## Many-body quantum coherence and interaction blockade in Josephson-linked Bose-Einstein condensates

CHAOHONG LEE<sup>1</sup> (a), LI-BIN FU<sup>1,2</sup> and YURI S. KIVSHAR<sup>1</sup>

PACS 03.75.Dg – Atom and neutron interferometry

PACS 03.75.Lm – Tunneling, Josephson effect, Bose-Einstein condensates in periodic potentials, solitons, vortices, and topological excitations

PACS 39.20.+q – Atom interferometry techniques (see also 03.75.Dg Atom and neutron interferometry in quantum mechanics)

Abstract. - We study many-body quantum coherence and interaction blockade in two Josephson-linked Bose-Einstein condensates. We introduce universal operators for characterizing many-body coherence without limitations on the system symmetry and total particle number N. We reproduce the results for both coherence fluctuations and number squeezing in symmetric systems of large N, and reveal several peculiar phenomena that may occur in asymmetric systems and systems of small N. For asymmetric systems, we show that, due to an interplay between asymmetry and interparticle interaction, the coherence fluctuations are suppressed dramatically when  $|E_C/E_J| \ll 1$ , and both resonant tunneling and interaction blockade take place for large values of  $|E_C/E_J|$ , where  $E_C$  and  $E_J$  are the interaction and tunneling energies, respectively. We emphasize that the resonant tunneling and interaction blockade may allow creating single-atom devices with promising technology applications. We demonstrate that for the systems at finite temperatures the formation of self-trapped states causes an anomalous behavior.

Utilizing the current experimental techniques, it is possible to prepare atomic Bose-Einstein condensates in which all atoms occupy the same single-particle state. To explore the quantum coherence between two such condensates, it is natural to link them through the Josephson tunneling [1], and then release for producing interference. Atomic interferometry [2] provides an important experimental tool for the fundamental studies in atomic, optical, and quantum physics, as well as promising applications in high-precision measurements and quantum information processing [3]. By loading condensates into double-well potentials, atomic interferometers have been realized experimentally [4–7]. Furthermore, some many-body quantum effects such as coherence fluctuations [6, 8], conditional tunneling [9], number squeezing [10], and long-time coherence assisted by number squeezing [11] as well as finite-temperature effects [8] have been observed in experiment. However, clear theoretical explanation for many of those effects, such as the full physical picture of the coherence fluctuations [6,8], the resonant tunneling and the interaction blockade [12–15] are still largely missing. Below, we present a general approach for analyzing many-body quantum coherence and interaction blockade and give a full picture of the coherence fluctuations. Particularly, our results of resonant tunnelling can qualitatively explain the conditional tunneling which has been observed recently [9].

To study quantum fluctuations in the Josephson-linked coherent systems such as Bose-Einstein condensates in double-well potentials, one has to analyze the system within the full quantum theory. Under the conditions of tight binding, the quantum system can be mapped onto a two-site Bose-Hubbard model [10]. Then, the number fluctuations can be easily analyzed by applying the techniques well-developed in quantum optics [16]. Where, however, the coherence fluctuations could not be clearly depicted. Previously, the phase fluctuations have been used as a measure characterizing the coherence fluctuations. However, due to the absence of the phase operators

Nonlinear Physics Center and ARC Center of Excellence for Quantum-Atom Optics, Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 100088, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>(</sup>a) Corresponding author's email:chl124@rsphysse.anu.edu.au

in the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonians, one has to introduce the quantum-phase models [17,18]. The quantum-phase models describe qualitatively the systems with small number differences, but they can not describe the systems of large number differences and fail to maintain the hermiticity for asymmetric systems. Additionally, because the phase is measured modulo  $2\pi$ , the fluctuations of phase do not provide the best quantitative characterization for the coherence fluctuations [11].

