

Should physicists begin experimental study of the God's physical nature?

V.A. Kuz'menko¹

*Troitsk Institute for Innovation and Fusion Research,
Troitsk, Moscow region, 142190, Russian Federation.*

Abstract

Inequality of forward and reversed processes in quantum physics means an existence of a memory of quantum system about the initial state. Importance of its experimental study for correct interpretation of quantum mechanics and understanding of a physical base of a consciousness is discussed.

PACS number: 03.65.Ud

Physics and religion practically do not intersect. Modern religion supposes an existence in nature some delocalized Superior Mind. Unfortunately, there is absent a matter for physical study here: it is unclear what its physical base is. However, the task to find in quantum physics a base for consciousness and mind is important for physicists and biologists [1]. The main problem here is that the physicists till now do not sufficiently understand a fundament of quantum physics itself. Quantum mechanics is a mathematical model which gives excellent description of the result of quantum process, but it nothing says about the process itself, its nature. Due to this reason Einstein believed the quantum mechanics as an incomplete theory. Hot debates about the interpretation of quantum mechanics last till now with unflagging power [2 - 5]. The number of interpretations of quantum mechanics exists today: the Copenhagen interpretation [6], the theory of hidden parameters of Bohm [7], the many-worlds interpretation [8], the consistent histories interpretation [9] and others [10]. The existence of so numerous competitive interpretations indicates about the condition of deep and permanent stagnation in quantum physics (in spite of superficial sings of development). It is unclear in this situation which theory is right and may be used as a base for understanding and the study of a nature of consciousness and mind.

We shall come to this problem from other side. In the field of high energy physics the scientists long ago recognized the fact of CP and T invariance violation in physics [11]. However, quite opposite situation exists in quantum physics. Many years the common opinion here is that "... a remarkable fundamental fact of nature: all known laws of physics are invariant under time reversal" [12]. Overwhelming majority of physicists follows this paradigm till now. But this is a great error [13] and, perhaps, the main obstacle today on the way of progress in quantum physics.

¹Electronic address: kuzmenko@triniti.ru

In contrast to this paradigm we have several direct and great numbers of indirect experimental proofs of inequality of forward and reversed processes in quantum physics [14]. Although the integral cross-sections of such transitions should be equal, its differential cross-sections can differ in several orders of magnitude. The reversed into the initial state transition has much more high differential cross-section, but and much more sharp dependence from different physical parameters (Fig.1). As a fact, such difference is a physical base of most phenomena in nonlinear optics. Here the situation is quite similar to those in whole quantum physics: we have the number of mathematical models which usually give good descriptions of phenomena, but with its indistinct physical explanations.

Inequality of forward and reversed processes supposes an existence of some memory of quantum system about its initial state. Without such memory the quantum system cannot define next process as forward or reversed. However, it is unclear now what physical bearer of this memory is and where it is located or delocalized. We have some reason to believe that this memory may be nonlocal in a substantial degree. Quite unusual argument outside of science testifies to such possibility. So-called person with extrasensory perception can extract information about the past events from the environment. They do it better on the place of the event. But this is possible also (with less efficiency) at great distance away from that place, which points to possible substantial nonlocality of such kind of the environment's memory.

The Bohm's theory is a variant of interpretation of quantum mechanics [7]. It supposes an existence of some hidden variables, which need for complete description of quantum system and some exotic nonlocal quantum potential. Today this theory seems to be not very popular among the physicists [10], probably, because it is unclear what is a nature of supposed hidden variables and nonlocal quantum potential. However, we can see now that differential cross-sections in Fig.1 may be a beautiful example of hidden parameters for processes of absorption and scattering of photons by atoms and molecules. From other side the memory of quantum system about its initial state looks like as a direct equivalent of the nonlocal quantum potential. So, the recognizing of inequality of forward and reversed processes in quantum physics quite apparently leads to conclusion that the Bohm's theory is the most correct interpretation of quantum mechanics. And nonlocal quantum potential (memory) is a good physical base for explanation of a nature of consciousness and mind in the universe.

Unfortunately, we cannot measure such quantum potential (memory) today. However, the extrasensory individuals can do it. Although, they do not understand how they do it. But we can measure the differential cross-sections of quantum transitions. It, possibly, will lead in a future to a better understanding of a nature of quantum memory and to technical capability to extract such memory from the environment.

The most convenient object today for experimental study of differential cross-sections of quantum transitions is the so-called Bloch oscillations of cold atoms in vertical optical lattice [15, 16]. Here the cold atoms under action of gravity freely fall down in vacuum. In certain point the specific scattering of

photons takes place: one upward photon is absorbed and one downward photon is emitted. As a result, the recoil momentum returns the atoms exactly into the initial point (state). This is the most clean example of fully reversed quantum transition today. This transition has highest possible differential cross-section. All other possible processes will be partially reversed or forward and will have lesser differential cross-sections (Fig.1). The dependences of the differential cross-sections from physical parameters (frequency and phase of laser radiation, its direction, the position of the atom in space) nobody experimentally studied till now [17]. This is not very difficult task. However, the main problem here is that before such experiments our physicists must reject their paradigm: "... all known laws of physics are invariant under time reversal".

References

- [1] R. Penrose, and S. Hameroff, Journal of Cosmology. **14**, (2011), <http://journalofcosmology.com/Consciousness160.html>
- [2] G. Greenstein and A.G. Zajonc, "The Quantum Challenge: Modern Research on the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics", Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Inc., (2006).
- [3] H. Nikolic, Found. Phys. **37**, 1563 (2007)
- [4] V.V. Aristov, and A.V. Nikulov, e-print, arXiv:1007.1947
- [5] A.V. Nikulov, e-print, arXiv:1012.4413
- [6] H. Wimmel, "Quantum physics and observed reality: a critical interpretation of quantum mechanics", World Scientific, (1992),
- [7] D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **85**, 166 (1952).
- [8] B.S. DeWitt, and R.N. Graham, eds, "The Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics", Princeton Series in Physics, Princeton University Press, (1973)
- [9] R.B. Griffiths, "Consistent Quantum Theory", Cambridge University Press (2003)
- [10] M. Schlosshauer, J. Kofler, and A. Zeilinger, e-print, arXiv:1301.1069
- [11] The BABAR Collaboration: J. P. Lees, and others, e-print, arXiv:1207.5832
- [12] P. C. W. Davies, "The Physics of Time Asymmetry", p. 26, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles (1977)
- [13] A.T. Holster, New J.Phys. **5**, 130 (2003)

- [14] V.A. Kuz'menko, e-print, arXiv:physics/0506023
- [15] G. Roati, E. de Mirandes, F. Ferlaino, H. Ott, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 230402 (2004)
- [16] G. Ferrari, N. Poli, F. Sorrentino, and G. M. Tino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 060402 (2006)
- [17] V.A. Kuz'menko, e-print, arXiv:physics/061289v1




Figure 1. The supposed shapes of dependences of cross-sections for forward (1), backward and reversed (2) transitions from such parameters as the frequency (ν), phase, angel (θ) of laser radiation, position of the particle in space (x).