
Empirical Law Deduction after 100 Years of Dimensional Analysis

M. Taylor¹, A.I. Díaz², L.A. Jódar Sánchez³, R.J. Villanueva Micó³, and

¹ Departamento de Astrofísica Molecular e Infrarroja (DAMIR), Instituto de Estructura de la Materia (IEM), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Calle Serrano 121, Madrid 28006, Spain.

email: michael@damir.iem.csic.es

² Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, United Kingdom.

³ Institute of Multi-disciplinary Mathematics, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Camino de Vera, Valencia 46022, Spain.

Summary. On the verge of the centenary of dimensional analysis, we present a generalisation of the theory and a methodology for the discovery of empirical laws from observational data. The method is semi-empirical by which we mean also semi-deductive. The first step described here involves the pre-processing of data with dimensional analysis (DA). It is well known that DA: a) reduces the number of free parameters, b) guarantees scale invariance through dimensional homogeneity and c) extracts functional information encoded in the dimensionless grouping of variables. Less known are the results of Rudolph and co-workers that DA also gives rise to a new pair of transforms - the similarity transform (S) that converts physical dimensional data into dimensionless space and its inverse (S^{-1}). Here, we present a new matrix generalisation of the Buckingham Theorem, made possible by recent developments in the theory of inverse non-square matrices, and show how the transform pair arises naturally. We demonstrate that the inverse transform S^{-1} is non-unique and how this casts doubt on scaling relations obtained in cases where observational data has not been referred to in order to break the degeneracy inherent in transforming back to dimensional (physical) space. We argue that it is exactly this degeneracy that is the stumbling block to a purely deductive physics and the search for true and causal empirical relations. As an example, we show how the underlying functional form of the Planck Radiation Law can be deduced in only a few lines using the matrix method and without appealing to first principles; thus demonstrating the possibility of *a priori* knowledge discovery; but that subsequent data analysis is still required in order to identify the exact causal law. We conclude that dimensional analysis can, through the matrix formalism, be automated and easily applied, and that it is central to the efficient pre-processing of data. It is hoped that the proof presented here will give theoreticians confidence to pursue inverse problems in physics using DA. Paper II in this series deals with the subsequent analysis of dimensionless data with evolutionary neural networks, and the extraction of empirical laws.

Key words: Buckingham theorem — dimensional analysis — scaling laws — knowledge discovery — machine learning

1 Introduction

The context within which we find ourselves as physicists is one of rapid change and increasing mathematical difficulty. The complexity of physical models has grown drastically at a rate which is proportional to the rate of accumulation of high resolution observational data meaning that exact, asymptotic and, in many cases, even approximate analytic expressions for the greater number of physical effects we include in our models, are difficult to deduce. As a result, many of us are resorting to systems-based modeling using for example holistic approaches such as complexity theory, or else are simulating interactions numerically using cellular automata, finite element analysis or Monte Carlo methods in an attempt to gain qualitative insight into complex natural phenomena. On the one hand, the outstanding advances in experimental and theoretical physics in the second half of the 20th Century have meant that we have been able to refine and improve the precision of the laws of physics (as evidenced by for example 15 decimal place accuracies for some atomic constants), whereas, on the other hand, in our investigations of many body systems and astrophysical observations where errors are often of the order of 10%, empirical relations are often very approximate (order of magnitude), and still in their infancy. As an example, we recently applied and tested an artificial intelligence technique based on evolutionary neural networks to the problem of deducing a relation between observed emission line fluxes of Sulphur and the metallicity of a sample of 98 HII galaxies [32] where we obtained the best fit to date to the data, even though the standard error was still large; some 16%. Results like these suggest that the empirical laws we are finding (even using AI) are still primitive. Hence our decision to embark on a programme of knowledge discovery based on deductive physics - the cornerstone of which is DA.

The next section brings together advances in our understanding of DA and presents our generalisation based on new matrix theory. We first define dimensionless numbers in order to highlight some of the lesser-known pitfalls associated with using different unit systems. Then Buckingham's Theorem is described. We show that application of the theorem leads to a minimal and therefore optimal evaluation of data thanks to the reduction in dimensionality associated with the reconstruction of data in dimensionless space. This is followed by a presentation of our generalised matrix method to calculate dimensionless groups of variables from which we have had to develop new mathematics to invert the non-square matrices involved. Our generalisation leads directly to a similarity transform S that converts a list of physical variables into dimensionless groups, as well as its inverse transform S^{-1} that al-

allows reconstruction of a functional relationship between the independent and dependent variables. We pin-point the origin of the problem of uniqueness associated with such a reconstruction and discuss its impact on the identification of causal scaling relations. In the section that follows, we present an example to illustrate the power and simplicity of the method. We apply dimensional analysis to the problem of Planck radiation to show that, using only the knowledge extracted by dimensions of the observables, we can obtain the underlying functional relationship between the spectral energy density and the other dependent variables, but that a unique causal relation (i.e. the Planck Law) can only be found with reference to observational data. Finally, we draw conclusions and speculate on future directions for DA.

