

The location of electromagnetic energy

Jerrold Franklin*

Department of Physics

Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122-6082

November 13, 2024

Abstract

The nature of electromagnetic energy for general charge and current distributions is analyzed. We compare several forms for the electromagnetic energy, and discuss under what conditions there will be electromagnetic energy in a specific volume. Our conclusion is that electromagnetic energy resides in charge and current densities, with electromagnetic energy density given by $u_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A})$.

1 Introduction

The concept of electromagnetic energy is generally introduced as a consequence of conservation of energy in the interaction of electromagnetic fields or potentials with charge-current distributions. However, the form that electromagnetic energy takes, or its location in space, has not been definitively settled, with one form or the other being used in different situations.

The total electromagnetic energy of a charge-current distribution is given by the integral over all space of either^{1,2}

$$u_{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{B}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{or } u_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}). \quad (2)$$

*Internet address: Jerry.F@TEMPLE.EDU

¹We are using Gaussian units with $c=1$.

²This assumes that possible surface integrals vanish at infinite distances for either result.

If the integral is over all space, it does not matter which of the two forms is used. However, integrals of the two forms over a finite volume can give different results. This leads to the question, noted in several electromagnetism textbooks, of which of the two should be considered an electromagnetic energy density.³

For instance, this is considered by Griffiths in his textbook, “Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edn.”, in several steps. For electrostatics, he asks “Where is the energy, then? Is it stored in the field, or is it stored in the charge?”⁴. Then, he suggests that “In the context of radiation theory it is useful to regard the energy as stored in the field.” He finally concludes (in a footnote) that “The *location* of energy is not really determined in electrodynamics.”⁵

In the following sections of this paper, we resolve the question of whether electromagnetic energy is stored in the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B}) or in charge-current (ρ and \mathbf{j}) distributions. We find that electromagnetic energy is in charge-current distributions, and not the fields. We also show, somewhat surprisingly, that this is the case even in electromagnetic radiation.

2 Electromagnetic Energy of a Charge-Current Distribution

The rate at which energy is put into matter by an electric field in a volume, V , is given by

$$\frac{dU_{\text{Matter}}}{dt} = \int_V \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3r. \quad (3)$$

A magnetic field does no work, and therefore does not increase the energy in matter.

Equation (3) gives the rate at which the energy of the electromagnetic fields is given to matter. By conservation of energy, the rate of change of electromagnetic energy is the negative of the rate of change of the energy in matter, so

$$\frac{dU_{\text{EM}}}{dt} = -\frac{dU_{\text{Matter}}}{dt} = -\int_V \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3r. \quad (4)$$

³A detailed history of this question is given in [3], which includes many earlier references.

⁴[1], p. 97.

⁵[1], p. 358.

We can use the definition of the electric field in terms of the scalar and vector potentials,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \partial_t\mathbf{A}, \quad (5)$$

to rewrite, Eq. (4) as

$$\frac{dU_{\text{EM}}}{dt} = \int_V \mathbf{j} \cdot (\nabla\phi + \partial_t\mathbf{A}) d^3r. \quad (6)$$

Integrating this equation with respect to time leads to

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\text{EM}} = U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} &= \int_0^t dt' \int_V \mathbf{j} \cdot (\nabla\phi + \partial_{t'}\mathbf{A}) d^3r \\ &= \int_0^t dt' \int_V [\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{j}\phi) - \phi(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}) + \mathbf{j} \cdot (\partial_{t'}\mathbf{A})] d^3r \\ &= \int_0^t dt' \int \mathbf{dS} \cdot (\mathbf{j}\phi) + \int_0^t dt' \int_V [\phi(\partial_{t'}\rho) + \mathbf{j} \cdot (\partial_{t'}\mathbf{A})] d^3r. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the above, we have used the continuity equation to replace $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}$ by $-\partial_{t'}\rho$.

