

An upper bound for passive scalar diffusion in shear flows

Chuong V. Tran

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St Andrews
St Andrews KY16 9SS, United Kingdom

This study is concerned with the diffusion of a passive scalar $\Theta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ advected by general n -dimensional shear flows $\mathbf{u} = u(y, z, \dots, t)\hat{x}$ having finite mean-square velocity gradients. The unidirectionality of the incompressible flows conserves the stream-wise scalar gradient, $\partial_x\Theta$, allowing only the cross-stream components to be amplified by shearing effects. This amplification is relatively weak because an important contributing factor, $\partial_x\Theta$, is conserved, effectively rendering a slow diffusion process. It is found that the decay of the scalar variance $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ satisfies $d\langle\Theta^2\rangle/dt \geq -C\kappa^{1/3}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant, depending on the fluid velocity gradients and initial distribution of Θ , and κ is the molecular diffusivity. This result generalizes to axisymmetric flows on the plane and on the sphere having finite mean-square angular velocity gradients.

* * * * *

The transport of a diffusive scalar $\Theta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by incompressible fluid flows $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is governed by

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla - \kappa \Delta)\Theta &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where κ is the molecular diffusivity. This system has been an active research subject^{1–10} for its application to problems in geophysical, environmental, and industrial context. Various types of flows have been considered, ranging from single-scale^{6,9} to fully turbulent flows.^{2,7} A problem of genuine interest has been the decay behaviour of a finite initial scalar distribution in the limit of small κ , where the question is whether the decay rate $d\langle\Theta^2\rangle/dt$ of the scalar variance $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ remains nonzero as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. In two dimensions, recent results^{1,7} have suggested a negative answer to this question. However, one of these results¹ was derived for finite time only, while the other result⁷ relied on an assumption of power-law spectra of $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$.

For shear flows, the advection-diffusion problem is more tractable, even in higher dimensions, making it possible for the above answer to be derived rigorously, as is presently shown. Moreover, in this case, the decay rate approaches zero relatively rapidly as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. The main feature in shear flows, not shared by turbulent flows, that facilitates this derivation is that shear flows conserve the stream-wise scalar gradient, say $\partial_x\Theta$, allowing only the cross-stream components to be amplified. The amplification of these components is non-accelerated since an important contributing factor, $\partial_x\Theta$, is conserved. More quantitatively, shearing effects can give rise to a quadratic growth of the mean-square scalar gradients $\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$, as opposed to exponential growth, presumably occurring for turbulent flows. This slow growth of $\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ is overwhelmingly suppressed by diffusive effects, even in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. As a consequence, given a smooth initial scalar distribution, the maximally achievable value of $\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ grows relatively slowly as κ is decreased. This results in a rapid approach of the decay rate $\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ to zero as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$.

This Brief Communication derives an upper bound for the decay rate $\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ for general n -dimensional shear flows $\mathbf{u} = u(y, z, \dots, t)\hat{x}$. For simplicity, periodic boundary conditions are considered, and the flows are assumed to have finite velocity gradients or just finite mean-square velocity gradients. The initial scalar distribution is assumed to have finite mean-square gradients. The derived upper bound for $\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ is found to scale as $\kappa^{1/3}$. This analytic result is valid uniformly in time and generalizes to axisymmetric flows on the plane and on the sphere having integrable differential angular velocity.

For $\mathbf{u} = u(y, z, \dots, t)\hat{x}$, equation (1) becomes

$$(\partial_t + u \partial_x - \kappa \Delta)\Theta = 0.\tag{2}$$

As $u(y, z, \dots, t)$ is independent of the flow direction x , the classes of Fourier modes $\widehat{\Theta}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ having a common k_x are dynamically decoupled.⁹ This is directly connected to the fact that the derivatives $\partial_x^m\Theta$, for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are materially conserved, as can be seen from the governing equation for $\partial_x^m\Theta$,

$$(\partial_t + u \partial_x - \kappa \Delta)\partial_x^m\Theta = 0.\tag{3}$$

This advection-diffusion equation is the same as that for Θ ; hence, all conservation laws for Θ also apply to $\partial_x^m\Theta$. In particular, the supremum $\|\partial_x^m\Theta\|_\infty$ and the mean-square stream-wise scalar gradient $\langle|\partial_x\Theta|^2\rangle$,

both being used in the subsequent calculations, are conserved by the advection term. Under diffusive effects, these quantities decay in time, and hence are bounded by their initial values.

