Agapi Emmanouilidou^{1,2}

¹ITS, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403-5203 ²Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

Abstract. In a quasiclassical framework, we formulate the quadruple ionization by single photon absorption of the Coulomb five-body problem. We present the quadruple photoionization total cross section of the ground state of Beryllium for energies up to 620 eV. Our quasiclassical results for energies close to threshold are in agreement with the Wannier threshold law for four electron escape. In addition, the agreement of our results with a shape formula provides support for the overall shape of our total quadruple cross section. Finally, we find that the photon energy where the maximum of the total photoionization cross section occurs for single, double, triple and quadruple photoionization of H, He, Li and Be, respectively, seems to follow a linear relation with the threshold energy for complete break-up of the respective element.

PACS numbers: 3.65.Sq, 32.80.Fb, 34.80.Dp

1. Introduction

Multiple ionization of atoms by single photon absorption is a process of great interest since it probes the correlated motion of many electrons. Quadruple photoionization of the ground state of Beryllium is the most fundamental atomic process involving four bound electrons. No other theoretical or experimental study is, to our knowledge, currently available regarding escape of four initially bound electrons.

The ionization processes involving two electron escape can now be treated quite accurately and significant progress has been made concerning their understanding [1]. Regarding the three electron escape by single photon absorption from the ground state of Li, significant advances have been made over the last few years in obtaining total triple ionization cross sections both experimentally [2, 3] and theoretically [4–6]. However, still great challenges remain, particularly concerning differential cross sections [7–10]. Triple ionization of the ground state of Li by electron impact, that is, a four electron escape process, has been addressed in very recent years. These experimental [11] and theoretical [12] studies address very high energies of the impacting electron.

2. Quasiclassical formulation of quadruple ionization of Beryllium

Our construction of the initial phase space density $\rho(\gamma)$ for the quadruple photoionization of Beryllium is similar to the double photoionization of He [13] and the triple photoionization formulation of Li which has been detailed in [6]. We formulate the quadruple photoionization process from the Be ground state $(1s^22s^2)$ as a two step process in the following way:

$$\sigma^{4+} = \sigma_{abs} P^{4+} \tag{1}$$

where σ_{abs} is the total photoabsorption cross section and P^{4+} is the probability for quadruple ionization. For σ_{abs} we use the experimental data from ref [14]. Our formulation accounts for the second step. First, one electron absorbs the photon (photo-electron) at time $t = t_{abs} = 0$. Through electronic correlations, the energy is redistributed, resulting in four electrons escaping to the continuum. We first assume that the photon is absorbed by a 1s-electron at the nucleus ($\mathbf{r}_1 = 0$). This latter approximation becomes exact in the limit of high photon energy [15]. For Be, the cross section for photon absorption from a 1s orbital is much larger than from a 2sorbital [16]. Hence, we can safely assume that the photo-electron is a 1s electron which significantly reduces the initial phase space to be sampled. We also neglect antisymmetrization of the electrons in the initial state. We denote the photo-electron by 1, the other 1s electron by 2 and the two 2s electrons by 3 and 4, respectively. Following photon absorption, we model the initial phase space distribution of the remaining three electrons, 1s and two 2s, by the Wigner transform [17] of the corresponding initial wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1 = 0, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4)$, where \mathbf{r}_i are the electron vectors starting at the nucleus. We approximate the initial wavefunction as a simple product of hydrogenic orbitals $\phi_i^{Z_i}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ with effective charges Z_i , to facilitate the Wigner transformation. The

 Z_i are chosen so that they reproduce the known ionization potentials I_i , namely for one of the two 2s electrons $Z_4 = 1.656$ ($I_4 = 0.343$ a.u.), for the other 2s electron $Z_3 = 2.314$ ($I_3 = 0.669$ a.u.), and for the 1s electron $Z_2 = 3.363$ ($I_2 = 5.656$ a.u.)(We use atomic units throughout the paper if not stated otherwise.) The excess energy, E, is given by $E = E_{\omega} - I$ with E_{ω} the photon energy and I = 14.67 a.u. the Be quadruple ionization threshold energy. Given the above considerations, the initial phase space density is given by

$$\rho(\gamma) = \mathscr{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta(E_1 + I_1 - \omega) \prod_{i=2,3,4} W_{\phi_i^{Z_i}}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_i)\delta(E_i + I_i)$$
(2)

with normalization constant \mathcal{N} .

We determine the quadruple ionization probability P^{4+} formally through

$$P^{4+} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_{\text{abs}}}^{t} \mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{4+}} \exp((t - t_{\text{abs}})\mathscr{L}_{\text{cl}})\rho(\Gamma).$$
(3)

The projector \mathcal{P}^{4+} indicates that we integrate only over those parts of phase space that lead to quadruple ionization. \mathscr{L}_{cl} is the classical Liouville operator which is defined by the Poisson bracket {H, }, with H the Hamiltonian of the system. In our case H is the full Coulomb five-body Hamiltonian. We propagate the electron trajectories using the classical equations of motion (Classical Trajectory Monte Carlo method [18, 19]). Regularized coordinates [20] are used to avoid problems with electron trajectories starting at the nucleus. We label as quadruply ionizing those trajectories where the energies of all four electrons are positive, $E_i > 0$ with i = 1, 2, 3, 4, asymptotically in time.