In this Letter, we introduce Hermitian operators for the phase coherence rather than a relative phase, and then analyze the coherence fluctuations and interaction blockade. The coherence operators are defined with the atomic creation, annihilation, and number operators, so that they can be calculated directly with the general Bose-Hubbard models. The operational approach has two advantages: first, its validity is independent of the total particle numbers and, second, it works for both symmetric and asymmetric systems. We examine how the coherence fluctuations depend on the total number of particles, the interparticle interaction energy, the junction tunneling energy, asymmetry, and temperature. Without loss of generality, for all calculations about the fluctuations in zerotemperature systems, we only consider the ground states of the full quantum model: quantized Bose-Josephson junction. For the calculations about the fluctuations in finite-temperature systems, we use both the full quantum and the mean-field models.

Below, we not only reproduce the pictures of coherence fluctuations and number squeezing in large-size symmetric systems which can be described by the quantum phase models, but also reveal several peculiar phenomena in small-size systems and asymmetric systems which can not be described by the standard quantum phase models. Such as, (i) due to an interplay between the system asymmetry and inter-particle interaction, single-atom resonance effects suppress the quantum fluctuations of coherence and the interaction blockade occurs between the neighboring resonant peaks; and (ii) the occupation of highly-excited states of degeneracy (macroscopic quantum self-trapping) causes an anomalous behavior of the coherence fluctuations at finite temperatures. These results are qualitatively consistent with the recent experiment data on many-body quantum phenomena [6,8,10,11] and finitetemperature dynamics [8]. In particular, the resonant effects are confirmed by the most recent experimental observations of the conditional tunneling [9]; the physics of the interaction blockade and resonant tunneling open a route for designing single-atom devices for applications in sensitive metrology and information technology.

We consider the quantum model of two Josephsonlinked atomic condensates with the conserved total number of particles. From the physical point of view, this situation can be realized by confining atomic condensates in a double-well potential [4–7]. Eliminating the constant terms including the total number of atoms, the system Hamiltonian

$$H = -J(a_1^+ a_2 + a_1 a_2^+) + \frac{\delta}{2}(n_2 - n_1) + \frac{E_C}{8}(n_2 - n_1)^2$$
 (1)

describes a quantized Bose-Josephson junction. Here,  $a_j^+(a_j)$  are the atomic creation (annihilation) operators for the j-th (j=1,2) well, and  $n_j$  are the corresponding number operators. Thus, the system behavior is determined by the total number N, the charging (inter-particle interaction) energy  $E_C$ , the inter-well tunneling strength J, and the asymmetry parameter  $\delta$ . It has been suggested that the symmetric systems with negative charging energies can be used to realize the Heisenberg-limited interferometry [19]. Here, we consider both symmetric and asymmetric systems with the positive charging energies.

Under the mean-field approximation,  $a_j \to \sqrt{n_j} e^{i\phi_j}$  and  $a_j^+ \to \sqrt{n_j} e^{-i\phi_j}$ , the macroscopic quantum behavior (such as Josephson oscillations, self-trapping [20], and dynamical bifurcation [21]) obeys a classical non-rigid pendulum Hamiltonian,

$$H_{cl} = E_C n^2 / 2 + \delta n - E_J \sqrt{1 - (2n/N)^2} \cos \phi,$$
 (2)

with the quasi-angular momentum  $n=(n_2-n_1)/2$  and the relative phase  $\phi=\phi_2-\phi_1$ . Here,  $E_J=NJ$  denotes the junction energy. For the symmetric systems  $(\delta=0)$  with small number differences  $(|2n/N|\sim 0)$ , one can introduce the quantum phase model via  $n\to i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$  and  $(2n/N)\to 0$  (see Ref. [17,18] and references therein). However, it can not depict the behavior of systems with large number differences or self-trapping, and it fails to maintain hermiticity when  $\delta\neq 0$ .

