

**ISOMONODROMIC DEFORMATION THEORY AND THE
NEXT-TO-DIAGONAL CORRELATIONS OF THE ANISOTROPIC
SQUARE LATTICE ISING MODEL.**

N.S. WITTE

ABSTRACT. In 1980 Jimbo and Miwa evaluated the diagonal two-point correlation function of the square lattice Ising model as a τ -function of the sixth Painlevé system by constructing an associated isomonodromic system within their theory of holonomic quantum fields. More recently an alternative isomonodromy theory was constructed based on bi-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with regular semi-classical weights, for which the diagonal Ising correlations arise as the leading coefficient of the polynomials specialised appropriately. Here we demonstrate that the next-to-diagonal correlations of the anisotropic Ising model are evaluated as one of the elements of this isomonodromic system or essentially as the Cauchy-Hilbert transform of one of the bi-orthogonal polynomials.

For the square lattice Ising model on the infinite lattice an unpublished result of Onsager (see [13]) gives that the diagonal spin-spin correlation $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle$ has the Toeplitz determinant form

$$(1) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = \det(a_{i-j}(k))_{1 \leq i,j \leq N},$$

where the elements are given by

$$(2) \quad a_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{k \cos n\theta - \cos(n-1)\theta}{\sqrt{k^2 + 1 - 2k \cos \theta}}.$$

A significant development occurred when Jimbo and Miwa [10, 11] identified (1) as the τ -function of a P_{VI} system. This identification has the consequence of allowing (1) to be characterised in terms of a solution of the σ -form of the Painlevé VI equation, a second order second degree ordinary differential equation with respect to $t := k^{\pm 2}$ with parameter N , or as the solution of coupled recurrence relations in N with parameter t , which were subsequently shown to be equivalent to the discrete Painlevé V equation. This was derived from the monodromy preserving deformation of a certain linear system as a particular example of their general theory of holonomic quantum fields [14, 17, 12], however the theoretical machinery employed there was never put to use on related problems arising from the Ising model. See the forthcoming monograph [16] on recent progress utilising this viewpoint. In a recent work [6] Forrester and the present author identified (1) as a τ -function in the Okamoto theory of P_{VI} [15] and subsequently developed an alternative isomonodromic theory [8] founded on bi-orthogonal systems on the unit circle with regular semi-classical weights. We remark that a result of Borodin [4] can also be used for the same purpose.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 82B20,34M55,33C45.

In a further development Au-Yang and Perk [2],[1] discovered that the next-to-diagonal spin-spin correlations have the bordered Toeplitz determinant form

$$(3) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N-1} \rangle = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_{-N+2} & b_{N-1} \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_{-N+3} & b_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{N-1} & \cdots & a_1 & b_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N \geq 1$$

where the elements a_n are the same as those above and the b_n are given by

$$(4) \quad b_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{\bar{C}}{\sqrt{k^2 + 1 - 2k \cos \theta}} \frac{(k\bar{S} - S) \cos n\theta + kS \cos(n-1)\theta - \bar{S} \cos(n+1)\theta}{S^2 + \bar{S}^2 + 2k \cos \theta},$$

(the definitions of the model parameters k, S, \bar{S} are given in the following paragraph). The task of the present study is to answer the following questions - can this correlation be evaluated in terms of a Painlevé-type function and if so which one? The answer is in the affirmative and we identify the function in Proposition 3. In order to understand the result for the next-to-diagonal correlations in its proper context we will need to revise some relevant known results for the diagonal correlations. In fact even in an algorithmic sense in order to compute the next-to-diagonal correlations one has to first compute the diagonal ones.

Consider the Ising model with spins $\sigma_r \in \{-1, 1\}$ located at site $r = (i, j)$ on a square lattice of dimension $(2L + 1) \times (2L + 1)$, centred about the origin. The first co-ordinate of a site refers to the horizontal or x -direction and the second to the vertical or y -direction, which is the convention opposite to that of McCoy and Wu [13] and early studies where the first co-ordinate labeled the rows in ascending order and the second the columns from left to right. We will focus on the homogeneous but anisotropic Ising model where the dimensionless nearest neighbour couplings are equal to \bar{K} and K in the x and y directions respectively (see e.g. [3]).




