# **Explicit Green functions for spin-orbit Hamiltonians**

Jochen Brüning<sup>1</sup>, Vladimir Geyler<sup>1</sup><sup>‡</sup> and Konstantin Pankrashkin<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup> L.A.G.A., Université Paris Nord, 99 av. J.-B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France (corresponding author)

E-mail: const@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

**Abstract.** We derive explicit expressions for Green functions and some related characteristics of the Rashba and Dresselhaus Hamiltonians with a uniform magnetic field.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Dg, 02.30.Tb, 71.70.Ej

AMS classification scheme numbers: 81Q05, 34B27, 35J10

### 1. Introduction

The Green function of a quantum Hamiltonian (integral kernel of the resolvent) is one of the characteristics whose knowledge usually permits to perform the complete spectral analysis and to study various perturbations. The aim of the present communication is to obtain explicit expressions for the Green function for a class of spin-orbit Hamiltonians, namely, for the Rashba and Dresselhaus Hamiltonians with uniform magnetic fields, whose study plays a central role in the spintronics [1]. We use an abstract version of the construction [2], which permits to reduce the problem to the well-known Green functions of the Landau Hamiltonian and the Laplacian.

#### 2. Spin-orbit Hamiltonians

Below we use the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and denote the identity 2 × 2-matrix by  $\sigma_0$ .

We consider Hamiltonians of a charged two-dimensional particle in a uniform magnetic field *B* orthogonal to the plane and take into account the spin-orbit interaction. Let **A** be the magnetic vector potential, i.e.  $B = \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y}$ . In what follows we use the symmetric gauge,  $A(x,y) = (\frac{By}{2}, -\frac{Bx}{2})$ . Denote as usual  $p_j := -i\hbar\nabla_j$  and  $\Pi_j := p_j - \frac{e}{c}A_j$ , j = x, y. The  $\ddagger$  Deceased (2 April 2007)

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{R}} = \hat{H}_{0} + \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{R}}}{\hbar} \hat{U}_{\mathrm{R}} + \frac{g_{*}}{2} \mu_{B} B \sigma_{z}, \quad \hat{U}_{\mathrm{R}} = \sigma_{x} \Pi_{y} - \sigma_{y} \Pi_{x}$$

where  $\mu_B \equiv \frac{|e|\hbar}{2m_ec}$  is the Bohr magneton ( $m_e$  is the electron mass),  $g_*$  is the effective *g*-factor, and  $\alpha_R$  is the real-valued Rashba constant (whose dimension is  $ML^3T^{-2}$ ) expressing the strength of the spin-orbit interaction. The second one, the Dresselhaus Hamiltonian, is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{D}} = \hat{H}_0 + \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}}{\hbar} \hat{U}_{\mathrm{D}} + \frac{g_*}{2} \mu_B B \sigma_z, \quad \hat{U}_{\mathrm{D}} = \sigma_y \Pi_y - \sigma_x \Pi_x,$$

and  $\alpha_D$  is the real-valued Dresselhaus constant (whose dimension is  $ML^3T^{-2}$ ) expressing the strength of the spin-orbit interaction.

In what follows we use mostly dimensionless coordinates introduced as follows. Denote  $\varkappa_{\rm J} := \frac{m_* \alpha_{\rm J}}{\hbar^2}$ ,  ${\rm J} = {\rm R}, {\rm D}$ . Furthermore, denote by  $\Phi_0$  the magnetic flux quantum,  $\Phi_0 := \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{e}$ , and let  $b := \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} B$  and  ${\bf a} := \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} {\bf A} = (\frac{by}{2}, -\frac{bx}{2})$ . Now by setting  ${\bf k} := \frac{1}{\hbar} {\bf p}$ ,  ${\bf K} := {\bf k} - {\bf a}$  and introducing the coefficient  $\gamma := -\frac{g_*}{2} \frac{m_*}{m_e}$  we rewrite the above Hamiltonians as  $\hat{H}_{\rm J} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_*} H_{\rm J}$ ,  ${\rm J} = 0, {\rm R}, {\rm D}$ , where

$$H_0 = \mathbf{K}^2 \,\sigma_0,$$
  

$$H_{\mathbf{R}} = H_0 + 2\varkappa_{\mathbf{R}} U_{\mathbf{R}} + \gamma b \,\sigma_z, \quad U_{\mathbf{R}} = \sigma_x K_y - \sigma_y K_x,$$
  

$$H_{\mathbf{D}} = H_0 + 2\varkappa_{\mathbf{D}} U_{\mathbf{D}} + \gamma b \,\sigma_z, \quad U_{\mathbf{D}} = \sigma_y K_y - \sigma_x K_x.$$

In what follows we work with these new normalized Hamiltonians  $H_{J}$ .