To explore the coherence fluctuations, we introduce operators for the phase coherence. Drawing upon the quantum phase concept [22] for single-mode coherence, we define the operators for two-mode first-order coherence as

$$\cos \phi = \frac{(a_2^+ a_1 + a_2 a_1^+)}{\sqrt{2 \langle 2n_1 n_2 + n_1 + n_2 \rangle}},$$

$$\sin \phi = \frac{i(a_2^+ a_1 - a_2 a_1^+)}{\sqrt{2 \langle 2n_1 n_2 + n_1 + n_2 \rangle}}.$$
(3)

two operators satisfy conditions  $\langle \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi \rangle = 1$ . Without considerations in total particle number conservation and inter-particle interaction, similar operators have been used to study the phase coherence of photons from different lasers [23]. So that the previous results of photons can not be applied to systems of conserved total particle numbers and inter-particle interaction, such as the Josephson-linked condensates considered in this paper. Similarly, one can define the operators for two-mode second-order coherence,  $\cos(2\phi) = \left[ (a_2^+ a_1)^2 + (a_2 a_1^+)^2 \right] / K_S$  and  $\sin(2\phi) = i \left[ (a_2^+ a_1)^2 - (a_2 a_1^+)^2 \right] / K_S$ , where the constant  $K_S = \langle 2n_1n_2 + n_1 + n_2 \rangle$ . Fluctuations of the two-mode first-order coherence are quantitatively characterized by the variance

$$\Delta(\cos\phi) = \langle \cos^2\phi \rangle - \langle \cos\phi \rangle^2. \tag{4}$$

Here, the expectation for  $\cos \phi$  is

$$\langle \cos \phi \rangle = \frac{\langle a_2^+ a_1 + a_2 a_1^+ \rangle}{\sqrt{2 \langle 2n_1 n_2 + n_1 + n_2 \rangle}},\tag{5}$$

and the expectation for  $\cos^2 \phi$  is

$$\langle \cos^2 \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\langle (a_2^+ a_1)^2 \rangle + \langle (a_2 a_1^+)^2 \rangle}{2 \langle 2n_1 n_2 + n_1 + n_2 \rangle}.$$
 (6)

The variance  $\Delta(\cos\phi)$  vanishes when two condensates becomes phase-locked. Otherwise, the variance approaches the value 1/2 when two condensates have no phase correlations. The above variance has been successfully employed for explaining the q-vortex melting [24].




Fig. 1: Coherence fluctuations and number squeezing in symmetric Bose-Josephson junctions. (a) Coherence fluctuations  $\Delta(\cos\phi)$  vs.  $E_C/E_J$ ; and (b) inverse square of number squeezing  $1/S^2$  vs.  $N^2E_C/E_J$ . Dashed lines, dashed-dot lines, circles, and dots correspond to the cases N=2,4,50 and 100, respectively. For sufficiently large N, the curves approaches the result obtained from the Gaussian ansatz applied to the probability amplitudes (solid line).

For symmetric systems at zero temperature, the coherence fluctuations  $\Delta(\cos\phi)$  and the number squeezing  $S = \sqrt{N/\Delta N_r}$  (where  $\Delta N_r = \langle N_r^2 \rangle - \langle N_r \rangle^2$  is the variance for relative numbers  $N_r = n_2 - n_1$ ) show different parametric dependencies. The coherence fluctuations grow monotonously with the ratio  $E_C/E_J = E_C/(NJ)$ , however, the number squeezing grows monotonously with the ratio  $N^2E_C/E_J = NE_C/J$ . The behavior of the coherence fluctuations is qualitatively consistent with the experimental observations made via adjusting the separation distance [6] or the barrier height [8], which corresponds to varying  $E_J$ . Because the coherence time grows

with the number squeezing [25], the different parametric dependence of the coherence fluctuations and number squeezing indicates that one can increase the coherence time without loss of coherence, by increasing the total number N or with some loss of coherence, by increasing the ratio  $E_C/E_J$ . This result justifies qualitatively the experimental approach for adjusting the coherence time via varying the barrier height (i.e., controlling  $E_{J}$ ) [11], in which the coherence time grows with the barrier height. For sufficiently large N, the parametric dependence of coherence fluctuations and number squeezing are consistent with the previous results obtained from other approaches [17,25,26]. Our operational approach also explores the finite-size effects in systems of small N. For commensurate systems (whose N are even integers) of very weak or strong interactions, the coherence fluctuations are almost independent of N. However, in the intermediate region of  $E_C/E_J \sim 1$ , the coherence fluctuations decrease with N. In Fig. 1, we show the parametric dependence for the ground-state coherence fluctuations and number squeezing for symmetric systems with different total number of particles.

