

Invariants of triangular Lie algebras with one nilindependent diagonal element

Vyacheslav Boyko [†], Jiri Patera [‡] and Roman O. Popovych ^{†§}

[†] *Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str., Kyiv, 01004 Ukraine*
E-mail: boyko@imath.kiev.ua, rop@imath.kiev.ua

[‡] *Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal,
C.P. 6128 succursale Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec), H3C 3J7 Canada*
E-mail: patera@CRM.UMontreal.CA

[§] *Wolfgang Pauli Institut, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria*

The invariants of solvable triangular Lie algebras with one nilindependent diagonal element are studied exhaustively. Bases of the invariant sets of all such algebras are constructed using an original algebraic algorithm based on Cartan's method of moving frames and the special technique developed for triangular and related algebras in [*J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 7557–7572]. The conjecture of Tremblay and Winternitz [*J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 9085–9099] on the number and form of elements in the bases is completed and proved.

1 Introduction

The possibility of finding complete explicit formulae for the invariants of a Lie algebra is without a doubt connected with some precise knowledge of its structure. Since the invariants of Lie algebras are their essential characteristics, and are important in their application, the exhaustive description of invariants was attempted for all known structures of Lie algebras.

This problem was solved in the cases of the semi-simple and low-dimensional Lie algebras, for physically relevant Lie algebras of fixed dimensions, as well as Lie algebras with the simplest (Abelian) radicals (see, e.g., references in [2, 6, 15]). Further progress in the study of Lie algebra invariants (also called generalized Casimir operators) are closely related with progress in the classification of classes of solvable algebras and unsolvable Lie algebras with non-trivial radicals of arbitrary finite dimensions [1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The infinitesimal method became the convention for the computation of invariants. It is based on the integration of a linear system of first-order partial differential equations associated with infinitesimal operators of coadjoint action. Algebraic tools were occasionally applied in the construction of invariants for special classes of algebras [9, 16].

In [2, 3] an original pure algebraic approach to invariants was proposed and developed. It involves Fels–Olver's approach to Cartan's method of moving frames [7, 8]. (For modern development of the moving frames method and more references see also [14]). More precisely, the technique of the moving frames method is specialized in its frameworks for the case of coadjoint action of the associated inner automorphism groups on the dual spaces of Lie algebras. Unlike the infinitesimal method, such an approach allows us to avoid solving systems of differential equations, replacing them by algebraic equations. As a result, it is essentially simpler to apply.

Different versions of the algebraic approach were tested in [2, 3] for the Lie algebras of dimensions not greater than 6 and a wide range of known solvable Lie algebras of arbitrary finite dimensions with a fixed structure of nilradicals. A special technique for working with solvable Lie algebras having triangular nilradicals was developed in [4]. Fundamental invariants were constructed with this technique for the algebras $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$, $\mathfrak{t}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{st}(n)$. Here $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ denotes the nilpotent Lie algebra of strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over the field \mathbb{F} , where \mathbb{F} is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . The solvable Lie

algebras of non-strictly upper triangular and special upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices are denoted by $\mathfrak{t}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{st}(n)$, respectively.

The invariants of triangular algebras were first considered in [20], with the infinitesimal method. Theorem 1 on the Casimir operators of $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and Proposition 1 on the invariants of $\mathfrak{st}(n)$ from [20] were completely corroborated in [4]. Note that Proposition 1 was only a conjecture derived after the calculation of the invariants for all partial values $n \leq 13$. Another conjecture was formulated in [20] as Proposition 2 on invariant bases of solvable Lie algebras having $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ as their nilradicals and possessing a minimal (one) number of nilindependent ‘diagonal’ elements. It was invented after the construction of the invariants for a narrower range of n than in the case of $\mathfrak{st}(n)$ (namely, $n \leq 8$), and it has not been proved as of this writing. In the framework of the infinitesimal approach, the necessary calculations are too cumbersome, even more so for these algebras. This probably led to the reduction of possibility of computational experiments and to the impossibility of proving the aforementioned conjectures for arbitrary values of n .

In this paper we rigorously construct bases of the invariant sets for all the solvable Lie algebras with nilradicals isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and one nilindependent ‘diagonal’ element for arbitrary relevant values of n (i.e., $n > 1$). We use the algebraic approach along with some additional technical tools that were developed for triangular and related algebras in [4]. All the steps of the algorithm are implemented one after another: construction of the coadjoint representation of the corresponding Lie group and its fundamental lifted invariant (Section 2), excluding the group parameters from the lifted invariants by the normalization procedure that results in a basis of the invariants for the coadjoint action (Section 3) and re-writing this basis as a basis of the invariants of the Lie algebra under consideration (Section 4). Description of some necessary notions and statements, precise formulation and discussion of the technical details of the applied algorithm can be found in [2, 3, 4], and hence are omitted here. The calculations involved in any step are more complicated than in [4], but due to optimization they remain quite useful. There are two cases, depending on the parameters of the algebra, that differ in the necessary number of normalization constraints and, therefore, in the cardinality of the fundamental invariants. The conjecture given in Proposition 2 of [20] is completed and proved.

