arXiv:0704.3006v1 [math-ph] 23 Apr 2007

On the Equilibrium Fluctuations in a Microcanonical Ensemble

Kieran Kelly, Przemysław Repetowicz, and Seosamh macRéamoinn

[a] *Probability Dynamics, IFSC House, Custom House Quay, Dublin 1, Ireland.*

Abstract

Traditionally, it is understood that fluctuations in the equilibrium distribution are not evident in thermodynamic systems of large N (the number of particles in the system) [1]. In this paper we examine the validity of this perception by investigating whether such fluctuations can in reality depend on temperature.

Firstly, we describe fluctuations in the occupation numbers of the energy levels in the microcanonical ensemble using identities that we have derived for the purpose, which allow us to calculate the moments of the occupation numbers. Then we compute analytically the probability distribution of these fluctuations. We show that, for every system of finite N, fluctuations about the equilibrium distribution do in fact depend on the temperature. Indeed, at higher temperatures the fluctuations can be so large that the system does not fully converge on the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution but actually fluctuates around it. We term this state, where not one but a region of macrostates closely fit the underlying distribution, a "fluctuating equilibrium". Finally, we speculate on how this finding is applicable to financial and other thermodynamic-like systems.

Key words and phrases: Classical statistical mechanics, Classical ensemble theory, Fluctuation phenomena, Thermodynamics, Statistical mechanics of classical fluids, Other topics in statistical physics, thermodynamics and nonlinear dynamical systems, Probability theory

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.20.Gg, 05.40.-a,05.70.-a, 05.20.Jj, 05.90.+m, 02.05.Cw

1 Introduction

The relaxation of a classical statistical system in the microcanonical ensemble towards the equilibrium Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution in the thermodynamic limit is well understood. See [1] for the derivation of that distribution by means of Lagrange's method of undetermined multipliers and [5] and references therein for more pedagogical derivations aimed at an audience that is not versed in multivariate differential calculus. Because in the thermodynamic limit the fluctuations effectively dissappear little work has been devoted to their study. Consequently, little is known about these fluctuations in the case of finite N, and about the finite-N corrections to the distribution of energies among particles. Kelly in [3] has recently investigated this problem numerically and macRéamoinn in [4] has computed analytically the variance of the distribution (the amount of fluctuations). In this paper we find closed form expressions for all the moments of the distribution, along with the multi-variate distribution of the occupation numbers. We show that at higher temperatures the amount of fluctuations exceeds the mean occupation number and thus no stable equilibrium distribution is attained. We describe this phenomenon as a "fluctuating equilibrium" and we conjecture it to be ubiquitous in economic and cultural systems such as financial and property markets, the world of fashion and politics.

2 Theoretical Analysis

In keeping with Boltzmann's original analysis [6] we consider a system composed of N particles distributed among $(M+1)$ equidistant energy levels $\epsilon_j = j$ for $j = 0, \dots, M$. The total number of particles and the total energy are conserved i.e.

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_j \quad \text{and} \quad M = \sum_{j=0}^{M} j n_j \tag{1}$$

This system is useful as a toy model of a classical gas and allows us to follow a permutational argument to describe, in a quantitative fashion, both the most likely distribution of energy among the particles and the fluctuations around this distribution.

2.1 The Micro- & Macrostates

We define a microstate as a certain distribution of particles among the energy levels with the particles being distinguishable from each other. A macrostate is then defined as a distribution of particles among the energy levels such that the particles are not distinguished from one another. The distribution is specified in terms of the numbers of particles on the levels $\vec{n} := (n_j)_{j=0}^{M}$.

In this paper we are interested in the probability of macrostates. The probability in question is determined by the number of microstates per macrostate and therefore we now analyze the microstates and macrostates. The number of microstates corresponding to one macrostate is clearly equal to the multinomial factor:

$$\frac{N!}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{M} n_j!} \tag{2}$$

since it is the number of ways we can place n_j particles on the level ϵ_j for $j = 0, \ldots, M$.

The total number of microstates (n(micro)) reads:

$$n(\text{micro}) = \sum_{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{M} n_j = N} \frac{N!}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{M} n_j!} \delta_{M, \sum\limits_{j=0}^{M} j n_j} = \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} e^{\imath\phi M} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M} e^{-\imath j \phi} \right)^N \tag{3}$$

$$= \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi\imath z} z^{-M} \left(\frac{1 - z^{M+1}}{1 - z} \right)^N = \frac{1}{M!} \frac{d^M}{dz^M} \left(\frac{1 - z^{M+1}}{1 - z} \right)^N \Bigg|_{z=0} \tag{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow n(\text{micro}) = C_{N-1}^{M+N-1} = \frac{(M+N-1)!}{M!(N-1)!} \tag{5}$$

In (3) we inserted the integral representation of the delta function and in (4) we substituted for $e^{-\imath\phi}$ and we computed the resulting integral using the Cauchy theorem. The final equality can be proven by the generalized Leibnitz rule and by induction in N.

Note 1: The number of microstates and macrostates determines the amount of disorder or the entropy of the system, and as such is useful to describe its thermodynamic properties. For example, it might be possible to introduce conjugate intensive variables to the number of particles N and energy E and, using Legendre transformations and Maxwell's relations to derive an equation of state of the system.

Note 2: We have also derived a closed form expression for the total number of macrostates. However since we focus in this work on fluctuations around the equilibrium we will present that result elsewhere.

2.2 Fluctuations Around Equilibrium

The most likely macrostate is obtained by maximizing the multiplicity of macrostates (2) subject to conditions (1). In the thermodynamic limit ($N \to \infty$) this yields the

Maxwell-Boltzmann exponential distribution of energies with the decay constant given by the temperature M/N, as shown in [1], for example.