2 Dimensional analysis (DA)

DA appeared early in the thoughts of physicists [9], and since then has been studied and used by some of the most famous of them (for example, Maxwell [19], Rayleigh [26] and Einstein [8]). The first mathematical formalism of DA was published exactly a century ago [22] and is often associated with the first general exposition of the ideas published in *Nature* by Buckingham [4] (see [25] for a discussion of its origins). As such the fundamental theorem is often referred to as the Buckingham Theorem which has since been further developed in many textbooks [10],[15],[29]. As we will show below, Buckingham's Theorem means that one of the consequences of pre-processing data with DA is that it is made homogeneous and invariant, and that the number of degrees of freedom are reduced. Hence, data handling is greatly simplified and its generalisation power is drastically increased. It also means that we are able to exploit the *a priori* knowledge contained in the dimensions of the physical variables themselves to deduce underlying functional relationships. For multi-parameter models these are significant gains. Although scaling relations are prevalent in physics and astrophysics, a citation search in NASA ADS of all physics, astrophysics and electronically archived papers, for example, shows that only 35 refereed articles have ever cited Buckingham's original paper and all have failed to address the problem of the uniqueness of dimensionless numbers. This means that scaling relations used by or derived in these papers are unlikely to be unique and therefore require caution (as we will demonstrate). The problem of uniqueness *has* been partly addressed in the pioneering work of Stephan Rudolph and co-workers [13],[27],[28] from the engineering design community but, in order to trace precisely the origin of the problem of uniqueness we have, in the next section, generalised DA using matrix theory to show exactly where the degeneracy comes from and how it may be addressed. A final, important point here is that DA is often naïvely perceived as simply a *back of the envelope* method. For example in graduate courses on qualitative analysis, it is often used as an heuristic to check that equations balance, for order of magnitude estimates to check for numerical mistakes, or to establish scal-

ing relations. In the same vein, the next sub-section is dedicated to revealing and clarifying some of the lesser-known pitfalls associated with dimensionless numbers that, as we shall see, are the key to an efficient pre-processing of data.

2.1 Dimensionless numbers

In DA, a dimensionless number (or more precisely, a number with the dimensions of "1") has no physical units. Such a number is typically defined as a ratio of quantities which have units of identical dimension in such a way that their corresponding units then cancel. Whenever one measures a physical quantity it is with reference to a dimensional standard so that ultimately, we always work with dimensionless numbers in the process of measuring and manipulating dimensional (ratio scale) physical quantities. It is essential that the units are the same in both the numerator and denominator, such as m/m to avoid errors associated with unit conversions (e.g. cm/m and mm/cm are also dimensionless but introduce scaling factors of 1/100 and 1/10 respectively with respect to m/m). Already, here, it is clear that there is a degeneracy creeping in. We can, in fact, construct an infinite set of such length ratios that would all be dimensionless but which differ by a constant of multiplication. We see then that dimensional homogeneity also reflects consistency in the use of units. Furthermore, a physical quantity that may be dimensionless in one system of units, may not be dimensionless in another system of units. For example, in the non-rationalized CGS system of units, the unit of electric charge (the Statcoulomb) is defined in such a way so that the permittivity of free space $\epsilon_0 = 1/4\pi$ whereas in the rationalized SI system, $\epsilon_0 = 8.85419 \times 10^{-12} F/m$. In systems of natural units (e.g. Planck units or atomic units), the physical units are defined in such a way that several fundamental constants are made dimensionless and set to 1, thus removing these scaling factors from equations. While this is convenient in some contexts, abolishing all or most units and dimensions makes practical physical calculations more error prone [1], especially, as we will see, when the goal is to pre-process data and extract empirical relations. The next section describes Buckingham's simple and elegant theorem.

2.2 The Buckingham π -Theorem

In the physical sciences, dimensional homogeneity is required for all valid functional relationships [5]. This principle provides a restriction on the search space of admissible functional relations for a given problem and led Buckingham to prove [4] that, from the existence of a complete and dimensionally homogeneous function, f of exactly n physical variables $\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad (1)$$

there exists a corresponding functional relationship F of $m < n$ dimensionless groups $\{\pi_m\} \in \Re^+$,

$$F(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $m = n - r$ is reduced by the number (r) of dimensionally independent variables in $\{x_n\}$. The restriction to positive values of the variables can be satisfied universally by suitable coordinate transformations. Due to the property of dimensional homogeneity of all possibly correct functions in equation 1, the Buckingham Theorem holds for all dimensionally correct equations of physics [24],[10]. The π -groups are dimensionless numbers or similarity numbers which are the scaling invariants of a physical phenomenon. Although simple, in essence, Buckingham's Theorem is extremely profound since it embodies the entire scientific method as shown in figure 1. From our observations of reality we categorise data into homogeneous sets that we identify with different physical variables $\{x_n\}$. By constructing a qualitative model relating the variables, we find p different various physical properties $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)_p$. In the context of a chosen system of units (e.g. SI) and frame of reference (e.g. coordinate system or inertial frame), we are able to offer a quantitative description of reality $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Finally, in order to correctly evaluate reality on all scales, we must find the minimal and invariant description in terms of dimensionless numbers $F(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m) = 0$. It is at this point that we see the importance of DA. Only once the function F is found have we successfully decoded the empirical law describing the data. In the next section we present a matrix generalisation of DA to calculate the π groups, and we show how it leads directly to a Similarity Transform S and its inverse S^{-1} from which we can obtain the function F .