For asymmetric systems at zero temperature and without inter-particle interaction ( $E_C = 0$ ), both coherence fluctuations and number squeezing increase monotonically with the asymmetry parameter  $\delta$ . For small values of  $E_C/E_J$ , before the asymmetry  $\delta$  starts dominating the dynamics, the coherence fluctuations can be suppressed by an interplay between asymmetry and repulsive interparticle interaction; the suppression becomes more significant for larger values of  $E_C$ . This suppression of the coherence fluctuations originates from the different localization mechanisms dominated by asymmetry and repulsive inter-particle interaction. The asymmetry tends to localize all atoms in the lower well, however, the repulsive inter-particle interaction (positive charging energy) tends to localize atoms in two wells without number difference. In Fig. 2, we show the coherence fluctuations and number squeezing in asymmetric systems with N = 100,  $E_J = 100$ and  $E_C/E_J \ll 1$ .

For asymmetric systems with large values of  $E_C/E_J$ at zero temperature, the coherence fluctuations can be suppressed resonantly, due to degeneracy and quasidegeneracy of the energy levels occurring at some particular values of the ratio  $\delta/E_C$ . For systems without tunneling, the eigenstate of quasi-angular momentum n has the energy  $E^*(n) = \delta n + E_C n^2/2$ , and so that two neighboring levels become degenerate when  $E^*(n) = E^*(n+1)$ . This means that, near the values of  $\delta/E_C = -(n+1/2)$ for  $n = (-N/2, -N/2 + 1, \dots, N/2 - 1)$ , at least one atom can tunnel freely between two wells, even in the limit of very weak tunneling (i.e., for very small values of  $E_{I}$ ). Therefore, the coherence fluctuations from interparticle interaction will be suppressed resonantly, with the resonance width depending on  $E_J$ . This is a singleatom resonance effect caused by the degeneracy and quasidegeneracy between the states of the neighboring angular




Fig. 2: Coherence fluctuations and number squeezing in asymmetric systems with N=100,  $E_J=100$ , and  $E_C/E_J\ll 1$ . (a) Coherence fluctuations vs.  $\delta/E_J$ ; and (b) inverse square of number squeezing  $1/S^2$  vs.  $\delta/E_J$ .

momenta n. For very small values of  $E_J/E_C$ , we perform a perturbation analysis by assuming that the perturbed ground state is a superposition of the two lowest states of the system without tunneling. Namely, the ground state  $|\psi\rangle_G = \alpha_1 |L_1\rangle + \alpha_2 |L_2\rangle$ , where  $|L_1\rangle$  and  $|L_2\rangle$  are the two lowest states. The two coefficients are determined by the lowest energy state of the following eigenvalue equation,

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{array}\right) = E \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{array}\right),$$

in which  $H_{ij} = \langle L_i | H | L_j \rangle$  (i, j = 1, 2). The perturbation results confirm the resonant suppression of coherence fluctuations, and they show that the resonance width increases with  $E_J/E_C$ . Similarly, if we prepare a state of a quasi-angular momentum n, the correlated tunneling of an atomic pair (two-atom resonance) should correspond to the degeneracy condition  $E^*(n) = E^*(n+2)$ . Our results for single- and two-atom resonances explain consistently the recent observations of conditional tunneling [9]. In Fig. 3, we show the coherence fluctuations in the ground states of asymmetric systems with  $N = 100, E_J = 100$  and large values of  $E_C$ . For a very large value of the charging energy,  $E_C = 800$  (green lines), our numerical results differ slightly from the the results calculated by the perturbation analysis. Even for a moderate value of the charging energy,  $E_C = 100$ , our numerical results clearly show the resonant suppression of the coherence fluctuations. Apparently, the resonance for the case  $E_C = 100$  is wider then that for the case  $E_C = 800$ .