However little is known about the fluctuations around this most likely distribution. This raises interesting questions. The traditional understanding of statistical mechanics suggests to us that the statistical system in the microcanonical ensemble will always be pulled towards the Maxwell-Distribution as a result of the Law of Large Numbers (LLN). This is certainly true for physical systems at room temperatures (ie relatively low temperatures), where the number of particles is of the order of the Avogadro number $N \simeq 10^{23}$ and the LLN acts like a gravitational black-hole attracting the system towards the event-horizon with irresistible power. However in mesoscopic systems $N = 10^6 - 10^7$, endowed with a moderate or even large amount of energy, it is not quite clear whether, due to fluctuations, the system manages to achieve a single most likely state. In other words, if the energy content of the system is very large, such that the temperature is high, maybe the best the system can achieve is only an equillibrium “region of states”; “a fluctuating” equillibrium”. Indeed, at very high temperatures, the fluctuations could be so domiant that disorder and chaos would prevail at macroscopic level. We want to understand the mechanisms behind this phenomenon in order to be able to control it as we desire. Thus we compute all the moments of the occupations of the energy levels. We have:

$$C_M^{M+N-1} \left< n_j^m \right> := \sum_{\sum_{p=0}^{M} n_p = N} n_j^m \frac{N!}{\prod_{p=0}^{M} n_p!} \delta_{M, \sum_{p=0}^{M} p n_p} \tag{6}$$

$$= \left. \frac{d^m}{d\log(x)^m} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} e^{\imath M \phi} \sum_{\sum_{p=0}^{M} n_p = N} \frac{N!}{\prod_{p=0}^{M} n_p!} \prod_{p=1}^{M} (e^{-\imath\phi})^{p n_p} (\delta_{p,j}(x^{n_j} - 1) + 1)) \right|_{x=1} \tag{7}$$

$$= \left. \frac{d^m}{d\log(x)^m} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} e^{\imath M \phi} \left(\frac{1 - (e^{-\imath\phi})^{M+1}}{1 - e^{-\imath\phi}} + (x-1) e^{-\imath\phi j} \right)^N \right|_{x=1} \tag{8}$$

$$= \left. \left. \frac{d^m}{d\log(x)^m} \frac{1}{M!} \frac{d^M}{dz^M} \left(\frac{1 - z^{M+1}}{1 - z} + z^j (x-1) \right)^N \right|_{z=0} \right|_{x=1} \tag{9}$$

$$= \left. \frac{d^m}{d\log(x)^m} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{qj \le M} (x-1)^q C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{M-qj+N-1-q} + \delta_{Nj,M} (x-1)^N \right|_{x=1} \tag{10}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{(N-1)\wedge m} 1_{qj \le M} a_q^{(m)} q! C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{M-qj+N-1-q} + \delta_{Nj,M} N! a_N^{(m)} \tag{11}$$

In (7) we introduced an integral representation of the delta function and we used the identity:

$$n^m = \frac{d^m}{d\log(x)^m} x^n \Big|_{x=1} \tag{12}$$

for m a non-negative integer. In (8) we computed the sum using the multinomial expansion formula and in (9) we substituted for $z = e^{-\imath\phi}$ and computed the resulting complex integral using the Cauchy theorem. In (10) we computed the derivative using identity (46) and in (11) we used the identity (48). The coefficients $a_q^{(m)}$ are defined in (50)-(52).

From (11) we see that for $N \to \infty$ the moments of the occupation densities of the energy levels, $x_j := n_j/N$, read:

$$\langle x_j^m \rangle = 1_{mj \le M} \frac{C_{N-1-m}^{M-mj+N-1-m}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} + O(\frac{1}{N}) \tag{13}$$

and are all finite, once the temperature $T := M/N$, is finite.

Now imagine that $N \to \infty$ but T is kept finite. Then from (13) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_j^m \rangle &= 1_{mj \le M} \frac{\left(\prod_{p=1}^{m} (N-p)\right)\left(\prod_{p=0}^{mj-1} (M-p)\right)}{\prod_{p=1}^{mj+m} (M+N-p)} \underset{N\to\infty}{=} \left(\frac{N}{M+N}\right)^m \left(\frac{M}{M+N}\right)^{mj} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+T)^m} e^{-jm\log(1+T^{-1})} = \left(\frac{T^j}{(T+1)^{j+1}}\right)^m \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and we conclude that the of the distribution depend on both the energy of the level j and on the temperature of the system. In the case $m = 1$ we retrieve results from [5] (equation (8), page 119) and from [3] (equation (6), page 10). In the case $m = 2$ the result fits in with [4] (equation (33), page 9).

Thus all the moments, and in turn also the distributions of the occupation densities, depend on the temperature T only, and not on either N or M alone. In order to check that the formula is correct we use MATHEMATICA to show that the total number of particles is conserved:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M} \langle x_j \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{M} \frac{C_{N-2}^{M-j+N-2}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} = 1 \tag{15}$$

In the large N limit, for fixed T, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{M} \langle x_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{(1+T)} \sum_{j=0}^{M} e^{-j \log(1+T^{-1})} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+T)} \frac{1-(\frac{T}{1+T})^{M+1}}{1-\frac{T}{1+T}} = 1-(\frac{T}{1+T})^{M+1} \underset{M\to\infty}{\simeq} 1
\end{aligned} \tag{16}
$$

as expected. In (16) we fixed T and let $N \to \infty$, and, since $M = TN$, as a result M also goes to infinity and the second term in the middle formula goes to zero.

To quantify the fluctuations we look at the variances of the occupation densities, which from (11) read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_j^2 &= \langle x_j^2 \rangle - \langle x_j \rangle^2 \\
&= 1_{j\le M} \frac{C_{N-2}^{M-j+N-2}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{C_{N-2}^{M-j+N-2}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \right) \\
&\quad + 1_{2j\le M} \frac{(N-1)}{N} \frac{C_{N-3}^{M-2j+N-3}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \qquad (17) \\
&\underset{N\to\infty}{=} \frac{1}{N} \langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{T^j}{(T+1)^{j+1}} \left(1 - \frac{T^j}{(T+1)^{j+1}} \right) \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (17) follows from the definition of the variance and equation (18) follows from (13) and from (14).