2 Representation of the coadjoint action

Let the underlying field \mathbb{F} be either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . Consider the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ with the nilradical $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and one nilindependent element f , which acts on elements of the nilradical in the same way as the diagonal matrix $\Gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ acts on strictly triangular matrices, also consider Γ as being a matrix non-proportional to the identity matrix. The tuple $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ has different elements due to the condition on Γ . It is defined up to a nonzero multiplier, a homogeneous shift of entry values and the mirror reflection with respect to the central vertical line. In other words, the algebras $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma'}(n)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that

$$\gamma'_i = \lambda \gamma_i + \mu, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma'_i = \lambda \gamma_{n-i+1} + \mu, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The tuples γ and γ' are assumed to be equivalent. Up to this equivalence, the additional condition $\text{tr} \Gamma = \sum_i \gamma_i = 0$ can be imposed on the algebra parameters. Therefore, the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ is naturally embedded into $\mathfrak{st}(n)$ as an ideal, thus identifying $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ with $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and f with Γ .

The concatenation of the canonical basis of $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ and the singleton (f) is chosen as the canonical basis of $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$. In the basis of $\text{NR}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ we use a ‘matrix’ enumeration of the basis elements e_{ij} , $i < j$, with an ‘increasing’ pair of indices, similarly to the canonical basis $(E_{ij}^n, i < j)$ of the isomorphic matrix algebra $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$.

Hereafter E_{ij}^n (for fixed values i and j) denotes the $n \times n$ matrix $(\delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'})$ with i' and j' running the numbers of rows and columns, respectively, i.e., the $n \times n$ matrix with the unit on the cross of the i th row and the j th column and zero otherwise. The indices i, j, k and l run at most from 1 to n . Only additional constraints on the indices are indicated.

Thus, the basis elements $e_{ij} \sim E_{ij}^n$, $i < j$, and $f \sim \sum_i \gamma_i E_{ii}^n$ satisfy the commutation relations

$$[e_{ij}, e_{i'j'}] = \delta_{i'j}e_{ij'} - \delta_{ij'}e_{i'j}, \quad [f, e_{ij}] = (\gamma_i - \gamma_j)e_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ can be considered as the Lie algebra of the Lie subgroup

$$T_\gamma(n) = \{B \in T(n) \mid \exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}: b_{ii} = e^{\gamma_i \varepsilon}\}$$

of the Lie group $T(n)$ of non-singular upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices.

Let e_{ji}^* , x_{ji} and y_{ij} denote the basis element and the coordinate function in the dual space $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma^*(n)$ and the coordinate function in $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$, which correspond to the basis element e_{ij} , $i < j$. In particular, $\langle e_{j'i'}^*, e_{ij} \rangle = \delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'}$. The reverse order of subscripts of the dual elements and coordinates is justified by the simplification of a matrix representation of lifted invariants. f^* , x_0 and y_0 denote the similar objects corresponding to the basis element f . We additionally set $y_{ii} = \gamma_i y_0$ and then complete the collections of x_{ji} and of y_{ij} with zeros to the matrices X and Y . Hence X is a strictly lower triangular matrix and Y is a non-strictly upper triangular one. The analogous ‘matrix’ with the significant elements e_{ij} , $i < j$, is denoted by \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 1. *A complete set of functionally independent lifted invariants of $\text{Ad}_{T_\gamma(n)}^*$ is exhausted by the expressions*

$$\mathcal{I}_{ij} = \sum_{i \leq i', j' \leq j} b_{ii'} \widehat{b}_{j'j} x_{i'j'}, \quad j < i, \quad \mathcal{I}_0 = x_0 + \sum_{j < i} \sum_{j \leq l \leq i} \gamma_l b_{li} \widehat{b}_{jl} x_{ij},$$

where $B = (b_{ij})$ is an arbitrary matrix from $T_\gamma(n)$, and $B^{-1} = (\widehat{b}_{ij})$ is the inverse matrix of B .

Proof. The adjoint action of $B \in T_\gamma(n)$ on the matrix Y is $\text{Ad}_B Y = B Y B^{-1}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Ad}_B \left(y_0 f + \sum_{i < j} y_{ij} e_{ij} \right) = y_0 f + y_0 \sum_{i < j} \sum_{i \leq i' \leq j} b_{ii'} \gamma_{i'} \widehat{b}_{i'j} e_{ij} + \sum_{i \leq i' < j' \leq j} b_{ii'} y_{i'j'} \widehat{b}_{j'j} e_{ij}.$$

After changing $e_{ij} \rightarrow x_{ji}$, $y_{ij} \rightarrow e_{ji}^*$, $f \rightarrow x_0$, $y_0 \rightarrow f^*$, $b_{ij} \leftrightarrow \widehat{b}_{ij}$ in the latter equality, we obtain the representation for the coadjoint action of B

