

# Nonlocal phenomenology for anisotropic MHD turbulence

A. Alexakis

*Laboratoire Cassiopée, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, BP 4229, Nice Cedex 04, France*

A non-local cascade model for anisotropic MHD turbulence in the presence of a guiding magnetic field is proposed. The model takes into account that (a) energy cascades in an anisotropic manner and as a result a different estimate for the cascade rate in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the guiding field is made. (b) the interactions that result in the cascade are between different scales. Eddies with wave numbers  $k_{\parallel}$  and  $k_{\perp}$  interact with eddies with wave numbers  $q_{\parallel}, q_{\perp}$  such that a resonance condition between the wave numbers  $q_{\parallel}, q_{\perp}$  and  $k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}$  holds. As a consequence energy from the eddy with wave numbers  $k_{\parallel}$  and  $k_{\perp}$  cascades due to interactions with eddies located in the resonant manifold whose wavenumbers are determined by:  $q_{\parallel} \simeq \epsilon^{1/3} k_{\perp}^{2/3} / B$ ,  $q_{\perp} = k_{\perp}$  and energy will cascade along the lines  $k_{\parallel} \sim C + k_{\perp}^{2/3} \epsilon^{1/3} / B_0$ . For a uniform energy injection rate in the parallel direction the resulting energy spectrum is  $E(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}) \simeq \epsilon^{2/3} k_{\parallel}^{-1} k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$ . For a general forcing however the model suggests a non-universal behavior. The connections with previous models, numerical simulations and weak turbulence theory are discussed.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic fields are met very often in astrophysics; interstellar medium, accretion discs, the interior of stars and planets. In most of these cases the magnetic fields are strong enough to play a dynamical role in the evolution of the involved astronomical objects [20]. Furthermore, the fluid and magnetic Reynolds numbers are large enough so that a large number of scales are excited and coupled together, making it very difficult to calculate the evolution of these systems even with the power of present day computers. As a result a turbulence theory that models the behavior of the small unresolved scales is in need. The simplest set of equations that describes the evolution of the flow and the magnetic field when the two are coupled together are the magneto-hydro-dynamic (MHD) equations that in the Elsässer formulation are written as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \pm \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\pm} - \mathbf{z}^{\mp} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{\pm} - \nabla P + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{z}^{\pm} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \mathbf{u} \pm \mathbf{b}$  with  $\mathbf{u}$  the velocity,  $\mathbf{b}$  the magnetic field,  $\nu$  the molecular viscosity assumed here equal to the magnetic diffusivity  $\eta = \nu$ ,  $B$  is a uniform magnetic field, and incompressibility  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = 0$  has been assumed.

For zero viscosity the above equations conserve three quadratic invariants the magnetic Helicity (that we are not going to be concerned with in the present work) and the two energies  $E^{\pm} = \int (z^{\pm})^2 dx^3$ . The question then arises in the limit of infinite Reynolds number is there a physical process under which the two energies cascade to sufficiently small scales so that they can be dissipated?

For hydrodynamic turbulence a description of such a process exists and was given by Kolmogorov [13] (K41). In his phenomenological description the energy  $z_l^2$  at a scale  $l$  interacts with similar size eddies and cascades in a timescale  $l/z_l$ . As a result in a statistically steady state the energy cascades in a scale independent way at a rate  $\epsilon \simeq z_l^3/l$  that leads to the prediction  $z_l \sim l^{1/3}$  or in terms of the 1-D energy spectrum  $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$ . Since the phe-

nomenological description of the energy cascade in hydrodynamic turbulence there have been attempts to derive similar results for MHD flows. However, non-trivial difficulties arise when a mean magnetic field is present. First the MHD equations are no longer isotropic resulting in an anisotropic energy flux and energy spectrum. Simple dimensional arguments can not be used to estimate the degree of anisotropy that is a dimensionless quantity. Second the MHD equations are no longer scale invariant, as a result simple power law behavior of the energy spectrum is expected only in the small or large  $B$  limit that scale similarity is recovered. Finally, it is not clear that interactions of similar size eddies (local interactions) dominate the cascade. Different size eddies could play an important role in cascading the energy.