For given N and j, the variance is bounded from above as a function of T and its maximal value, which occurs at the temperature $T = j$, is given by:

$$
(\sigma_j^{\max})^2 = \frac{1}{N} \langle x_j^{\max} \rangle (1 - \langle x_j^{\max} \rangle) \tag{19}
$$

for $\left\langle x_j^{\max} \right\rangle = j^j/(j+1)^{j+1}$. Note that, for $j > 0$, both the mean occupation density and the variance tend to zero at high and low temperatures, yet at different rates. Thus we consider the ratio $\frac{\sigma_j}{\langle x_j \rangle}$. We have:

$$
\frac{\sigma_j}{\langle x_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\frac{1-\langle x_j \rangle}{\langle x_j \rangle}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} T^{-\frac{j}{2}} & \text{if} \quad T \to 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if} \quad T \to \infty \end{cases} \tag{20}
$$

Thus, in the limit of high and low temperatures, the fluctuations prevail, the system diverges and the equilibrium distribution does not exist, contrary to the traditional view of classical statistical mechanics [2]. We plot the standard deviation of the occupation densities in units of their mean occupation densities as a function of the temperature for different values of N and j in Figure 1. In addition, we plot the occupation density and its standard deviation for $j=1$ separately in Figure 2.

We conclude this section by computing the distributions of the occupation densities, meaning we compute the likelihood that the number of particles N_j at the jth level equals n_j. We get:

$$C_{N-1}^{M+N-1} P\left(N_j=\tilde{n}_j\right) = \sum_{\sum_{q=0}^{M} n_q=N} \frac{N!}{\prod_{q=0}^{M} n_q!} \delta_{M, \sum_{q=0}^{M} q n_q} \delta_{n_j, \tilde{n}_j} \tag{21}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M!} \frac{d^M}{dz_1^M} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_j!} \frac{d^{\tilde{n}_j}}{dz_2^{\tilde{n}_j}} \left(\frac{1-z_1^{M+1}}{1-z_1} + z_1^j (z_2-1) \right)^N \Bigg|_{z_1=0} \Bigg|_{z_2=0} \tag{22}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_j!} \frac{d^{\tilde{n}_j}}{dz_2^{\tilde{n}_j}} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{qj \leq M} (z_2-1)^q \alpha_q^{(M,j,N)} + \delta_{Nj,M} (z_2-1)^N \Bigg|_{z_2=0} \tag{23}$$

$$= \sum_{q=\tilde{n}_j}^{N-1} 1_{qj \leq M} C_{\tilde{n}_j}^{q} (-1)^{q-\tilde{n}_j} C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{M-qj+N-1-q} + \delta_{Nj,M} C_{\tilde{n}_j}^N (-1)^{N-\tilde{n}_j} \tag{24}$$

In the first equality in (22) we repeated the manipulations from (3)-(5), meaning we have inserted the integral representation of delta functions, performed the sum using the multinomial expansion formula and finally evaluated the complex integrals over a pair of unit circles using the residue formula. In (23) we have computed the derivative by z_1 using equation (46) and in (24) we computed the derivative by z_2 using derivatives of elementary functions. We plot both the exact result and the large N limit in Figures 3 and 4 for several values of N and M.

For $j<T$ the large N limit of the distributions of the occupation densities read:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} P\left(N_j=\tilde{n}_j\right) = \sum_{q=n_j}^{N} C_{\tilde{n}_j}^{q} (-1)^{q-\tilde{n}_j} C_q^N \langle x_j \rangle^q = C_{\tilde{n}_j}^N \langle x_j \rangle^{\tilde{n}_j} \left(1-\langle x_j \rangle\right)^{N-\tilde{n}_j} \tag{25}$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{Normal}(N\langle x_j \rangle, N\langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle))(\tilde{n})$$

$$:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N \langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\tilde{n}-N\langle x_j \rangle)^2}{2N\langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle)} \right) \tag{26}$$

Equation (25) follows from the fact that the large N limit of the last binomial factor on the right-hand side in (24) reads $\langle x_j \rangle^q$ and equation (26) follows from the normal approximation to the binomial distribution. Thus the distributions of the occupation densities, $x_j = n_j/N$, conform to Gaussians with means $N\langle x_j \rangle$ and standard deviations $1/\sqrt{N}\sqrt{\langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle)}$ in accordance with the results from

[1], for example. However, for every finite N if the temperature T is high enough the occupation number in units of the mean occupation number has a distribution whose "flatness" or "half width' is arbitrarily high. In other words, for every finite N the amount of fluctuations diverges when the temperature goes to infinity. We depict that statement in Figure 5.

2.2.1 Multi-point (Macrostate) Probability Distribution Function

We have generalized the calculations (21)-(24) and obtained the multivariate probability function. We take $p = 1, \ldots, M+1$ and an ascending integer sequence $0 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_p \le M$ and we define the p-variate probability function $P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right)$ as the probability that we find $\tilde{n}_{j_s}$ particles at energy level j_s for $s = 1, \ldots, p$. The function is given (27). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C_{N-1}^{M+N-1} P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right) = \\
&\left[\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{J_q^{\vec{s}} \le M} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{p} C_{\tilde{n}_{j_l}}^{m_l^{(q)}} (-1)^{m_l^{(q)} - \tilde{n}_{j_l}} 1_{\tilde{n}_{j_l} \le m_l^{(q)}}\right) C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{M - J_q^{\vec{s}} + N - 1 - q}\right] \\
&+ \delta_{J_q^{\vec{s}}, M} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{p} C_{\tilde{n}_{j_l}}^{m_l^{(N)}} (-1)^{m_l^{(N)} - \tilde{n}_{j_l}} 1_{\tilde{n}_{j_l} \le m_l^{(N)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

subject to $m_r^{(q)} = \sum_{l=1}^{q} \delta_{r,s_l}$ for $r = 1, \ldots, p$ and $m_r^{(N)} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \delta_{r,s_l}$ for $r = 1, \ldots, p$. Here

$$
J_q^{\vec{s}} := \sum_{l=1}^{q} j_{s_l} \tag{28}
$$

Note that for every $q = 0, \ldots, N-1$ the expression on the right-hand side is summed over integer grid-points $(s_l)_{l=1}^{q}$ of a q-dimensional hypercube of side length p. As such the expressions in parentheses contains p^q terms. The expression on the right-hand side depends on $\left(m_l^{(q)}\right)_{l=1}^{p}$. These numbers count how many coordinates of the grid-point are equal to $l = 1, \ldots, q$. Therefore the p-variate probability function is invariant under permutations of its arguments. In addition, the identity $\sum_{l=1}^{p} m_l^{(q)} = q$ holds true. The proof is in Appendix D. In the case $p = 1$ we have $s_1 = \ldots = s_N = 1$ and $m_1^{(N)} = N$ and $j_{s_1} = \ldots = j_{s_q} = j_1 = j$, the expressions in parentheses reduce to one term only, and we clearly retrieve the probability function in (24).

