Accurate determination of electric-dipole matrix elements in K and Rb from Stark shift measurements

Bindiya Arora and M. S. Safronova Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716

Charles W. Clark

Physics Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Technology Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-8410 (Dated: May 28, 2018)

Stark shifts of potassium and rubidium D1 lines have been measured with high precision by Miller et~al~[1]. In this work, we combine these measurements with our all-order calculations to determine the values of the electric-dipole matrix elements for the $4p_j-3d_{j'}$ transitions in K and for the $5p_j-4d_{j'}$ transitions in Rb to high precision. The $4p_{1/2}-3d_{3/2}$ and $5p_{1/2}-4d_{3/2}$ transitions contribute on the order of 90% to the respective polarizabilities of the $np_{1/2}$ states in K and Rb, and the remaining 10% can be accurately calculated using the relativistic all-order method. Therefore, the combination of the experimental data and theoretical calculations allows us to determine the np-(n-1)d matrix elements and their uncertainties. We compare these values with our all-order calculations of the np-(n-1)d matrix elements in K and Rb for a benchmark test of the accuracy of the all-order method for transitions involving nd states. Such matrix elements are of special interest for many applications, such as determination of "magic" wavelengths in alkali-metal atoms for state-insensitive cooling and trapping and determination of blackbody radiation shifts in optical frequency standards with ions.

PACS numbers: 32.70.Cs, 31.15.Ar, 32.10.Dk, 31.15.Dv

The values of the various electric-dipole matrix elements in alkali-metal atoms are needed for the variety of applications ranging from reducing decoherence in quantum logic gates [2] to the study of fundamental symmetries [3, 4]. The all-order method that includes all single and double (SD) excitations of the Dirac-Fock (DF) wave function to all orders of perturbation theory was shown to give values for the primary np - ns transitions in alkali-metal atoms in excellent agreement with highprecision experiments [5]. There are many interesting applications involving np-n'd transitions in alkali-metal atoms and other monovalent systems, but there are very few benchmark experiments, such as lifetime measurements, to compare with theoretical calculations. Moreover, the only high-precision lifetime measurements of the lowest nd states of any alkali-metal atom [6, 7], carried out for Cs, are in disagreement [8] with the Stark shift values for cesium D1 and D2 lines [9, 10]. The breakdown of the correlation correction terms is very different for the np-ns and np-n'd transitions, creating a need for additional benchmark tests. In this work, we determine the values of the $4p_j - 3d_{j'}$ transitions in K and $5p_i - 4d_{i'}$ transitions in Rb to high precision using experimental values of the Stark shifts of D1 lines [1] in these systems.

The motivation for this work is two-fold. First, we provide the recommended values for these transitions to be used for various applications, such as determination of the "magic" wavelengths in alkali-metal atoms for state-insensitive cooling and trapping and calculation of the *nd* state polarizabilities. Second, we also conduct all-order calculations of these transitions in order to carry out a

benchmark comparison of the accuracy of the all-order method. The conclusions reached in this work allow us to provide recommended values for a variety of the transition properties of monovalent systems and more accurately evaluate their uncertainties. Such transition properties are needed for the evaluation of the black-body radiation and quadrupole shifts in ions, light-shifts and quadrupole polarizabilities in Ba⁺ which were recently measured [11, 12], branching ratios for various decay channels, and other applications. Such benchmarks are also useful for the understanding of the accuracy of the all-order calculations conducted for the analysis of the experimental studies of parity violation with heavy atoms and search for an electron electric-dipole moment.

The D1 line Stark shifts in alkali-metal atoms were measured with high precision by Miller [1] and Hunter [9, 14] using a pair of cavity-stabilized diode lasers locked to resonance signals. The K and Rb measurements, 39.400(5) kHz(kV/cm)⁻² and 61.153kHz(kV/cm)⁻², respectively, represent a three order of magnitude improvement in accuracy upon previous experimental results. In this paper, we determine the $4p_{1/2}-3d_{3/2}$ and $5p_{1/2}-4d_{3/2}$ electric-dipole (E1) matrix elements in K and Rb, respectively, using these experimental Stark shifts. We also compare these values with our all-order calculations of the np - (n-1)d matrix elements in K and Rb for a benchmark test of the accuracy of the all-order method for transitions involving nd states. The values of the $4p_{3/2} - 3d_j$ and $5p_{3/2} - 4d_j$ electric dipole matrix elements in K and Rb, respectively, are obtained by combining our recommended values for the $4p_{1/2}-3d_{3/2}$ and $5p_{1/2}-4d_{3/2}$ transitions with the

