are homogeneous $y_{h,1}, \ldots, y_{h,n} \in R^*$ with

$$h = y_{h,1}f_1^* + \dots + y_{h,n}f_n^*$$

and

$$\deg(y_{h,i}f_i^*) \leq \deg(h) \leq D(N,d) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

Corollary 3.5 shows that $G := H_*$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to \leq , and for every $h \in H$ we have

$$h_* = y_{h_*,1}f_1 + \dots + y_{h_*,n}f_n$$

with $y_{h_{*},i} := (y_{h,i})_{*}$ and

$$\deg(y_{h_*,i}f_i) = \deg(y_{h,i}f_i^*) \leqslant D(n,d) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

as required. Similarly, each f_i^* can be expressed as $f_i^* = \sum_{h \in H} z_{i,h}h$ where $z_{i,h} \in R^*$ are homogeneous and $\deg(z_{i,h}h) \leq \deg(f_i^*) \leq d$ for every i and $h \in H$, and this yields the requirement on the f_i .

The previous corollary yields Theorem 0.1. Before we are able to compute a degree bound for reduced Gröbner bases which is also valid in the inhomogeneous situation, we need to study the complexity of reduction sequences.

5.2. Degree bounds for normal forms. Here we assume d > 0; we also let ω be a given multi-index with positive components, and write $wt = wt_{\omega}$. For non-zero $f \in R$ we set

$$\operatorname{wt}(f) := \max_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp}(f)} \operatorname{wt}(\alpha),$$

and we let wt(0) := 0. Then for all $f, g \in R$ we have

$$\deg(f) \leqslant \operatorname{wt}(f) \leqslant ||\omega|| \deg(f)$$
(5.2)

by (1.1). Also

$$\operatorname{wt}(f+g) \leq \max \{\operatorname{wt}(f), \operatorname{wt}(g)\}, \quad \operatorname{wt}(cf) = \operatorname{wt}(f) \text{ for non-zero } c \in K.$$

From Proposition 1.1 we obtain:

Lemma 5.5. Given d, one can choose ω with $||\omega|| \leq 2d(N+1)N^{N/2}$ such that

 $\operatorname{wt}_{\omega}(f) = \operatorname{wt}_{\omega}(\operatorname{Im}(f))$ for all $f \in R$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f) \leq d$.

We will need a variant of [21, Lemma 1.4]; the proof is analogous and left to the reader. Here we assume that the commutator relations between x_i and x_j in R are expressed as in Definition 2.2.

Lemma 5.6. Suppose $wt(p_{ij}) < wt(x_i x_j)$ for $1 \le i < j \le N$. Then for all α , β we have $x^{\alpha} \cdot x^{\beta} = cx^{\alpha+\beta} + r$ where $c \in K$, $c \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{wt}(r) < \operatorname{wt}(x^{\alpha+\beta})$,

in particular $\operatorname{wt}(x^{\alpha} \cdot x^{\beta}) = \operatorname{wt}(x^{\alpha}) + \operatorname{wt}(x^{\beta}).$

We can now show:

Lemma 5.7. Suppose d satisfies $\deg(p_{ij}) \leq d$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, and let G be a subset of R each of whose elements has degree at most d. If $f \xrightarrow{*}_{G} h$, where $f, h \in R$, then there are $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in R$ with

$$f - h = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_m g_m$$

and

$$\deg(p_1g_1),\ldots,\deg(p_mg_m),\deg(h)\leqslant\deg(f)\,2d(N+1)N^{N/2}$$

Proof. Choose a weight vector ω with positive components according to Lemma 5.5, and write $wt = wt_{\omega}$. In the following we also let g range over G. Proceeding by Noetherian induction on the well-founded relation \xrightarrow{G} , by the inequalities in (5.2) it suffices to show that if $f \xrightarrow{*}_{G} h$, then there are $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in R$ with

 $f - h = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_m g_m$

and

$$\operatorname{wt}(p_1g_1),\ldots,\operatorname{wt}(p_mg_m)\leqslant\operatorname{wt}(f).$$