The single-atom resonant tunneling is a signature of interaction blockade induced by the s-wave scattering between atoms. It is well known that the Coulomb blockade of single-electron tunneling occurs because of the charging energy [12]. In the Bose-Josephson junction (1), the




Fig. 3: Coherence fluctuations in the ground states of asymmetric systems with  $N=100,\ E_J=100$  and large values of  $E_C$ . (a) Coherence fluctuations  $\Delta(\cos\phi)$  vs.  $\delta/E_C$ ; and (b) the enlarged region between two dot lines shown in (a). Blue and green lines correspond to the values  $E_C=100$  and  $E_C=800$ , respectively. Resonant suppression of the coherence fluctuations occurs at  $\delta=-E_C(k+1/2)$  for k=(-N/2,-N/2+1,...,N/2-1), marked by dashed red lines in (b).

asymmetry and the inter-particle interaction act the roles of the applied voltage and the charging interaction, respectively. As a results of the interaction blockade, adiabatically sweeping the asymmetry, atoms in the ground state tunnel through the potential barrier one-by-one and the coherence vs. asymmetry dependence is a set of sharp peaks between plateaus of interaction block. The interplay of the single-atom resonant tunneling and the interaction blockade allows one to create so called single-atom devices, which would provide promising application in sensitive metrology and information technology like their electronic counterparts [14, 15]. For a strongly interacting system in optical lattices with asymmetry imposed by a harmonic potential, the appearance of Mott-insulator shells [27,28] is also the results of interaction blockade. In Fig. 4, we show resonant tunneling peaks and interaction blockade plateaus for a quantized Bose-Josephson junction of N = 10,  $E_J = 10$  and  $E_C = (1000, 100, 10)$ . In which,  $\Delta(N_r)$  and  $\langle N_r \rangle$  are the quantum fluctuation and expectation of the relative particle number  $N_r$ , respectively. The regular steps in  $\langle N_r \rangle$  and sharp peaks in  $\Delta(N_r)$ clearly show the single-atom resonant tunneling and the interaction blockade. It also show that the interaction blockade becomes more and more significant when the interaction energy  $E_C$  increases. In the recent experiment of atoms confined in double-well lattices [9], N=2 and  $E_I/E_C \approx 0.2$ . For the state of two atoms in the first well, n = -1, the single-atom (and two-atom) resonances occur at  $\delta = E_C/2 = 0.78E_r$  (and  $\delta = 0$ ) when the resonant conditions  $E^*(n) = E^*(n+1)$  (and  $E^*(n) = E^*(n+2)$ ) are satisfied. In which, the recoil energy  $E_r = h^2/(2m\lambda^2)$  with the mass m for a <sup>87</sup>Rb atom, the Planck's constant h, and the short-lattice wave-length  $\lambda = 765$  nm.




Fig. 4: Peaks of single-atom resonant tunneling and plateaus of interaction blockade. (a) The fluctuations of relative particle numbers and (b) the expectation values of half relative particle numbers versus  $\delta/E_C$ . Solid, dash and dash-dot lines correspond to  $E_C/E_J=100,\,10$  and 1, respectively.

The analysis presented above describes the system properties at zero temperature. For finite-temperature systems, assuming a canonical ensemble statistics, the equilibrium states are mixed states described by the density matrix  $\rho(T) = \exp(-\beta H)/Tr[\exp(-\beta H)]$ . Here,  $\beta = 1/(k_BT)$ ,  $Tr[\rho(T)] = 1$ ,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and H is the Hamiltonian matrix. The thermodynamic average and variance of an arbitrary operator Q are  $\langle Q \rangle_T = Tr[\rho(T)Q]$  and  $\Delta(Q)_T = Tr[\rho(T)(Q - \langle Q \rangle)^2]$ , respectively.

For the systems of fixed parameters, we find that the coherence fluctuations grow with temperature. However, for the systems at fixed temperature, the parametric dependence of the coherence fluctuations relies on the values of temperature. For low temperatures, the coherence fluctuations grow with  $E_C/E_J$ . This finite-temperature behavior is qualitatively consistent with the experimental data on noise thermometry with two Josephson-linked condensates of Bose atoms [8]. For higher temperatures, the coherence fluctuations grow with  $E_C/E_J$  except for the anomalous behaviors near the value  $E_C/E_J \sim 4/N^2$ . For every eigenstate, we numerically find that the quantum fluctuations of coherence increase with  $E_C/E_J$ . This indicates that the monotonous growth of the coherence fluctuations vs  $E_C/E_J$  (except for the anomalous region) in finite-temperature systems is a signature of the quantum fluctuations.