TABLE I: The contributions to the scalar polarizability for the $4p_{1/2}$ state in K and their uncertainties. The corresponding energy differences [13] and the absolute values of the lowest-order (DF) and final all-order electric-dipole reduced matrix elements are also listed. The energy differences are given in cm⁻¹. Electric-dipole matrix elements are given in atomic units (ea_0) , and polarizabilities are given in a_0^3 , where a_0 is the Bohr radius.

Contribution	k	$\langle 4p_{1/2} \ D \ k \rangle^{\mathrm{DF}}$	$\langle 4p_{1/2} D k \rangle^{\mathrm{SD}}$	$E_k - E_{4p_{1/2}}$	$\alpha_0(4p_{1/2})$
$\alpha^{\mathrm{main}}(ns)$	4s	4.555	4.102	-12985	-94.8(2)
	5s	3.974	3.885	8041	137.3(1.4)
	6s	0.925	0.903	14466	4.127(3)
	7s	0.485	0.477	17289	0.962
	8s	0.319	0.315	18780	0.386
	9s	0.233	0.231	19663	0.198
	10s	0.181	0.180	20229	0.117
$\alpha^{\mathrm{tail}}(ns)$					1.6(0.2)
$lpha^{ m main}(nd_{3/2})$	$3d_{3/2}$	8.596	7.949	8552	540.5(9.7)
	$4d_{3/2}$	0.769	0.097	14413	0.05(5)
	$5d_{3/2}$	0.105	0.336	17201	0.48(47)
	$6d_{3/2}$	0.030	0.340	18711	0.45(30)
	$7d_{3/2}$	0.063	0.296	19613	0.33(18)
	$8d_{3/2}$	0.069	0.253	20193	0.23(11)
	$9d_{3/2}$	0.067	0.216	20587	0.17(8)
$\alpha^{\mathrm{tail}}(nd_{3/2})$	/				4.5(4.5)
$lpha^{ m core}$					5.5(3)
Total					602(11)

corresponding accurate theoretical ratios.

We start by expressing the experimental Stark shifts as the difference of the ground ns and the first excited $np_{1/2}$ state polarizabilities of the respective atoms [1]. It is convenient for this purpose to use the system of atomic units, a.u., in which $e, m_e, 4\pi\epsilon_0$ and the reduced Planck constant \hbar have the numerical value 1. Polarizability in a.u. has the dimensions of volume, and its numerical values presented here are thus measured in units of a_0^3 , where $a_0 \approx 0.052918$ nm is the Bohr radius. The atomic units for α can be converted to SI units via $\alpha/h~[{\rm Hz/(V/m})^2]{=}2.48832{\times}10^{-8}\alpha~[{\rm a.u.}]$, where the conversion coefficient is $4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0^3/h$ and the Planck constant h is factored out.

The Stark shifts in the D1 lines of K and Rb yield the following values of the differences of the scalar dipole polarizability of the $np_{1/2}$ and ns states [1]:

$$\Delta_{\rm K} = \alpha_0(4p_{1/2}) - \alpha_0(4s) = 317.11(4) \ a_0^3$$
 (1)

$$\Delta_{\text{Rb}} = \alpha_0(5p_{1/2}) - \alpha_0(5s) = 492.20(7) \ a_0^3.$$
 (2)