The approach of Tran¹¹ (see also Tran and Dritschel⁷) for estimating the enstrophy dissipation in two-dimensional turbulence is now applied to the present case. As the evolution of $\partial_x\Theta$ is trivial, one can drop this component from consideration. However, there appears to be no gain for so doing. Hence, for clarity, all the subsequent calculations make no separation of $\partial_x\Theta$ from $\nabla\Theta$. The evolution equation for $\nabla\Theta$ is

$$(\partial_t + u\partial_x - \kappa\Delta)\nabla\Theta = -\partial_x\Theta\nabla u. \quad (4)$$

The ‘forcing’ term on the right-hand side of (4) depends on the velocity gradient ∇u and on the decaying stream-wise gradient $\partial_x\Theta$, but does not depend on the cross-stream gradients. This means that each cross-stream component is amplified independently and that $\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ cannot grow more rapidly than quadratic in time. It is also worth mentioning that since $\partial_x\Theta$ can only decay, an initial scalar distribution homogeneous in the flow direction, i.e. $\partial_x\Theta = 0$, decays purely diffusively because the right-hand side of (4) is identically zero for all $t > 0$. In other words, shearing effects alone cannot amplify any cross-stream scalar gradients in the absence of $\partial_x\Theta$. This is obvious from physical point of view. The evolution equation for $\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ is obtained by multiplying (4) by $\nabla\Theta$ and taking the spatial average of the resulting equation,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle = -\langle\partial_x\Theta\nabla u\cdot\nabla\Theta\rangle - \kappa\langle|\Delta\Theta|^2\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where the advection term identically vanishes.

Two estimates of the triple-product term in (5) are obtained by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle \leq \begin{cases} \langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle^{1/2}\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/2} - \kappa\langle|\Delta\Theta|^2\rangle, \\ \|\nabla u\|_\infty\langle|\partial_x\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/2}\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/2} - \kappa\langle|\Delta\Theta|^2\rangle. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Either equation of (6) can be used to deduce an upper bound for $\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$, depending on which estimate of the triple-product term is more optimal. For the first equation, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\langle|\Delta\Theta|^2\rangle \geq \langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^2/\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle &\leq \langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle^{1/2}\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/2} - \kappa\frac{\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^2}{\langle\Theta^2\rangle} \\ &\leq \frac{\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/2}}{\langle\Theta^2\rangle} \left(\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle^{1/2}\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty\langle\Theta^2\rangle - \kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{3/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The first term in the brackets on the right-hand side of (7) depends on $\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle$ (which is equivalent to $\langle|\nabla\times\mathbf{u}|^2\rangle$ in this case) and on the decaying quantities $\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty$ and $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$. Given a bounded $\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle$ and bounded initial $\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty$ and $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$, this term remains bounded. It follows that for $t > 0$,

$$\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle^{3/2} \leq c, \quad (8)$$

provided that it holds for $t = 0$. Here, c is an upper bound for $\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle^{1/2}\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty\langle\Theta^2\rangle$. From (8) one can readily deduce that

$$\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle \leq c^{2/3}\kappa^{1/3} = \frac{C}{2}\kappa^{1/3}, \quad (9)$$

where C is an upper bound for $2\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle^{1/3}\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty^{2/3}\langle\Theta^2\rangle^{2/3}$. The decay of $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ then satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Theta^2\rangle = -2\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle \geq -C\kappa^{1/3}. \quad (10)$$

The same bound but with a different constant C can be obtained by manipulating the second equation of (6) along these lines. In this case, C denotes an upper bound for $2\|\nabla u\|_\infty^{2/3}\langle|\partial_x\Theta|^2\rangle^{1/3}\langle\Theta^2\rangle^{2/3}$, and instead of the requirement $\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle < \infty$, the slightly more stringent condition $\|\nabla u\|_\infty < \infty$ is assumed.

Equation (10) implies a slow decay of $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ in the limit of small κ . In other words, shear flows are rather ineffective mixers. The slow decay of $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ has a bearing on its exponential decay rate, which is of

particular interest and has been widely studied. For handling exponential decay behaviour, equation (10) can be rewritten in the more convenient form,

$$\frac{1}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Theta^2 \rangle \geq -\frac{C\kappa^{1/3}}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle}. \quad (11)$$

Suppose that at any instance in time, the decay of $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle$ is approximated by a pure exponential decay at the rate of λ , then by (11), λ satisfies

$$\lambda \leq \frac{C\kappa^{1/3}}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle}. \quad (12)$$

This equation gives an explicit upper bound for λ in terms of κ .