In this paper, we will consider the case where current densities are either completely enclosed within the volume of integration or completely outside (or are surface currents), so that the surface integral in Eq. (7) vanishes. Then, Eq. (7) reduces to

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \int_0^t dt' \int_V [\phi(\partial_{t'}\rho) + \mathbf{j} \cdot (\partial_{t'}\mathbf{A})] d^3r. \quad (8)$$

If ρ , ϕ , \mathbf{j} , \mathbf{A} each have the same periodic time dependence, $e^{-i\omega t}$, as in radiation, then

$$\rho\partial_t\phi = \rho\partial_t\phi = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(\rho\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j} \cdot (\partial_t\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A} \cdot (\partial_t\mathbf{j}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}), \quad (9)$$

and Eq (8) becomes

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\rho\phi + \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}) d^3r. \quad (10)$$

This form for $U_{\rho\mathbf{j}}$ also holds for non-periodic time dependence. We start with a zero charge distribution and build the final charge distribution at time $t = T$ by a linear increase in a current density, \mathbf{j} , from $t = 0$ to $t = T$, so

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (t/T)\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, T). \quad (11)$$

This leads to a linearly increasing charge density given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = (t/T)\rho(\mathbf{r}, T). \quad (12)$$

Then, the potential, $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \int_V \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)d^3r'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \\ &= \int_V \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', T)(t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)d^3r'}{T|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \\ &= \frac{t}{T}\phi(\mathbf{r}, T) - \frac{Q}{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here, Q is the total charge within the volume. Since the initial volume was uncharged, charge conservation requires $Q = 0$, so the resulting potential is

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{t}{T}\phi(\mathbf{r}, T). \quad (14)$$

The vector potential, \mathbf{A} , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \int_V \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}', t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)d^3r'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \\ &= \int_V \frac{(t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}', T)d^3r'}{T|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and its time derivative, $\partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, to be put into Eq. (8), is

$$\partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}', T)/T. \quad (16)$$

Now, the resulting electromagnetic energy of the charge-current distributions is given by

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \int_0^T dt \int_V [(\phi\partial_t\rho) + \mathbf{j}\cdot(\partial_t\mathbf{A})]d^3r. \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T dt \int_V [t\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)\rho(\mathbf{r}, T) + t\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, T)\cdot\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, T)]d^3r \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_V [\phi(\mathbf{r}, T)\rho(\mathbf{r}, T) + \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, T)\cdot\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, T)]d^3r, \quad (19)$$

After reaching their values at $t = T$, ρ and \mathbf{j} can continue with any time dependence. Then, Eq. (19) reduces to

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A})d^3r, \quad (20)$$

which is the same as the electromagnetic energy for periodic charge-current densities.

From the volume integral in Eq. (20) for the electromagnetic energy, we can define an electromagnetic energy density,

$$u_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}), \quad (21)$$

whose integral gives the electromagnetic energy within a volume of integration. For the electromagnetic energy of a current distribution, the volume of integration would have to include the entire distribution. For the energy within a current distribution, the surface integral in Eq. (7) would have to be included.

Equation (20) shows that the electromagnetic energy of a charge-current distribution resides in the charge-current distribution. This means that *there is no electromagnetic energy in any volume that does not contain electric charge or current*. This conclusion holds even if the surface term in Eq. (7) isn't neglected.

A comment should be made about the application of Eq. (20). For the total electromagnetic energy within a volume, the potentials in Eq. (20) would include potentials due to all charge current-densities, even those outside the volume. For the energy *due to* a single isolated charge-current distribution, only the potentials due to that charge-current distribution should be included. We also note that, although we used Eq.(3) to start our derivation, the original definition of the electromagnetic energy of a point charge was the energy required to move it from infinite distance to its present location. For a single point charge, no energy is required to do this, so there is no electromagnetic 'self energy' for a point charge.

3 Energy of Electromagnetic Fields

The electromagnetic energy can be put in terms of the electromagnetic fields, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , by using Maxwell's equations in Eq. (20), leading to

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}) d^3r \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int [\phi(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}) + (\nabla\times\mathbf{B})\cdot\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\cdot(\partial_t\mathbf{E})] d^3r \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int [\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}\phi) - \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \phi) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{E})] d^3r \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{E} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{E})] d^3r + \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot (\mathbf{E}\phi + \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The time derivative terms in Eq. (23) vanish if there is no time dependence, and would cancel if the time dependence were periodic as $e^{-i\omega t}$. Only if these time derivative terms, and the surface integral in Eq. (23) are left out, can the equation simplify to the form

$$U_{\mathbf{EB}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int (E^2 + B^2) d^3r. \tag{24}$$

Equation (24) is often used to give the electromagnetic energy in a volume, but that is only true if the terms described above are left out.