FIGURE 1. Co-ordinate system and couplings for the homogeneous anisotropic square lattice Ising model

The probability density function for configuration $\{\sigma_{ij}\}_{i,j=-L}^L$ is given by

$$(5) \quad \Pr(\{\sigma_{ij}\}_{i,j=-L}^L) = \frac{1}{Z_{2L+1}} \exp \left[\bar{K} \sum_{j=-L}^L \sum_{i=-L}^{L-1} \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{i+1,j} + K \sum_{i=-L}^L \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{i,j+1} \right],$$

and averages are defined by

$$(6) \quad \langle \cdot \rangle = \sum_{\sigma_{ij}} \cdot \Pr(\{\sigma_{ij}\}_{i,j=-L}^L).$$

The normalisation Z_{2L+1} is the partition function and conventionally periodic boundary conditions, $\sigma_{i,L+1} = \sigma_{i,-L}$, $\sigma_{L+1,j} = \sigma_{-L,j}$ for all i, j , are taken for convenience. In all such averages the thermodynamic limit is taken $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \langle \cdot \rangle$ keeping K, \bar{K} fixed. The relevant variables in our study are the following variables $k, S, \bar{S}, C, \bar{C}$ defined by

$$(7) \quad S := \sinh 2K, \quad \bar{S} := \sinh 2\bar{K}, \quad C := \cosh 2K, \quad \bar{C} := \cosh 2\bar{K}, \quad k := S\bar{S}$$

We will only treat the system in the ferromagnetic regime $K, \bar{K} > 0$ and $k \in (0, \infty)$, which exhibits a phase transition at the critical value $k = 1$. We will find subsequently that, from the point of view of the theory of isomonodromic systems, that the next-to-diagonal correlations are functions of the two complex variables, k and one of S, \bar{S} , with k playing the role of the deformation variable and $-\bar{S}/S$ the spectral variable. While all of the results can be continued into the complex plane $k, S \in \mathbb{C}$ suitably restricted we may often only state them for the physical regime $k, S, \bar{S} \in (0, \infty)$. Corresponding to the Ising model is a dual partner Ising model, which is related to the original by the duality transformation or involution

$$(8) \quad \sigma_r \mapsto \mu_r, \quad \langle \sigma_{r_1} \dots \sigma_{r_n} \rangle \mapsto \langle \mu_{r_1} \dots \mu_{r_n} \rangle,$$

$$(9) \quad k \mapsto \frac{1}{k}, \quad S \mapsto \frac{1}{S}, \quad \bar{S} \mapsto \frac{1}{\bar{S}}.$$

The dynamic variables μ_r are known as the disorder variables and can be given an interpretation in terms of the spins variables σ_r [12].

The appearance of *Toeplitz* determinants such as those of (1) is indicative of several structures and the most general of these is averages over the unitary group. Let $U \in U(N)$ have eigenvalues $z_1 = e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, z_N = e^{i\theta_N}$. The unitary group $U(N)$ with Haar (uniform) measure has eigenvalue probability density function

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N N!} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |z_k - z_j|^2, \quad z_l := e^{i\theta_l} \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \theta_l \in (-\pi, \pi],$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure $d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N$ (see e.g. [5, Chapter 2]) where $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. A well known identity [18] relates averages of class functions, in particular products of a function $w(z)$ over the eigenvalues, to the Toeplitz determinant

$$(11) \quad I_N^\epsilon[w] := \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^N w(z_l) z_l^\epsilon \right\rangle_{U(N)} = \det[w_{-\epsilon+j-k}]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad N \geq 1.$$

By convention we set $I_0^\epsilon = 1$ and use the short-hand notation $I_N := I_N^0$. We identify $w(z)$ as a weight function with the Fourier decomposition

$$(12) \quad w(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} w_l z^l.$$

The specific Fourier coefficients appearing in the diagonal Ising correlations (1) are

$$(13) \quad a_n(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \zeta^n \sqrt{\frac{1 - k^{-1}\zeta^{-1}}{1 - k^{-1}\zeta}} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{k \cos n\theta - \cos(n-1)\theta}{\sqrt{k^2 + 1 - 2k \cos \theta}}.$$