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_B^* \left(x_0 f^* + \sum_{i < j} x_{ji} e_{ji}^* \right) &= x_0 f^* + \sum_{i < j} \sum_{i \leq i' \leq j} b_{i'j} x_{ji} \widehat{b}_{ii'} \gamma_{i'} f^* + \sum_{i \leq i' < j' \leq j} b_{j'j} x_{ji} \widehat{b}_{ii'} e_{j'i'}^* \\ &= \left(x_0 + \sum_{i < j} \sum_{i \leq i' \leq j} b_{i'j} x_{ji} \widehat{b}_{ii'} \gamma_{i'} \right) f^* + \sum_{i' < j'} (B X B^{-1})_{j'i'} e_{j'i'}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{I}_0 and the elements \mathcal{I}_{ij} , $j < i$, of the matrix $\mathcal{I} = B X B^{-1}$, where $B \in T_\gamma(n)$, form a fundamental lifted invariant of $\text{Ad}_{T_\gamma(n)}^*$. \square

Remark 2. The complete set of parameters in the above representation of lifted invariants is formed by b_{ij} , $j < i$, and ε . The center of the group $T_\gamma(n)$ is nontrivial only if $\gamma_1 = \gamma_n$, namely, then $Z(T_\gamma(n)) = \{E^n + b_{1n} E_{1n}^n, b_{1n} \in \mathbb{F}\}$. Here $E^n = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. In this case the inner automorphism group of $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ is isomorphic to the factor-group $T_\gamma(n)/Z(T_\gamma(n))$ and hence its dimension is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$. The parameter b_{1n} in the representation of the lifted invariants is thus inessential. Otherwise, the inner automorphism group of $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ is isomorphic to the whole group $T_\gamma(n)$, and all the parameters in the constructed lifted invariants are essential.

3 Invariants of the coadjoint action

Below $A_{j_1, j_2}^{i_1, i_2}$, where $i_1 \leq i_2$, $j_1 \leq j_2$, denotes the submatrix $(a_{ij})_{j=j_1, \dots, j_2}^{i=i_1, \dots, i_2}$ of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. The standard notation $|A| = \det A$ is used. The conjugate value of k with respect to n is denoted by \varkappa , i.e., $\varkappa = n - k + 1$.

At first we formulate the technical lemma from [4], applied to the proof of the following theorem.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $1 < k < n$. If $|X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n}| \neq 0$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$*

$$\beta - X_{1, k-1}^{i, i} (X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n})^{-1} X_{j, j}^{\varkappa+1, n} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{|X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n}|} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1, k-1}^{i, i} & \beta \\ X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n} & X_{j, j}^{\varkappa+1, n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In particular, $x_{\varkappa k} - X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa, \varkappa} (X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n})^{-1} X_{k, k}^{\varkappa+1, n} = (-1)^{k+1} |X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n}|^{-1} |X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|$. Analogously

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(x_{\varkappa j} - X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa, \varkappa} (X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n})^{-1} X_{j, j}^{\varkappa+1, n} \right) \left(x_{jk} - X_{1, k-1}^{j, j} (X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n})^{-1} X_{k, k}^{\varkappa+1, n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n}|} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1, k}^{j, j} & \beta \\ X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n} & X_{j, j}^{\varkappa, n} \end{vmatrix} + \frac{|X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|}{|X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n}|^2} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1, k-1}^{j, j} & \beta \\ X_{1, k-1}^{\varkappa+1, n} & X_{j, j}^{\varkappa+1, n} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *A basis of $\text{Inv}(\text{Ad}_{T_{\gamma(n)}}^*)$ consists of the expressions*

$$1) |X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|, \quad k = 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right], \quad x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2} \right]} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{|X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|} (\gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1}) \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1, k}^{i, i} & 0 \\ X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n} & X_{i, i}^{\varkappa, n} \end{vmatrix}$$

if $\gamma_k = \gamma_{\varkappa}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ or of the expressions

$$2) |X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|, \quad k = 1, \dots, k_0 - 1, \quad |X_{1, k_0}^{\varkappa_0, n}|^{\alpha_k} |X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|, \quad k = k_0 + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right]$$

otherwise. Here k_0 is the minimal value of k for which $\gamma_k \neq \gamma_{\varkappa}$ and

$$\alpha_k = - \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{\gamma_{n-i+1} - \gamma_i}{\gamma_{n-k_0+1} - \gamma_{k_0}}.$$

Remark 5. In general, expressions in Theorem 4 are not defined on the whole space $\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma}^*(n)$. Some singularities can be removed by recombining these expressions. In particular, the last expression of the first case is well defined only if $|X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}| \neq 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ with $\gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1} \neq 0$. Multiplying it by the product of $|X_{1, k}^{\varkappa, n}|$ with $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ and $\gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1} \neq 0$, we obtain a polynomial in x 's, which is defined on the whole space $\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma}^*(n)$. The second case is more complicated. If $k_0 < [n/2]$ and some of the exponents α_k 's are not integer, then for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ a branch of the \ln should be fixed and then used for expressing, via the exponential function, all powers involved in the expressions of the second case. If the underlying field is real, these powers are defined for any values of their exponents only for x 's, where the determinants being their bases are positive. In the general situation with the real field, when an exponent is not an integer or a rational number with odd denominator, the corresponding determinant should be replaced by its absolute value. A polynomial (and hence, globally defined) basis of invariants exists in the second case only if either $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and $k_0 = n/2$ or $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in K := \{k_0 + 1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ or $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $k \in K$ and $\alpha_k > 0$ for some $k \in K$.

