

Controlled Teleportation of an Arbitrary Multi-Qudit State in a General Form with d -Dimensional Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger States*

Xi-Han Li^{1,2,3}, Fu-Guo Deng^{1,2,3†} and Hong-Yu Zhou^{1,2,3}

¹ *The Key Laboratory of Beam Technology and Material Modification of Ministry of Education, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875*

² *Institute of Low Energy Nuclear Physics, and Department of Material Science and Engineering, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875*

³ *Beijing Radiation Center, Beijing 100875*

(Dated: October 31, 2018)

A general scheme for controlled teleportation of an arbitrary multi-qudit state with d -dimensional Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states is proposed. For an arbitrary m -qudit state, the sender Alice performs m generalized Bell-state projective measurements on her $2m$ qudits and the controllers need only take some single-particle measurements. The receiver Charlie can reconstruct the unknown m -qudit state by performing some single-qudit unitary operations on her particles if she cooperates with all the controllers. As the quantum channel is a sequence of maximally entangled GHZ states, the intrinsic efficiency for qudits in this scheme approaches 100% in principle.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

Since Bennett *et al.* [1] presented the first protocol in 1993, quantum teleportation, one of the most amazing features of quantum mechanics, has been studied by many groups [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The quantum teleportation process allows the two remote parties teleport an unknown quantum state, utilizing the nonlocal correlation of the quantum channel by means of multiparticle joint measurement. The first controlled teleportation protocol was presented in 1999 by using three-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state to teleport a single-qubit state [12]. In fact, the basic idea of a controlled teleportation scheme [6, 7, 8] is to let an unknown quantum state be regenerated by a receiver with the help of controllers on a network. This is similar to another branch of quantum communication, called quantum state sharing (QSTS) [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], whose task is to let several receivers share an unknown quantum state with collaborations. Essentially one receiver reconstructs the original state with the help of others. In general, almost all those QSTS schemes [13, 14, 15, 16] can be used for controlled teleportation with or without a little modification.

Recently, the controlled teleportation for a single-qubit or m -qubit state has been studied. In 2004, Li *et al.* [19] proposed a scheme to share an unknown single-qubit state with a multi-particle joint measurement. Yang *et al.* [6] presented a multiparty controlled teleportation protocol to teleport multi-qubit quantum information. Deng *et al.* [7] introduced a symmetric multiparty controlled teleportation scheme for an arbitrary two-particle entanglement state. Moreover, they presented another scheme for sharing an arbitrary two-particle state with

Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) pairs and GHZ state measurements [13] or Bell-state measurements [15]. Also, Zhang, Jin and Zhang [16] presented a scheme for sharing an arbitrary two-particle state based on entanglement swapping. Zhang *et al.* [17] proposed a multiparty QSTS scheme for sharing an unknown single-qubit state with photon pairs and a controlled teleportation scheme using quantum secret sharing of classical message for teleporting arbitrary m -qubit quantum information [8]. We proposed an efficient symmetric multiparty QSTS protocol for sharing an arbitrary m -qubit state [14]. More recently, Zhan *et al.* [9] proposed a scheme to teleport a multi-qudit cat-like state, and Zhang *et al.* [18] proposed a QSTS protocol for sharing an unknown single-particle qutrit state (the state of a three-level quantum system).

In this Letter, we give a general scheme for controlled teleportation of an arbitrary m -qudit state with d -dimensional GHZ states via the control of n controllers, following some ideas in Ref. [14]. Except for the sender Alice, each of the controllers needs only to take m single-particle measurements on his particles, and the receiver can reconstruct the unknown m -qudit state with m unitary operations if she cooperates with all the controllers. This scheme for controlled teleportation of m -qudit state is optimal as its intrinsic efficiency for qudits $\eta_q \equiv \frac{q_u}{q_t}$ approaches 100% in principle. Here q_u is the number of the useful qubits and q_t is the number of the qubits transmitted.

For a d -dimensional Hilbert space, its basis along z -direction Z_d , which has d eigenvectors, can be written as

$$|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \dots, |d-1\rangle \quad (1)$$

The d eigenvectors of another unbiased measuring basis

*Published in *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **24** (5), 1151-1153 (2007).