The implied weight is

$$(14) \quad a(\zeta; k) = \begin{cases} k^{-1/2} \zeta^{1/2} (\zeta - k^{-1})^{-1/2} (k - \zeta)^{1/2}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ -k^{-1/2} \zeta^{1/2} (k^{-1} - \zeta)^{-1/2} (\zeta - k)^{1/2}, & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases}.$$

The analytic structure is different depending on $k > 1$ (low temperature phase) or $k < 1$ (high temperature phase). The reason for the phase change of $e^{-\pi i}$ in the weight is because of the argument changes

$$(15) \quad \zeta - k = e^{-\pi i} (k - \zeta), \quad k^{-1} - \zeta = e^{\pi i} (\zeta - k^{-1}),$$

as k goes from the $k > 1$ to the $k < 1$ regime. The correlation function for the disorder variables is

$$(16) \quad \langle \mu_{0,0} \mu_{N,N} \rangle = \det(\tilde{a}_{i-j}(k))_{1 \leq i,j \leq N},$$

where

$$(17) \quad \tilde{a}_n(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \zeta^n \sqrt{\frac{1 - k\zeta^{-1}}{1 - k\zeta}} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{\cos n\theta - k \cos(n-1)\theta}{\sqrt{k^2 + 1 - 2k \cos \theta}}.$$

The weight is

$$(18) \quad \tilde{a}(\zeta; k) = \begin{cases} -k^{1/2} \zeta^{1/2} (k - \zeta)^{-1/2} (\zeta - k^{-1})^{1/2}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ k^{1/2} \zeta^{1/2} (\zeta - k)^{-1/2} (k^{-1} - \zeta)^{1/2}, & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases}$$

Although we use the same notation for the Toeplitz elements as Au-Yang and Perk [1] the relationship between our elements and theirs is $a_n = a_{-n}^{A-YP}$ and $\tilde{a}_n = \tilde{a}_{-n}^{A-YP}$.

From the viewpoint of the work [8] the weights (14), (18) are particular examples of the *regular semi-classical class*, characterised by a special structure of their logarithmic derivatives

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{w(z)} \frac{d}{dz} w(z) := \frac{2V(z)}{W(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\rho_j}{z - z_j}, \quad \rho_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Here $V(z)$, $W(z)$ are polynomials with $\deg V(z) < 3$, $\deg W(z) = 3$. The data for the weight (14) is then

$$(20) \quad \{z_j\}_{j=1}^3 = \{0, k^{-1}, k\}, \quad \{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^3 = \{1/2, -1/2, 1/2\}.$$

The data for the other weight is (18)

$$(21) \quad \{z_j\}_{j=1}^3 = \{0, k, k^{-1}\}, \quad \{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^3 = \{1/2, -1/2, 1/2\},$$

which is the same as the previous case except for the exchange in the position of variable singularities. A particular observation in the Ising model case is that the Toeplitz matrix is not hermitian and the weight $w(z)$ is complex for real and physical $k \in (0, \infty)$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$. The duality transformation is simply a transposition of the singular points $z_2 \leftrightarrow z_3$ and at the critical temperature these two singularities coalesce.

An important identity relating the dual Toeplitz elements to the direct ones is the following well known duality relation [1].

Proposition 1. *For all k and n we have*

$$(22) \quad \tilde{a}_n(k) = a_n(k^{-1}) = -a_{-n+1}(k).$$

The two weights are related by the duality transformation

$$(23) \quad \tilde{a}(\zeta; k) = a(\zeta; k^{-1}).$$

By regarding the Fourier integral in (13) as a contour integral, and changing the contour of integration one obtains the well known fact that the Toeplitz elements in the low temperature regime are given by

$$(24) \quad a_n = -\frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)\Gamma(3/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n+1)}k^{-n}{}_2F_1(1/2, n-1/2; n+1; k^{-2}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(25) \quad a_{-n} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n+1)}k^{-n}{}_2F_1(-1/2, n+1/2; n+1; k^{-2}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

whilst those in the high temperature regime are

$$(26) \quad a_n = -\frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n)}k^{n-1}{}_2F_1(-1/2, n-1/2; n; k^2), \quad n \geq 1.$$

$$(27) \quad a_{-n} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)\Gamma(3/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n+2)}k^{n+1}{}_2F_1(1/2, n+1/2; n+2; k^2), \quad n \geq -1,$$