Expression (27) is cumbersome and does not provide much insight in to the problem. Thus, in order to better understand the expression, we compute its large-N limit.

In addition we take $j_s = s-1$ for $s = 1, \ldots, p.$, ie we are interested in the p lowest energy levels. The result is a multinomial distribution with likelihoods of individual trials $\mathfrak{p}_l$ given in (30). We have:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right) = \frac{N!}{(N - \left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|)(\prod_{l=1}^{p} \tilde{n}_{j_l}!)} \prod_{l=1}^{p+1} \mathfrak{p}_l^{\tilde{n}_{j_l}} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{p}_l := \begin{cases} (\frac{T}{T+1})^l \frac{1}{T} & \text{if } l = 1, \ldots, p \\ (\frac{T}{T+1})^p & \text{if } l = p \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

The proof is in Appendix E.

2.2.1.1 Limit cases In the case $p = 1$ the expression (72) reduces to the binomial distribution with mean $N \langle x_0 \rangle$ and variance $N \langle x_0 \rangle (1 - \langle x_0 \rangle)$ in accordance with equation (25). In the case $p = M + 1$, from (72), (30) and the conservation laws (1) and by using the Stirling approximation to the binomial coefficient, we get:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{l=0}^{M} N_l = \tilde{n}_l\right) = \frac{N!}{\prod_{l=0}^{M} \tilde{n}_l!} \frac{T^M}{(T+1)^{N+M}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(T+1)}}\right) \frac{N!}{\prod_{l=0}^{M} \tilde{n}_l!} \frac{1}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \tag{31}$$

The last equation on the right-hand side in (31) is, except for a multiplicative prefactor, equal to the number of microstates corresponding to one macrostate, divided by the total number of macrostates (compare (2) and (5)), as expected.

2.2.1.2 The mean, the covariance and the correlation matrices Now take $p = M + 1$. In order to quantify the entire amount of fluctuations we give the mean and the covariance matrix of the $(M+1)$-point distribution function. The mean reads:

$$\langle n_l \rangle = N\mathfrak{p}_{l+1} = N \langle x_l \rangle \quad \text{for } l = 0, \ldots, M \tag{32}$$

in accordance with the mean of the univariate distribution, given in (14). The covariance matrix reads:

$$c_{l_1,l_2} := \langle (n_{l_1} - \langle n_{l_1} \rangle)(n_{l_2} - \langle n_{l_2} \rangle) \rangle =$$

$$
= \begin{cases} -N\mathfrak{p}_{l_1+1}\mathfrak{p}_{l_2+1} = -N\langle x_{l_1}\rangle\langle x_{l_2}\rangle & \text{if } l_1 \neq l_2 \\ N\mathfrak{p}_{l_1+1}(1-\mathfrak{p}_{l_1+1}) = N\langle x_{l_1}\rangle(1-\langle x_{l_1}\rangle) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } l_1, l_2 = 0,\dots,M \tag{33}
$$

in accordance with (18). The results (32) and (33) follow from the fact that the multivariate distribution is a multinomial distribution.

From (32) and (33) we compute the Pearson correlation coefficient ρ_{n_i,n_j} between occupation numbers at levels $i \neq j$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n_i,n_j} &:= \frac{\langle (n_i - \langle n_i\rangle)(n_j - \langle n_j\rangle)\rangle}{\sigma_j\sigma_j} = -\sqrt{\frac{\langle x_i\rangle\langle x_j\rangle}{(1-\langle x_i\rangle)(1-\langle x_j\rangle)}} \\
&= \begin{cases} -T^{(i+j)/2} & \text{for } T \to 0 \text{ and } i,j > 0 \\ -T^{-1} & \text{for } T \to \infty \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}
$$

We can see that occupation numbers of any two different levels are anticorrelated. The occupation numbers become uncorrelated once temperatures become high or low and a maximal correlation is attained at some intermediate temperature (see Figure 6). This is what one would intuitively expect, since at both high and low temperatures the mean occupations tend to zero and as such can be treated as independent from each other.

2.2.1.3 "The total amount of fluctuations" in units of the mean occupation. We conclude this section by investigating how strong is the affect of fluctuations on the whole vector of occupation numbers rather than only on one particular occupation number, which is the problem that we explored in (20). Here we need to use some measure associated with the covariance matrix and the vector of mean occupation numbers. We choose to compare the square root of the L^1 norm [1] of the covariance matrix to the L^1 norm of the vector of mean occupations. We define $\underline{\underline{c}} := (c_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^M$ and $\vec{x} := (\langle x_i\rangle)_{i=0}^M$, we use (33) and (32) and we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{|\underline{\underline{c}}|}}{|\vec{x}|} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left. \frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{r}\,(3 - 2\mathfrak{r}^M - 2\mathfrak{r}^{M+1} + 2\mathfrak{r}^{2M+1} - \mathfrak{r}^{2M+2})}}{\sqrt{(1+\mathfrak{r})}(1-\mathfrak{r}^{M+1})} \right|_{\mathfrak{r}=\frac{T}{T+1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(M+1)}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } T \to \infty \\ \sqrt{3}T^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } T \to 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}
$$

[1] Recall that the L^1 norm of a vector is equal to the sum of moduli of the entries of that vector

Therefore, the conclusion from (20) still holds. At high temperatures the "total amount" of fluctuations in the units of the mean occupation vector increases monotonically as a square root of the temperature. As a result of that the system does not converge towards the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution but instead strongly fluctuates around it. Note that the temperature scaling of the total amount of fluctuations follows the same law as the scaling of the fluctuations in the individual occupation numbers, the scaling given in (20).