During the period (or periods) of scalar gradient growth, i.e. $d\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle/dt \geq 0$, the constant C in (12) need not be an upper bound for the quantity in question, but can be its instantaneous value (cf. (6) and the subsequent calculations). Therefore, in such a period, λ satisfies

$$\lambda = 2\kappa \frac{\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle} \leq \begin{cases} 2\langle |\nabla u|^2 \rangle^{1/3} \|\partial_x \Theta\|_\infty^{2/3} \langle \Theta^2 \rangle^{-1/3} \kappa^{1/3}, \\ 2\|\nabla u\|_\infty^{2/3} \langle |\partial_x \Theta|^2 \rangle^{1/3} \langle \Theta^2 \rangle^{-1/3} \kappa^{1/3}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

For the period (or periods) of scalar gradient decay, i.e. $d\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle/dt < 0$, the validity of (13) could become questionable only if $\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle$ has decayed more slowly than $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle$, i.e. the small scales have decayed relatively more slowly than the large scales. This condition requires that the production of cross-stream scalar gradients remain considerable throughout their decay. In any case, from (12) one can conclude that in the limit of small κ , λ could become sizable only when $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle \propto \kappa^{1/3}$, i.e. when the scalar distribution has become virtually homogeneous. Furthermore, the remaining fraction of $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle$ would then have been ‘cascading’ to a dissipation wavenumber, say k_d , satisfying $k_d \propto \kappa^{-1/2}$. It is notable that for a simple shear flow in two dimensions satisfying all the required conditions, Vanneste and Byatt–Smith¹² argue that fast decay of the scalar is possible, in the sense that λ remains nonzero in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. The present result implies that the asymptotic regime for considering this possibility is $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle \propto \kappa^{1/3}$.

The present result readily generalizes to axisymmetric flows on the plane (vortical flows) and on the sphere (zonal flows), provided that these flows do not become singular, in the sense to be described in due course. For these cases, the respective advection-diffusion equations in the plane polar and spherical polar coordinates are

$$(\partial_t + \Omega(r, t) \partial_\phi - \kappa(r^{-1} \partial_r (r \partial_r) + r^{-2} \partial_\phi^2)) \Theta = 0 \quad (14)$$

and

$$(\partial_t + \Omega(\theta, t) \partial_\phi - \kappa(\sin^{-1} \theta \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) + \sin^{-2} \theta \partial_\phi^2)) \Theta = 0. \quad (15)$$

Here $\Omega(\cdot, t)$ denotes the fluid angular velocity, and all other notations are standard. The sphere radius has been set to unity. For (14) zero boundary conditions are imposed. Similar to the previous case, the stream-wise scalar gradient in each case ($\partial_\phi \Theta / r$ for vortical flows and $\partial_\phi \Theta / \sin \theta$ for zonal flows) is conserved by the flows. However, these are not known to decay under diffusive effects. Instead, the decaying quantities in these cases are the derivatives $\partial_\phi^m \Theta$, which are governed by the same equation as Θ in each case. Now it is straightforward to perform the above calculations in curvilinear coordinates. For vortical flows, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle &= \langle \Delta\Theta \Omega \partial_\phi \Theta \rangle - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle = -\langle \partial_r \Theta \partial_r \Omega \partial_\phi \Theta \rangle - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq \langle |\partial_r \Theta|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \langle |\partial_r \Omega|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \|\partial_\phi \Theta\|_\infty - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle} \left(\langle |\partial_r \Omega|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \|\partial_\phi \Theta\|_\infty \langle \Theta^2 \rangle - \kappa \langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle^{3/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Similarly for zonal flows, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle &= \langle \Delta\Theta \Omega \partial_\phi \Theta \rangle - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle = -\langle \partial_\theta \Theta \partial_\theta \Omega \partial_\phi \Theta \rangle - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq \langle |\partial_\theta \Theta|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \langle |\partial_\theta \Omega|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \|\partial_\phi \Theta\|_\infty - \kappa \langle |\Delta\Theta|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle} \left(\langle |\partial_\theta \Omega|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \|\partial_\phi \Theta\|_\infty \langle \Theta^2 \rangle - \kappa \langle |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle^{3/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17), one can recover the result $d\langle\Theta^2\rangle/dt \geq -C\kappa^{1/3}$ derived earlier for the periodic case. The constant C is an upper bound for $2\langle|\partial_r\Omega|^2\rangle^{1/3}\|\partial_\phi\Theta\|_\infty^{2/3}\langle\Theta^2\rangle^{2/3}$ and $2\langle|\partial_\theta\Omega|^2\rangle^{1/3}\|\partial_\phi\Theta\|_\infty^{2/3}\langle\Theta^2\rangle^{2/3}$ for the vortical and zonal cases, respectively. Here $\|\partial_\phi\Theta\|_\infty$ plays the role of $\|\partial_x\Theta\|_\infty$ and $\langle|\partial_r\Omega|^2\rangle$ and $\langle|\partial_\theta\Omega|^2\rangle$ play the role of $\langle|\nabla u|^2\rangle$. The condition for the flows is the integrability of the differential rotation $\langle|\partial_r\Omega|^2\rangle < \infty$ and $\langle|\partial_\theta\Omega|^2\rangle < \infty$, rather than the integrability of the velocity gradients. Note that for the case of vortical flows, Bajer, Bassom, and Gilbert¹³ have found by a different method that the decay rate $\kappa\langle|\nabla\Theta|^2\rangle$ scales as $k^{1/3}$ (also see Rhines and Young¹⁴).