The static E1 atomic polarizabilities of the alkali-metal atoms are dominated by the valence contribution, α_v , for all valence states. The small ionic core contribution evaluated in Ref. [16] using random-phase approximation approach does not affect the present calculation as it is the same for $\alpha_0(np_{1/2})$ and $\alpha_0(ns)$ and does not contribute to the Stark shifts. The counter term α_{vc} that needs to be introduced to correct ionic core polarizability for an occupancy of the valence shell is very small for the ns state and is entirely negligible for the np state. The valence polarizabilities are given in the sum-over-states

approach by

$$\alpha_v = \frac{2}{3(2j_v + 1)} \sum_k \frac{\langle k \| D \| v \rangle^2}{E_k - E_v},$$
 (3)

where $\langle k \| D \| v \rangle$ is the reduced electric-dipole matrix element for the transition between states k and v, and E_i is the energy corresponding to the level i. The sum over the intermediate k states converges very rapidly. In fact, the first two $ns - np_{1/2}$ and $ns - np_{3/2}$ transitions entirely dominate the ground state polarizabilities. Since these values are known experimentally [17], our values for the ground state polarizabilities contain very little theoretical input. Such a calculation has been described before [5, 18, 19, 20] and we do not repeat the details here. Our resulting values are $\alpha_0(4s){=}289.6(6)$ a_0^3 and $\alpha_0(5s){=}318.35(62)$ a_0^3 . These results are in agreement with values of [5, 18]. The uncertainty comes nearly entirely from the uncertainties of the experimental values of the np lifetimes.

The polarizabilities of the $np_{1/2}$ states are dominated by a single transition, $np_{1/2} - (n-1)d_{3/2}$, allowing us to use Eqs. (1, 2) to derive the matrix elements that are the subject of the present work. As a result, Eqs. (1, 2) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta_{K} = \alpha_{0}(4p_{1/2}) - \alpha_{0}(4s) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle 3d_{3/2} \| D \| 4p_{1/2} \rangle^{2}}{E_{3d_{3/2}} - E_{4p_{1/2}}}$$

$$+ \alpha_{0}^{\text{other}}(4p_{1/2}) - 289.6(6) = 317.11(4)$$

$$\Delta_{Rb} = \alpha_{0}(5p_{1/2}) - \alpha_{0}(5s) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle 4d_{3/2} \| D \| 5p_{1/2} \rangle^{2}}{E_{4d_{3/2}} - E_{5p_{1/2}}}$$

TABLE II: The contributions to the scalar polarizability for the $5p_{1/2}$ state in Rb and their uncertainties. The corresponding energy differences [15] and the absolute values of the lowest-order (DF) and final all-order electric-dipole reduced matrix elements are also listed. The energy differences are given in cm⁻¹. Electric-dipole matrix elements are given in atomic units (ea_0) , and polarizabilities are given in a_0^3 , where a_0 is Bohr radius.

Contribution	k	$\langle 5p_{1/2} \ D \ k \rangle^{\mathrm{DF}}$	$\langle 5p_{1/2} D k \rangle^{\mathrm{SD}}$	$E_k - E_{5p_{1/2}}$	$\alpha_0(5p_{1/2})$
$\alpha^{\mathrm{main}}(ns)$	5s	4.819	4.231	-12579	-104.11(15)
,	6s	4.256	4.146	7554	166.5(2.2)
	7s	0.981	0.953	13733	4.835(16)
	8s	0.514	0.502	16468	1.120(7)
	9s	0.338	0.331	17920	0.448(3)
	10s	0.247	0.243	18783	0.230(2)
	11s	0.192	0.189	19338	0.135(1)
$\alpha^{\mathrm{tail}}(ns)$					1.9(0.2)
$lpha^{ m main}(nd_{3/2})$	$4d_{3/2}$	9.046	8.017	6777	694(30)
	$5d_{3/2}$	0.244	1.352	13122	10.2(9)
	$6d_{3/2}$	0.512	1.067	16108	5.2(1.1)
	$7d_{3/2}$	0.447	0.787	17701	2.6(4)
	$8d_{3/2}$	0.369	0.605	18643	1.4(2)
	$9d_{3/2}$	0.307	0.483	19243	0.89(10)
$\alpha^{\mathrm{tail}}(nd_{3/2})$,				10.5(10.5)
$\alpha^{ m core}$					9.08(45)
Total					805(31)

+
$$\alpha_0^{\text{other}}(5p_{1/2}) - 318.35(62) = 492.20(7),$$
 (5)

where we substituted the ground state polarizability values and separated the contribution from the $np_{1/2}-(n-1)d_{3/2}$ transition. However, the remaining contributions to the $np_{1/2}$ polarizabilities grouped together as $\alpha_0^{\rm other}(np_{1/2})$ still give 10 % to the polarizabilities of the $np_{1/2}$ states and need to be evaluated accurately for our approach to yield high-precision values. We describe such calculation below. For completeness, we describe the full theoretical evaluation of the $np_{1/2}$ polarizabilities first and then remove the dominate contribution to determine $\alpha_0^{\rm other}(np_{1/2})$.