2.2.2 *Corrections to Fluctuations for Finite N*

We can see, from (26), that in the thermodynamic limit, $N \to \infty$, the amount of fluctuations present in the system depends on the temperature T and the total number of particles N. For finite systems, however, there are corrections to the amount of fluctuations which we specify now. We note that the last term in (24) is absorbed as the $q = N$ term into the sum and the sum over q is performed by expressing the ratio of binomial factors as a Laplace transform by q viz:

$$1_{qj \le M} \frac{C_{N-1-q}^{M+N-1-q(j+1)}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} = \langle \theta^j \rangle =: \int_0^1 d\theta \omega_j(\theta) \theta^q \tag{36}$$

where the weight $\omega_j(\theta)$ reads:

$$\omega_j(\theta) = \int_{\imath\mathbb{R}} \frac{dq}{2\pi\imath} \theta^{-(q+1)} 1_{qj \le M} \frac{C_{N-1-q}^{M+N-1-q(j+1)}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \tag{37}$$

Exchanging the integration in (36) with the sum over q in (24) we easily arrive at the following result:

$$P(N_j = \tilde{n}_j) = C_{n_j}^N \int_0^1 d\theta \omega_j(\theta) \theta^{n_j} (1-\theta)^{N-n_j} \tag{38}$$

The integral (37) can be done analytically by using complex calculus, however, since we see in Figures 3 and 4 that the corrections are not higher than a couple of percent even for N of the order of a couple of tens we do not see any motivation in deriving these results analytically. Thus, we conclude, the distribution of the number of particles on the jth level is a continuous linear superposition of Binomial distributions with mean $N\theta$ and variance $N\theta(1-\theta)$ and weights $\omega_j(\theta)$ for $\theta \in [0, 1]$. In the thermodynamic limit the weight tends towards a Dirac delta function that picks out $\theta = \langle x_j \rangle$.

3 Conclusions

It has long been understood that the state to which a microcanonical ensemble is attracted to, as a result of the Law of Large Numbers, becomes an exponential distribution in the thermodynamic limit. In this document however, we have shown that for every finite N there always exists some degree of fluctuations depending on temperature. The occupations of the energy levels fluctuate and their distributions can be well approximated by normal curves with means $N\langle x_j \rangle$ and variances $N\langle x_j \rangle (1-\langle x_j \rangle)$ Here $\langle x_j \rangle$ is the mean occupation density of the j^{th} energy level and is given in (11). In fact for higher temperatures the fluctuations can be considerable relative to the mean occupation, as seen in Figure (1) and Figure (2). Thus, under these conditions, one cannot speak about a static distribution of particles among the energy levels contrary to what is claimed in statistical physics textbooks as in [1], for example. This new insight cannot only help provide better understanding of such statistical systems, but we believe, based on empirical study from [7,8,9,10], it can also lead to a method for measuring the amount of fluctuations (the temperature) of financial & property markets. In future work we hope to develop and use it as a way of anticipating speculative bubbles in financial markets, and fads, and trends in such diverse areas as the fashion industry, the entertainment industry, and the world of politics.

4 Appendix A

Here we list some important identities that are used in this paper. We believe that these identities are useful *per se* in analysis, partial differential equations, and probability & statistics.

The sum of powers identity:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{t-1} l^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} t^{n-k+1} \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!} c_k \tag{39}$$

where

$$c_k := \sum_{p=0}^{k} (-1)^p \sum_{\substack{n_1+\ldots+n_p=p+k \\ n_1,\ldots,n_p \geq 2}} \frac{1}{\prod_{q=1}^{p} n_q!} = \left(1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1}{8}, \ldots\right) \tag{40}$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, n+1$.

The identity (40) can be proven by using a trick $d^n_{\log(t)^n} t^l \Big|_{t=1} = l^n$ for $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$, changing the order of differentiation and summation, re-summing the resulting geometric series, and differentiating the result using the chain rule of differentiation.

The combinatorial identity:

$$\sum_{1 \le l_1 < \ldots < l_s \le 4-n} \prod_{j=0}^{s} C^{p_{j+1}-p_j-1}_{l_{j+1}-l_j-1} = C^{4-s}_{4-n-s} \tag{41}$$

with $l_0 = p_0 = 0$ and $l_{s+1} = p_{s+1} = 5$.

The identity (41) is easiest proven from combinatorial considerations. The proof is left to the reader.

The "sum over a simplex I" identity:

$$\sum_{a < l_s < \ldots < l_1 < b} \prod_{l=1}^{s} (l_l)^{n^{(l)}} = \frac{(b-a-1)^{s+N^s}}{\prod\limits_{l=1}^{s} \left(l + (N^s - N^{s-l})\right)} \tag{42}$$

where $N^j := \sum\limits_{q=1}^{j} n^{(q)}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$. The sum (42) is done by proceeding from indices with large subscripts towards those with small subscripts and at every time applying the identity (39). In doing this we retain the highest order term only. However, inclusion of lower terms is possible since they are of the same form as the highest order term. It is only that the enumeration of all possible terms that emerge is cumbersome. This is left for future work.

The "sum over a simplex II" identity:

$$\sum_{0 \le n_0 \le \ldots \le n_{p-1} \le n_p} \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} a_q^{n_q} = \sum_{q=0}^{p} (-1)^q \frac{\prod\limits_{l=q}^{p-1} a_l^{n_p+p-l}}{\prod\limits_{l=0}^{q-1} (1 - a_l \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{q-1}) \prod\limits_{l=q}^{p-1} (1 - a_q \cdot \ldots \cdot a_l)} \tag{43}$$

The identity follows from a iterative application of the geometric sum formula.

The "integral over a simplex" identity:

$$\int\limits_{0 \le \xi_i \le \ldots \le \xi_1 \le 1} d^i \xi \, \xi^{\vec{m}^{(1)}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\xi_j - \xi_{j+1})^{m_j^{(2)}} = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} m_j^{(2)}! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\left(j - 1 + \sum\limits_{q=i-j+1}^{i} m_q^{(1)} + m_q^{(2)}\right)}{\left(j - m_{i-j}^{(1)} + \sum\limits_{q=i-j}^{i} m_q^{(1)} + m_q^{(2)}\right)} \tag{44}$$

where $\xi_{i+1} = 0$ and $\xi_0 = 1$. The integral (44) is computed by integrating in decreasing order of the subscript, i.e. starting from ξ_i and ending at ξ_1, at each time substituting for $\xi_j = \xi_{j-1} t_j$ where $t_j \in [0,1]$ for $j = i, \ldots, 1$ and by using the identity :

$$\int_0^1 dt t^n (1-t)^m = \frac{n! m!}{(n+m+1)!} \tag{45}$$

for $n, m > -1$.