These elements are expressible as linear combinations of the complete first and second elliptic integrals K , E with arguments k^{-1} and k respectively [9] and with coefficients polynomial in these arguments. In the ensuing discussion we adopt the following shorthand notation for the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind

$$(28) \quad K_{<} := K(k), \quad K_{>} := K(k^{-1}), \quad K_{\diamond} := K(k_{\diamond}),$$

with analogous notation for the second kind and where $k_{\diamond} = 2\sqrt{k}/(k+1)$ is the inverse Landen transformation. The complementary modulus is defined $k'_{\diamond} := \sqrt{1-k_{\diamond}^2}$.

The complex weight $w(z)$ with support contained in \mathbb{T} implicitly defines a system of *bi-orthogonal polynomials* $\{\phi_n(z), \bar{\phi}_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ on the unit circle by the orthogonality relation

$$(29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} w(\zeta) \phi_m(\zeta) \bar{\phi}_n(\bar{\zeta}) = \delta_{m,n},$$

whose existence is ensured if and only if $I_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notwithstanding the notation, $\bar{\phi}_n$ is not in general equal to the complex conjugate of ϕ_n and independent of it. The leading and trailing coefficients of these polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(z) &= \kappa_n z^n + \dots + \phi_n(0), \\ \bar{\phi}_n(z) &= \kappa_n z^n + \dots + \bar{\phi}_n(0), \end{aligned}$$

occupy an important role in the theory where again $\bar{\phi}_n(0)$ are not in general equal to the corresponding complex conjugate. With the so-called reflection or Verblunsky coefficients specified by

$$(30) \quad r_n := \frac{\phi_n(0)}{\kappa_n}, \quad \bar{r}_n := \frac{\bar{\phi}_n(0)}{\kappa_n},$$

it is a well known result in the theory of Toeplitz determinants that

$$(31) \quad \frac{I_{n+1}[w]I_{n-1}[w]}{(I_n[w])^2} = 1 - r_n \bar{r}_n, \quad \kappa_n^2 = \frac{I_n}{I_{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Rather than dealing with $\bar{\phi}_n$ it is advantageous to define the reciprocal polynomial $\phi_n^*(z)$ by

$$(32) \quad \phi_n^*(z) := z^n \bar{\phi}_n(1/z).$$

In addition to the polynomial pair ϕ_n, ϕ_n^* we require two non-polynomial solutions of the fundamental recurrence relations appearing in the theory [8],

$$(33) \quad \epsilon_n(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} w(\zeta) \phi_n(\zeta), \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$(34) \quad \epsilon_n^*(z) := \frac{1}{\kappa_n} - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} w(\zeta) \phi_n^*(\zeta), \quad n \geq 1.$$

These form a matrix system

$$(35) \quad Y_n(z; t) := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n(z) & \epsilon_n(z)/w(z) \\ \phi_n^*(z) & -\epsilon_n^*(z)/w(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

which, for regular semi-classical weights, has the property [8] that their monodromy data in the complex spectral z -plane is preserved under arbitrary deformations of the singularities z_j .

From the Toeplitz determinant formula (1) we observe that

$$(36) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = \det[a_{j-k}]_{j,k=0,\dots,N-1} = I_N[a(\zeta; k)] := I_N(k),$$

and apply the known results of Subsection 3.1 in [7] which provides the following recurrence scheme for the diagonal correlations.