Suppose $f \xrightarrow{g} f' \xrightarrow{*}_{G} h$. Then there exists $c \in K$ and α, β such that

$$\operatorname{Im}(x^{\beta}g) = x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{supp} f, \quad \operatorname{lc}(cx^{\beta}g) = f_{\alpha}, \quad f' = f - cx^{\beta}g.$$

Now by the previous lemma and the choice of ω , we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(cx^{\beta}g) = \operatorname{wt}(x^{\beta}) + \operatorname{wt}(g) = \operatorname{wt}(x^{\beta}) + \operatorname{wt}\left(\operatorname{lm}(g)\right) = \operatorname{wt}(x^{\alpha}) \leqslant \operatorname{wt}(f)$$

and thus $wt(f') \leq wt(f)$. By inductive hypothesis, there are $g_i \in G$ and $p_i \in R$ with

$$f' - h = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_n g_n$$
 and $\operatorname{wt}(p_i g_i) \leq \operatorname{wt}(f')$ for every *i*.

Hence

Hence

$$f - h = (f - f') + (f' - h) = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_n g_n + p_{n+1} g_{n+1}$$
where $p_{n+1} := cx^{\beta}$, $g_{n+1} := g$ satisfy $\operatorname{wt}(p_i g_i) \leq \operatorname{wt}(f)$ for every i , as required. \Box

If \leq is degree-compatible, then the estimate in the lemma above can be improved, and the additional assumption on d removed: Let G be a subset of R, $f, h \in R$; if $f \xrightarrow{\ast} h$, then there are $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in R$ such that

$$f - h = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_m g_m$$

and

$$\operatorname{lm}(p_1g_1),\ldots,\operatorname{lm}(p_mg_m),\operatorname{lm}(h) \leq \operatorname{lm}(f).$$

Since our monomial ordering is degree-compatible, we have

 $\deg(p_1g_1),\ldots,\deg(p_mg_m),\deg(h)\leqslant\deg(f).$

5.3. Degree bounds for reduced Gröbner bases. In the rest of this section we assume that R is quadric. The results from the previous subsection allow us to show Corollary 0.2:

Corollary 5.8. The reduced Gröbner basis of every left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d consists of elements of degree at most

$$2D(N+1,d)(N+1)N^{N/2}$$
.

Proof. We may assume d > 0; put D := D(N, d), so D > 2. Let I be a left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d. Choose a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ of I with $\deg(g_i) \leq D$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. (Corollary 5.4.) After pruning G if necessary, we may assume that $\operatorname{Im}(G)$ is a minimal set of generators for the monomial ideal of R generated by $\operatorname{Im}(I)$, and after normalizing each g_i , that $\operatorname{lc}(g_i) = 1$ for every i. Set $h_i := g_i - \operatorname{Im}(g_i)$ for every i. Then by Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\deg \inf_{G}(h_{i}) \leq \deg(h_{i}) 2D (N+1) N^{N/2} \leq 2D^{2} (N+1) N^{N/2}.$$

Then $G' := \{g'_1, \ldots, g'_m\}$ where $g'_i := \ln(g_i) + \operatorname{nf}_G(h_i)$ for every *i* is a reduced Gröbner basis of *I* the degrees of whose elements g'_i obey the stated bound.

For degree-compatible monomial orderings one obtains in a similar way:

Corollary 5.9. Suppose that the monomial ordering \leq is degree-compatible. Then the reduced Gröbner basis of every left ideal of R generated by elements of degree at most d consists of elements of degree at most D(N, d).

5.4. **Ideal membership.** Now we turn to degree bounds for solutions to linear equations. In particular, we'll show Corollary 0.3.

Proposition 5.10. If $f \in I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ where $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ are of degree at most d, then there there are $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in R$ of degree at most

$$D(N,d) \cdot \left(2 \deg(f) (N+1) N^{N/2} + 1\right)$$

with

$$f = y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_n f_n.$$

Proof. We may assume d > 0; put D := D(N, d). Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ have degree at most d, and $f \in I$. Choose a Gröbner basis G of $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ with the property stated in Corollary 5.4. Then by Lemma 5.7 there are $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in R$ with

$$f = p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_m g_m$$

and

$$\deg(p_1g_1),\ldots,\deg(p_mg_m)\leqslant\deg(f)\,2\,D\,(N+1)\,N^{N/2}.$$

Write each g_i as

 $g_i = y_{i,1}f_1 + \dots + y_{i,n}f_n$

where $y_{i,j} \in R$ satisfies $\deg(y_{i,j}f_j) \leq D$. Then

$$f = y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_n f_n$$

where each $y_j := \sum_i p_i y_{i,j}$ satisfies the claimed degree bound.