The "Power of the Sum" identity:

$$\left(\frac{1-z^{M+1}}{1-z} + z^j u\right)^N = \sum_{p=0}^{M} z^p \left((\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{qj \le p} u^q \alpha_q^{(p,j,N)}) + \delta_{Nj,p} u^N \right) + O(z^{M+1}) \tag{46}$$

where the coefficients read:

$$\alpha_q^{(p,j,N)} = C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{p-qj+N-1-q} \tag{47}$$

for $q = 0, \ldots, N-1$. The proof is in Appendix C.

The "Differential" identity:

$$\frac{d^m}{dy^m} (e^y - 1)^q = \sum_{s=1}^{m} (e^y - 1)^{q-s} e^{sy} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (q-j) \right) a_s^{(m)} \tag{48}$$

where the coefficients satisfy recursion relations:

$$a_s^{(m+1)} = s a_s^{(m)} 1_{s \le m} + a_{s-1}^{(m)} 1_{s \ge 2} = \sum_{\sum\limits_{l=0}^{s-1} n_l = m-s+1} \prod_{l=0}^{s-1} (s-l)^{n_l} \tag{49}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le \tilde{n}_0 \le \ldots \le \tilde{n}_{s-2} \le m-s+1} (1)^{m-s+1} \prod_{l=0}^{s-2} (\frac{s-l}{s-l-1})^{\tilde{n}_l} \tag{50}$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} (-1)^q \frac{\prod\limits_{l=q}^{s-2} (\frac{s-l}{s-l-1})^{m-l}}{\left(\prod\limits_{l=0}^{q-1} \frac{l-q}{s-q}\right) \left(\prod\limits_{l=q}^{s-2} \frac{q-l-1}{s-l-1}\right)} \tag{51}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \sum_{q=0}^{s-1} (-1)^q C_q^{s-1} (s-q)^m \tag{52}$$

$$= \{\{1\},\{1,1\},\{1,3,1\},\{1,7,6,1\},\{1,15,25,10,1\},\{1,31,90,65,15,1\}\ \ldots\} \tag{53}$$

with $a_s^{(1)} = 1$. The equality in (49) follows from iterating the recursion relation and recognizing a pattern in the consecutive iterates. The equality in (50) follows from parameterizing the sum over the simplex and the equality in (51) follows from (43). Finally, the equality in (52) results from simplifying expression (43). In (53) we give numercial values for the coefficients for $m = 0, \ldots, 5$. Note that since recursion relations such as (49) appear in such vast fields as anomalous diffusion processes and econometrics & time series modelling, mathematical techniques for solving these relations, presented here, are valuable for researchers from those fields.

The "Polynomial" identity:

$$(\alpha+1)_{n-1} := \prod_{j=1}^{n}(\alpha+j) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \alpha^{n-p}\frac{n^{2p}}{2^p p!} \tag{54}$$

The identity (54) is valid in the limit $n \to \infty$ and it follows from expanding the product into a sum and computing the coefficients at powers of α using identity (42).

An auxiliary lemma:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}_{n;p_1,p_2} &:= \sum_{n_1=p_1}^{n-p_2} (n-n_1)^{\alpha_1} n_1^{\alpha_2} \\
&= (n-p_2)^{\alpha_2+1} n^{\alpha_1} \int\limits_0^1 d\xi \xi^{\alpha_2}(1-\frac{n-p_2}{n}\xi)^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_2+1} n^{\alpha_1} \int\limits_0^1 d\xi \xi^{\alpha_2}(1-\frac{p_1}{n}\xi)^{\alpha_1} \qquad (55)\\
&= n^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}\left(B(\frac{n-p_2}{n}, \alpha_2+1, \alpha_1+1) - B(\frac{p_1}{n}, \alpha_2+1, \alpha_1+1)\right) \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

Here B is the incomplete Beta function. The result (55) follows from expanding the term in parentheses in a binomial expansion, doing the sum over n_1 using the leading order term in (39) and then re-summing the binomial expansion.

The generalization of the "auxiliary lemma":

$$S^{\alpha,\ldots,\alpha_N}_{n;p_1,\ldots,p_N} := \sum_{n_1+\ldots+n_N=n} \prod_{j=1}^{N} n_j^{\alpha_j} 1_{p_j \le n_j}$$

$$= n^{N-1+\sum_{q=1}^{N}\alpha_q} \int\limits_{[0,1]^{N-1}} d^{N-1}\theta \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-2} \theta_j^{\sum_{q=1}^{N-j}\alpha_q} (1-\theta_j)^{\alpha_{N-j+1}} \right) \theta_{N-1}^{\alpha_2}(1-\theta_{N-1})^{\alpha_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} 1_{\frac{p_{N-j}}{n\theta_{j-1}} \le \theta_j \le 1 - \frac{p_{N-j+1}}{n\theta_{j-1}}} \right) \quad (57)$$

The result (57) follows from an iterative application of (55) and performing the manipulations that were used to derive (55). Note that when all $p_j = 0$ the integral on the right hand side factorizes and equals the multivariate Beta function, which is $\prod_{q=1}^{N} \alpha_q!/(N-1+\sum_{q=1}^{N}\alpha_q)$.

5 Appendix C

We prove the "Power of the Sum" identity (46):

$$\left(\frac{1-z^{M+1}}{1-z} + z^j u\right)^N = \left(\sum_{p=1}^{M} z^p(1+\delta_{p,j}u)\right)^N$$

$$= \left(\sum_{p=0}^{M} z^p \sum_{p_1=0}^{p} (\delta_{p_1,j}u+1)(\delta_{p-p_1,j}u+1)\right)^{N-1} = \left(\cdots(\delta_{p,2j}u^2 + 2\cdot 1_{j\le p}u + p + 1)\right)^{N-1} \quad (58)$$

$$= \left(\cdots \sum_{p_1=0}^{p} (\delta_{p_1,j}u+1)(\delta_{p-p_1,2j}u^2 + 1_{j\le p-p_1}2u + C_1^{p-p_1+1})\right)^{N-2}$$

$$= \left(\cdots(\delta_{p,3j}u^3 + 3\cdot 1_{2j\le p}u^2 + 3\cdot 1_{j\le p}uC_1^{p-j+1} + C_2^{p+2})\right)^{N-3} \quad (59)$$

$$= \left(\cdots \sum_{p_1=0}^{p} (\delta_{p_1,j}u+1)(\delta_{p-p_1,3j}u^3 + 3\cdot 1_{2j\le p-p_1}u^2 + 3\cdot 1_{j\le p-p_1}uC_1^{p-p_1-j+1} + C_2^{p-p_1+2})\right)^{N-4}$$

$$= \left(\cdots(\delta_{p,4j}u^4 + 4\cdot 1_{3j\le p}u^3 + 6\cdot 1_{2j\le p}C_1^{p-2j+1}u^2 + 4\cdot 1_{j\le p}C_2^{p-j+2} + C_3^{p+3})\right)^{N-4} \quad (60)$$