2.3 The Generalised Matrix Method

DA provides a procedure to generate dimensionless numbers from a list of relevant physical variables together with their respective dimensions. Several authors have heuristically arrived at matrix expressions for the dimensionless groups [29],[27] but a full mathematical proof and a treatment of degeneracy has not yet been provided. The reason appears to be two-fold: 1) for simple problems, a dimensionless combination of variables can often be found by inspection (e.g. a ratio of lengths) and 2) a generalised matrix inverse for asymmetric and degenerate matrices has, until recently only been calculable numerically and therefore approximately. It is possible that the failure of many authors to address the problem of the non-uniqueness of dimensionless groups may be traced back to the absence of such a proof, which we present below. In what follows, we use the notation employed in [23] who followed the method of [29]. We will illustrate clearly where many scientists are running into problems and subsequently failing to address the problem of degeneracy.




Fig. 1. Conceptualising dimensional analysis in the framework of the scientific method: adapted from [27]

From the relation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$, the dimensional equations of physical variables $\{x_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1] &= d_1^{\alpha_{11}} d_2^{\alpha_{12}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{1r}} \\ [x_2] &= d_1^{\alpha_{21}} d_2^{\alpha_{22}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{2r}} \\ &\vdots \\ [x_n] &= d_1^{\alpha_{n1}} d_2^{\alpha_{n2}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{nr}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the $\{d_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ are the base dimensions with exponents α_{ij} . In the SI system of units, there are 7 distinct base dimensions:

$$d_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \text{ mass } [Kg] \\ L \text{ length } [m] \\ T \text{ time } [s] \\ \theta \text{ temperature } [K] \\ I \text{ electrical current } [A] \\ N \text{ concentration } [mol] \\ J \text{ light intensity } [cd] \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

So, for example, a pressure P which is measured in $N/m^2 \equiv [kg^1 m^{-1} s^{-2}]$ would have a dimensional equation of the form $[P] = M^1 L^{-1} T^{-2} \theta^0 I^0 mol^0 J^0$. In this case, the exponents α_{ij} of the dimensions of pressure are given by the row vector $(1, -1, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. The matrix formed with the exponents α_{ij} of the dimensions d_j of all physical variables x_i relevant to a problem, we call the dimension matrix \tilde{D} :

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \dots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \dots & \alpha_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \dots & \alpha_{nr} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

\tilde{D} has n rows for the number of physical variables and r columns for the distinct base dimensions. From the dimension matrix, we can now tackle the problem of obtaining dimensionless π -groups. In other words, we need to find the exponents ϵ_i that solve the equation,

$$[x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n}] = d_1^{q_1} d_2^{q_2} \dots d_r^{q_r},$$

with $\{q_j, j = 1, \dots, r\}$ being the sought combinations of fundamental dimensions (to be set equal to zero for dimensionless numbers). $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_r = 0$ then leads directly to dimensional homogeneity:

$$[x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n}] = 1. \quad (5)$$

From this we can see that a dimensionless (dimension "1") π group is simply given by,

$$\pi = x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n}. \quad (6)$$

The π groups therefore result, as one would expect, from dimensional homogeneity. Our task here then is to find a matrix form for the ϵ_j . We proceed as follows. The dimensional equations for the physical variables $[x_i]$, when raised to the power ϵ_i are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{\epsilon_1}] &= (d_1^{\alpha_{11}} d_2^{\alpha_{12}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{1r}})^{\epsilon_1} \\ [x_2^{\epsilon_2}] &= (d_1^{\alpha_{21}} d_2^{\alpha_{22}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{2r}})^{\epsilon_2} \\ &\vdots \\ [x_n^{\epsilon_n}] &= (d_1^{\alpha_{n1}} d_2^{\alpha_{n2}} \dots d_r^{\alpha_{nr}})^{\epsilon_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, evaluating the product $\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i^{\epsilon_i}]$ and grouping the base dimensions d_j we find that,

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n}] &= d_1^{\alpha_{11}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{21}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n1}\epsilon_n} \\ &\times d_2^{\alpha_{12}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{22}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n2}\epsilon_n} \\ &\vdots \\ &\times d_r^{\alpha_{1r}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{2r}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{nr}\epsilon_n}. \end{aligned}$$