Proof. Under normalization we impose the following restriction on the lifted invariants \mathcal{I}_{ij} , $j < i$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } j < i, (i, j) \neq (n - j' + 1, j'), j' = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This means we do not only fix the values of the elements of the lifted invariant matrix \mathcal{I} , which are situated on the secondary diagonal under the main diagonal. The other significant elements of \mathcal{I} are put equal to 0.

The decision on what to do with the singular lifted invariant \mathcal{I}_0 and the secondary-diagonal lifted invariants $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$, $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, is left for later, since it turns out that the necessity of imposing normalization conditions on them depends on the values of γ . As shown below, the final normalization in all the cases provides satisfying the conditions of Proposition 1 from [4] and, therefore, is correct.

In view of the (triangular) structure of the matrices B and X , the formula $\mathcal{I} = BXB^{-1}$ determining the matrix part of the lifted invariants implies $BX = \mathcal{I}B$. This matrix equality is also significant for the matrix elements underlying the main diagonals of the left and right hand sides, i.e.,

$$e^{\gamma_i \varepsilon} x_{ij} + \sum_{i < i'} b_{ii'} x_{i'j} = \mathcal{I}_{ij} e^{\gamma_j \varepsilon} + \sum_{j' < j} \mathcal{I}_{ij'} b_{j'j}, \quad j < i.$$

For convenience the latter system is divided under the chosen normalization conditions into four sets of subsystems

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^k: \quad & e^{\gamma_{\varkappa} \varepsilon} x_{\varkappa j} + \sum_{i' > \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i'} x_{i'j} = 0, \quad i = \varkappa, \quad j < k, \quad k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor, \\ S_2^k: \quad & e^{\gamma_{\varkappa} \varepsilon} x_{\varkappa k} + \sum_{i' > \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i'} x_{i'k} = \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon}, \quad i = \varkappa, \quad j = k, \quad k = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \\ S_3^k: \quad & e^{\gamma_{\varkappa} \varepsilon} x_{\varkappa j} + \sum_{i' > \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i'} x_{i'j} = \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} b_{kj}, \quad i = \varkappa, \quad k < j < \varkappa, \quad k = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \\ S_4^k: \quad & e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon} x_{kj} + \sum_{i' > k} b_{ki'} x_{i'j} = 0, \quad i = k, \quad j < k, \quad k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

and solve them one after another. The subsystem S_2^1 consists of the single equation

$$\mathcal{I}_{n1} = x_{n1} e^{(\gamma_n - \gamma_1) \varepsilon}.$$

For any fixed $k \in \{2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ the subsystem $S_1^k \cup S_2^k$ is a well-defined system of linear equations with respect to $b_{\varkappa i'}$, $i' > \varkappa$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$. Analogously, the subsystem S_1^k for $k = \varkappa = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ in the case of an odd n is a well-defined system of linear equations with respect to $b_{ki'}$, $i' > k$. The solutions of the above subsystems are expressions of x_{ij} , $i' \geq \varkappa$, $j < k$, and ε :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} &= (-1)^{k+1} \frac{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|}{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|} e^{(\gamma_{\varkappa} - \gamma_k) \varepsilon}, \quad k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \\ B_{\varkappa+1,n}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} &= -e^{\gamma_{\varkappa} \varepsilon} X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1}, \quad k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

After substituting the expressions of $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$ and $b_{\varkappa i'}$, $i' > \varkappa$, via ε and x 's into S_3^k , we trivially resolve S_3^k with respect to b_{kj} as an uncoupled system of linear equations:

$$b_{1j} = e^{\gamma_1 \varepsilon} \frac{x_{nj}}{x_{n1}}, \quad 1 < j < n,$$

$$b_{kj} = (-1)^{k+1} e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon} \frac{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \left(x_{\varkappa j} - X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{j,j}^{\varkappa+1,n} \right) = \frac{e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon}}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} & x_{\varkappa j} \\ X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n} & X_{j,j}^{\varkappa+1,n} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$k < j < \varkappa, \quad k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