We separate the valence polarizabilities into two parts, α^{main} , containing the contributions from the states near the valence state, and the remainder α^{tail} . We calculate the matrix elements contributing to the main term using the SD all-order method. We conduct additional semiempirical scaling of our all-order values (SD_{sc}) where we expect scaled values to be more accurate based on the analysis of the dominant correlation correction contributions. We refer the reader to Refs. [3, 5, 21, 22] for the description of the all-order method and the scaling procedure. The experimental energies from [13, 15] are used in all main term contributions. The remaining terms from highly-excited one-electron states are included in the α^{tail} part. The α^{tail} is calculated in DF approximation using a complete basis set functions that are linear combination of B-splines [23]. We use 70 splines of order 11 for each angular momentum state. A spherical cavity radius of 220 a.u. is chosen to accommodate all valence orbitals included in the calculation of α^{main} . We chose to include as many states as possible into α^{main} in order to decrease the uncertainty in the remainder term.

The contributions to the scalar polarizabilities of the $4p_{1/2}$ state in K and $5p_{1/2}$ state in Rb and their uncertainties are listed in Tables I and II, respectively. The corresponding experimental energy differences [13, 15, 24, 25 and the absolute values of the lowest-order (DF) and final all-order electric-dipole reduced matrix elements are also listed. The lowest-order values are listed in order to illustrate the size of the correlation correction for all transitions. We use the experimental numbers from Ref. [17] along with their uncertainties for the primary $ns - np_{1/2}$ transitions (for example, $5s - 5p_{1/2}$ transition in Rb). High-precision relativistic SD or scaled SD all-order values are used for all the remaining main term transitions. The uncertainties given for the matrix elements are equal to the differences between the SD ab*initio* and scaled values.

The tail contribution is rather small for the ns sum, but is significant for the $nd_{3/2}$ sum. In order to evaluate the uncertainties in the tail contributions, we calculated a few last main terms by using the DF approximation and compared the resulting values with the all-order values quoted in Tables I and II. In the case of the nsstates, the DF values differ from our accurate values by only 7-10 % with the difference decreasing with the principal quantum number n. As a result, we assign a 10 % uncertainty to the ns tail values. In the case of the $nd_{3/2}$ states, the correlation corrections are extremely large and nearly cancel the lowest-order contribution for K. The differences between the all-order and DF values are on the order of 90 % for K and 60 % for Rb. As we mentioned above, the large $nd_{3/2}$ tail contributions are the reason for the inclusion of so many states into the

main term. We take the uncertainty in the $nd_{3/2}$ tail contributions to be 100 %.

While such an estimate represents a high bound on the value of the Rb tail based on the comparison of the DF and all-order values, the case of K requires some additional consideration owing to larger discrepancies of the DF and all-order values even for n = 9. We have conducted additional all-order calculations of the K $4p_{1/2} - nd_{3/2}$ E1 matrix elements with n > 9. We found that our calculational scheme becomes impractical for n > 15. Such a problem is expected because the lowest-order energies of the higher basis set states are large and positive resulting in cancellations in the denominators of the MBPT terms and consequently leading to the divergence of the all-order iteration procedure. In our approach, the tail does not sufficiently converge at n = 15 to significantly reduce the tail error. As a result, we chose a different approach to ensure that we do not significantly underestimate the tail in the K calculation. We compared our final results for K and Rb with experimental values for the $np_{1/2}$ state polarizabilities by combining the D1 line Stark shifts from [1] with recommended ground state polarizability values from [18]. Our K and Rb values differ with the experimental results 606.7(6) a.u. and 810.6(6) a.u. by 0.69 % and 0.77 %, respectively. If the tail in the K calculation were significantly underestimated, we should have seen a significant mismatch of the K and Rb comparison since the tail problem is specific to K calculation.

Tables I and II show that the uncertainties of the $nd_{3/2}$ tail values give the overwhelmingly dominant contributions to the uncertainties of $\alpha_0^{\text{other}}(np_{1/2})$.