In the rest of this section, we restrict ourselves to the case that the monomial ordering \leq is degree-compatible. In a similar way as above we then obtain:

Proposition 5.11. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be of degree at most d, and $f \in R$. If

$$f = y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_n f_n$$

for some $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in R$, there are such y_i of degree at most $\deg(f) + D(N, d)$.

5.5. Generators for syzygy modules. Below, the left *R*-module of left syzygies of a tuple $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Syz}(f)$ (a submodule of the free left *R*-module \mathbb{R}^n). Suppose $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis in *R*. For $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ let α_{ij} and β_{ij} be the unique multi-indices such that

$$x^{\alpha_{ij}} * \operatorname{lm}(g_i) = x^{\beta_{ij}} * \operatorname{lm}(g_j) = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{lm}(g_i), \operatorname{lm}(g_j)\right)$$

and

$$c_{ij} := \operatorname{lc}(x^{\alpha_{ij}}g_i), \qquad d_{ij} := \operatorname{lc}(x^{\beta_{ij}}g_j).$$

Each S-polynomial

$$S(g_i, g_j) = d_{ij} \operatorname{lc}(g_j) x^{\alpha_{ij}} g_i - c_{ij} \operatorname{lc}(g_i) x^{\beta_{ij}} g_j$$

admits a representation of the form

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m p_{ijk} g_k, \quad \operatorname{lm}(p_{ijk} g_k) \leq \operatorname{lm} S(g_i, g_j) \qquad (p_{ijk} \in R).$$

Now consider the vectors

$$s_{ij} := d_{ij} \operatorname{lc}(g_j) x^{\alpha_{ij}} e_i - c_{ij} \operatorname{lc}(g_i) x^{\beta_{ij}} e_j - \sum_k p_{ijk} e_k \qquad (1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m)$$

in \mathbb{R}^m . Here e_1, \ldots, e_m denotes the standard basis of the free left \mathbb{R} -module \mathbb{R}^m . Obviously, each s_{ij} is a left syzygy of (g_1, \ldots, g_m) ; in fact (see [21, Theorem 3.15]), the syzygies s_{ij} generate the left \mathbb{R} -module $\operatorname{Syz}(g_1, \ldots, g_m)$. We denote the set of $m \times n$ -matrices with entries in \mathbb{R} by $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. The $n \times n$ -identity matrix is denoted by I_n . The following transformation rule for syzygies is easy to verify:

Lemma 5.12. Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that g = Af and f = Bg. Let M be a matrix whose rows generate Syz(g). Then Syz(f) is generated by the rows of the matrix

$$\left[\frac{MA}{I_n - BA}\right].$$

We now use these facts in the proof of:

Proposition 5.13. Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be of degree at most d. Then Syz(f) can be generated by elements of degree at most 3D(N, d).

Proof. Let $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis of the left ideal of R generated by f_1, \ldots, f_n as in Corollary 5.4. Then there are $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of degree at most D(N, d) and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ of degree at most d such that g = Af and f = Bg. Each S-polynomial $S(g_i, g_j)$ has degree at most 2D(N, d); hence there exists a matrix M of degree at most D(N, d) whose rows generate Syz(g). Since $\text{deg}(MA) \leq 3D(N, d)$ and $\text{deg}(AB) \leq D(N, d) + d \leq 3D(N, d)$, the claim follows from the previous lemma. \Box

6. TWO-SIDED IDEALS

In this section we deduce Corollary 0.4 on degree bounds for two-sided ideals from the results of the previous two sections. Throughout let R again be an algebra over a field K.