Performing the subsequent substitution of the calculated expressions for b_{kj} into S_4^k , for any fixed appropriate k we obtain a well-defined system of linear equations, e.g., with respect to $b_{ki'}$, $i' > \varkappa$. Its solution is expressed via x 's, $b_{k\varkappa}$ and ε :

$$B_{\varkappa+1,n}^{k,k} = - \left(e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon} X_{1,k-1}^{k,k} + \sum_{k < j \leq \varkappa} b_{kj} X_{1,k-1}^{j,j} \right) (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1}$$

$$= -b_{k\varkappa} X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} - \frac{e^{\gamma_k \varepsilon}}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \sum_{k \leq j < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} & x_{\varkappa j} \\ X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n} & X_{j,j}^{\varkappa+1,n} \end{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{j,j} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1},$$

$$k = 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The expression of the lifted invariant \mathcal{I}_0 is rewritten, taking into account the already imposed normalization constraints (note that $\varkappa = [(n+1)/2] + 1$ if $k = [n/2]$):

$$\mathcal{I}_0 = x_0 + \sum_l \gamma_l \hat{b}_{ul} \sum_{l < i} b_{li} x_{il} + \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{j < k} \gamma_k \hat{b}_{jk} \sum_{i \geq k} b_{ki} x_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \left(\sum_{j < k} + \sum_{k \leq j < \varkappa} \right) \gamma_{\varkappa} \hat{b}_{j\varkappa} \sum_{i \geq \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i} x_{ij}$$

$$= x_0 + \sum_l \gamma_l \hat{b}_{ul} \sum_{l < i} b_{li} x_{il} + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} \sum_{k \leq j < \varkappa} b_{kj} \hat{b}_{j\varkappa}$$

$$= x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k \hat{b}_{kk} \left(\sum_{k < i \leq \varkappa} + \sum_{i > \varkappa} \right) b_{ki} x_{ik} + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} \hat{b}_{\varkappa \varkappa} \sum_{i > \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i} x_{i\varkappa} - \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} \hat{b}_{\varkappa \varkappa} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} b_{k\varkappa}.$$

Then the found expressions for b 's and $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$ are substituted into the derived expression of \mathcal{I}_0 :

$$\mathcal{I}_0 = x_0 + \gamma_1 e^{-\gamma_1 \varepsilon} \sum_{1 < i \leq n} b_{1i} x_{i1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k e^{-\gamma_k \varepsilon} \sum_{k < i \leq \varkappa} b_{ki} \left(x_{ik} - X_{1,k-1}^{i,i} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{k,k}^{\varkappa+1,n} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k X_{1,k-1}^{k,k} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{k,k}^{\varkappa+1,n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} \hat{b}_{\varkappa \varkappa} \sum_{i > \varkappa} b_{\varkappa i} x_{i\varkappa} - \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} \hat{b}_{\varkappa \varkappa} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} b_{k\varkappa}$$

$$= x_0 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_n) e^{-\gamma_1 \varepsilon} b_{1n} x_{n1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} (\gamma_k - \gamma_{\varkappa}) e^{-\gamma_k \varepsilon} b_{k\varkappa} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|}{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|}$$

$$- \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_k X_{1,k-1}^{k,k} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{k,k}^{\varkappa+1,n} - \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \gamma_{\varkappa} X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{\varkappa,\varkappa}^{\varkappa+1,n}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa,n} \end{vmatrix} + \sum_{k=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|} \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa+1,n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

If $\gamma_k = \gamma_{\varkappa}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$, then $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$, $k = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, and \mathcal{I}_0 do not depend on the parameters b and ε , i.e., they are invariants. For a basis to be simpler, $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_0 = \mathcal{I}_0$ is taken, as well as

$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_1 = \mathcal{I}_{n1}$ and the combinations $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k = (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{k-1}$, $k = 2, \dots, [n/2]$, resulting in the first tuple of invariants from the statement of the theorem. Let us show that the above formula for \mathcal{I}_0 gives exactly the expression from the statement of the theorem. Under the supposition on γ and after permuting terms, this formula is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p0} = x_{p0} &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa,n} \end{vmatrix} + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|} \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa+1,n} \end{vmatrix} \\ &- \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k X_{1,k-1}^{k,k} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{k,k}^{\varkappa+1,n} - \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \right) \gamma_k X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa,\varkappa} (X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n})^{-1} X_{\varkappa,\varkappa}^{\varkappa+1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, denote the summation complexes in the obtained formula by $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_5$ (two and three complexes in the first and second formula's rows, respectively). The complex Σ_5 contains no summands (resp. one summand) if n is even (resp. odd). Applying the first part of Lemma 3 for $\beta = 0$, we reduce summands of Σ_3, Σ_4 and Σ_5 to the form similar to that of summands of Σ_2 . We attach the modified summands to Σ_2 and thus extend the summation intervals to k, \dots, \varkappa for i (using summands of Σ_3 and Σ_4) and to $2, \dots, [n/2] + 1$ for k (using the summand of Σ_5 if n is odd; the extension is not needed if n is even),

$$\mathcal{I}_{p0} = x_{p0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|} \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa,n} \end{vmatrix} + \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k}{|X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n}|} \sum_{k \leq i \leq \varkappa} \begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k-1}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k-1}^{\varkappa+1,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa+1,n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The shifting of the index k by -1 in the last sum, $k' = k - 1$ and thus $\varkappa' = \varkappa + 1$, changes the summation intervals to $1, \dots, [n/2]$ for k' and to $k' + 1, \dots, \varkappa' - 1$ for i . The recombination of terms leads to the required expression.