Subtracting the contribution from the $np_{1/2} - (n-1)d_{3/2}$ states from our final theoretical values for $np_{1/2}$ polarizabilities and removing the corresponding uncertainties from the total error budget, we obtain

$$\alpha_0^{\text{other}}(4p_{1/2}) = 61.6(4.8) \ a_0^3$$

 $\alpha_0^{\text{other}}(5p_{1/2}) = 111(11) \ a_0^3.$

Substituting the α_0^{other} values and experimental energies from [24] into Eq. (4), we obtain the following absolute values of the E1 matrix elements:

$$K \quad \langle 4p_{1/2} || D || 3d_{3/2} \rangle = 7.984(35) \ ea_0 \tag{6}$$

Rb
$$\langle 5p_{1/2} || D || 4d_{3/2} \rangle = 8.051(63) \ ea_0.$$
 (7)

The uncertainties of these values are essentially defined by the uncertainties of the respective $nd_{3/2}$ tail contributions for both K and Rb. The contributions of the uncertainties in the Stark shift measurements and ground state polarizabilities to the uncertainty of the recommended matrix elements values given by (6, 7) are negligible.

We compare these final recommended results with our *ab initio* and scaled values in Table III. Since the contributions of the triple excitation are important for the accurate evaluation of these matrix elements, we also conducted another all-order calculation partially including

TABLE III: Comparison of the recommended values for the $np_{1/2} - (n-1)d_{3/2}$ electric-dipole reduced matrix element in Rb and K derived from Stark shifts in this work (listed in row labeled "Present work"), with our theoretical calculations carried out using single-double all-order method (SD), single-double all-order method including partial triple excitations (SDpT), and their scaled values. Absolute values are given. Units: ea_0 .

	$K(4p_{1/2} - 3d_{3/2})$	$Rb(5p_{1/2} - 4d_{3/2})$
Present work	7.984(35)	8.051(63)
SD	7.868	7.846
$\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{sc}}$	7.949	8.017
SDpT	7.956	7.994
$\mathrm{SDpT}_{\mathrm{sc}}$	7.953	8.015
Final theory	7.949(80)	8.02(17)

TABLE IV: Recommended absolute values of the np-(n-1)d electric-dipole reduced matrix element in K and Rb. Units: ea_0 .

K		Rb	Rb		
$4p_{1/2} - 3d_{3/2}$	7.984(35)	$5p_{1/2} - 4d_{3/2}$	8.051(63)		
$4p_{3/2} - 3d_{3/2}$	3.580(16)	$5p_{3/2} - 4d_{3/2}$	3.633(28)		
$4p_{3/2} - 3d_{5/2}$	10.741(47)	$5p_{3/2} - 4d_{5/2}$	10.899(86)		

the triple excitations to the extent described in Ref. [5]. We refer to these results as SDpT values in Table III and text below. The corresponding scaled values are listed in row labeled "SDp T_{sc} ". We take the SDp T_{sc} values as out final values (see, for example, Refs. [22, 26] for the discussion of this issue). We note that SD_{sc} and ab initio SDpT values are essentially the same in the case of K and very close in the case of Rb. The uncertainty of the final values is taken to be the maximum difference between the final values and SD, SDpT, and SDpT_{sc} ones. Our allorder values are in excellent agreement with the values derived from the D1 line Stark shift. We also conclude that our procedure for the uncertainty evaluation of the theoretical matrix element values for the np - (n-1)dtransitions overestimates the uncertainty, especially for Rb.

We also evaluated the recommended values of the $4p_{3/2}-3d_j$ E1 matrix elements in K and $5p_{3/2}-4d_j$ E1 matrix elements in Rb using our recommended values (6, 7) and appropriate theoretical ratios. The ratios $\langle 4p_{1/2} \| D \| 3d_{3/2} \rangle / \langle 4p_{3/2} \| D \| 3d_{3/2} \rangle$ and $\langle 4p_{3/2} \| D \| 3d_{3/2} \rangle / \langle 4p_{3/2} \| D \| 3d_{5/2} \rangle$ in K are essentially independent of the correlation correction, i.e. the DF and all-order values are the same to better than 0.1%. Therefore, the theoretical values of the ratio are accurate enough for such a recalculation. The case of Rb is exactly the same as that of K. The complete set of our recommended values for all six np - (n-1)d transitions considered in this work is given in Table IV.