3. Results

In figure 1, we present our results for P^{4+} . The very small value of the quadruple photoionization probability clearly shows the difficulties involved in obtaining theoretical results or experimental measurements of the five-body break-up process. Using (1) we present in figure 2 the results for the quadruple photoabsorption cross section (black circles). Since there are no other results currently available we can not compare our results on an absolute scale. Regarding the accuracy of our results, the standard relative error is $\propto 1/\sqrt{N_{quad}}$ [21] with N_{quad} the number of quadruply ionizing events. For energies above 10 eV the N_{quad} we obtain are a few thousands rendering our results very accurate while for energies from 4eV up to and 9 eV, N_{quad} are at least 1000.

However, a comparison of our results with a shape function applicable to singlephoton multiple ionization processes [22] provides some indication of how well our results reproduce the shape of the total cross section. This shape function reproduces, by construction, the correct behavior of the single photon multiple-ionization cross section for excess energies close to threshold (Wannier law [23]) and for large excess energies. It depends on two parameters, the position E_M and height σ_M of the cross section

maximum and is given by:

$$\sigma(E) = \sigma_M x^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha + 7/2}{\alpha x + 7/2}\right)^{\alpha + 7/2},\tag{4}$$

where α is the characteristic exponent in the Wannier threshold law and x is the excess energy E scaled by E_M . For the break up of a four electron atom this characteristic exponent was found to be 3.331 for a plane configuration where the electrons escape on the apexes of a square and 3.288 for a three dimensional configuration where the electrons escape on the apexes of a tetrahedron [24]. From the above two values for α it is the smallest one, $\alpha = 3.288$, that dominates the threshold behavior and thus the value we use in the shape formula in (4). Using the shape formula without any fitting parameters but substituting for $E_M = 120$ eV and $\sigma_M = 0.0847$ b which are the location and height of the maximum cross section from our results we obtain the solid curve shown in figure 2. Given that there are no fitting parameters the agreement between our numerical results and the shape formula is quite good, providing support that the shape of the cross section we obtain is the correct one. The agreement becomes even better if instead we use the E_M and σ_M as fitting parameters to fit our results with the shape formula. Doing so, we obtain the values $E_M = 134.5$ eV and $\sigma_M = 0.0834$ b and the agreement of the fitted shape formula (dashed curve in figure 2) with our results is indeed very good.

Also, for small values of the excess energy we have fitted our results for the quadruple cross section with the formula

$$\sigma(E_{\omega}) \propto (E_{\omega}/I - 1)^{\alpha} \tag{5}$$

with I the fragmentation threshold energy of beryllium and E_{ω} the photon energy. For energies from 4 eV to 9 eV our results yield a characteristic exponent α of 3.17 which is quite close to the analytical value of 3.288. The difference can probably be attributed to the fact that our current results go only down to 4 eV. It is a well known fact that as the energy increases the value of α decreases [6]. Thus, most probably, if we were able to obtain results for energies below 4 eV α would have been closer to the actual value of 3.288. Currently however the numerical difficulties involved in obtaining results below 4 eV are prohibitive.

At this point, a few comments are in order. Our quasiclassical formulation is most accurate close to threshold. It can describe the process accurately up to at most intermediate excess energies while it can not account for high energies. For high energies the process can only be described quantum mechanically. In the case of triple ionization from the ground state of Li our simple product initial state has proven to be very good in describing not only the total cross section [6] but also double energy differential cross sections up to excess energies of 220 eV, see [10], with I = 203.5 eV for the triple escape process. How good the choice of a product initial state for the case of beryllium is remains to be seen when more theoretical and experimental results become available and a comparison with our results can be made for a range of excess energies.



Figure 1. Quadruple photoionization probability as a function of excess energy.



Figure 2. Quadruple photoionization cross section as a function of excess energy. The black circles are our results. For the solid and dashed lines see the main text.

A comparison of the maximum of the single photoionization cross section for H, 6.3×10^6 b, with the maxima for double photoionization of He, 8.76×10^3 b [25], triple photoionization of Li, 7.47 b, and quadruple photoionization of Be, 0.0847 b, clearly shows how rare the quadruple photoionization process is. For the double ionization cross section of He we use the results presented in ref [25] while for the triple photoionization of Li we use the results presented in ref [6]. Another, interesting feature is the relation between the ionization threshold energy and the photon energy $E_{\omega} = I + E_M$ where



Figure 3. Photon energy where the maximum of the cross section for single photoionization of H, double photoionization of He, triple photoionization of Li, and quadruple photoionization of Be occurs as a function of the threshold energy of the respective process

the maximum of the photoionization cross section of the respective process occurs. For the single photoionization of H, $E_M = 0$ eV, for the double photoionization of He, $E_M = 21.8$ eV [25], while for the triple and quadruple photoionization of Li and Be E_M is respectively 52.7 eV and 134.4 eV. The values of 52.7 eV and 134.4 eV were found by fitting (4) to our results for triple [6] and quadruple photoionization, respectively. In figure 3, we plot E_{ω} as a function of I for the above four processes. Interestingly, we find that for these four processes the ratio of E_{ω}/I is constant. Whether there is a physical reason underlying this constant ratio is an interesting question for future research.