Corollary 1 ([7]). *The diagonal correlation function for the Ising model valid in both the low and high temperature phases for $N \geq 1$ is determined by*

$$(37) \quad \frac{\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N+1,N+1} \rangle \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N-1} \rangle}{\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle^2} = 1 - r_N \bar{r}_N,$$

along with the quasi-linear 2/1

$$(38) \quad (2N+3)(1 - r_N \bar{r}_N) r_{N+1} - 2N [k + k^{-1} + (2N-1)r_N \bar{r}_{N-1}] r_N \\ + (2N-3) [(2N-1)r_N \bar{r}_N + 1] r_{N-1} = 0,$$

and 1/2 recurrence relation

$$(39) \quad (2N+1)(1 - r_N \bar{r}_N) \bar{r}_{N+1} - 2N [k + k^{-1} - (2N-3)\bar{r}_N r_{N-1}] \bar{r}_N \\ + (2N-1) [-(2N+1)r_N \bar{r}_N + 1] \bar{r}_{N-1} = 0,$$

subject to initial conditions $r_0 = \bar{r}_0 = 1$ and

$$(40) \quad r_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{k^2 - 2}{3k} + \frac{1 - k^2}{3k} \frac{K_{>}}{E_{>}}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ \frac{1}{3} \left[-\frac{2}{k} + \frac{kE_{<}}{(k^2 - 1)K_{<} + E_{<}} \right], & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases},$$

$$(41) \quad = \frac{1}{3} \left[-2 \frac{1 + k'_{\diamond}}{1 - k'_{\diamond}} + \frac{1 - k'_{\diamond}}{1 + k'_{\diamond}} \frac{E_{\diamond} + k'_{\diamond} K_{\diamond}}{E_{\diamond} - k'_{\diamond} K_{\diamond}} \right],$$

$$(42) \quad \bar{r}_1 = \begin{cases} k + \frac{1 - k^2}{k} \frac{K_{>}}{E_{>}}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ \frac{kE_{<}}{(k^2 - 1)K_{<} + E_{<}}, & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases},$$

$$(43) \quad = \frac{1 - k'_{\diamond}}{1 + k'_{\diamond}} \frac{E_{\diamond} + k'_{\diamond} K_{\diamond}}{E_{\diamond} - k'_{\diamond} K_{\diamond}}.$$

The initial values of the correlations are

$$(44) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{1,1} \rangle = a_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} E_{>}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ \frac{2}{\pi k} [(k^2 - 1)K_{<} + E_{<}], & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(45) \quad = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - k'_{\diamond}} [E_{\diamond} - k'_{\diamond} K_{\diamond}].$$

A consequence of the duality relation (1) are the following obvious relations amongst the coefficients of the bi-orthogonal polynomial system.

Proposition 2. For all n and k we have

$$(46) \quad I_n^{\varepsilon}[\tilde{a}] = (-1)^n I_n^{-1-\varepsilon}[a]$$

$$(47) \quad \bar{r}_n[\tilde{a}] = \frac{1}{\bar{r}_n[a]}$$

Now we turn our attention to the object of the present study - the evaluation of the next-to-diagonal correlations. Let us recall that the elements b_n of the bordered Toeplitz determinant (4) can be written as

$$(48) \quad b_n = \bar{C} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \frac{\zeta^n}{\bar{S} + S\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{k/\zeta - 1}{k\zeta - 1}}.$$

These elements will also have complete elliptic function representations however for the anisotropic model we require the complete third elliptic integral defined by

$$(49) \quad \Pi(n, k) := \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}} \frac{1}{1 - n \sin^2 \phi}.$$

We also adopt a notational shorthand for these, analogous to that for the first and second integrals

$$(50) \quad \Pi_{<} := \Pi(-S^2, k), \quad \Pi_{>} := \Pi(-1/\bar{S}^2, k^{-1}), \quad \Pi_{\diamond} := \Pi(-4k(\bar{S} - S)^{-2}, k_{\diamond}).$$

We note that Π_{\diamond} is not analytic at $\bar{S} = S$ and in fact has a discontinuity there of the following form

$$(51) \quad \Pi_{\diamond} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{sgn}(\bar{S} - S) + O(\bar{S} - S), \quad \text{as } \bar{S} \rightarrow S.$$