If we now let q_j equal the exponents of the base dimensions d_j then we arrive at the following linear system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{21}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n1}\epsilon_n &= q_1 \\ \alpha_{12}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{22}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n2}\epsilon_n &= q_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_{1r}\epsilon_1 + \alpha_{2r}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \alpha_{nr}\epsilon_n &= q_r, \end{aligned}$$

which in matrix form is,

$$\tilde{D}^T \epsilon = q. \quad (7)$$

Providing that the determinant $|\tilde{D}^T| \neq 0$, ϵ is given by:

$$\epsilon = \left(\tilde{D}^T \right)^{-1} q. \quad (8)$$

However, we face two problems here. The first involves the calculation of the complete set of dimensionless products. Assuming that we can evaluate

the inverse matrix $(\tilde{D}^T)^{-1}$ we can obtain ϵ and hence by setting $q = 0$ we can form a π -group. But we know from Buckingham's Theorem that there are exactly m such groups. We therefore require a solution vector ϵ for each of the m different π -groups. Thus, in equation (8), the vectors ϵ and q must become matrices $\tilde{\epsilon}$ and \tilde{q} of dimension $(n \times m)$ and $(r \times m)$ respectively. The result is that dimensional homogeneity will then lead to the set of $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ dimensionless groups π_j given by,

$$\pi_j = x_1^{\epsilon_{1j}} x_2^{\epsilon_{2j}} \cdots x_n^{\epsilon_{nj}}. \quad (9)$$

The second problem is the inversion of the dimension matrix \tilde{D}^T itself which is non-square and degenerate since the number of physical variables is always greater than the number of related base dimensions [5]. The generalised inverse of a non-square matrix is far from trivial to find and, until recently, only numerical approximations have been available [21]. However, for a special class of inverses [7] we know that the matrix equation $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ for a non-square $(n \times m)$ matrix \tilde{A} , has a solution *iff* $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}^+\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}$ where the $(m \times n)$ matrix \tilde{A}^+ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of \tilde{A} such that $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}^+\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}$. In a second remarkable paper published in the same year [16], it was shown that the Moore-Penrose inverse \tilde{A}^+ is not always equal to the generalised matrix inverse \tilde{A}^{-1} . Although \tilde{A}^+ can always be found numerically by SVU decomposition for well-defined problems, our interest here is for a closed algebraic form. This motivated us to solve the problem in a different way. Instead of seeking the Moore-Penrose inverse and then attempting to show its generality, we decided to try to find the generalised inverse directly. The proof is short but evaded us for a while since it involves a substitution that we did not see at first. It proceeds as follows. We know from Buckingham's Theorem, that each dimensionless π -group is constructed from one of the independent physical variables multiplied by a suitable combination of the dependent physical variables so as to satisfy dimensional homogeneity [4]. The starting point for the general solution then, involves an initial partitioning of the dimension matrix \tilde{D}^T into two inner block matrices: \tilde{A}^T for the dependent variables and \tilde{B}^T for the independent variables such that,

$$\tilde{D}^T = [\tilde{B}^T \tilde{A}^T]. \quad (10)$$

The rank r of the matrix \tilde{A}^T is equal to the number of base dimensions d_j involved in the problem. It is known that if $\tilde{X} = \tilde{A}^{-1}$ is any matrix satisfying,

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{X}\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}, \quad (11)$$

then the linear system $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ has a solution *iff*, $\tilde{A}\tilde{X}\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}$ [21]. Such as system has a general solution,

$$\tilde{x} = \tilde{X}\tilde{b} + (\tilde{I} - \tilde{X}\tilde{A})\tilde{y}, \quad (12)$$

with \tilde{y} being any arbitrary matrix [21]. In order to calculate the complete set of π groups, we wish to solve the linear matrix system equivalent of equation (7),

$$\tilde{D}^T \tilde{\epsilon} = \tilde{q} \quad (13)$$

such that,

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \left(\tilde{D}^T \right)^{-1} \tilde{q}. \quad (14)$$

Here, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is a matrix of size $(n \times m)$, \tilde{q} is a matrix of size $(r \times m)$ and \tilde{D}^T is a block matrix of size $(r \times n)$ partitioned into the $(r \times m)$ matrix \tilde{B}^T and the $(r \times r)$ invertible matrix \tilde{A}^T . Taking into account that from equation (11),

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{A}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{A}^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{A}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

and,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{A}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{q} = \tilde{I} \tilde{q} = \tilde{q},$$