For instance, Jackson[2] derives Eq. (24) for time independent fields by ignoring the surface integral in its derivation.⁶ Later, he assumes it will also hold with time varying fields.⁷ So, reference [2] agrees with us that Eq (24) would only hold if those assumptions were made. Equation (23) would be needed if they were not made.

If the integral in Eq. (24) is over all space, and \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} decrease fast enough to make the surface integral vanish (This does not happen for radiation fields.), and if the time derivatives in Eq. (23) vanish, then Eq. (24) would give the same electromagnetic energy as Eq. (20). However, for finite volumes, Eq. (23), must be used to get the same result as Eq. (20) for the electromagnetic energy.

We note that, even if $(E^2 + B^2)$ does not equal zero in a volume that does not contain a charge or current distribution, the electromagnetic energy in that volume must vanish everywhere in the volume. This follows because we can enclose any point within the volume by an infinitesimal sphere. Then, Eq. (23) shows that the electromagnetic energy must vanish at that point, and so it must vanish anywhere in the volume.

From this discussion, we see that, even when the combination $E^2 + B^2$ can be used to calculate electromagnetic energy in all space, it does not give the location of the energy. We conclude, again, that there is no electromagnetic energy in any volume that does not contain electric charge or current.

⁶See pages 166 and 213 of [2].

⁷See page 259 of [2].

This simple example shows that $\frac{E^2}{8\pi}$, by itself, cannot be considered an energy density.

4 Poynting's Theorem

In the above, we have derived properties of electromagnetic energy with an emphasis on the part played by the scalar and vector potentials. The usual textbook derivation uses Maxwell's equations and some vector identities to replace \mathbf{j} in Eq. (4), leading to Poynting's theorem in the form⁸,

$$-\int_V \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3r = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \partial_t (E^2 + B^2) d^3r + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S \mathbf{dS} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}). \quad (25)$$

Using conservation of energy, as discussed in Section 2, Eq. (25) can be written as

$$\frac{dU_{\text{EM}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \partial_t (E^2 + B^2) d^3r + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S \mathbf{dS} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}). \quad (26)$$

This equation shows that the rate of change in electromagnetic energy is given by the sum of a volume integral and a surface integral. The volume integral in Eq. (26) is the time derivative of the volume integral in Eq. (23). We will show below, that the surface integral is the time derivative of the surface integral in Eq. (23).

At the same time, the surface integral represents the rate at which electromagnetic energy is leaving the volume (if the surface integral is positive) or entering the volume (if the surface integral is negative). This action of the surface integral in Eq. (26) can be used to define the Poynting vector,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}). \quad (27)$$

The Poynting vector has the significance that $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \mathbf{dS}$ gives the rate of transmission of electromagnetic energy through the infinitesimal surface \mathbf{dS} .

If the volume in Eq. (26) is taken as a sphere with infinite radius, and $(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})$ approaches zero fast enough for the surface integral to vanish, Eq. (26) can be integrated over time to give

$$U_{\mathbf{EB}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int (E^2 + B^2) d^3r, \quad (28)$$

⁸This is Eq. (6.107) of [2]

as in Eq. (24). The discussion following Eq. (24) applies here too, and Eq. (28) would only hold if the surface integral in Eq. (26) is not included.

Equation (26) can be modified by replacing the electric field in the surface integral by the scalar and vector potentials, resulting in

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dU_{\text{EM}}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \partial_t(E^2 + B^2) d^3r - \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot [(\nabla\phi + \partial_t\mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{B}] \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \partial_t(E^2 + B^2) d^3r - \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot [(\nabla \times (\phi\mathbf{B}) - \phi(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + (\partial_t\mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{B})] \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \partial_t(E^2 + B^2) d^3r - \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot [\nabla \times (\phi\mathbf{B})] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot [\phi\mathbf{j} + \phi(\partial_t\mathbf{E}) - (\partial_t\mathbf{A}) \times \mathbf{B}] \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \partial_t(E^2 + B^2) d^3r + \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot \{\partial_t[\phi\mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A})]\}. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

In the derivation above, we have dropped the divergence of a curl, and assumed, as before, that the surface integral of $\phi\mathbf{j}$ vanishes. We have also assumed that ϕ and \mathbf{E} , and \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A} , each have the same periodic time dependence.