6.1. Gröbner bases of two-sided ideals in R. In this subsection, suppose that $R = K\langle x \rangle$ is of solvable type with respect to $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and some monomial ordering \leq of \mathbb{N}^N . It is possible to define a notion of Gröbner basis for two-sided ideals of R:

Proposition 6.1. Let G be a finite subset of R. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) *G* is a Gröbner basis, and the two-sided ideal of *R* generated by *G* agrees with the left ideal (*G*) of *R* generated by *G*.
- (2) *G* is a Gröbner basis, and $gx_i \in (G)$ for every $g \in G$ and i = 1, ..., N.
- (3) For every non-zero element f of the two-sided ideal of R generated by G there exists a non-zero $g \in G$ with $\operatorname{Im}(g) | \operatorname{Im}(f)$.

If a finite subset G of R satisfies one of the equivalent conditions in this proposition (proved in [21, Theorem 5.4]), then G is called a **two-sided Gröbner basis** (with respect to \leq). If I is a two-sided ideal of R, then a subset G of I is called a **Gröbner basis** of I (with respect to \leq) if G is a two-sided Gröbner basis which also generates the two-sided ideal I. The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 6.2. Suppose R is quadric. Every two-sided ideal of R generated in degree at most d has a two-sided Gröbner basis consisting of elements of degree at most D(2N, d).

The proof of this proposition uses enveloping algebras, which we introduce next.

6.2. The enveloping algebra. The opposite algebra of R is the K-algebra R^{op} whose underlying K-linear space is the same as that of R and whose multiplication operation \cdot^{op} is given by $a \cdot^{\text{op}} b = b \cdot a$ for $a, b \in R$. The enveloping algebra of R is the K-algebra $R^{\text{env}} := R \otimes_K R^{\text{op}}$. There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between R-bimodules and left R^{env} -modules: every R-bimodule M has a left R^{env} -module structure given by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot f = afb$$
 for $a \in R, b \in R^{\text{op}}$, and $f \in M$,

and conversely, every left R^{env} -module M' also carries an R-bimodule structure with

$$af'b = (a \otimes b)f'$$
 for $a \in R, b \in R^{\mathrm{op}}$, and $f' \in M'$.

There is a surjective morphism $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{\text{env}} \to \mathbb{R}$ of left \mathbb{R}^{env} -modules with $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{op}}$. For every n, acting component by component, μ induces a surjective morphism $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{env}})^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of left \mathbb{R}^{env} -modules, which we also denote by μ . Thus for every \mathbb{R} -sub-bimodule M of \mathbb{R}^n we obtain a left \mathbb{R}^{env} -submodule $\mu^{-1}(M)$ of $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{env}})^n$ containing ker μ , and the image $\mu(M')$ of a left \mathbb{R}^{env} -submodule M' of $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{env}})^n$ with ker $\mu \subseteq M'$ is an \mathbb{R} -sub-bimodule of \mathbb{R}^n . The kernel of μ is generated by

$$(f_1 \otimes 1, \dots, f_n \otimes 1) - (1 \otimes f_1, \dots, 1 \otimes f_n) \qquad (f_1, \dots, f_n \in R).$$

6.3. The enveloping algebra of an algebra of solvable type. In the rest of this section, we assume that $R = K\langle x \rangle$ is of solvable type with respect to $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and some monomial ordering $\leq of \mathbb{N}^N$. We let $\mathcal{R} = (R_{ij})$ be a commutation system defining R, with R_{ij} as in (2.3), and set $p_{ij} := \pi(P_{ij})$, where $\pi : K\langle X \rangle \to R$ is the natural surjection. The opposite K-algebra R^{op} of R is again a K-algebra of solvable type in a natural way. To see this define the "write oppositely automorphism" of $K\langle X \rangle$ by

$$(X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_r})^{\operatorname{op}} = X_{i_r}\cdots X_{i_1}$$
 for all $i_1,\ldots,i_r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also set $\alpha^{\text{op}} := (\alpha_N, \dots, \alpha_1)$ for every multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ and define the "opposite ordering" of \mathbb{N}^N by

$$\alpha \leqslant^{\mathrm{op}} \beta \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad \alpha^{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant \beta^{\mathrm{op}} \qquad \text{for all multi-indices } \alpha, \beta.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}^{\text{op}} := (R_{ij}^{\text{op}})$ is a commutation system defining a *K*-algebra of solvable type with respect to \leq^{op} and $x^{\text{op}} := (x_N, \ldots, x_1)$, which can be naturally identified with R^{op} .

