

# A property of cyclotomic polynomials<sup>1</sup>

Giovanni Falcone<sup>2</sup>

Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici  
Viale delle Scienze Ed. 8, I-90128 Palermo (Italy)  
gfalcone@unipa.it

## Abstract

Given two cyclotomic polynomials  $\Phi_n(x)$  and  $\Phi_m(x)$ ,  $n \neq m$ , we determine the minimal natural number  $k$  such that we can write

$$k = a(x)\Phi_n(x) + b(x)\Phi_m(x),$$

with  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  integer polynomials.

In June 2000 the author of this note gave a talk at the conference "Combinatorics 2000" in Gaeta (Italy), titled *Dividing Cyclotomic Polynomials*. The content of that talk was proposed to the **American Mathematical Monthly** as Problem 10914 in Volume 109, January 2002, and a composite solution by R. Stong and N. Komanda was published on Volume 110, October 2003. The problem was also solved by R. Chapman, C. P. Rupert, the GCHQ Problem Solving Group and the proposer, whose original solution is given in this note.

**A property of cyclotomic polynomials.** We denote, as usual, with  $\Phi_k(x)$  the cyclotomic polynomial (with integer coefficients) of index  $k$ , defined inductively through the identity

$$x^m - 1 = \prod \Phi_k(x),$$

where  $k$  runs among the divisors of  $m$ .

The basic properties of cyclotomic polynomials are well known, as well as the role they play in several branches of Mathematics. Here we just recall that  $\Phi_m(1) = p$ , if  $m$  is a  $p$ -power ( $p$  prime),  $\Phi_m(1) = 1$  otherwise.

Given two different cyclotomic polynomials  $\Phi_m(x)$ ,  $\Phi_n(x)$ , we can find two polynomials  $s(x), t(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

$$1 = s(x)\Phi_m(x) + t(x)\Phi_n(x),$$

$\Phi_m(x)$  and  $\Phi_n(x)$  being irreducible as element of the euclidean ring  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Since the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a unique factorization domain, but not a euclidean

<sup>1</sup>AMS MCS 11C08.

<sup>2</sup>Supported by M.I.U.R., Università di Palermo.

ring, here this property fails. But the existence of an integer  $k$  and of two integer polynomials  $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , such that

$$k = a(x)\Phi_m(x) + b(x)\Phi_n(x),$$

is manifestly guaranteed.

This note is essentially an integration of [1], where we used cyclotomic polynomials to define minimal polynomials of finite automorphisms of groups. The knowledge of the integer  $k$  is useful in order to give a *unique* definition of the minimal polynomial for elements of finite order in a ring, as well as a decomposition of a group, on which a finite automorphism is acting, into the direct sum of invariant subgroups. We refer to [1] for more details.

According to [1], the following proposition holds good:

**Proposition.** *Let  $m > n$  be two integers. If  $n$  does not divide  $m$  then two polynomial  $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  exist, such that*

$$1 = a(x)\Phi_m(x) + b(x)\Phi_n(x).$$

In order to evaluate  $k$  we can confine ourself then to the case in which  $n$  is a divisor of  $m$ :

**Proposition.** *Let  $\Phi_m(x), \Phi_n(x)$  be two cyclotomic polynomials, and let  $n$  be a divisor of  $m$ . Then two polynomials  $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  exist, such that*

$$k = a(x)\Phi_m(x) + b(x)\Phi_n(x),$$

where  $k = 1$ , if  $\frac{m}{n}$  is not a prime-power, or  $k = p$ , if  $\frac{m}{n} = p^t$  ( $p$  a prime). In the latter case,  $p$  is the smallest positive integer with that property.

*Proof.* Note that, since  $n$  divides  $m$ , then  $\Phi_m(x)$  is a divisor of the polynomial  $\Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(x^n)$ . In fact, if  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity, then  $\omega$  generates a cyclic group of order  $m$  and  $\omega^n$  generates a cyclic group of order  $\frac{m}{n}$ , that is  $\omega^n$  is a primitive  $\frac{m}{n}$ -th root of unity, hence

$$\Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(\omega^n) = 0.$$

Each root of  $\Phi_m(x)$  is then a root of  $\Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(x^n)$ . Since  $\Phi_m(x)$  is irreducible, this means that  $\Phi_m(x)$  is a divisor of  $\Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(x^n)$ , even over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  by Gauß' Lemma.