Now each term in Eq. (29) involves a time derivative, and it can be integrated over time to give

$$U_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}) d^3r + \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint \mathbf{dS} \cdot [\mathbf{E}\phi + (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A})], \tag{30}$$

which agrees with Eq. (23). This shows again that a surface integral must be added to the volume integral of $(E^2 + B^2)$ to give the full amount of electromagnetic energy in a volume.

We see that Poynting's theorem gives the same result for the electromagnetic energy as integrating charge and current densities. This means that Poynting's theorem also leads to the conclusion that there is no electromagnetic energy in any volume that does not contain electric charge or current.

5 Electromagnetic Radiation

A number of questions can be raised about electromagnetic radiation:

- Doesn't electromagnetic radiation carry energy as it travels through free space?

- When sun radiates, isn't the mechanical energy it loses compensated by electromagnetic energy moving through space from the sun to the earth?
- How can an antenna receive radio signals if there is no electromagnetic energy between the broadcasting antenna and the receiving antenna?
- How can you account for radiation reaction if energy is not carried away by the radiation fields?
- Doesn't integrating $u_{\mathbf{EB}}$ over the volume of a cavity in a conductor give the correct electromagnetic energy in the cavity?

These questions have straightforward answers.

5.1 Electromagnetic Energy Current

The propagation of electromagnetic waves is by means of the Poynting vector,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (31)$$

behaving like a current for the flow of electromagnetic energy. Just as an electric current, which carries electric charge through regions where there is no charge, the Poynting vector current carries electromagnetic energy through regions where there is no electromagnetic energy.

A simple example of this (apart from the electric current in a wire) is what happens if a blob of charge is placed in the middle of a conducting object.⁹ The blob retains its shape, but vanishes exponentially in time, while charge appears on the outer surface of the conductor. At no time is there any charge in the conductor between the original blob and the outer surface of the conductor. It looks like magic, but that's how a current can carry charge (or electromagnetic energy) without charge or energy ever appearing between the source and the receiver.

5.2 Energy Conservation

As the sun radiates, it loses mechanical energy. The energy it loses is made up by an increase in the electromagnetic energy contained in the sun, and

⁹See, for instance, Section 6.9.3 of [4].

not in the space between the sun and the earth. This can be seen in Eq. (4), the starting point of our derivation. This equation shows that the electromagnetic energy required by conservation of energy is within matter, and not free space.

This can be seen more explicitly by looking at Eq. (10),

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}) d^3r, \quad (32)$$

taken as the electromagnetic energy of the sun. In a simple model, the sun's radius will diminish as it radiates energy, while the total charge of the sun remains constant. Thus the charge density, ρ , will increase. At the same time, the decrease in the sun's radius will increase the electric potential throughout the sun. Each of these effects would give the required increase in the electromagnetic energy of the sun. The effect of the $\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}$ term on the electromagnetic energy of the sun would be similar, but require a somewhat more complicated treatment.

The fact that the compensating increase in electromagnetic energy occurs in the sun is more evidence that the mechanical energy lost by the sun is not compensated by an $E^2 + B^2$ term in the radiation.

5.3 Absorption of Electromagnetic Radiation

When light travels from the sun to a solar panel on earth, no light is actually seen until it hits the solar panel, or is reflected from dust in the atmosphere. This is like a quantum mechanical wave function, which governs the propagation of a wave that is not detected until it strikes a detector, where it is identified as a particle. There is no 'particle' between the source and the detector, which is why we can't say that the particle went through one slit or the other.

The absorption of electromagnetic energy by a detector or antenna is determined by the equation,

$$\frac{d^2W}{dt d\Omega} = r^2(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}}). \quad (33)$$

This, again, uses the Poynting vector as an electromagnetic current, with no mention of electromagnetic energy.