The first model for MHD turbulence was proposed by Iroshnikov [12] and by Kraichnan [14] (IK). The IK-model assumes isotropy and that the time scale of the interactions of two wave packets of size  $l$  is given by the Alfvén-time scale  $\tau_A \sim l/B$ . The energy cascade due to a single collision is given by  $\Delta z^2 \sim (z^3/l)\tau_A$ . The number of random collisions that would be required then to cascade the energy is going to be  $N \sim (z^2/\Delta z^2)^2$ . As a result the energy will cascade in a rate  $\epsilon \sim E/N\tau_A \sim z^4/(Bl)$  and therefore  $z_l \sim (\epsilon B)^{1/4} l^{1/4}$ . The resulting 1-D energy spectrum is then given by  $E(k) \sim (\epsilon B)^{1/2} k^{-3/2}$ . The assumption of isotropy however has been criticized in the literature and anisotropic models have been proposed for the energy spectrum. Goldreich & Sridhar [10] (GS) proposed that in strong turbulence the cascade happens for eddies such that the Alfvén time scale  $\tau_A \sim Bk_{\parallel}$  is of the same order with the non-linear time scale  $\tau_{NL} \sim zk_{\perp}$  (so called critical balance relation), where  $k_{\parallel}$  and  $k_{\perp}$  are the parallel and perpendicular to the mean magnetic field wavenumbers respectively. Repeating the Kolmogorov arguments then one ends up with the energy spectrum  $E(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp}) \sim k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$  with the parallel and perpendicular wave numbers following the relation

$k_{\parallel} \sim k_{\perp}^{2/3}$ . A generalization of this result was proposed by Galtier et al. [7] where the ratio of the two time scales  $\tau_A/\tau_{NL}$  was kept fixed but not necessarily of order one, in an attempt to model MHD turbulence both in the weak and the strong limit. Bhattacharjee & Ng [3] (BN) repeated the IK-model arguments replacing the nonlinear time scale by  $\tau_{NL} \sim l_{\perp}/z_l$  and the Alfvén time scale by  $\tau_A \sim l_{\parallel}/B$ . Further assuming that the cascade is happening only in the  $k_{\perp}$  direction obtained the energy spectrum  $E(k) \sim (\epsilon B)^{1/2} k_{\perp}^{-2} k_{\parallel}^{-1}$ . Finally Zhou, Matthaeus & Dmitruk [21] (ZMD) suggested using as time scale the one given by the inverse average of the Alfvén and nonlinear time scale  $\tau^{-1} = (\tau_A)^{-1} + \tau_{NL}^{-1}$  to obtain a smooth transition from the K41 to the IK and the anisotropic BN result depending on the amplitude of the guiding field.

Although this large variety of models exists the agreement with observations [11] and with the results of numerical simulations [4, 5, 15, 16, 17, 18] is only partially satisfactory and seems to be case dependent. Furthermore, all these models assume locality of interactions (i.e. only similar size eddies interact and only one length scale is needed in each direction in the phenomenological description). Locality of interactions however has been shown to be in question by both theoretical arguments and analysis of data in numerical simulations even in the isotropic case [1, 6, 19]. Furthermore the rigorous result for weak turbulence [8, 9] has shown that only modes in the resonant manifold  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  are responsible for the energy cascade. It seems reasonable therefore that non-locality is an essential ingredient of MHD turbulence that needs to be taken in to account in a model. In addition we expect that the energy will not cascade isotropically so not only the amplitude of the energy cascade rate is of importance but also the direction. With these two points in mind (anisotropy and non-locality) we try to construct a non-local model, for the energy cascade.