The class of K-algebras of solvable type is closed under tensor products. More precisely, let \leq' be a monomial ordering of $\mathbb{N}^{N'}$ (where $N' \in \mathbb{N}$), and let $\mathcal{R}' = (R'_{ij})$ be a commutation system in $K\langle Y \rangle = K\langle Y_1, \ldots, Y_{N'} \rangle$, with

$$R'_{ij} = Y_j Y_i - c'_{ij} Y_i Y_j - P'_{ij} \qquad (1 \le i < j \le N')$$

where $0 \neq c'_{ij} \in K$ and $P'_{ij} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha'} KY^{\alpha'}$. (Here and below, α' ranges over $\mathbb{N}^{N'}$.) Let $R' = K\langle Y \rangle / I(\mathcal{R}')$, with natural surjection $\pi' \colon K\langle Y \rangle \to R'$, and let $y_j \coloneqq \pi'(Y_j)$ for and $p'_{ij} \coloneqq \pi'(P'_{ij})$. Suppose that R' is of solvable type with respect to \leq' and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{N'})$. The K-algebra $S \coloneqq R \otimes_K R'$ is generated by the (N + N')-tuple

$$(x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_N \otimes 1, 1 \otimes y_1, \dots, 1 \otimes y_{N'}).$$

$$(6.1)$$

We have the following (see [32, Proposition 1]):

Proposition 6.3. The K-algebra $S = R \otimes_K R'$ is of solvable type with respect to the lexicographic product of the orderings \leq and \leq' , and the (N + N')-tuple of generators (6.1). The commutator relations of S are

$$\begin{aligned} (x_j \otimes 1)(x_i \otimes 1) &= c_{ij}(x_i \otimes 1)(x_j \otimes 1) + p_{ij} \otimes 1 & (1 \leq i < j \leq N) \\ (x_i \otimes 1)(1 \otimes y_j) &= (1 \otimes y_j)(x_i \otimes 1) & (1 \leq i \leq N, \ 1 \leq j \leq N') \\ (1 \otimes y_j)(1 \otimes y_i) &= c'_{ij}(1 \otimes y_i)(1 \otimes y_j) + 1 \otimes p'_{ij} & (1 \leq i < j \leq N'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if R and R' are quadric, then so is S.

In particular, $R^{\text{env}} = R \otimes_K R^{\text{op}}$ is an algebra of solvable type in a natural way, with respect to the monomial ordering \leq^{env} on $\mathbb{N}^{2N} = \mathbb{N}^N \times \mathbb{N}^N$ obtained by taking the lexicographic product of \leq with \leq^{op} . For every given *n*, the kernel of the left R^{env} morphism $\mu: (R^{\text{env}})^n \to R^n$ introduced in Section 6.2 is generated by the elements

$$\left(\left(x^{\varepsilon_i} \otimes 1 \right) - \left(1 \otimes x^{\varepsilon_i} \right) \right) e_j \qquad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$$
(6.2)

of $(R^{env})^n$. Here

$$\varepsilon_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \varepsilon_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \varepsilon_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^N$$

and e_1, \ldots, e_n are the standard basis elements of the left R^{env} -module $(R^{\text{env}})^n$. Hence if M is an R-sub-bimodule of R^n generated by

$$f_i = (f_{i1}, \dots, f_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, m),$

then the corresponding left R^{env} -submodule $\mu^{-1}(M)$ of $(R^{env})^n$ is generated by the elements in (6.2) and

$$(f_{11}\otimes 1,\ldots,f_{1n}\otimes 1),\ldots,(f_{m1}\otimes 1,\ldots,f_{mn}\otimes 1).$$

Corollary 6.4. Suppose \leq is degree-compatible. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ be of degree at most d, and let $f \in R$. If there are a finite index set J and $y_{ij}, z_{ij} \in R$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n, j \in J)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} y_{1j} f_1 z_{1j} + \dots + \sum_{j \in J} y_{nj} f_n z_{nj}$$

then there are such J and y_{ij} , z_{ij} with

$$\deg(y_{ij}), \deg(z_{ij}) \leqslant \deg(f) + D(2N, d) \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 5.11 to R^{env} and

$$f_1 \otimes 1, \dots, f_n \otimes 1, x^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, x^{\varepsilon_N} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^{\varepsilon_N}$$

in place of R and f_1, \ldots, f_n , respectively.