then, (12) provides the general solution of the linear system as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\epsilon} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{q} + \left(\tilde{I} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{A}^T \end{bmatrix} \right) \tilde{y} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{q} \end{bmatrix} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{I} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{I} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{B}^T & \tilde{I} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \tilde{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{q} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{I} & 0 \\ -\tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{B}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \tilde{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{q} - \tilde{A}^{T-1} \tilde{B}^T \tilde{y}_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{\epsilon} &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \tilde{A}^{T-1} \left(\tilde{q} - \tilde{B}^T \tilde{y}_1 \right) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here, \tilde{y}_1 is an arbitrary matrix of size $(m \times m)$. Equation (15) gives the whole set of solutions of the linear system (13). Here, we are concerned with obtaining the dimensionless numbers π_j for which we need to set $\tilde{q} = 0$. Inserting this into equation (15) we see that, in order to satisfy equation 13, the arbitrary matrix \tilde{y}_1 must be equal to the identity matrix \tilde{I} since only then do we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{D}^T \tilde{\epsilon} &= [\tilde{B}^T \ \tilde{A}^T] \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{I} \\ -\tilde{A}^{T^{-1}} \tilde{B}^T \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \tilde{B}^T \tilde{I} - \tilde{A}^T (\tilde{A}^T)^{-1} \tilde{B}^T \\
 &= \tilde{B}^T \tilde{I} - \tilde{I} \tilde{B}^T = \tilde{q} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\tilde{q} = 0$ is a special case for which the arbitrary matrix \tilde{y}_1 becomes specific rather than arbitrary. This special case, is the particular case we are seeking for dimensionless combinations of the physical variables. The solution to our problem then, is given by,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}(\tilde{q} = 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{I} \\ -\tilde{A}^{T^{-1}} \tilde{B}^T \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The solution matrix $\tilde{\epsilon}$ has n rows, one for each of the physical variables x_i and m columns, one for each of the dimensionless numbers π_j . The identity matrix \tilde{I} in the upper half of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ guarantees that only one independent variable at a time is combined with dependent variables in constructing the π -groups. Furthermore, as we might expect, the solution matrix $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is a block matrix having an upper-diagonal form. In the case of the inversion by Guassain-Jordan elimination of a non-singular *symmetric* matrix \tilde{A}^S , then the matrix is augmented with the identity matrix and inversion proceeds as follows:

$$\tilde{A}^S \rightarrow [\tilde{A}^S | \tilde{I}] \rightarrow [\tilde{I} | (\tilde{A}^S)^{-1}]$$

For our *non-symmetric* partitioned matrix \tilde{D}^T then, in obtaining the inverse matrix, only the symmetric part of \tilde{B} is effectively augmented by the identity matrix. It therefore has the same semantic structure to the Guassain Elimination of square matrices as might be expected. Figure 2 shows the overall process. The dimension matrix \tilde{D} , (left), is formed from the exponents α_{ij} of the base dimensions d_j of the relevant physical variables x_i ; one row for each variable and one column for each base dimension. The rank r of the dimension matrix is equal to the number of base dimensions involved and is used to partition \tilde{D} (centre) with the block matrix \tilde{B} containing the exponents of the independent variables and the block matrix \tilde{A} containing the exponents of the dependent variables. The inverse matrix $(\tilde{D}^T)^{-1}$ is then obtained, giving the exponents $\tilde{\epsilon}$ of the dimensionless products. This matrix consists of an upper square identity matrix \tilde{I} and a lower sub-matrix $-(\tilde{A}^T)^{-1} \tilde{B}^T$. The mathematical proof we have presented above for the generalised inverse of linear matrix equations can also be used for the calculation of specific dimensional products, rather than dimensionless products of the variables. In that particular case, one would seek solutions where $\tilde{q} \neq 0$.




Fig. 2. Construction of the dimensionless matrix from the dimensional one using rank-preserving operations

The various matrices used in the proof have the following dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{D} &: (n) \times (r) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 \tilde{D}^T &: (r) \times (n) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 (\tilde{D}^T)^{-1} &: (n) \times (r) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 \tilde{\epsilon} &: (n) \times (m) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 \tilde{q} &: (r) \times (m) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 \tilde{I} &: (m) \times (m) && \text{identity matrix} \\
 \tilde{A} &: (r) \times (r) && \text{square matrix} \\
 \tilde{B} &: (m) \times (r) && \text{non-square matrix} \\
 (\tilde{A}^T)^{-1} \tilde{B}^T &: (r) \times (m) && \text{non-square matrix}
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The mathematical complexity of the problem of evaluating the dimensionless π -groups therefore lies in the calculation of the inverse matrix $(\tilde{A}^T)^{-1}$ of dimension equal to the rank r of \tilde{D} . The condition for $(\tilde{A}^T)^{-1}$ to exist is that the determinant $|\tilde{A}^T| \neq 0$. This mathematical condition corresponds to the physical condition that we have correctly identified in x_n the complete list of relevant physical variables required to satisfy dimensional homogeneity. Had we missed out a crucial variable, then the determinant would equal zero and meaning that the inverse is singular and undefined. We thus, have an additional check on the correct construction of the problem in dimensionless space. In a separate paper in this series we show how the 7 base dimensions of the SI system of units can be reduced to 3 (M, L, T) in a flat spacetime, i.e. in the absence of general relativity. This means that the complexity is reduced further to the inversion of $\tilde{A}(3 \times 3)$ alone. In the context of this paper, what is important is that even 7-dimensional problems (involving the complete set of SI base dimensions) are tractable since algorithms exist for the inversion of square matrices $\tilde{A}(n \times n)$ of arbitrary size.

Since the dimensionless groups form a reduced set (there being $m = n - r$ of them), they minimise the number of free parameters and hence the number of degrees of freedom. The π -groups then provide the most compact formulation possible for the evaluation of physical laws. Having shown how the dimensionless groups can be calculated, we now show how the matrix method gives rise naturally to a pair of transforms: the Similarity Transform S and its inverse S^{-1} that allow us to reveal the origin of the problem of non-uniqueness associated with scaling laws.