Otherwise, if there exists $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ such that $\gamma_{k_0} \neq \gamma_{\varkappa_0}$, then \mathcal{I}_0 necessarily depends on the parameter $b_{k_0 \varkappa_0}$, which is in the expressions of $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k}$, $k = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, under the already established normalization conditions. Hence an additional normalization condition constraining \mathcal{I}_0 should be used, e.g., $\mathcal{I}_0 = 0$. It yields an expression for $b_{k_0 \varkappa_0}$ via x 's, other $b_{k \varkappa}$'s and ε . The exact form of the latter expression is inessential. Suppose that k_0 is the minimal k for which $\gamma_k \neq \gamma_{\varkappa}$. $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_1 = \mathcal{I}_{n1}$ and the combinations $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k = (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa k} \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{k-1}$, $k = 2, \dots, [n/2]$, are taken. Since $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{k_0}$ explicitly depends on ε , we impose one more normalization condition $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{k_0} = 1$ or $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{k_0} = \text{sgn } |X_{1,k_0}^{\varkappa_0,n}|$ in the complex or real case (cf. Remark 5), respectively, and, using it, exclude the parameter ε from the other $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$'s. As a result, we construct the second tuple of invariants from the statement of the theorem.

Under the normalization we express the non-normalized lifted invariants via x 's and compute a part of the parameters b 's of the coadjoint action via x 's and the other b 's. The expressions in the obtained tuples of invariants are functionally independent. No equations involving only x 's are obtained. In view of Proposition 1 of [4], this implies that the choice of normalization constraints, which depends on values of γ , is correct. That is why the number of the found functionally independent invariants is maximal, i.e., they form bases of $\text{Inv}(\text{Ad}_{T_{\gamma(n)}}^*)$. \square

Corollary 6. $|X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n}|$, $k = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, are functionally independent (global) relative invariants of $\text{Ad}_{T_{\gamma(n)}}^*$ for any admissible value of γ .

Let us recall [13, Definition 3.30] that, given a group G acting on a set M , a function $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called a (global) *relative invariant* of the representation of G if $F(g \cdot x) = \mu(g, x)F(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in M$ and some multiplier $\mu: G \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of this representation.

4 Algebra invariants

Theorem 7. *A basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ consists of the expressions*

$$1) |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|, \quad k = 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad f + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{|\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|} (\gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1}) \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{i,i}^{1,k} & \mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k} \\ 0 & \mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{i,i} \end{vmatrix}$$

if $\gamma_k = \gamma_\varkappa$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ or of the expressions

$$2) |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|, \quad k = 1, \dots, k_0 - 1, \quad |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa_0,n}^{1,k_0}|^{\alpha_k} |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|, \quad k = k_0 + 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$

otherwise. Here $\varkappa := n - k + 1$; $\mathcal{E}_{j_1, j_2}^{i_1, i_2}$, $i_1 \leq i_2$, $j_1 \leq j_2$, denotes the matrix $(e_{ij})_{j=j_1, \dots, j_2}^{i=i_1, \dots, i_2}$; k_0 is the minimal value of k for which $\gamma_k \neq \gamma_\varkappa$ and

$$\alpha_k = - \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{\gamma_{n-i+1} - \gamma_i}{\gamma_{n-k_0+1} - \gamma_{k_0}}.$$

Proof. Consider at first the invariants from Theorem 4, which do not contain the variable x_0 corresponding to the nilindependent element f . Expanding the determinants in these invariants, we obtain expressions of x 's containing only such coordinate functions that the associated basis elements commute each to other. Therefore, the symmetrization procedure is trivial for them. Since $x_{ij} \sim e_{ji}$, $j < i$, hereafter it is necessary to transpose the matrices in the obtained expressions of invariants for representation improvement. Finally we construct the first part of the basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ in case 1 of the statement and the complete basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ in case 2.