The following observation (also from [32]) allows one to compute two-sided Gröbner bases in R by computing one-sided Gröbner bases in the enveloping algebra of R:

Proposition 6.5. Let J be a two-sided ideal of R, and let G be a Gröbner basis of the left ideal $\mu^{-1}(J)$ of R^{env} . Then $\mu(G)$ is a Gröbner basis of J.

So finally we can show:

Proof of Proposition 6.2. We may assume that d > 0. Suppose J is a two-sided ideal of R generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$ of degree at most d. Let $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{\text{env}} \to R$ be as in Section 6.2. The left ideal $\mu^{-1}(J)$ of \mathbb{R}^{env} is generated by the elements

$$f_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, f_n \otimes 1, x^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^{\varepsilon_1}, \ldots, x^{\varepsilon_N} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x^{\varepsilon_N},$$

each of which has degree at most d. By Corollary 5.4, $\mu^{-1}(J)$ has a Gröbner basis G (with respect to \leq^{env}) consisting of elements of degree at most D(2N, d). By Proposition 6.5, $\mu(G)$ is a Gröbner basis of J whose elements obey the same degree bound.

REFERENCES

- Apel, J., A relationship between Gröbner bases of ideals and vector modules of G-algebras, in: Bokut, L. A. et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 2 (Novosibirsk, 1989), 195–204, Contemp. Math., vol. 131, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- _____, Computational ideal theory in finitely generated extension rings, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 244 (2000), no. 1–2, 1–33.
- 3. Aschenbrenner, M. Uniform degree bounds for Gröbner bases, preprint (2008).
- 4. _____, Bounds and definability in polynomial rings, Q. J. Math. 56 (2005), no. 3, 263–300.
- 5. Bergman, G., The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. Math. 29 (1978), 178-218.
- Bueso, J., Gómez-Torrecillas, J., and Verschoren, A., Algorithmic Methods in Non-Commutative Algebra. Applications to Quantum Groups, Mathematical Modelling: Theory and Applications 17, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- Castro, F., Calculs effectifs pour les idéaux d'opérateurs différentiels, in: Aroca, J.-M., Sánchez-Giralda, T., and Vincente, J.-L., Géométrie Algébrique et Applications, III, 1–19, Travaux en Cours, vol. 24, Hermann, Paris, 1987.
- Caviglia, G., and Sbarra, E., Characteristic-free bounds for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), no. 6, 1365–1373.
- Chardin, M., and Moreno-Socías, G., Regularity of lex-segment ideals: some closed formulas and applications, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 4, 1093–1102 (electronic).
- 10. Chistov, A., and Grigoriev D., *Complexity of a standard basis of a D-module* (Russian), Algebra i Analiz **20** (2008), no. 5, to appear.
- 11. _____, Complexity of Janet basis of a D-module, preprint (2007).
- 12. Dubé, T., The structure of polynomial ideals and Gröbner bases, SIAM J. Comput. 19 (1990), no. 4, 750-775.
- Galligo, A., Some algorithmic questions on ideals of differential operators, in: Caviness, B. (ed.), EUROCAL '85, vol. 2, Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Algebra held in Linz, April 1–3, 1985, 413–421, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 204, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- Grabmeier, J. et al., Computer Algebra Handbook: Foundations, Applications, Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- Grigoriev, D., Complexity of solving systems of linear equations over the rings of differential operators, in: Mora, T. and Traverso, C., Effective Methods in Algebraic Geometry, Papers from the symposium (MEGA-90) held in Castiglioncello, April 17–21, 1990, 195–202, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 94, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991.
- 16. _____, Weak Bézout inequality for D-modules, J. Complexity 21 (2005), no. 4, 532–542.
- Hausdorf, M. and Seiler, W. M., and Steinwandt, R., *Involutive bases in the Weyl algebra*, J. Symbolic Comput. 34 (2002), no. 3, 181–198.