In conclusion, this Brief Communication has derived a rigorous upper bound for the decay rate of the variance $\langle\Theta^2\rangle$ of a passive scalar Θ in general n -dimensional shear flows. The flows are assumed to have finite velocity gradients or just finite mean-square velocity gradients, and the initial scalar distribution is assumed to be smooth. This upper bound is valid uniformly in time and scales as $\kappa^{1/3}$, where κ is the diffusivity. This implies that in the limit of small diffusivity, the diffusion of a passive scalar in shear flows is slow: shear flows are rather poor mixers. The reason is that shear flows conserve the stream-wise scalar gradient and amplify the cross-stream scalar gradients relatively weakly. This result generalizes to axisymmetric flows on the plane and on the sphere having finite mean-square angular velocity gradients.

The author would like to thank Prof David Dritschel for bringing to his attention the paper of Bajer, Bassom, and Gilbert¹³ and the extension from the Cartesian to curvilinear cases. He would also like to acknowledge helpful discussions with Prof Christos Vassilicos concerning the pathological case of vortical flows, where the fluid angular velocity becomes singular at the origin.¹⁵ He is grateful to Prof Raymond Pierrehumbert and an anonymous referee for comments, which were helpful in improving this manuscript.

* * * * *

1. R. J. Di Perna and P. L. Lions, "Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces," *Invent. Maths.* **98**, 511 (1989).
2. D. R. Fereday and P. H. Haynes, "Scalar decay in two-dimensional chaotic advection and Batchelor-regime turbulence," *Phys. Fluids* **16**, 4359 (2004).
3. P. H. Haynes and J. Vanneste, "What controls the decay rate of passive scalar in smooth random flows?" *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 097103 (2005).
4. W. Liu, "Does a fast mixer really exist?" *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 016312 (2005).
5. R. T. Pierrehumbert, "Tracer microstructure in the large-eddy dominated regime," *Chaos, Solitons Fractals.* **4**, 1091 (1994).
6. J. Sukhatme and R. T. Pierrehumbert, "Decay of passive scalars under the action of single scale smooth velocity fields in bounded two-dimensional domains: from non-self-similar probability distribution function to self-similar Eigenmodes," *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056302 (2002).
7. C. V. Tran and D. G. Dritschel, "Vanishing enstrophy dissipation in two-dimensional Navier–Stokes turbulence in the inviscid limit," *J. Fluid Mech.* **559**, 107 (2006).
8. Y. K. Tsang, T. M. Antonson, and E. Ott, "Exponential decay of chaotically advected passive scalars in the zero diffusivity limit," *Phys. Rev. E* **71** 066301 (2005).
9. J. Vanneste, "Intermittency of passive-scalar decay: Strange eigenmodes in random shear flows," *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 087108 (2006).
10. A. D. Majda, "The random uniform shear layer: an explicit example of turbulent diffusion with broad tail probability distributions," *Phys. Fluids A* **5**, 1963 (1993).
11. C. V. Tran, "Enstrophy dissipation in freely evolving two-dimensional turbulence," *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 081704 (2005).
12. J. Vanneste and J. G. Byatt–Smith, "fast scalar decay in a shear flow: modes and pseudomodes" *J. Fluid Mech.* **572**, 219 (2007).
13. K. Bajer, A. P. Bassom, and A. D. Gilbert, "Accelerated diffusion in the centre of a vortex," *J. Fluid Mech.* **437**, 395 (2001).
14. P. B. Rhines and W. R. Young, "How rapidly is a passive scalar mixed within closed streamlines?" *J. Fluid Mech.* **133**, 133 (1982).
15. P. Flohr and J. C. Vassilicos, "Accelerated scalar dissipation in a vortex" *J. Fluid Mech.* **348**, 295 (1997).