Write  $\Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(x^n) = a(x)\Phi_m(x)$  and let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity, so we have

$$a(\sigma)\Phi_m(\sigma) = \Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(\sigma^n) = \Phi_{\frac{m}{n}}(1) = k,$$

where  $k = 1$ , if  $\frac{m}{n}$  is not a prime-power, or  $k = p$ , if  $\frac{m}{n} = p^t$  ( $p$  prime).

Divide  $a(x)\Phi_m(x)$  by  $\Phi_n(x)$  and write

$$a(x)\Phi_m(x) = b(x)\Phi_n(x) + r(x),$$

where  $r(x)$  is an integer polynomial of lower degree than the one of  $\Phi_n(x)$ . We get

$$k = r(\sigma),$$

but this is possible only if  $r(x)$  is a constant (equal to  $k$ ), since the degree of  $r(x) - k$  is lower than the one of the irreducible polynomial  $\Phi_n(x)$ .

In order to prove that, if  $\frac{m}{n} = p^t$  ( $p$  prime), no other natural number  $0 < k < p$  is such that

$$k = a(x)\Phi_m(x) + b(x)\Phi_n(x),$$

we note that  $\Phi_m(x)$  and  $\Phi_n(x)$  have, in this case, the same roots on a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  (see the following Note), and for such a root  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  we would have

$$k = a(\alpha)\Phi_m(\alpha) + b(\alpha)\Phi_n(\alpha) = 0,$$

a contradiction. □

**Note.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $h = kp^r$ ,  $p$  not dividing  $k$ . Then

$$\Phi_h(\alpha) = 0 \iff \Phi_k(\alpha) = 0.$$

In fact, one can immediatly see that on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  the following two properties of cyclotomic polynomials hold good:

*i)* if  $p$  is a prime, not dividing  $n$ , then  $\Phi_n(x)\Phi_{pn}(x)$  and  $\Phi_n(x^p)$  have precisely the same roots, hence

$$\Phi_{pn}(x) = \frac{\Phi_n(x^p)}{\Phi_n(x)};$$

*ii)* if  $n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s}$  is the prime factorization of  $n$ , then

$$\Phi_n(x) = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(x^{(p_1^{(r_1-1)} \cdots p_s^{(r_s-1)})}).$$

In fact  $\omega$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity if and only if  $\omega^{(p_1^{(r_1-1)} \cdots p_s^{(r_s-1)})}$  is a primitive  $(p_1 \cdots p_s)$ -th root of unity.

Now let  $k = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s}$  be the prime factorization of  $k$ , then  $h = kp^r = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s} p^r$  is the prime factorization of  $h$  and we have

$$\Phi_h(x) = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s p}(x^{(p_1^{(r_1-1)} \cdots p_s^{(r_s-1)} p^{(r-1)})}).$$

Put for short  $y = x^{(p_1^{(r_1-1)} \cdots p_s^{(r_s-1)})}$ , and rewrite

$$\Phi_h(x) = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s p}(y^{p^{(r-1)}}) = \frac{\Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y^{p^r})}{\Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y^{p^{(r-1)}})}.$$

Since we are evaluating on a field of characteristic  $p$ , we have now

$$\Phi_h(x) = \frac{\Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y)^{p^r}}{\Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y)^{p^{(r-1)}}} = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y)^{p^{(r-1)}(p-1)}.$$

But we have, as well

$$\Phi_k(x) = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(x^{(p_1^{(r_1-1)} \cdots p_s^{(r_s-1)})}) = \Phi_{p_1 \cdots p_s}(y),$$

so we are done.

## References

- [1] G. Falcone "Cyclotomic polynomials and finite automorphisms of groups", *Geom. Dedicata* 84 (2001), Nos. 1-3, 235-244