In summary, relativistic all-order calculations of the $ns_{1/2}$ and $np_{1/2}$ state polarizability are presented. The calculations are combined with the experimental Stark

shift values in order to determine the $np_{1/2} - (n-1)d_{3/2}$ matrix elements in K and Rb atoms with high precision. The values of the matrix elements calculated using the experimental Stark shifts are found to be in excellent agreement with the values of the reduced matrix elements evaluated using the relativistic all-order method. This

work provides a benchmark test for the all-order matrix elements involving nd states of monovalent systems.

This research was performed under the sponsorship of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, US Department of Commerce.

- [1] K. E. Miller, D. Krause, and L. R. Hunter, Phys. Rev. A 49, 5128 (1994).
- [2] M. S. Safronova, C. J. Williams, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A 67, 040303(R) (2003).
- [3] S. A. Blundell, J. Sapirstein, and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1602 (1992).
- [4] A. A. Vasilyev, I. M. Savukov, M. S. Safronova, and H. G. Berry, Phys. Rev. A 66, 020101(R) (2002).
- [5] M. S. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A 60, 4476 (1999).
- [6] D. DiBerardino, C. E. Tanner, and A. Sieradzan, Phys. Rev. A 57, 4204 (1998).
- [7] B. Hoeling, J. R. Yeh, T. Takekoshi, and R. J. Knize, Opt. Lett. 21, 74 (1996).
- [8] M. S. Safronova and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A 69, 040501(R) (2004).
- [9] L. R. Hunter, D. Krause, K. E. Miller, D. J. Berkeland, and M. G. Boshier, Opt. Commun. 94, 210 (1992).
- [10] C. E. Tanner and C. Wieman, Phys. Rev. A 38, 162 (1988).
- [11] J. A. Sherman, T. W. Koerber, A. Markhotok, W. Nagourney, and E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 243001 (2005).
- [12] E. L. Snow, M. A. Gearba, R. A. Komara, S. R. Lundeen, and W. G. Sturrus, Phys. Rev. A 71, 022510 (2005).
- [13] Y. Ralchenko, F. C. Jou, D. E. . Kelleher, A. E. Kramida, A. Musgrove, J. Reader, W. L. Wiese, and K. Olsen, Nist atomic spectra database (2005), (version 3.1.2). [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd3 [2007, August 29]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
- [14] L. R. Hunter, D. Krause, D. J. Berkeland, and M. G.

- Boshier, Phys. Rev. A 44, 6140 (1991).
- [15] C. E. Moore, Atomic Energy Levels, vol. 35 of Natl. Bur. Stand. Ref. Data Ser. (U. S. Govt. Print. Off., U.S. GPO, Washington, D.C., 1971).
- [16] W. R. Johnson, D. Kolb, and K. N. Huang, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 28, 333 (1983).
- [17] U. Volz and H. Schmoranzer, Phys. Scr. T 65, 48 (1996).
- [18] A. Derevianko, W. R. Johnson, M. S. Safronova, and J. F. Babb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3589 (1999).
- [19] M. S. Safronova, B. Arora, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A 73, 022505 (2006).
- [20] M. Gunawardena, D. S. Elliott, M. S. Safronova, and U. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022507 (2007).
- [21] S. A. Blundell, W. R. Johnson, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 43, 3407 (1991).
- [22] A. Kreuter, C. Becher, G. Lancaster, A. B. Mundt, C. Russo, H. Häffner, C. Roos, W. Hänsel, F. Schmidt-Kaler, R. Blatt, et al., Phys. Rev. A 71, 032504 (2005).
- [23] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 37, 307 (1988).
- [24] J. Sansonetti, W. Martin, and S. Young, Handbook of basic atomic spectroscopic data (2005), (version 1.1.2). [Online] Available: http://physics.nist.gov/Handbook [2007, August 29]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
- [25] B. Stoicheff and E. Weinberger, Can. J. Phys. 57, 2143 (1979).
- [26] M. Auzinsh, K. Bluss, R. Ferber, F. Gahbauer, A. Jarmola, M. S. Safronova, U. I. Safronova, and M. Tamanis, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022502 (2007).