To begin with the new model let us consider a MHD flow in a statistically steady turbulent state forced at large scales, in the presence of mean magnetic field  $B$ . We will denote the two 2-D energy spectra as  $E^+(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$  and  $E^-(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$  where the total energy is given by  $E_{\tau}^{\pm} \equiv \int E^{\pm} dk_{\perp} dk_{\parallel}$ . For simplicity assume  $E^-(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}) \sim E^+(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$  (i.e. negligible cross helicity) and drop the  $\pm$  indexes leaving the case  $E^- \ll E^+$  to be investigated in the future. To shorten the notation we will write  $E_k = E(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$ . The index  $k$  denotes that  $E_k$  depends on the wavenumbers  $k_{\perp}$  and  $k_{\parallel}$ .

Let us now consider two eddies of different scales  $z_k^+$  and  $z_q^-$  interacting. Let us assume that the  $z_k^+$  eddy has wave numbers  $\sim k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}$  and the  $z_q^-$  eddy has wave numbers  $\sim q_{\perp}, q_{\parallel}$ . Here we will focus on the cascade of the energy of the  $z_k^+$  eddy, the cascade of the  $z_q^-$  can be obtained by changing the indexes  $k, q$  and  $\pm$ . From the form of the non-linear term we expect by dimensional analysis that the rate of energy cascade of the  $z_k^+$  eddy

will be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(k) &\sim (z_k^+)^2 (z_q^-) q \\ &\sim [k_{\perp} k_{\parallel} E_k] [q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} q \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and similar for the energy cascade rate of the  $z_q^-$  eddy. Note that in such an interaction the energy will not cascade isotropically but it will depend on the value of  $\mathbf{q}$ . In a interaction of the two eddies the energy of the  $z_k^+$  eddy will move from the wavenumber  $\mathbf{k}$  to the wave number  $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$ . If  $q_{\parallel} \ll q_{\perp}$  then most of the cascade will be in the  $q_{\perp}$  direction. As a result we need to define separately the rate energy cascades to larger  $k_{\perp}$ :  $\mathcal{E}_{\perp}(k)$  and the rate energy cascades to larger  $k_{\parallel}$ :  $\mathcal{E}_{\parallel}(k)$  as:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\perp} \sim [k_{\perp} k_{\parallel} E_k] [q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} q_{\perp} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\parallel} \sim [k_{\perp} k_{\parallel} E_k] [q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} q_{\parallel}. \quad (4)$$

Note that in writing the equations above we have not taken in to account possible scale dependent correlations between the two fields that could reduce the energy cascade. Such an effect has been taken into account by Boldyrev [2] based on the GS model and could be incorporated in the present model. However we will not make such an attempt here since we want to present the model in its simplest form. Equations 3 and 4 express the rate energy cascades in the absence of a mean magnetic field and are valid only when  $|q| < |k|$  because small eddies although they have a stronger shear rate  $z_q q$  decorrelate making them less effective in cascading the energy. However in the presence of guiding field not all wave numbers  $q$  are as effective in cascading the energy  $E_k$ . Because the two eddies  $z_k^+, z_q^-$  travel in opposite directions the time they will interact will be the Alfvén time  $\tau_A \sim [q_{\parallel} B_0]^{-1}$  and the time needed to cascade the energy will be  $\tau_{NL} \sim (z^- |q|)^{-1} \sim [|q| \sqrt{q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q}]^{-1}$ . Therefore, from all the available wavenumbers only the wave numbers with  $\tau_A \gtrsim \tau_{NL}$  will be effective in cascading the energy. This restriction leads to:

$$q_{\parallel} B \lesssim q_{\perp} \sqrt{q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q} \quad (5)$$

where we used the approximation  $|q| \simeq q_{\perp}$  as a first order approximation of  $|q|$  for large  $B$ . This relation looks very similar to the critical balance relation of the GS model. However in this case the relation 5 gives the wave numbers that the eddy  $z_k^+$  will interact with and does not restrict the location of  $z_k^+$  in spectral space. We are going to refer to the set of wavenumbers that satisfy the relation above as the resonant manifold and we are going to use this relation as an equality because from the allowed wavenumbers  $q_{\parallel}$  the ones closer to  $k_{\parallel}$  will be more effective in cascading the energy. Here as in the equations 3 and 4, we assume that  $q_{\parallel} < k_{\parallel}$ . Finally since the mean magnetic field does not directly effect the  $\perp$  direction we assume that similar size  $k_{\perp}$  and  $q_{\perp}$  are the most effective