Thus identity (46) holds for $N = 2, 3, 4$. Assume identity is valid for any value of N. Then the coefficient at z^p in S^{N+1} reads:

$$\sum_{p_1=0}^{p} (\delta_{p_1,j}u+1) \left(\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{qj\le p-p_1}\alpha_q^{(p-p_1,j,N)}u^q + \delta_{Nj,p-p_1}u^N \right) \quad (61)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{(q+1)j\le p}\alpha_q^{(p-j,j,N)}u^{q+1}\right) + \delta_{(N+1)j,p}u^{N+1} + \left(\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}\sum_{p_1=0}^{p-qj} \alpha_q^{(p-p_1,j,N)}u^q\right) + 1_{Nj\le p}u^N$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{N} (1_{qj \le p} C_{q-1}^{N} C_{N-q}^{p-qj+N-q} 1_{q \ge 1} + 1_{q<N} \sum_{p_1=0}^{p-qj} C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{p-p_1-qj+N-1-q}) u^q + 1_{Nj \le p} u^N + \delta_{(N+1)j,p} u^{N+1}$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{N} (1_{qj \le p} C_{q-1}^{N} C_{N-q}^{p-qj+N-q} 1_{q \ge 1} + 1_{q<N} C_q^N C_{N-q}^{p-qj+N-q}) u^q + 1_{Nj \le p} u^N + \delta_{(N+1)j,p} u^{N+1}$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{N} 1_{qj \le p} C_q^{N+1} C_{N-q}^{p-qj+N-q} u^q + \delta_{(N+1)j,p} u^{N+1}$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{N} 1_{qj \le p} \alpha_q^{(p,j,N+1)} u^q + \delta_{(N+1)j,p} u^{N+1} \quad \textbf{q.e.d.} \tag{62}$$

6 Appendix D

Here we derive a formula for the p-variate probability function of the occupation numbers, ie the likelihood to find n_{j_s} particles on energy level j_s for $s = 1, \ldots, p$, respectively. The calculations are a natural extension of calculations (21)-(24), in the $p = 1$ case and thus we leave the explanations to the reader. We take an ordered sequence $0 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_p$ and we write:

$$C_{N-1}^{M+N-1} P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right) = \sum_{\sum_{q=0}^{M} n_q = N} \frac{N!}{\prod_{q=0}^{M} n_q!} \delta_{M, \sum_{q=0}^{M} q n_q} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{p} \delta_{n_{j_s}, \tilde{n}_{j_s}}\right) \tag{63}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M!} \frac{d^M}{dz_1^M} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_{j_s}!} \frac{d^{\tilde{n}_{j_s}}}{dz_{1+s}^{\tilde{n}_{j_s}}}\right) \left(\frac{1 - z_1^{M+1}}{1 - z_1} + (\sum_{s=1}^{p} z_1^{j_s} (z_{1+s} - 1))\right)^N \Bigg|_{z_1=0} \Bigg|_{z_{1+s}=0} \tag{64}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{s=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_{j_s}!} \frac{d^{\tilde{n}_{j_s}}}{dz_{1+s}^{\tilde{n}_{j_s}}}\right) \left(\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} C_q^N 1_{J_q^{\vec{s}} \le M} C_{N-1-q}^{M-J_q^{\vec{s}}+N-1-q} \prod_{l=1}^{q} (z_{1+s_l} - 1) + \delta_{M, J_N^{\vec{s}}} \prod_{l=1}^{N} (z_{1+s_l} - 1)\right) \tag{65}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} 1_{J_q^{\vec{s}} \le M} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{p} C_{\tilde{n}_{j_l}}^{m_l^{(q)}} (-1)^{m_l^{(q)} - \tilde{n}_{j_l}} 1_{\tilde{n}_{j_l} \le m_l^{(q)}}\right) C_q^N C_{N-1-q}^{M-J_q^{\vec{s}}+N-1-q}\right]$$

$$+ \delta_{J_q^{\vec{s}}, M} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{p} C_{\tilde{n}_{j_l}}^{m_l^{(N)}} (-1)^{m_l^{(N)} - \tilde{n}_{j_l}} 1_{\tilde{n}_{j_l} \le m_l^{(N)}}\right) \tag{66}$$

subject to $m_r^{(q)} = \sum_{l=1}^{q} \delta_{r,s_l}$ and $m_r^{(N)} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \delta_{r,s_l}$ for $r = 1, \ldots, p$. Here $J_q^{\vec{s}} := \sum_{l=1}^{q} j_{s_l}$.

7 Appendix E

Here we prove the large-N limit of the Multi-point probability function $P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right)$. Recall that $(j_l)_{l=1}^{p}$ is a strictly ascending sequence $0 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_p \leq M$. Then, from (28), $J_q^{\vec{s}} = (\sum_{l=1}^{q} s_l) - q$ and we have:

$$\sum_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \#\text{ of } r\text{'s in } (s_l)_{l=1}^{q} = m_r \\ \text{for } r=1,\ldots,p}}^{p} \cdots \sum_{s_q=1}^{p} \frac{C_{N-1-q}^{M-J^{\vec{s}}+N-1-q}}{C_{N-1}^{M+N-1}} \underset{N\to\infty}{\to} \sum_{\substack{s_1=1 \\ \#\text{ of } r\text{'s in } (s_l)_{l=1}^{q} = m_r \\ \text{for } r=1,\ldots,p}}^{p} \cdots \sum_{s_q=1}^{p} \frac{1}{T^q}\left(\frac{T}{T+1}\right)^{\sum_{l=1}^{q} s_l} \tag{67}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T^q}\frac{q!}{\prod_{l=1}^{p} m_l!}\left(\frac{T}{T+1}\right)^{\sum_{l=1}^{p} l m_l} \tag{68}$$