The symmetrization procedure for the invariant with x_0 presented in Theorem 4 also can be assumed trivial. To show this, we again expand all the determinants. Only the monomials of the determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} X_{1,k}^{i,i} & 0 \\ X_{1,k}^{\varkappa,n} & X_{i,i}^{\varkappa,n} \end{vmatrix}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}, \quad i = k, \dots, \varkappa,$$

contain coordinate functions associated with noncommuting basis elements of the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$. More precisely, each of the monomials includes two such coordinate functions, namely, $x_{ii'}$ and $x_{jj'}$ for some values $i' \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j' \in \{\varkappa, \dots, n\}$. It is sufficient to symmetrize only the corresponding pairs of basis elements. As a result, after the symmetrization and the transposition of the matrices we obtain the following expression for the invariant of $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ corresponding to the invariant with x_0 from Theorem 4:

$$f + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{|\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|} (\gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1}) \sum_{k < i < \varkappa} \sum_{i'=1}^k \sum_{j'=\varkappa}^n \frac{e_{ii'} e_{ij'} + e_{ij'} e_{ii'}}{2} (-1)^{i'j'} |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n;\hat{j}'}^{1,k;\hat{i}'}|.$$

Here $|\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n;\hat{j}'}^{1,k;\hat{i}'}|$ denotes the minor of the matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}$ complementary to the element $e_{i'j'}$. Since $e_{ii'} e_{ij'} = e_{ij'} e_{ii'} + e_{i'j}$, then

$$\sum_{i'=1}^k \sum_{j'=\varkappa}^n \frac{e_{ii'} e_{ij'} + e_{ij'} e_{ii'}}{2} (-1)^{i'j'} |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n;\hat{j}'}^{1,k;\hat{i}'}| = \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{i,i}^{1,k} & \mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k} \\ 0 & \mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{i,i} \end{vmatrix} \pm \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{1,k}|,$$

where we have to take the sign ‘+’ (resp. ‘−’) if the elements of $\mathcal{E}_{i,i}^{1,k}$ are placed after (resp. before) the elements of $\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{i,i}$ in all the relevant monomials. Therefore, up to a constant summand we derive the expression for the last element of the invariant basis given in case 1 of the statement. It is formally obtained from the corresponding expression in x ’s by the replacement $x_{ij} \rightarrow e_{ji}$ and $x_0 \rightarrow f$ and the transposition of all the matrices. That is why we assume that the symmetrization procedure is trivial in the sense described. Let us emphasize that a uniform order of elements from $\mathcal{E}_{i,i}^{1,k}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\varkappa,n}^{i,i}$ has to be fixed in all the monomials under usage of the ‘non-symmetrized’ form of invariants. \square

Corollary 8. *If $\gamma_k = \gamma_\varkappa$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2] - 1\}$, then $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ has a basis from Casimir operators. Otherwise, the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ admits a rational basis of invariants if and only if $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $k \in K := \{k_0 + 1, \dots, [n/2]\}$, and it admits a polynomial basis of invariants if and only if additionally either $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in K$ or $\alpha_k > 0$ for some $k \in K$. Here k_0 is the minimal value of k for which $\gamma_k \neq \gamma_\varkappa$.*

Remark 9. It follows from Theorem 7 that the maximal number $N_{\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)}$ of functionally independent invariants of the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ is equal to $[n/2] + 1$ if $\gamma_k = \gamma_\varkappa$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ and to $[n/2] - 1$ otherwise. The condition on the extension of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ can be reformulated in terms of commutators in the following way: The nilindependent basis element f commutes with the ‘nilpotent’ basis elements $e_{k\varkappa}$, $k = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, lying on the significant part of the secondary diagonal of the basis ‘matrix’ \mathcal{E} , i.e., $[f, e_{k\varkappa}] = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, [n/2]$.

Remark 10. The significant elements of the secondary diagonal of the lifted invariant matrix play a singular role under the normalization procedure in all investigated algebras with nilradicals isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$: $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ itself and $\mathfrak{st}(n)$ [4] as well as $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$, which is studied in this paper. (More precisely, in [4] the normalization procedure was realized for $\mathfrak{t}(n)$ and then the results on the invariants were extended to $\mathfrak{st}(n)$.) The reasons for such a singularity were not evident from the consideration of [4]. Only Remark 9 gives an explanation for this and justifies the naturalness of the chosen normalization conditions.

5 Conclusion and discussion

Using the technique developed in [4] for triangular algebras in the framework of our original pure algebraic approach [2, 3], in this paper we investigated the invariants of solvable Lie algebras with nilradicals isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and one nilindependent ‘diagonal’ element. The algorithm has two main steps. They are constructed from explicit formulae for a fundamental lifted invariant of the coadjoint representation of the corresponding connected Lie group and the normalization procedure for excluding parameters from lifted invariants. Realization of both steps for the algebras under consideration are more difficult than for the universal triangular algebras $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$ and $\mathfrak{t}(n)$. Thus, a fundamental lifted invariant has a more complex representation. One of its component does not admit a good interpretation as an element of the matrix of the significant part of which is formed by the other components. The choice of normalization conditions essentially depends on the algebra parameters that lead to the furcation of the calculations and final results.