Using the mean-field Hamiltonian (2) with the same parameters, we estimate the coherence fluctuations with the




Fig. 5: Quantum and thermal fluctuations of the phase coherence vs.  $E_C/E_J$  for symmetric systems of N=100 and different values of temperature. Circles and dots correspond to the cases  $E_J=1.0$  and  $E_J=0.5$ , respectively. Dashed lines are estimated with the classical Boltzmann theory.

classical Boltzmann theory. For systems of low temperatures and very small values of  $E_C/E_J$ , the classical estimations show excellent consistence with the quantum ones. Otherwise, the classical results greatly differ from the quantum ones. This means that the mean-field Hamiltonian works good for systems of low temperatures and very small values of  $E_C/E_J$ . Because the mean-field treatment uses the coherent state approximation and only the low-energy states for systems of small  $E_C/E_J$  are close to coherent states, the excellent consistence for systems of low temperature and small values of  $E_C/E_J$  is a natural result of the mean-field approximation.

In Fig. 5, we show the quantum and thermal fluctuations of the phase coherence vs.  $E_C/E_J$  for symmetric systems of N = 100 and different values of T. In which, the dashed lines are obtained from the mean-field model (2) and the other data are calculated from the full quantum model (1). The region near  $E_C/E_J \ge 4/N^2$ is the region of degenerated highest excited states which corresponds to the macroscopic quantum self-trapping in mean-field models [20, 21, 29]. The anomalous behavior of the coherence fluctuations in this region is caused by the occupation of the high excited states of two-fold degeneracy when the temperature becomes sufficiently high. We also calculate the averaged energy spacing for different parameters, and the results show a minimum in the crossover region between normal and self-trapping regions. For fixed and sufficiently high temperatures, the populations of high-excited states in this crossover region will larger than those in other regions, and then the coherence fluctuations show an anomalous peak.

In conclusion, we have studied the mechanisms of coherence fluctuations and interaction blockade in two Josephson-linked Bose-Einstein condensates with repulsive interaction. Our results for the coherence fluctuations and their resonant suppression are qualitatively consistent with several recent experimental studies of coherence fluctuations [6, 8], conditional tunneling of single atoms and atom pairs [9], number squeezing [10], and squeezingassisted long-time coherence [11]. We have revealed how the fluctuations depend on the system parameters and temperature. The different parametric dependencies of the coherence fluctuations and the number squeezing provide a route for controlling the coherence time experimentally by adjusting the barrier height (junction energy) [11]. In particular, we have shown that a competition between the potential asymmetry and inter-particle interaction leads to the resonant tunneling and interaction blockade. The resonant effects explain consistently the recent observations of the conditional tunneling of single atoms and atom pairs [9]. More promisingly, the mechanisms of resonant single-atom tunneling and interaction blockade provide an alternative approach for designing single-atom devices for applications in sensitive metrology and information technology. At higher temperatures, an anomalous behavior of the coherence fluctuations is an indicative of the energy level degeneracy corresponding to the macroscopic quantum self-trapping effect [20, 21].

\* \* \*

We thank Dr. Elena Ostrovskaya for stimulating discussions. This work has been supported by the Australian Research Council through the Discovery and Centre of Excellence projects. Dr. L.-B. Fu is partially supported the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC, Grants No. 10604009).