The first correlation in this sequence ($N = 1$) has the elliptic function evaluation

$$(52) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{1,0} \rangle = b_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{2\bar{C}}{\pi k \bar{S}} [C^2 \Pi_{>} - K_{>}], & 1 < k < \infty \\ \frac{2\bar{C}}{\pi \bar{S}} [C^2 \Pi_{<} - K_{<}], & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases},$$

$$(53) \quad = \frac{\bar{C}(1+k'_\diamond)}{2\pi \bar{S}} \left[C^2 \frac{\bar{S}+S}{\bar{S}-S} \Pi_\diamond + (S^2-1)K_\diamond \right] + \frac{C}{\bar{S}} \Theta(S-\bar{S}), \quad 0 \leq k < \infty,$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. The term with the step function in (53) is necessary to compensate for the discontinuity in Π_\diamond as given in (51) in order that the correlation function remain continuous at $\bar{S} = S$. The second correlation function ($N = 2$) has the evaluation

$$(54) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{2,1} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{4\bar{C}}{\pi^2 k^3 \bar{S}} \left\{ C^2 [k^2(1-\bar{S}^2)E_{>} + (k^2-1)\bar{S}^2 K_{>}] \Pi_{>} \right. \\ \quad \left. + k^4 E_{>}^2 + (1-k^2)\bar{S}^2 K_{>}^2 + k^2(\bar{S}^2-k^2)E_{>}K_{>} \right\}, & 1 < k < \infty \\ \frac{4\bar{C}}{\pi^2 k \bar{S}} \left\{ C^2 [(k^2-1)K_{<} + (1-\bar{S}^2)E_{<}] \Pi_{<} \right. \\ \quad \left. + E_{<}^2 + (1-k^2)K_{<}^2 + (C^2\bar{S}^2-2)E_{<}K_{<} \right\}, & 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases},$$

$$(55) \quad = \frac{\bar{C}}{\pi^2 \bar{S}} \frac{1+k'_\diamond}{1-k'_\diamond} \left\{ C^2 [(1-\bar{S}^2)E_\diamond - k'_\diamond \bar{C}^2 K_\diamond] \left(\frac{\bar{S}+S}{\bar{S}-S} \Pi_\diamond + \frac{2\pi}{1+k'_\diamond} \frac{\Theta(S-\bar{S})}{C\bar{C}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{4}{(1+k'_\diamond)^2} E_\diamond^2 + k'_\diamond (\bar{S}^2 - S^2) K_\diamond^2 - (1-S^2)(1-\bar{S}^2) E_\diamond K_\diamond \right\}.$$

The correlation functions for the disorder variables or dual correlations are given by

$$(56) \quad \langle \mu_{0,0} \mu_{N,N-1} \rangle = \det \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_0 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{-N+2} & \tilde{b}_{N-1} \\ \tilde{a}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{-N+3} & \tilde{b}_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{a}_{N-1} & \cdots & \tilde{a}_1 & \tilde{b}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N \geq 1,$$

where

$$(57) \quad \tilde{b}_n = C\bar{S} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \frac{\zeta^{n-1}}{\bar{S}+S\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{1-k\zeta}{1-k/\zeta}}.$$

The correlations in this sequence also have elliptic function evaluations analogous to (52-55) but we refrain from writing these down as they can be obtained from the direct correlations using the duality transformation

$$(58) \quad \langle \mu_{0,0} \mu_{N,N-1} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N-1} \rangle \Big|_{\substack{k \mapsto 1/k \\ S \mapsto 1/\bar{S} \\ \bar{S} \mapsto 1/S}}$$

In addition the $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N} \rangle$ correlations can be obtained from $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N-1} \rangle$ under the exchange $S \leftrightarrow \bar{S}$.

These correlation functions are in fact characterised as a solution to an *isomonodromic deformation* problem associated with the particular sixth Painlevé system,

which itself characterises the diagonal correlation functions. This observation is the key result of the present study.

Proposition 3. *The next-to-diagonal correlation functions are given by the second type of associated functions (34) appropriate to the weight (14) evaluated at a specific value of the spectral variable*

$$(59) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N-1} \rangle = \frac{\bar{C}}{2\bar{S}} \frac{I_{N-1}}{\kappa_{N-1}} \epsilon_{N-1}^*(z = -\bar{S}/S),$$

and valid for $N \geq 1$. Here I_N and κ_N are defined respectively by (11) and (31) appropriate to the weight (14).