FIG. 1: An illustration of the non local model. A eddy at  $(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp})$  interacts (non-locally) with an eddy at the resonant manifold  $(q_{\parallel}(k_{\perp}), k_{\perp})$  cascading the energy in the direction of the arrow.

to cascade the energy  $q_{\perp} \sim k_{\perp}$  (i.e. locality in the  $k_{\perp}$  direction). So equation 5 is written as

$$q_{\parallel} \simeq \frac{k_{\perp}^3 E_q}{B_0^2} \quad (6)$$

We are now ready to impose the constant energy flux condition that would lead to a stationary spectrum. Because the cascade is anisotropic, constant energy flux now reads:

$$\partial_{k_{\perp}} \mathcal{E}_{\perp} + \partial_{k_{\parallel}} \mathcal{E}_{\parallel} = 0 \quad (7)$$

The equations 3,4,6,7 form the basic equations of our model. It is worth noting, that in this model the cascade of energy decreases by the introduction of the guiding field not because the individual interactions weakened but because the number of modes that are able to cascade the energy decreases due to the resonance condition 6. A sketch of the mechanisms involved in the model is shown in figure 1.

First let us consider the weak turbulence limit that is obtained in the limit  $B \rightarrow \infty$ . For large  $B$  based on equation 6 the resonant manifold becomes very thin  $q_{\parallel}/k_{\perp} \ll 1$ . Furthermore because  $\mathcal{E}_{\parallel}/\mathcal{E}_{\perp} \sim q_{\parallel}/k_{\perp} \ll 1$  we can neglect the cascade in the parallel direction. If also  $E_k$  is non-singular at  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  we have that  $E_q \simeq E(k_{\perp}, 0)$ . Substituting  $q_{\parallel}$  from 6 in 3 and imposing the constant flux condition 7 we obtain:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\perp} \sim k_{\perp}^4 k_{\parallel} E_k E(k_{\perp}, 0)/B = \epsilon(k_{\parallel}). \quad (8)$$

Note that the spectrum  $E(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$  depends on the energy of the resonant manifold  $E(k_{\perp}, 0)$  just like the weak turbulence result and unlike what the BN local theory for weak turbulence predicts. If the energy spectrum for  $E(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$  and for the resonant manifold  $E(k_{\perp}, 0)$  scale like  $k_{\perp}^n$  and  $k_{\perp}^m$  respectively then we end up with the weak turbulence prediction [9]:

$$m + n = -4 \quad (9)$$

Assuming that the two spectra are smooth around  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  (just like the weak turbulence theory needs to assume) we obtain:

$$E^{\pm} \sim k_{\perp}^{-2} \sqrt{B \epsilon(k_{\parallel})/k_{\parallel}}. \quad (10)$$

As we decrease the value of  $B$  we need to take in to account that the energy cascade in the  $\parallel$  direction is non zero. In this case energy does not cascade in the  $\perp$  direction but cascades along the lines that are tangent to the direction of  $\mathcal{E}$  and satisfy  $dk_{\parallel}/dk_{\perp} = \mathcal{E}_{\perp}/\mathcal{E}_{\parallel} = q_{\parallel}/k_{\perp}$  or

$$k_{\parallel} = \int_0^{k_{\perp}} \frac{q_{\parallel}(k'_{\perp})}{k'_{\perp}} dk'_{\perp} + C. \quad (11)$$