2.4 Similarity Theory and the Uniqueness Problem

In completely similar cases, knowledge of the dimensionless groups turns out to be both a sufficient and a necessary condition to guarantee the correct transformation of a prior problem solution at one scale to a new problem solution at a different scale. In cases where such a completely similar case is not known or available, a dimensionless function interpolation technique may still be used [13] to approximate similarity in order to perform the transformation. We have described how functions of the type $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ or equivalently, $x_n = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, serve as quantitative models. The philosophical principle of causality [6] is guaranteed when, for a complete list of physical variables x_n , the presence of cause (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) *always* results in effect x_n . Any question about the behavior of the physical object can be answered by the rule f , otherwise $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ would not represent the complete and correct physical model. This means that $F(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m) = 0$ represents the similarity transform of f under which the physical content of the rule F remains invariant [28]. The similarity transform $S : X \mapsto \Pi$ from the space X of physical variables $\{x_i\}$ to the dimensionless space Π of dimensionless variables $\{\pi_j\}$ from equation (9) is given by,

$$S : \pi_j = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\epsilon_{ij}} \quad (18)$$

with $j \in [1, m]$. S represents the transform of a space \mathbb{R}^n into a space \mathbb{R}^m with $m = n - r$ representing a dimensionality reduction and has the property that different points in X may be mapped to the same point in Π . From the Buckingham Theorem for the functional relationship between π groups,

$$F(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m) = 0,$$

any single π group can be expressed as a *causal* function F of the remaining groups:

$$\pi_j = F(\pi_{k \neq j}) \quad (19)$$

with $k \leq m$ and where the π groups are found from the physical variables x_n using the Similarity Transform S (equation 18). The independent variables $x_m \in [1, m]$ can be regained from the π groups via the relation,

$$x_j = \pi_j \prod_{i=1}^r x_{i+m}^{-\epsilon_{(i+m)j}} \quad (20)$$

Since each π group contains one and only one independent variable, this equation cancels the other independent variables in the j^{th} group using their negative exponents in the product function. Substituting for π_j from equation (19), we can then find the inverse similarity transform S^{-1} ,

$$S^{-1} : x_j = \prod_{i=1}^r x_{i+m}^{-\epsilon_{(i+m)j}} F \left(\pi_k = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\epsilon_{ik}} \right)_{k \neq j} \quad (21)$$

that provides the scaling laws for the independent variables x_j expressed as a function F of the dependent variables combined in π groups. The inverse similarity transform $S^{-1} : \Pi \mapsto X$ of the dimensionless space Π to the dimensional space of physical variables X is not unique since it maps a space \mathbb{R}^m into a larger space \mathbb{R}^n ; there being only m dependent variables. The non-uniqueness of S^{-1} is inherent in the non-uniqueness of the function F .

Figure 3 shows a visual representation of how the asymmetry between f and F results in non-uniqueness of the dimensionless groups. It is this non-uniqueness that propagates back to scaling laws and the identification of empirical laws. A single point p in X -space with $(x_1, \dots, x_n)_p$ is mapped via the S transform onto its corresponding point $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)_p$ in dimensionless space Π . The inverse transform S^{-1} leads to the whole set of all completely similar points $(x_1, \dots, x_n)_{q=1, \dots, \infty}$ in X defined by the similarity condition $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)_{p=constant}$. The function F in Π -space is simpler than its counterpart f in dimensional space X ; being of lower dimensionality. Mathematically, the $\pi_j = \text{constant}$ define a hypersurface in X that contain all completely similar points and reflect the fact that there exists an infinite set of dimensionless numbers for a given physical problem [28]. This can be seen by taking, for example, the dimensionless ratio $\pi_L = l_1/l_2$ of two lengths l_1 and l_2 . When $l_1 = 3m$ and $l_2 = 4m$ or when $l_1 = 6m$ and $l_2 = 8m$, then in both cases $\pi_L = 0.75$. This reflects the point we made earlier on the degeneracy of ratio scale quantities.

DA provides the mechanism for mapping a problem into a space where advantage can be made of dimensional reduction. Proper identification of the function F then allows for a full problem evaluation obtained by inverse transformation back to dimensional space. Fourier transforms, Laplace transforms and the like all take advantage of the mathematical or algebraic operational




Fig. 3. Similarity transforms: dimensionality expansion π^{-1} of case p onto all completely similar cases $q = 1, \dots, \infty$: adapted from [28]

simplicity in the transformed space before inverse-transforming back to the problem space. However, it is the ambiguity that arises from the inverse transform that is ultimately responsible for the problem of non-uniqueness when the dependent variables are expressed in terms of the π groups. In the next section we apply DA to the problem of Planck Radiation to show the ease of application of our matrix method and to show how, despite the problem of degeneracy, *a priori* knowledge discovery is still possible.