†Email address: fgdeng@bnu.edu.cn

(MB) X_d can be described as [20, 21]

$$|u\rangle_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d} ul} |l\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $u = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle_x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle + \dots + |d-1\rangle), \\ |1\rangle_x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} |1\rangle + \dots + e^{\frac{(d-1)2\pi i}{d}} |d-1\rangle \right), \\ &\dots \\ |d-1\rangle_x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{\frac{2(d-1)\pi i}{d}} |1\rangle + e^{\frac{2 \times 2(d-1)\pi i}{d}} |2\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + e^{\frac{(d-1) \times 2(d-1)\pi i}{d}} |d-1\rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The two vectors $|k\rangle$ and $|l\rangle_x$ coming from two MBs satisfy the relation $|\langle k|l\rangle_x|^2 = \frac{1}{d}$. The generalized d -dimensional Bell states are [22, 23]

$$|\psi_{uv}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d} lu} |l\rangle \otimes |l \oplus v\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $u, v = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. The d -dimensional unitary operation

$$U_{uv} = \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d} ul} |l \oplus v\rangle \langle l| \quad (5)$$

can transform the generalized d -dimensional Bell state (GBS)

$$|\psi_{00}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} |l\rangle \otimes |l\rangle \quad (6)$$

into the GBS $|\psi_{uv}\rangle$, i.e.,

$$U_{uv} |\psi_{00}\rangle = |\psi_{uv}\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Now, let us describe the process of our controlled teleportation in detail. An unknown arbitrary m -qudit state teleported can be written as

$$|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m=0}^{d-1} a_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} |x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\rangle. \quad (8)$$

The complex coefficients a_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} ($x_k = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$) are unknown and satisfy the normalized relation

$$\sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m=0}^{d-1} |a_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}|^2 = 1. \quad (9)$$

For teleporting the unknown m -qudit state $|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}$, the sender Alice, the receiver Charlie and the n controllers (called Bob $_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) should share securely




FIG. 1: Schematic demonstration of controlled teleportation of an arbitrary m -qudit state by using m $(n+2)$ -particle GHZ states as the quantum channel.

m $(n+2)$ -particle d -dimensional GHZ states in advance, see Fig.1. The k -th ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$) GHZ state is

$$|\Psi_{GHZ}\rangle_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} |l, l, \dots, l\rangle_{p_{k0}, p_{k1}, \dots, p_{k, n+1}}. \quad (10)$$

For each GHZ state $|\Psi_{GHZ}\rangle_k$, the particles p_{k0} ($p_{k, n+1}$) are held in the hands of Alice (Charlie), and the particles p_{kj} are controlled by the controller Bob $_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). The composite state of the quantum system composed of the particles in an unknown state $|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}$ and those in all the GHZ states is

$$|\Psi_{all}\rangle = |\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m} \otimes \prod_{k=1}^m |\Psi_{GHZ}\rangle_k. \quad (11)$$

The sender Alice first performs m generalized Bell-state measurements (GBSM) on the particles x_k and p_{k0} ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Suppose the results of the m GBSM are $|\psi_{\alpha_{k1} \alpha_{k2}}\rangle$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$), and then the state of the quantum system composed of the particles controlled by Bob $_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and Charlie becomes (without being normalized)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi'_{sub}\rangle_{BC} &= \frac{1}{d^m} \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m=0}^{d-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{d} \sum_{k=1}^m l_k \alpha_{k1}} a_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} \\ &\quad \prod_{k=1}^m |\underbrace{l_k + \alpha_{k2}, \dots, l_k + \alpha_{kn}}_{n+1}\rangle_{p_{k1}, p_{k2}, \dots, p_{k, n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

When Charlie wants to reconstruct the original state, he asks Bob $_j$ to measure the particles p_{kj} ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m$) with the X_d basis independently. If the result of the measurement on the particle p_{kj} is $|\beta_{kj}\rangle_x$, the retained

subsystem composed of the particles controlled by Charlie is in the state

$$|\Psi''_{sub}\rangle_C = \frac{1}{d^{m(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})}} \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m=0}^{d-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{d} \sum_{k=1}^m [l_k \alpha_{k1} + (l_k + \alpha_{k2}) \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{kj}]} a_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} \prod_{k=1}^m |l_k + \alpha_{k2}\rangle_{p_{k, n+1}}. \quad (13)$$