Proof. A result in the general theory of bi-orthogonal polynomials is the determinantal representation with a Toeplitz structure for the reciprocal polynomial [8]

$$(60) \quad \phi_n^*(z) = \frac{\kappa_n}{I_n^0} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_0 & \dots & w_{-n+1} & z^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{n-j} & \dots & w_{-j+1} & z^j \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_n & \dots & w_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this and the definition of second associated function (34) one obtains an analogous bordered Toeplitz determinant [19]

$$(61) \quad \epsilon_n^*(z) = \frac{\kappa_n}{I_n} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_0 & \dots & w_{-n+1} & g_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{n-j} & \dots & w_{-j+1} & g_j \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_n & \dots & w_1 & g_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(62) \quad g_j(z) := -2z \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \frac{\zeta^j}{\zeta - z} w(\zeta), \quad z \notin \mathbb{T}.$$

The evaluation (59) then follows by comparison of these last two formulae with (3) and (48). \square

Many consequences flow from this identification - all of the general properties of the associated functions [8] can be applied. One particular useful characterisation of the next-to-diagonal correlations is that they satisfy a linear three-term recurrence relation.

Corollary 2. *The associated function (59) satisfies the generic linear recurrence relation*

$$(63) \quad \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} \bar{r}_n \epsilon_{n+1}^*(z) + \frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\kappa_n} \bar{r}_{n+1} z \epsilon_{n-1}^*(z) = [\bar{r}_n + \bar{r}_{n+1} z] \epsilon_n^*(z),$$

subject to the two initial values for $\epsilon_0^*, \epsilon_1^*$ implied by (59) and (52,53,54,55). The auxiliary quantities appearing in (63) and (59) satisfy the generic recurrences

$$(64) \quad I_{n+1} = \frac{I_n}{\kappa_n^2}, \quad \kappa_{n+1} = \frac{\kappa_n}{\sqrt{1 - r_{n+1} \bar{r}_{n+1}}},$$

subject to their initial values

$$(65) \quad I_0 = 1, \quad \kappa_0^2 = \frac{1}{a_0},$$

utilising (45).

We remark that this associated function also satisfies a linear second order differential equation in the spectral variable z whose coefficients are determined by the auxiliary quantities discussed above. However we refrain from writing this down as it doesn't appear to have as much practical utility as the recurrences in the above Corollary.

To close our study we examine a number of limiting cases, namely the zero temperature, the critical temperature and high temperature limits. At zero temperature, $k \rightarrow \infty$, the solutions have leading order terms ($N \geq 1$)

$$(66) \quad r_N \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{(-1/2)_N}{N!} k^{-N}, \quad \bar{r}_N \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{(1/2)_N}{N!} k^{-N} \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

At the critical point, $k = 1$, we have a complete solution for the bi-orthogonal system. The polynomial coefficients have the evaluations

$$(67) \quad \kappa_N^2 = \frac{\Gamma(N + 3/2)\Gamma(N + 1/2)}{\Gamma^2(N + 1)}, \quad r_N = -\frac{1}{(2N + 1)(2N - 1)}, \quad \bar{r}_N = 1,$$

which is consistent with the well known result [13]

$$(68) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma^2(j)}{\Gamma(j + 1/2)\Gamma(j - 1/2)}.$$

The isomonodromic system is

$$(69) \quad \phi_N(z) = -\frac{\kappa_N}{(2N + 1)(2N - 1)} \cdot {}_2F_1(3/2, -N; -N + 3/2; z),$$

$$(70) \quad \phi_N^*(z) = \kappa_N \cdot {}_2F_1(1/2, -N; -N + 1/2; z),$$

$$(71) \quad \frac{1}{2} \kappa_N \epsilon_N(z) = -\frac{1}{(2N + 3)(2N + 1)z} \cdot {}_2F_1(3/2, N + 1; N + 5/2; 1/z),$$

$$(72) \quad \frac{1}{2} \kappa_N \epsilon_N^*(z) = {}_2F_1(1/2, N + 1; N + 3/2; 1/z).$$