#### 3. Reduction to scalar case

We start with a simple resolvent identity which is an abstract version of the construction from [2] and which is of crucial importance in all our considerations.

For simplicity, for any self-adjoint operator *A* and a complex number *E* we use the notation  $R(A, E) := (A - E)^{-1}$ . Now let *A* be a self-adjoint operator acting in a certain Hilbert space,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $B := A^2 + 2\alpha A$ .

Let  $E \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{spec} B$ , then  $(B - E)^{-1} = [(A + \alpha)^2 - (E + \alpha^2)]^{-1} = [(A + \alpha - \eta)(A + \alpha + \eta)]^{-1}$ , where  $\eta = \sqrt{E + \alpha^2}$  (here and below in this section  $\sqrt{z}$  is a fixed continuous branch of the square root on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with an appropriate cut).

If both the numbers  $\eta - \alpha$  and  $-\eta - \alpha$  are outside of specA (in particular, if  $\Im \eta \neq 0$ ) and  $\eta \neq 0$ , then

$$((A + \alpha - \eta)(A + \alpha + \eta))^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\eta}((A - \eta + \alpha)^{-1} - (A + \eta + \alpha)^{-1}).$$

If, in addition,  $-\eta + \alpha \notin \operatorname{spec} A$  and  $\eta + \alpha \notin \operatorname{spec} A$ , then  $(A - \eta + \alpha)^{-1} - (A + \eta + \alpha)^{-1} = (A + \eta - \alpha)(A^2 - (\eta - \alpha)^2)^{-1} - (A - \eta - \alpha)(A^2 - (\eta + \alpha)^2)^{-1}$ . As a result, we arrive at the identity

$$R(B;E) = \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( (A + \eta - \alpha) R(A^{2}; (\eta - \alpha)^{2}) - (A - \eta - \alpha) R(A^{2}; (\eta + \alpha)^{2}) \right) \\ = \frac{A - \alpha}{2\eta} \left( R(A^{2}; (\eta - \alpha)^{2}) - R(A^{2}; (\eta + \alpha)^{2}) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left( R(A^{2}; (\eta - \alpha)^{2}) + R(A^{2}; (\eta + \alpha)^{2}) \right).$$
(1)

Our aim now is to calculate the Green functions for  $H_R$  and  $H_D$  using Eq. (1).

Consider an operator  $V_J = U_J + \beta_J \sigma_z$ , where  $\beta_J$  is a real constant which will be chosen later, J = R,D. Using the commutation relation  $K_x K_y - K_y K_x = ib$  and the elementary properties of the Pauli matrices one easily obtains

$$V_{\rm R}^2 = (H_0 + \beta_{\rm R}^2)\sigma_0 - b\sigma_z, \quad V_{\rm D}^2 = (H_0 + \beta_{\rm D}^2)\sigma_0 + b\sigma_z.$$
(2)

Therefore,

$$H_{\rm J} = V_{\rm J}^2 + 2\varkappa_{\rm J}V_{\rm J} - \beta_{\rm J}^2\sigma_0, \quad {\rm J} = {\rm R}, {\rm D}, \quad \text{for} \quad \beta_{\rm R} := \frac{\gamma + 1}{2\varkappa_{\rm R}}b, \quad \beta_{\rm D} := \frac{\gamma - 1}{2\varkappa_{\rm D}}b. \tag{3}$$

# 3.1. The free case

We consider here the case without magnetic field, b = 0. Then Eq. (3) reads simply as  $H_J = U_J^2 + 2\varkappa_J U_J$  with  $U_J^2 = H_0 \equiv -\Delta \sigma_0$ . Clearly, spec  $H_J = f(\text{spec } U_J)$  for  $f(x) = x^2 + 2\varkappa_J x$ . We see that spec  $U_J = \mathbb{R}$  (see appendix), hence spec  $H_J = [-\varkappa_J^2, +\infty)$  and the spectrum contains no eigenvalues.