- Hermann, G., Die Frage der endlich vielen Schritte in der Theorie der Polynomideale, Math. Ann. 95 (1926), 736–788.
- 19. Huỳnh, D. T., A superexponential lower bound for Gröbner bases and Church-Rosser commutative Thue systems, Inform. and Control **68** (1986), no. 1–3, 196–206.
- Janet, M., Les modules de formes algébriques et la théorie générale des systèmes différentiels, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 41 (1924), 27–65.
- Kandri-Rody, A., and Weispfenning, V., Non-commutative Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type, J. Symbolic Comput. 9 (1990), no. 1, 1–26.
- Kredel, H., and Weispfenning, V., Parametric Gröbner bases in rings of solvable type, in: Proc. IV. International Conference on Computer Algebra in Physical Research, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Dubna, USSR, May 1990, 236–244, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
- Latyshev, V. N., A combinatorial complexity of Gröbner bases, J. Math. Sci. (New York) 102 (2000), no. 3, 4134–4138.
- Lazard, D., Gröbner bases, Gaussian elimination and resolution of systems of algebraic equations, in: van Hulzen, J. A. (ed.), Computer Algebra (London, 1983), 146–156, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- Levandovskyy, V., and Schönemann, H., PLURAL—a computer algebra system for noncommutative polynomial algebras, in: Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, 176–183 (electronic), Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 2003.
- 26. Li, H., Non-commutative Gröbner Bases and Filtered-Graded Transfer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1795, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- Li, H., and Wu, Y., Filtered-graded transfer of Groebner basis computation in solvable polynomial algebras, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), no. 1, 15–32.
- Maclagan, D., and Smith, G., Uniform bounds on multigraded regularity, J. Algebraic Geometry 14 (2005), 137–164.
- Möller, H. M. and Mora, F., Upper and lower bounds for the degree of Groebner bases, in: Fitch, J. (ed.), EUROSAM 84, Proceedings of the Third International Symposium held in Cambridge, July 9–11, 1984, 172–183, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 174, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- Mora, T., An introduction to commutative and non-commutative Gröbner bases, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 134 (1994), no. 1, 131–173.
- Oaku, T., and Shimoyama, T., A Gröbner basis method for modules over rings of differential operators, J. Symbolic Comput. 18 (1994), no. 3, 223–248.
- Román, M. G. and Román, S. G., Gröbner bases and syzygies on bimodules over PBW algebras, J. Symbolic Comput. 40 (2005), no. 3, 1039–1052.
- Saito, M., Sturmfels, B., and Takayama, N., Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 6, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- 34. Seidenberg, A., Constructions in algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 197 (1974), 273-313.
- Smith, S., Quantum groups: an introduction and survey for ring theorists, in: Montgomery, S., and Small, L. (eds.), Non-commutative Rings, Survey Lectures from the Microprogram Held in Berkeley, California, July 10–21, 1989, 131–178, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 24, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- 36. Stanley, R. P., Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, Invent. Math. 68 (1982), 175-193.
- 37. Sturmfels, B., and White, N., *Computing combinatorial decompositions of rings*, Combinatorica **11** (1991), 275–293.
- Takayama, N., Gröbner basis and the problem of contiguous relations, Japan J. Appl. Math. 6 (1989), no. 1, 147–160.
- Weispfenning, V., Some bounds for the construction of Gröbner bases, in: Beth, T., and Clausen, M. (eds.), Applicable Algebra, Error-correcting Codes, Combinatorics and Computer Algebra (Karlsruhe, 1986), 195– 201, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 307, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
 - *E-mail address*: matthias@math.ucla.edu
 - URL: http://www.math.ucla.edu/~matthias

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, BOX 951555, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, U.S.A.

E-mail address: leykin@math.uic.edu *URL*: http://www.math.uic.edu/~leykin

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN STREET (M/C 249), CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045

36