3 The Planck Law

As an example of the power of our method, we study the classical problem of Planck radiation starting only with a list of relevant physical variables. This exercise is presented, not only to demonstrate the effectiveness of the matrix method, but to also provide a basis for the deduction of formulae in fields where exact empirical laws are yet to be found. What is central is the initial identification of a complete list of relevant physical variables. This is often the only well determined starting point for theoretical investigation of new problems. Once identified, the deduction of dimensionless groups and functional relationships between them, as we will show, is very straight-forward and easily automated.

For Planck radiation, we know that the spectral intensity u is related to the electromagnetic radiation emitted at different frequencies $\nu = c/\lambda$ from a

black body at a temperature T . Since the radiation is generated by oscillators having energy $k_B T$ per degree of freedom and is carried by photons travelling at the speed of light c in packets of energy $h\nu$, we expect that the total spectral intensity integrated over all solid angles will depend then on the following list of physical variables:

$$f(u, \lambda, h, c, k_B, T) = 0 \quad (22)$$

The dimensional equations of the physical variables are:

$$\begin{aligned} [u] &= M^1 L^{-2} T^{-2} \theta^0 = M L^{-2} T^{-2} \\ [\lambda] &= M^0 L^1 T^0 \theta^0 = L \\ [h] &= M^1 L^2 T^{-1} \theta^0 = L = M L^2 T^{-1} \\ [c] &= M^0 L^1 T^{-1} \theta^0 = L T^{-1} \\ [k_B] &= M^1 L^2 T^{-2} \theta^{-1} \\ [T] &= M^0 L^0 T^0 \theta^1 = \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and give rise to the dimension matrix,

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{array}{c|ccccc} & M & L & T & \theta \\ \hline u & 1 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ h & 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ k_B & 1 & 2 & -2 & -1 \\ T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \quad (24)$$

The next step involves separation of the elements of \tilde{D}^T into two block matrices: \tilde{A}^T for the dependent variables and \tilde{B}^T for the independent variables. Since there are $n = 6$ physical variables in equation (23) and $r = 4$ base dimensions, the number of π groups will be $m = n - r = 2$. There are therefore $r = 4$ dependent variables and $m = 2$ independent variables. We are looking for an equation for the spectral intensity u and so this will be one of the two independent variables. Additionally, the spectral intensity gives the intensity of radiation at each frequency or wavelength and so the other independent variable will be the wavelength λ . As a check on the completeness of the list of physical variables, we calculate the determinant $|\tilde{A}^T| = 1 \neq 0$ as required for dimensional homogeneity and for the invertibility of \tilde{A} . We can now partition the dimension matrix \tilde{D} and take the transpose,

$$\tilde{D}^T = [\tilde{B}^T \ \tilde{A}^T] = \left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (25)$$

The matrix product $-(\tilde{A}^T)^{-1}\tilde{B}^T$ then gives the solution matrix,

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \tilde{I} \\ -(\tilde{A}^T)^{-1}\tilde{B}^T \end{array} \right] = \begin{array}{c|cc} & \pi_1 & \pi_2 \\ \hline u & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 1 \\ h & 4 & -1 \\ c & 4 & -1 \\ k_B & -5 & 1 \\ T & -5 & 1 \end{array} \quad (26)$$

The $m = 2$ different π -groups are then obtained from the similarity transform $S : \pi_j = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\epsilon_{ij}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &= u^1 \lambda^0 h^4 c^4 k_B^{-5} T^{-5} = \frac{u h^4 c^4}{k_B^5 T^5} \\ \pi_2 &= u^0 \lambda^1 h^{-1} c^{-1} k_B^1 T^1 = \frac{\lambda k T}{h c} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The inverse similarity transform S^{-1} then gives for $u = x_1$,

$$u = \frac{k_B^5 T^5}{h^4 c^4} F(\pi_2) = \frac{h c}{\lambda^5} \frac{F(\pi_2)}{\pi_2^5} \equiv \frac{h c}{\lambda^5} F\left(\frac{h c}{\lambda k T}\right). \quad (28)$$

Comparing the result of dimensional analysis with the formal expression for Planck's Law representing the spectral energy density per unit wavelength integrated over all solid angles:

$$u = 8\pi \frac{h c}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{e^{(h c / \lambda k_B T)} - 1}, \quad (29)$$

we see that remarkable progress has been made thanks to the knowledge discovered using DA of the list of physical variables alone. However, DA cannot provide the values of constant multipliers such as the "8 π " or the actual form of the function $F \equiv 1/(e^{\pi_2} - 1)$. A simply way of realising this is by noting that if π_2 is dimensionless, then so too are any algebraic combinations of π_2 such as π_2^n , $\frac{1}{\pi_2}$ or $1 + \pi_2$ and so forth. This reflects the fact that $F(\pi_2)$ is a function of an infinite set of such linear combinations. The question we are forced to ask now is the following: faced with this problem of uniqueness and the degeneracy created in the function F , how are we to find objective and unique dimensionless scaling relation in the empirical sciences? *In particular, empirical laws published in the literature that do not resolve the problem of degeneracy should be treated with great caution.* In paper II in the series it will be shown how the information encoded into actual observational data is both sufficient and necessary to uniquely and unambiguously deduce F by breaking the degeneracy. We will not pursue this further here, suffice it to say that, given a data set, there will be one and only one best fit function F . We

invite the reader to read paper II where we show how evolutionary genetic networks can be used to find such a unique functional form for F . What our study of Planck's Law illustrates is that the generalised matrix method we have developed:

1. ensures a complete specification of the problem (through the determinant check)
2. is easy to apply
3. is mathematically simple
4. correctly identifies the π groups
5. explains the origin of the non-uniqueness of scaling relations, and
6. successfully provides some functional knowledge discovery.