Let  $\lambda$  be the length along such a curve; then we can move to a new coordinate system given by  $(\lambda, C)$ . In this new coordinate system the constant flux relation to first order in  $q_{\parallel}$  reads

$$\frac{d|\mathcal{E}|}{d\lambda} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( [k_{\perp} k_{\parallel} E_k] [q_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} |q| \right) = 0 \quad (12)$$

or

$$[k_{\perp} k_{\parallel} E_k] [k_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} k_{\perp} = \epsilon(C) \quad (13)$$

where only terms up to order  $q_{\parallel}$  are kept. Letting  $k_{\parallel} \rightarrow q_{\parallel}$  we obtain the equation for the resonant manifold.

$$[k_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q] [k_{\perp} q_{\parallel} E_q]^{1/2} k_{\perp} = \epsilon(0) \equiv \epsilon_0. \quad (14)$$

Substituting  $q_{\parallel}$  from 6 and solving for  $E_q$  we obtain

$$E_q = E(q_{\parallel}(k_{\perp}), k_{\perp}) = \epsilon_0^{1/3} B k_{\perp}^{-7/3}. \quad (15)$$

The equations 6 and 11 then gives us

$$q_{\parallel} = k_{\perp}^{2/3} \epsilon_0^{1/3} / B \text{ and } k_{\parallel} = \frac{3}{2} k_{\perp}^{2/3} \epsilon_0^{1/3} / B + C. \quad (16)$$

Returning to the equation for the energy energy 13 we get

$$E_k = \frac{\epsilon(C) \epsilon_0^{-1/3}}{k_{\perp}^{5/3} k_{\parallel}} \quad (17)$$

where  $C$  is given by equation 11 and the predicted spectra 17,18 are valid in the range  $q_{\parallel} < k_{\parallel} < \infty$ . For smaller values of  $k_{\parallel}$  the condition  $k_{\parallel} < q_{\parallel}$  that we initially assumed is not satisfied. The energy of the modes inside the resonant manifold is given by 15 and no singularity at  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  exists.

In the special case that  $\epsilon(C)$  is a constant  $\epsilon(C) = \epsilon_0$  that corresponds to a uniform injection rate per unit of wavenumber ( $k_{\parallel}$ ) at the large scales  $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$  the spectrum reduces to

$$E_k = \frac{\epsilon_0^{2/3}}{k_{\perp}^{-5/3} k_{\parallel}} \quad (18)$$

but in general the spectrum will depend on the way energy is injected in the system. The non-universality that the model suggests is due to the fact that we assumed that the energy cascades in a deterministic way only along the lines in the  $(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp})$  plane given by 11. In reality energy will not cascade strictly along the lines 11 but there is going to be some exchange of energy between lines that could bring the energy spectrum in the form 18. However, if and how fast a universal spectrum can be obtained in MHD is not an easy question to answer. This question is related to the return to isotropy of an anisotropically forced flow in hydrodynamic turbulence, that is still an open question. If indeed in MHD turbulence in the presence of a guiding field there is a universal spectrum this is expected to happen at smaller scales than in hydrodynamic turbulence because nonlinear interactions are weaker.

So far we concerned ourselves with only large values of  $B$ . In principle we could extend our results to smaller values of  $B$  with out making some of the approximations used to arrive at the results 17,18. However, such procedure leads to more complex equations that prevent us from deriving the energy spectrum in a compact form and we do not make such an attempt at present.

It is worth emphasizing the similarities the current model has with GS model. Both models emphasize the role of the manifold  $k_{\parallel} \simeq k_{\perp}^{2/3} \epsilon_0^{1/3} / B$ , obtained by the resonance condition 6 in our model or the critical balance condition in the GS model. However in this model the cascade is not restricted in this manifold, but instead all modes in the  $(k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp})$  plane cascade due to non-local interactions with the modes in this manifold.