Charlie can perform a unitary operation $U_{p_{k, n+1}}$ on each of her particle $p_{k, n+1}$ if he wants to regenerate the unknown state $|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}$. The operations $U_{p_{k, n+1}}$ can be chosen according to the information about the results of the measurements done by the sender Alice and the controllers Bobs, i.e.,

$$p_k = \alpha_{k1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{kj}, \quad (14)$$

$$q_k = d - \alpha_{k2}. \quad (15)$$

After m unitary operations, the state of the m particles in Charlie's hands is transformed into

$$|\Psi'''\rangle_C = A \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m=0}^{d-1} a_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} |l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\rangle, \quad (16)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{d^{m(1+\frac{\alpha}{2})}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d} \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{k1} \alpha_{k2}}. \quad (17)$$

A is a whole phase and it does not affect the feature of the state. In this way, the receiver Charlie obtains the original state $|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}$. As the quantum channel is a sequence of maximally entangled GHZ states, all of

the qudits transmitted are useful in principle, and the intrinsic efficiency for qudits η_q in this scheme approaches 100%.

To prevent some controllers from stealing the information about the unknown state $|\phi\rangle_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}$ in this scheme, the parties can exploit the way in Ref. [7, 20, 24, 25, 26, 27] to set up the quantum channel securely. That is, Alice prepares some decoy photons who are randomly in the states $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |d-1\rangle, |0\rangle_x, |1\rangle_x, \dots, |d-1\rangle_x\}$, and inserts them into the particle sequences $S_j = [p_{0j}, p_{1j}, \dots, p_{mj}]$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$) which are sent to Bob $_j$ ($j \leq n$) and Charlie ($j = n+1$), respectively. After confirming the receipt of the sequences, Alice picks up the decoy photons to check the eavesdropping in the quantum line, similar to Ref. [28, 29, 30]. In detail, Alice tells the controllers Bob $_j$ and Charlie to measure their decoy photons with the MB Z_d or the MB X_d , the same as those chosen by Alice for preparing them. Thus the process for setting up the quantum channel can be made to be secure.

In summary, we have presented a general scheme for multiparty controlled teleportation of an arbitrary multi-qudit state. The quantum channel used is a sequence of d -dimensional GHZ states. For teleporting an arbitrary m -qudit state, the sender Alice should perform m generalized Bell-state measurements, and each controller needs to perform m single-qudit measurements using the X_d basis. According to the results of the measurements published by Alice and Bobs, the receiver Charlie can choose m suitable single-qudit unitary operations to reconstruct the original state. As almost all the GHZ states can be used to teleport the unknown state in principle, the intrinsic efficiency for qudits in this scheme approaches 100%.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 10604008 and 10435020, and Beijing Education Committee under Grant No. XK100270454.

-
- [1] Bennett C H et al 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 1895
[2] Zheng S B and Guo G C 1997 *Phys. Lett. A* **232** 171
[3] Zheng S B 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 2356
[4] Yu S X and Sun C P 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 022310
[5] Zhan X G et al 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 2900
[6] Yang C P et al 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 022329
[7] Deng F G et al 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **72** 022338
[8] Zhang Z J 2006 *Phys. Lett. A* **352** 55
[9] Zhan X G et al 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 2900
[10] Yan F L and Ding H W 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 17
[11] Cao H J et al 2006 *Chin. Phys.* **15** 915
[12] Karlsson A and Bourennane M 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58** 4394
[13] Deng F G et al 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **72** 044301
[14] Li X H et al 2005 *J. Phys. B* **39** 1975
[15] Deng F G et al 2006 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **39** 459
[16] Zhang Y Q et al 2006 *Chin. Phys.* **15** 2252
[17] Zhang Z J 2005 *Eur. Phys. J. D* **33** 133
[18] Wang Z Y and Zhang Z J quant-ph/0607187
[19] Li Y M et al 2004 *Phys. Lett. A* **324** 420
[20] Li C Y et al 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 2896
[21] Li X H et al 2006 *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **49** 1354
[22] Wang C et al 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **71** 044305
[23] Liu X S et al 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* **65** 022304
[24] Li C Y et al 2005 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **22** 1049
[25] Deng F G et al 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 1676
[26] Deng F G et al 2006 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **23** 1084
[27] Li X H et al 2007 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **24** 23
[28] Deng F G, Long G L and Liu X S 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 042317
[29] Li X H et al 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 054302
[30] Deng F G and Long G L 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 052319