Note that the Green function  $G_0(x, y; z)$  of  $H_0$  is known explicitly,

$$G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(\sqrt{-z}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|),$$

where  $K_0$  is the McDonald function and  $\sqrt{x} > 0$  for x > 0. Hence, by (1), the Green function  $G_J$ , which is the integral kernel of the resolvent  $(H_J - z)^{-1}$ , has the form

$$G_{J}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{i\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{J}^{2})}} (U_{J}-\varkappa_{J}) \left( K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{+}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) - K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{-}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \right) + K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{+}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) + K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{-}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \right] \sigma_{0}, \quad \zeta_{J}^{\pm} = \sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{J}^{2})} \pm i\varkappa_{J}, \quad (4)$$

where  $U_J$  acts as a differential expression with respect to **r**. Applying the identity  $K'_0(t) = -K_1(t)$  one concludes that

$$G_{\rm J}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\rm J}^{11}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) & G_{\rm J}^{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) \\ G_{\rm J}^{21}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) & G_{\rm J}^{22}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$G_{J}^{11}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = G_{J}^{22}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{\varkappa_{J}}{i\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{J}^{2})}} \times \left( K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{+}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) - K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{-}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \right) + K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{+}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) + K_{0}(\zeta_{J}^{-}|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \right]$$

for both J = R, D, and  

$$G_{\rm R}^{12}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z) = \frac{i(y - y') - (x - x')}{4\pi i \sqrt{-(z + \varkappa_{\rm R}^2)} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \times \left[ \zeta_{\rm R}^+ K_1(\zeta_{\rm R}^+ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) - \zeta_{\rm R}^- K_1(\zeta_{\rm R}^- |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \right],$$

$$G_{\rm D}^{12}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z) = \frac{(y - y') - i(x - x')}{4\pi i \sqrt{-(z + \varkappa_{\rm D}^2)} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \times \left[ \zeta_{\rm D}^+ K_1(\zeta_{\rm D}^+ |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) - \zeta_{\rm D}^- K_1(\zeta_{\rm D}^- |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \right],$$

and 
$$G_{J}^{21}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z) = \overline{G_{J}^{12}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; \bar{z})}$$
. We note that such a representation of the Green function was essentially obtained in [2] for special values of *z*.

#### 3.2. The magnetic case

Using (3) one can easily calculate the spectrum of  $H_J$ . Namely, spec  $H_J = g(\text{spec } V_J)$  with  $g(x) = x^2 + 2\varkappa_J - \beta_J^2$ . The spectrum of  $V_J$  can be calculated using the results of appendix. Note that the spectrum of  $H_0$  consists of the Landau levels, spec  $H_0 = \{|b|(2n+1) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Consider first the Rashba case. By (2) we have spec  $V_{\rm R}^2 = \{|b|(2n+1-s\,{\rm sign}\,b) + \beta_{\rm R}^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$  and, respectively, spec  $V_{\rm R} = \{\pm \sqrt{|b|(2n+1-s\,{\rm sign}\,b) + \beta_{\rm R}^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$ . Hence, the spectrum of  $H_{\rm R}$  consists of the Rashba levels, spec  $H_{\rm R} = \{\varepsilon^{\pm}(n,s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\pm}(n,s) = |b|(2n+1-s\,{\rm sign}\,b) \pm 2\varkappa_{\rm R}\sqrt{\beta_{\rm R}^2 + |b|(2n+1-s\,{\rm sign}\,b)}$ .

For the Dresselhaus case one has, exactly in the same way,  $\operatorname{spec} V_D^2 = \{|b|(2n+1+s \operatorname{sign} b) + \beta_D^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$ ,  $\operatorname{spec} V_D = \{\pm \sqrt{|b|(2n+1+s \operatorname{sign} b) + \beta_D^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$ , and the spectrum of  $H_D$  consists of the Dresselhaus levels,  $\operatorname{spec} H_D = \{\varepsilon^{\pm}(n,s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s = \pm 1\}$ ,  $\varepsilon^{\pm}(n,s) = |b|(2n+1+s \operatorname{sign} b) \pm 2\varkappa_D \sqrt{\beta_D^2 + |b|(2n+1+s \operatorname{sign} b)}$ . We note that the formulas for the eigenvalues were obtained e.g. in [3] by a different method.