This last result (72) is consistent with the critical next-to-diagonal correlation given in [2]

$$(73) \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N-1} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle C \cdot {}_2F_1(1/2, N; N + 1/2; -S^2).$$

At infinite temperature, $k \rightarrow 0$, the leading order terms are ($N \geq 1$)

$$(74) \quad r_N \underset{k \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{(-1/2)_N}{(N + 1)!} k^{-N}, \quad \bar{r}_N \underset{k \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{N!}{(1/2)_N} k^N, \quad \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

and the series expansion of these about $k = 0$ in terms of the generalised hypergeometric function is given in [7].

This research has been supported by the Australian Research Council. The author would like express his sincere gratitude for the generous assistance and guidance provided by Jacques Perk. He has also benefited from extensive discussions on all matters relating to the Ising model in its various aspects with J.-M. Maillard, B. McCoy, T. Miwa and J. Palmer.

REFERENCES

1. H. Au-Yang, B.-Q. Jin, and J. H. H. Perk, *Wavevector-dependent susceptibility in quasiperiodic Ising models*, Proceedings of the Baxter Revolution in Mathematical Physics (Canberra, 2000), vol. 102, 2001, pp. 501–543. MR MR1832062 (2002c:82013)
2. H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk, *Critical correlations in a Z-invariant inhomogeneous Ising model*, Phys. A **144** (1987), no. 1, 44–104. MR MR899036 (88k:82119)
3. R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, London, 1982.
4. A. Borodin, *Discrete gap probabilities and discrete Painlevé equations*, Duke Math. J. **117** (2003), no. 3, 489–542. MR 1 979 052
5. P. J. Forrester, *Log Gases and Random Matrices*, <http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html>.
6. P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte, *Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: P_{VI} , the JUE, CyUE, cJUE and scaled limits*, Nagoya Math. J. **174** (2004), 29–114. MR MR2066104 (2005h:33047)
7. ———, *Discrete Painlevé equations for a class of P_{VI} τ -functions given as $U(N)$ averages*, Nonlinearity **18** (2005), no. 5, 2061–2088. MR MR2164732
8. ———, *Bi-orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, regular semi-classical Weights and Integrable Systems*, Construct. Approx. **24** (2006), no. 2, 201–237.
9. R. K. Ghosh and R. E. Shrock, *Exact expressions for diagonal correlation functions in the $d = 2$ Ising model*, Phys. Rev. B (3) **30** (1984), no. 7, 3790–3794. MR MR761098 (85h:82039)
10. M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Studies on holonomic quantum fields. XVII*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **56** (1980), no. 9, 405–410. MR 85h:82016
11. ———, *Errata*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **57** (1981), no. 7, 347.
12. L. P. Kadanoff and M. Kohmoto, *SMJ's analysis of Ising model correlation functions*, Ann. Physics **126** (1980), no. 2, 371–398. MR MR576414 (81k:82057)
13. B. McCoy and T. T. Wu, *The Two-Dimensional Ising Model*, Harvard University Press, Harvard, 1973.
14. T. Miwa and M. Jimbo, *Introduction to Holonomic Quantum Fields*, The Riemann problem, complete integrability and arithmetic applications (Bures-sur-Yvette/New York, 1979/1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 925, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 28–36.
15. K. Okamoto, *Studies on the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painlevé equation P_{VI}* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **146** (1987), 337–381. MR 88m:58062
16. J. Palmer, *Planar Ising Correlations and the Deformation Analysis of Scaling*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, 2007.
17. M. Sato, T. Miwa, and M. Jimbo, *Aspects of holonomic quantum fields. Isomonodromic deformation and Ising model*, Complex analysis, microlocal calculus and relativistic quantum theory (Proc. Internat. Colloq., Centre Phys., Les Houches, 1979), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 126, Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp. 429–491. MR MR579762 (86j:81078)
18. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, third ed., Colloquium Publications **23**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1967.
19. N. S. Witte, *Bi-orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, regular semi-classical Weights and Integrable Systems - II.*, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: n.witte@ms.unimelb.edu.au