5.4 Radiation Reaction

Calculations of radiation reaction are generally based on calculating the radiated power,

$$dP = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot d\mathbf{S}, \quad (34)$$

using the Poynting vector as an electromagnetic energy current without any direct consideration of electromagnetic energy. The question of what happens after the emission, as the radiation propagates, has no effect on radiation reaction.¹⁰

5.5 Electromagnetic Energy in a Cavity

The electromagnetic energy in a cavity in a conductor is given by the integral of $u_{\mathbf{EB}}$ over the volume of the cavity. That is because the surface integral in Eq. (23) vanishes for such a cavity. So, in this case, the volume integral of $u_{\mathbf{EB}}$ and the surface integral (with surface charge, σ , and surface current, \mathbf{K}),

$$U_{\sigma\mathbf{K}} = \frac{1}{2} \oint_S (\sigma\phi + \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{A}) dS, \quad (35)$$

each give the same electromagnetic energy.

However, the surface integral in Eq. (23) does not vanish for a smaller volume inside the cavity. This means that $u_{\mathbf{EB}}$ cannot be considered an energy density for electromagnetic energy inside the cavity, and the electromagnetic energy of the cavity must be only on its surface.

For a conductor with a finite conductivity, σ_c , The electromagnetic energy will be confined to a skin depth, δ , at the surface of the conductor. There will be a loss of energy at a rate given by the surface integral¹¹

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega\mu_c}{8\pi\sigma_c}} \int |\mathbf{H}_{\text{surface}}|^2 dA. \quad (36)$$

The fact that the energy loss occurs at the surface of the cavity is another indication that the electromagnetic energy is located at the surface of the cavity, and not within its volume.

¹⁰See, for instance, [5], or Section 11.2.2 of [1].

¹¹This is Eq. (12.124) of [4].

6 Conclusion

The electromagnetic energy of a charge-current distribution in any volume is given by the integral

$$U_{\rho\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A}) d^3r. \quad (37)$$

The electromagnetic energy in a volume can also be given in terms of the electromagnetic fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} by the volume and surface integrals

$$U_{\text{EB}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V (E^2 + B^2) d^3r + \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_S \mathbf{dS}\cdot(\mathbf{E}\phi + \mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{A}). \quad (38)$$

Only if the surface integral in Eq. (38) vanishes, can the electromagnetic energy in the volume be given by just the volume integral.

Equation (37) shows that electromagnetic energy is contained in charge and current densities. There is no electromagnetic energy in any volume that does not contain electric charge or current. Consequences of this, as it applies to electromagnetic radiation, are discussed in Section 5. It should not be surprising that electromagnetic energy resides in matter, because the only time it is ever detected or seen is when electromagnetic radiation excites matter.

The fact that we have found that the location of electromagnetic energy is in charge and current distributions in the form $(\rho\phi + \mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{A})$, should resolve the long-standing question of whether the electromagnetic potentials or the \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} fields are the fundamental objects of electromagnetism.¹²

It has recently been emphasized by Sebens[6] that the potentials could be of fundamental importance. Sebens remarks on the seeming paradox that, while electromagnetic energy in terms of the electric and magnetic fields, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , is often used in classical electromagnetism, the scalar and vector potentials, ϕ and \mathbf{A} , are required in extensions of electromagnetism to quantum mechanics and quantum electrodynamics (QED). In fact, the electromagnetic fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} almost never appear in QED.

Also, the understanding of classical electromagnetism as a gauge theory derived from quantum mechanics can only be understood in terms of the potentials.¹³

¹²See, for instance, Section 2.4.4 of [1]

¹³See Section 16.2 of [4].

In this paper, we have shown that it is the potentials that act on charge-current distributions to produce electromagnetic energy, so the potentials should be considered fundamental, with \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} being convenient shorthand to simplify equations.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Charles T. Sebens and Juan R. González Álvarez for useful communications.

References

- [1] Griffiths D J 2019 *Introduction to Electrodynamics*, 4th Edn. (Addison Wesley, San Francisco)
- [2] Jackson D J 1999 *Classical Electrodynamics 3rd Edn.*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York)
- [3] Roche J 2019 Where is electromagnetic energy located? www.academia.edu/38889902/
- [4] Franklin J 2017 *Classical Electromagnetism* 2nd Edn. (Dover Publications)
- [5] Franklin J 2023 Radiation Reaction on an Accelerating Point Charge. *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **38**, 2350005
- [6] Sebens C T 2022 The Disappearance and Reappearance of Potential Energy in Classical and Quantum Electrodynamics. *Found. Phys.* **52**, 113