A future study of interest for the four electron escape in Be would be to investigate whether the collision processes the four electrons follow to escape to the continum can still be described as a sequence of three-body subsystems as is the case for Li [9]. Another interesting aspect to be investigated is the shape of the inter-electronic angular distributions for energies close to threshold. In the case, of the three electron escape from the ground state of Li we found that in an energy regime where the characteristic exponent $\alpha = 2.16$ [26] is recovered the angular distribution has a surprising T-shape distribution. This T-shape is different than what one would expect from the three electrons escaping in the apexes of an equilateral triangle for excess energy zero [26]. At zero excess energy, the four electrons escape in the apexes of a tetrahedron [24]. It remains to be seen whether a surprising pattern will be found for the four electron escape as was the case for the three electrons.

In conclusion, we have presented the first results for the total cross section for the quadruple photoionization of Be. With no other theoretical or experimental results currently available we hope that the current results will serve as a benchmark calculation for future studies of the Coulomb five-body problem.

Acknowledgment The author is grateful to J.M. Rost, P. Wang, and to T. Pattard

for helpful discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript. She is also indebted to Y. Smaragdakis without whose computational expertise the current work would not have been possible.

- [1] Briggs J S and Schmidt V 2000 J. Phys. B 33 R1
- [2] Wehlitz R, Huang M T, DePaola B D, Levin J C, Sellin I A, Nagata T, Cooper J W, and Azuma Y 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 1813
- [3] R. Wehlitz, T. Pattard, M.-T. Huang, I. A. Sellin, J. Burgdörfer, and Y. Azuma, Phys. Rev. A 2000 61 030704(R)
- [4] Pattard T and Burgdörfer J 2001 Phys. Rev A 63 020701(R); Phys. Rev. A 2001 64 042720.
- [5] Colgan J, Pindzola M S, and Robicheaux F 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 053201 ; 2005 Phys. Rev. A 72 022727
- [6] Emmanouilidou A and Rost J M 2006 J. Phys. B 39 L99
- [7] Malcherek A W, Rost J M and Briggs J S 1997 Phys. Rev. A 55 R3979-82
- [8] Colgan J and Pindzola M S 2006 J. Phys. B 39 1879
- [9] Emmanouilidou A and Rost JM, 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 022712
- [10] Emmanouilidou A, 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 042702
- [11] Huang M-T, Wong W W, Inokuti M, Southworth S H, and Young L, 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 163201
- [12] Ghosh D and Sinha S, 2003 J. Phys. B 36 675
- [13] Schneider T, Chocian P L and Rost J M, 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 073002; Schneider T and Rost J M, 2003 Phys. Rev. A 67 062704
- [14] Henke B L, Guillikson E M and Davis J C, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables, 1993 54 181. The experimental photoabsorption cross section in the above reference is very close to the photoabsorption cross section one obtains when a one-electron approximation quantum-mechanical formula is used, see *Quantum mechanics of one and two electron atoms*, H.A. Bethe and E. Salpeter, Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, 1977
- [15] Kabir P K and Salpeter E E, 1957 Phys. Rev. 108 1256
- [16] One can show that the total absorption cross section for Be from a 1s orbital is approximately 22 times larger than the one from a 2s orbital at zero excess energy using a simple semiclassical formula, see Rost J M, J. Phys. B, **28**, L601 (1995). In addition, assuming the photon absorption happens at the nucleus for a 1s or a 2s orbital the P^{4+} for quadruple ionization is larger in the case the photon is absorbed from a 1s orbital. The reason is that when the photoelectron starting from the nucleus is a 1s it has to ionize one 1s and two 2s electrons while if the photoelectron starting from the nucleus is a 2s it has to ionize two 1s and one 2s electron.
- [17] Wigner E 1932 Phys. Rev. 40 749
- [18] Abrines R and Percival I C 1966 Proc. Phys. Soc. London 88 861
- [19] Hardie D J W and Olson R E 1983 J. Phys. B 16 1983
- [20] Kustaanheimo P and Stiefel E 1965 J. Reine Angew. Math. 218 204
- [21] Abrines R and Percival I, 1966 Proc. Phys. Soc. 88 861
- [22] Pattard T, 2002 J. Phys. B **35** L207
- [23] Wannier G H, 1953 Phys. Rev. 90 817; 1955 ibid 100 1180
- [24] Kuchiev M Y and Ostrovsky V N, 1998 Phys. Rev. A 58 328.
- [25] Samson J A R, Stolte W C, He Z X, Culter J N, Lu Y and Bartlett R J, 1998 Phys. Rev A 57 1906
- [26] Klar H and Schlecht W, 2001 J. Phys. B 9 467