In (67) we used (13) and (14). For simplicity we drop the superscript in the m indices from now on. Note that the sum runs over all integer grid-points of a q-dimensional hypercube of side length p subject to the gridpoint having m_r coordinates equal to $r = 1, \ldots, p$. In (68) we parametrized $(s_l)_{l=1}^{q} := (j)_{j=1,l=1}^{p_j^l, r}$ for $r = 1, \ldots, q$ subject to $\sum_{l=1}^{r} p_\theta^l = m_\theta$ for $\theta = 1, \ldots, p$ with $p_\theta^l \geq 0$ and we summed the p parameters. Note that since the exponent $\sum_{l=1}^{q} s_l = \sum_{l=1}^{p} l m_l$ does not depend on the p parameters the term in sum is multiplied by the cardinality of the set to be summed over and the cardinality in question equals the multinomial factor. Thus, from (27) and from (68), we have:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{p} N_{j_s} = \tilde{n}_{j_s}\right) = \tag{69}$$

$$= \sum_{q=0}^{N} C_q^N \frac{(-1)^{q-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|}}{T^q}\left(\frac{T}{T+1}\right)^{\sum_{l=1}^{p} l\tilde{n}_{j_l}} \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^{p}\tilde{n}_{j_l}!}\frac{q!}{(q-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|)!}\left((\frac{T}{T+1})^1 + \ldots + (\frac{T}{T+1})^p\right)^{q-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|} \tag{70}$$

$$= \frac{N!}{(N-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|)(\prod_{l=1}^{p}\tilde{n}_{j_l}!)}\left((\frac{T}{T+1})^p\right)^{N-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|}\prod_{l=1}^{p}\left((\frac{T}{T+1})^l\frac{1}{T}\right)^{\tilde{n}_{j_l}} \tag{71}$$

$$= \frac{N!}{(N-\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right|)(\prod_{l=1}^{p}\tilde{n}_{j_l}!)}\prod_{l=1}^{p+1}\mathfrak{p}_l^{\tilde{n}_{j_l}} \tag{72}$$

In (70) we defined $\left|\vec{\tilde{n}}\right| := \sum_{l=1}^{p} \tilde{n}_{jl}$ and in (71) we performed the sum over the $m_l^{(q)}$ parameters using the multinomial expansion formula. In (71) we performed the sum over q using the binomial expansion formula. Thus, as seen in (72), the result is a multinomial distribution with likelihoods of individual trials given as:

$$\mathfrak{p}_l := \begin{cases} (\frac{T}{T+1})^l \frac{1}{T} \text{ if } l = 1, \ldots, p \\ (\frac{T}{T+1})^p \qquad \text{if } l = p \end{cases} \tag{73}$$

We check that the likelihoods of the individual trials sum up to unity. We have:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{p} \mathfrak{p}_l = \frac{1}{T}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p} (\frac{T}{T+1})^l\right) + (\frac{T}{T+1})^p = 1 - (\frac{T}{T+1})^p + (\frac{T}{T+1})^p = 1 \tag{74}$$

as expected.

References

[1] The method of the most probable distribution, in: Kerson Huang, *Statistical mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, 2001

[2] "We conclude that the most probable distribution is almost a certainty, and that the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution is the most prevalent condition. Suppose all possible states of the gas with given N and $[M]$ are placed in a jar, symbolically speaking, and you reach in to pick out one state at random. You are almost certain to pick a state which will have the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution" *Fluctuations*, in: Kerson Huang, *Statistical mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, 2001, pp. 82-83

[3] Kieran Kelly, On the probabilistic Behavior of Fluid (and Fluid-Like) Systems at Equilibrium, preprint 2006

[4] Seosamh macRéamoinn, Mean Square Fluctuation Notes, preprint

[5] Friedman E and Grubbs W T, The Boltzmann Distribution and Pascal's Triangle, *Chem. Educator* **2003**, 8, 116-121

[6] Boltzmann, L, Über die Beziehung dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung respektive den Sätzen über das Wärmegleichgewicht, *Wiener Berichte*, **1877**, 76, 373435

[7] Kleinert H and Chen X J, Boltzmann Distribution and Temperature of Stock Markets, preprint uk.arxiv.org/physics/0609209

[8] Sornette D, Zhou W X, Analysis of portfolio of stocks in South African market, preprint uk.arxiv.org/physics/0701171

[9] Sornette D, Zhou W X, Is there a Real-Estate Bubble in the US, preprint physics/0506027

[10] Richmond P, A Roof over your Head; House Price Peaks in the UK and Ireland, physics/0606064

8 Figures



Fig. 1. The standard deviation of the occupation numbers of the energy levels in units of the mean occupation numbers as a function of temperature T for $N = 10, \ldots, 10^5$ and $j = 0, \ldots, 5$ (with growing dash length). At low and high temperatures the fluctuations are of the order of a couple of units of the mean occupation, thus "the system diverges".



Fig. 2. The occupation density (thin line) of the first energy level, along with its standard deviation (thick line) as a function of temperature. Here the number of particles reads $N = 10$ (left) and $N = 100$ (right). We see that at low and at high temperatures the standard deviation exceeds the mean occupation density and thus the system fluctuates strongly.



Fig. 3. The probability distributions, $P(n_j)$, of the occupations numbers of energy levels j for both the exact formula (thin line) and the formula derived in the thermodynamic limit (thick line). Here $j = 0, \ldots, 3$ (from right to the left) and $N = 50$ and the value of T in the plots clockwise from top left is $T := M/N = 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively.



Fig. 4. Same as in Figure 3 but now have $N = 100$.



Fig. 5. The probability distribution of the occupation number of level $j = 1$ for temperatures $T = 10, 20, 50, 100$ and for system sizes $N = 16, 64, 256, 1024$. For every finite N the system "diverges" when the temperature goes to infinity.



Fig. 6. The modulus of correlations between occupation numbers at different levels $i = 0, \ldots, 5$ (with increasing dash length) and j for $j = 1, \ldots, 4$. Occupations of two different levels can be roughly treated as independent from each other unless $i, j = 0, 1$ or $i, j = 1, 0$ and temperatures are close to unity.

This text file "StandDevFluct10.new.ps.txt.gz" is available in "gzipped" format from

http://arxiv.org/ps/0704.3006v1