There are two principally different cases on the number of normalization conditions and, therefore, on the cardinality of the fundamental invariants. If $\gamma_k = \gamma_\varkappa$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, [n/2]\}$ (the singular case), the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ has $[n/2] + 1$ functionally independent invariants. The basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$, constructed in Theorem 7 for this case, consists of polynomial invariants forming a basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_0(n))$ and one more nominally rational invariant which includes the chosen nilindependent

element f , and can be replaced by a more complicated polynomial invariant. Otherwise (the regular case), the maximal number $N_{\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)}$ of functionally independent invariants of the algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n)$ is equal to $[n/2] - 1$. In this case a basis of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_\gamma(n))$ can be presented via combinations of powers of the basis invariants of $\text{Inv}(\mathfrak{t}_0(n))$. The basis is polynomial or rational only under special restrictions on the algebra parameters. The conjecture of [20] on the number and form of elements in the bases is corroborated. Only in the regular case should the basis be written more precisely.

In spite of the above difficulties, the calculations are quite handy due to the use of the optimized technique. This technique includes the choice of special coordinates in the inner automorphism group, the matrix representation of most of the lifted invariants and the natural normalization constraints associated with the algebra structure. The cardinality of the invariant basis is determined in the process of finding the invariants. Moreover, we only partially constrain the lifted invariants in the beginning of the normalization procedure. The total number of necessary constraints and any additional constraints are specified before the completion of the normalization. As a result of the optimization, eliminating of the group parameters in the singular case is reduced to a linear system of (algebraic) equations. After solving a similar linear system in the regular case, we eliminate most of the group parameters and obtain nonlinear algebraic equations for the elimination of only one parameter, these equations are trivial.

The present investigation can be directly extended to similar solvable Lie algebras with more nilindependent diagonal elements. All such algebras are embedded in $\mathfrak{st}(n)$ as ideals. The technique should be modified slightly. An entirely different matter is the investigation of the other solvable Lie algebras with nilradicals isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_0(n)$. It is not yet known whether we will be able to use the partial matrix representation of the lifted invariants, as well as other tricks lifted from the technique explained herein, as applied to this problem.

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, by the MIND Institute of Costa Mesa, Calif., and by MITACS. The research of R.P. was supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Lise Meitner project M923-N13 and project P25064. V.B. is grateful for the hospitality extended to him at the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal. The authors thank the referees for useful remarks.

References

- [1] Ancochea J.M., Campoamor-Stursberg R. and Garcia Vergnolle L., Solvable Lie algebras with naturally graded nilradicals and their invariants, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 1339–1355, arXiv:math-ph/0511027.
- [2] Boyko V., Patera J. and Popovych R., Computation of invariants of Lie algebras by means of moving frames, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 5749–5762, arXiv:math-ph/0602046.
- [3] Boyko V., Patera J. and Popovych R., Invariants of Lie algebras with fixed structure of nilradicals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 113–130, arXiv:math-ph/0606045.
- [4] Boyko V., Patera J. and Popovych R., Invariants of triangular Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 7557–7572, arXiv:0704.0937.
- [5] Campoamor-Stursberg R., Application of the Gel’fand matrix method to the missing label problem in classical kinematical Lie algebras, *SIGMA* **2** (2006), Paper 028, 11 pages, arXiv:math-ph/0602065.
- [6] Campoamor-Stursberg R., Affine Lie algebras with non-compact rank one Levi subalgebra and their invariants, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **38** (2007), 3–20.
- [7] Fels M. and Olver P., Moving coframes: I. A practical algorithm, *Acta Appl. Math.* **51** (1998), 161–213.

- [8] Fels M. and Olver P., Moving coframes: II. Regularization and theoretical foundations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **55** (1999), 127–208.
- [9] Kaneta H., The invariant polynomial algebras for the groups $IU(n)$ and $ISO(n)$, *Nagoya Math. J.* **94** (1984), 43–59; The invariant polynomial algebras for the groups $ISL(n)$ and $ISp(n)$, *Nagoya Math. J.* **94** (1984), 61–73.
- [10] Ndogmo J.C., Invariants of a semi-direct sum of Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004), 5635–5647.
- [11] Ndogmo J.C. and Winternitz P., Solvable Lie algebras with Abelian nilradicals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994), 405–423.
- [12] Ndogmo J.C. and Winternitz P., Generalized Casimir operators of solvable Lie algebras with Abelian nilradicals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994), 2787–2800.
- [13] Olver P.J., *Equivalence, invariants, and symmetry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [14] Olver P.J. and Pohjanpelto J., Moving frames for Lie pseudo-groups, *Canadian J. Math.* **60** (2008), 1336–1386.
- [15] Patera J., Sharp R.T., Winternitz P. and Zassenhaus H., Invariants of real low dimension Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1976), 986–994.
- [16] Perroud M., The fundamental invariants of inhomogeneous classical groups, *J. Math. Phys.* **24** (1983), 1381–1391.
- [17] Rubin J.L. and Winternitz P., Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** (1993), 1123–1138.
- [18] Snobl L. and Winternitz P., A class of solvable Lie algebras and their Casimir invariants, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005), 2687–2700, arXiv:math-ph/0411023.
- [19] Tremblay S. and Winternitz P., Solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), 789–806.
- [20] Tremblay S. and Winternitz P., Invariants of the nilpotent and solvable triangular Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 9085–9099.