An other point we need to emphasize is that taking  $B \rightarrow \infty$  does not reduce the predicted spectra in 17 to the weak turbulence limit 10. This is due to the two

different limiting procedures followed. In the first case (eq.10) first the limit  $B \rightarrow \infty$  was taken and then the 1-D flux was determined, while in the second case 17 we first obtained the 2-D energy flux and then the limit  $B \rightarrow \infty$  was taken. Note however that the condition 9 is satisfied in both cases and the spectrum is also smooth at  $k_{\parallel} = q_{\parallel}$  because although the resonant manifold scales like  $k_{\perp}^{-7/3}$  while the rest of the spectrum scales like  $k_{\perp}^{-5/3} k_{\parallel}^{-1}$  the resonant manifold widens as  $k_{\perp}$  increases. It is possible as we discuss below that in different (numerical) setups either of the two limiting procedures can be valid and different spectra could be obtained.

In numerical simulations a finite discrete number of modes is kept. Based on this model the cascade rate is reduced in the presence of a mean magnetic field not because the individual interactions themselves are weakened but because the number of modes that that interact effectively is reduced. If the modes in a numerical simulation with the smallest non-zero wavenumber  $k_1 = 2\pi/L$  (where  $L$  is the box height) is larger than the resonant manifold ( $k_1 > q_{\parallel}$ ) then if  $B$  is further increased the scaling with  $B$  of the energy dissipation rate will be lost and the spectrum exponents could change, since the number of modes in the resonant manifold already have taken their minimum value (i.e. the number of modes that have  $k_{\parallel} = 0$ ). Furthermore a difference in the energy spectrum exponents can be expected in numerical simulations if the modes inside the resonant manifold are not forced. The sensitivity of the model to the way the system is forced could in part explain the disagreement in the measured spectrum exponents.

The author is grateful for the support he received from the Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur.

- 
- [1] Alexakis A., Mininni P.D. & Pouquet A., 2005, Phys. Rev. E 72, 046301
  - [2] Boldyrev, S., 2001, Astrophys. J. , 626, L37-L40.
  - [3] Bhattacharjee, A., Ng, C. S., 2001, Astrophys. J. , 548, 318-322
  - [4] Biskamp, D. & Müller, W.C., 2000 Phys. Plasmas, 7, 4889
  - [5] Cho J. & Vishniac E.T., 2000 Astrophys. J. 539, 273
  - [6] Debligny O., Verma M.K. & Carati D., 2005, Phys. Plasmas 12, 042309
  - [7] Galtier, S., Pouquet, A., & Mangeney A., 2005, Phys. Plasmas 12, 092310
  - [8] Galtier, S.; Nazarenko, S. V.; Newell, A. C.; Pouquet, A., 2002, Astrophys. J. , 564, L49-L52.
  - [9] Galtier, S.; Nazarenko, S. V.; Newell, A. C.; Pouquet, A. 2000, J. Plasma Phys., 63, 447.
  - [10] Goldreich, P., Sridhar, S. 1995, Astrophys. J. , 438, 763.
  - [11] Goldstein, M.L., Roberts D.A., & Matthaeus W.H., 1995, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 33, 283.
  - [12] Iroshnikov, P. 1963, Soviet Astron., 7, 566.
  - [13] Kolmogorov, A.N. 1941, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 30,299
  - [14] Kraichnan, R. 1965, Phys. Fluids, 8, 1385
  - [15] Maron J., Goldreich P., 2001 Astrophys. J. 554, 1175
  - [16] Müller W.C., Grappin, R., 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 114502
  - [17] Ng, C.S., Bhattacharjee, A. 1996, Astrophys. J. , 465, 845.
  - [18] Ng, C.S., Bhattacharjee, A., Germaschewski K., Galtier, S. 2003, Phys. Plasmas, 10, 1954
  - [19] Yousef T.A., Rincon F., & Schekochihin A.A., 2007, J. Fluid Mech. 575, 111.
  - [20] Zeldovich Ya. B., Ruzmaikin A.A., Sokoloff D.D. "Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics" 1990 Gordon & Breach Science Pub.
  - [21] Zhou Y., Matthaeus W.H., Dmitruk P. 2004 Rev. Mod. Phys., 76, 1015-1035