4 Conclusions

We have developed a generalisation of DA based on new matrix theory that is easy to apply. We have shown that it gives rise to a similarity transform S and its inverse S^{-1} that allow scaling relations to be found, but that they depend on a *non-unique* functional relationship F between dimensionless π groups. We have made explicit the problem of uniqueness and shown that it derives from the inverse mapping from the smaller (dimensionally-reduced) dimensionless space Π to the larger dimensional space X . The example of Planck's Law shows that DA is capable of substantial functional knowledge discovery that can be used as an intermediate step prior to data analysis, and that the identification of F leads to the minimal and therefore optimal description of physical problems. We hope that the simplicity of the matrix method and its ease of application will help pave the way for a new approach to the search for empirical laws and scaling relations from data.

MT would like to thank Jose-Manuel Cernicharo for facilitating this work and the members of the Grupo de Astrofísica at the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid for their hospitality and support. This work was partly funded by the project "Estallidos de Formación Estelar en galaxias" (AYA2001-3939-C03) from the Spanish ministry of science.

References

1. J. Baez: <http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/constants.html> (2002)
2. Y. Bar-Yam: Westview Press (2003)
3. S. Brückner, S. Rudolph: 3rd Intl. Workshop on Similarity Methods, Stuttgart (2000)
4. E. Buckingham: Phys Rev **4** 345-376 (1914)
5. E. Buckingham: Nature **96** 396-397 (1915)
6. M. Bunge: Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1957)

7. S.L. Campbell, C.D. Meyer Jr: Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations, Dover, New York (1991)
8. A. Einstein: Annalen der Physic **35** 670-694 (1911)
9. J. Fourier: Théorie analytique de la chaleur, Paris (1822)
10. H. Görtler: Dimensionsanalyse, Springer, Berlin (1975)
11. I.M. George, L. Angelini: OGIP Memo OGIP/93-001 (1993)
12. U. Grigull, H. Sandner, J. Straub, H. Winkler: 7th Int Heat Transfer Conf, Munchen (1982)
13. P. Hertkorn, S. Rudolph: Proc. AI in Design, Lisbon (1998)
14. M. Huarte-Espinosa, S. Mendoza: XXII Texas Symp Rel Astrophys (2005)
15. H. Huntley: Dimensional Analysis, Macdonald, London (1952)
16. L. Jodar, A.G. Law, A. Rezazadeh, J.H. Watson, G. Wu: Congress Numer **80** 57-64 (1991)
17. M.M. Kokar: Procs. 3rd Intl Workshop on Similarity, Stuttgart (2000)
18. P.W. Langley, H.A. Simon, G. Bradshaw, J.M. Zytkow: Scientific discovery: computational explorations of the creative process, MIT Press, USA (1987)
19. J.C. Maxwell: On a Method of Making a direct Comparison of Electrostatic and Electromagnetic Force, Phil Trans, Deutschland (1868)
20. P.F. Mendez, F. Ordoez: Procs 5th Intl Workshop on Similarity Methods, Stuttgart (2002)
21. S.K. Mitra, C.R. Rao: Linear Algebra and its Applications **9** 155167 (1974)
22. N.A. Morozov: Fundamentals of the Qualitative Physical-Mathematical Analysis and New Physical Factors Discovered by it in Diverse Phenomena of Nature, Izd-vo T-va I.D. Sytina, Moscow (1908)
23. P. Osuna, J. Salgado: <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0511616> (2005)
24. J. Pawlawski: Die Ähnlichkeitstheorie in der physikalisch-technischen forschung, Springer, Berlin (1971)
25. B.E. Pobedrya, D.V. Georgievskii: Russ J Math Phys **13**:4 431-437 (2006)
26. J.W. Strutt: Scientific papers, Cambridge (1899)
27. S. Rudolph: ISD Research Report, Universität Stuttgart (1996)
28. S. Rudolph: Procs SPIE Conf DMKD, Florida, USA (2000)
29. T. Szirtes: Applied Dimensional Analysis and Modelling, McGraw Hill (1997)
30. B.N. Taylor: NIST Special Publication **811** (1995)
31. M. Taylor: Procs CEDI Conf, Granada, Spain (2005)
32. M. Taylor, A.I. Diaz: PASP (in press) <http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2990> (2007)
33. T. Washio, H. Motoda, Y. Niwam: Proc IJCAI **2** 772-779 (1999)
34. Wikipedia open source editors: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dimensionless_numbers (2006)