## REFERENCES

- [1] LEGGETT A.J., Rev. Mod. Phys., 73 (2001) 307.
- [2] Kasevich M.A., Science, 298 (2002) 1363.
- [3] Anderlini M., Lee P.J., Brown B.L., Sebby-Strabley J., Phillips W.D. and Porto J.V., Nature, 448 (2007) 452.
- [4] SHIN Y., SABA M., PASQUINI T.A., KETTERLE W., PRITCHARD D.E. and LEANHARDT A.E., Phys. Rev. Lett., 92 (2004) 050405.
- [5] ALBIEZ M., GATI R., FOLLING J., HUNSMANN S., CRISTIANI M. and OBERTHALER M.K., Phys. Rev. Lett., 95 (2005) 010402.
- [6] SCHUMM T., HOFFERBERTH S., ANDERSSON L.M., WILDERMUTH S., GROTH S., BAR-JOSEPH I., SCHMIEDMAYER J. and KRUGER P., Nature Phys., 1 (2005) 57.
- [7] HALL B.V., WHITLOCK S., ANDERSON R., HANNAFORD P. and SIDOROV A.I., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98** (2007) 030402.
- [8] Gati R., Hemmerling B., Folling J., Albiez M. and Oberthaler M.K., Phys. Rev. Lett., 96 (2006) 130404.
- [9] FÖLLING S., TROTZKY S., CHEINET P., FELD M., SAERS R., WIDERA A., MULLER T. and BLOCH I., Nature, 448 (2007) 1029.
- [10] ORZEL C., TUCHMAN A.K., FENSELAU M.L., YASUDA M. and KASEVICH M.A., Science, 291 (2001) 2386.

- [11] JO G.-B., SHIN Y., WILL S., PASQUINI T.A., SABA M., KETTERLE W., PRITCHARD D.E., VENGALATTORE M. and PRENTISS M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 98 (2007) 030407.
- [12] KULIK I.O. and SHEKHTER R.I., Sov. Phys. -JETP, 41 (1975) 308.
- [13] KOCH H. AND LÜBBIG H. (Editor), Single-Electron Tunneling and Mesoscopic Devices (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg) 1995.
- [14] DEVORET M.H., ESTEVE D. and URBINA C., Nature, 360 (1992) 547.
- [15] WASER R. (Editor), Nanoelectronics and Information Technology (Wiley-Vch, Berlin) 2005.
- [16] Walls D.F., Nature, 306 (1983) 141.
- [17] PITAEVSKII L. and STRINGARI S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001) 180402.
- [18] Anglin J.R., Drummond P. and Smerzi A., *Phys. Rev.*  $A, \, \mathbf{64} \, (2001) \, 063605.$
- [19] Lee C., Phys. Rev. Lett., 97 (2006) 150402.
- [20] SMERZI A., FANTONI S., GIOVANAZZI S. and SHENOY S.R., Phys. Rev. Lett., 79 (1997) 4950.
- [21] LEE C., HAI W., SHI L. and GAO K., Phys. Rev. A, 69 (2004) 033611.
- [22] BARNETT S.M. and PEGG D.T., J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 19 (1986) 3849.
- [23] NOH J.W., FOUGERES A. and MANDEL L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 67 (1991) 1426.
- [24] LEE C., ALEXANDER T.J. and KIVSHAR Y.S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 97 (2006) 180408.
- [25] IMAMOĞLU A., LEWENSTEIN M. and YOU L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 78 (1997) 2511.
- [26] PITAEVSKII L.P. and STRINGARI S., Bose-Einstein Condensation (Oxford University Press, London) 2003.
- [27] CAMPBELL G.K., MUN J., BOYD M., MEDLEY P., LEANHARDT A.E., MARCASSA L., PRITCHARD D.E. and KETTERLE W., Science, **313** (2006) 649.
- [28] FÖLLING S., WIDERA A., MUELLER T., GERBIER F. and BLOCH I., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **97** (2006) 060403.
- [29] Writing the pure states for the quantum system (1) as  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{n=-N/2}^{N/2} C(n)|n=N_r/2\rangle$ , the density distributions  $|C(n)|^2$  vs.  $E_C/E_J$  for the highest-excited and sub-highest-excited states can be calculated. Indeed, the classical  $\pi$ -phase stationary states in the mean-field Hamiltonian (2) correspond to the extrema (minima or maxima) of  $|C(n)|^2$ . In which, the stable and unstable fixed points correspond to the maxima and minima, respectively. The details of the correspondence between quantum degeneracy and classical bifurcation will be published elsewhere.