Now let us pass to the calculation of the Green functions. Note that  $H_0$  has the following Green function:

$$G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{2|b|}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}b}{2}(\mathbf{r} \wedge \mathbf{r}') - \frac{|b|}{4}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2\right) \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{2|b|}, 1; \frac{|b|}{2}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2\right),$$

where  $\Psi$  is the confluent hypergeometric function [4]. Clearly,

$$(V_{\rm R/D}^2 - z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (H_0 - (z - \beta_{\rm R/D}^2 \pm b))^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & (H_0 - (z - \beta_{\rm R/D}^2 \mp b))^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(5)

where +/- corresponds to R/D. Set now  $\eta_J := \sqrt{z + \varkappa_J^2 + \beta_J^2}$  and

$$\zeta_{\rm R}^{\pm}(b) := (\eta_{\rm R} \pm \varkappa_{\rm R})^2 + b - \beta_{\rm R}^2, \quad \zeta_{\rm D}^{\pm}(b) := (\eta_{\rm D} \pm \varkappa_{\rm D})^2 - b - \beta_{\rm D}^2.$$

By (1), we have

$$(H_{\rm J}-z)^{-1} = \frac{V_{\rm J}-\varkappa_{\rm J}}{2\eta_{\rm J}} \left( (V_{\rm J}^2 - (\eta_{\rm J}-\varkappa_{\rm J})^2)^{-1} - (V_{\rm J}^2 - (\eta_{\rm J}+\varkappa_{\rm J})^2)^{-1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( (V_{\rm J}^2 - (\eta_{\rm J}-\varkappa_{\rm J})^2)^{-1} + (V_{\rm J}^2 - (\eta_{\rm J}+\varkappa_{\rm J})^2)^{-1} \right).$$

Passing to the Green function, we obtain

$$G_{J}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \frac{U_{J} + \beta_{J}\sigma_{z} - \varkappa_{J}}{2\eta_{J}} \times \begin{pmatrix} G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{-}(b)) - G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{+}(b)) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{-}(-b)) - G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{+}(-b)) \end{pmatrix} + \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{-}(b)) + G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{+}(b)) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{-}(-b)) + G_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{J}^{+}(-b)) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

Here  $U_J$  is considered as a differentiation operator with respect to **r**. Using the identity  $d\Psi(a,c,x)/dx = -a\Psi(a+1,c+1,x)$  one can write more explicit expressions for the Green function. Namely,

$$G_{\rm J}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\rm J}^{11}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) & G_{\rm J}^{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) \\ G_{\rm J}^{21}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) & G_{\rm J}^{22}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{J}}^{11}(x,y;z) &= \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{J}} - \varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{2\eta_{\mathbf{J}}} \Big( G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(b)\big) - G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(b)\big) \Big) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \Big( G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(b)\big) + G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(b)\big) \Big), \\ G_{\mathbf{J}}^{22}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) &= -\frac{\beta_{\mathbf{J}} + \varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{2\eta_{\mathbf{J}}} \Big( G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(-b)\big) - G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(-b)\big) \Big) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \Big( G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(-b)\big) + G_{0}\big(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(-b)\big) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

for both J = R, D,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\rm R}^{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) &= |b| \left( (x-x') - {\rm i}(y-y') \right) \left( \frac{{\rm sign} \, b-1}{2} \left[ G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^-(-b)) - G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^+(-b)) \right] \\ &+ \left[ F_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^-(-b)) - F_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^+(-b)) \right] \right), \\ G_{\rm D}^{12}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) &= |b| \left( (y-y') - {\rm i}(x-x') \right) \left( \frac{{\rm sign} \, b+1}{2} \left[ G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^-(-b)) - G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^+(-b)) \right] \\ &- \left[ F_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^-(-b)) - F_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\zeta_{\rm J}^+(-b)) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

and  $G_{\rm J}^{21}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \overline{G_{\rm J}^{12}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r};\bar{z})}$ , where

$$F_{0}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{z}{2|b|} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{2|b|} \right) \times \exp\left( \frac{ib}{2} (\mathbf{r} \wedge \mathbf{r}') - \frac{|b|}{4} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^{2} \right) \Psi\left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{z}{2|b|}, 2; \frac{|b|}{2} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^{2} \right)$$

# 4. Renormalized Green functions

In some applications it is necessary to know the renormalized Green function, namely the values  $G_{J}^{ren}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r};z)$  given by  $G_{J}^{ren}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r};z) = \lim_{\mathbf{r}'\to\mathbf{r}} \left[G_{J}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) - S(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\right]$ , where  $S(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';z) :=$ 

 $-\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|\sigma_0$  is the on-diagonal singularity. Terms of this kind appear e.g. when calculating the so-called Wigner *R*-matrix.

Consider first the case b = 0. We will use the representation (4) for the Green function. Using the expansion

$$K_0(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right)^m \left(\psi(m+1) + \log 2 - \log z\right)$$

one easily sees that  $G_J^{12}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z)$  are continuous functions vanishing at  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$ , which means that  $G_J^{\text{ren}}$  is diagonal. To calculate the diagonal terms we use the equality

$$Q(z) := \lim_{r \to 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big( K_0(\sqrt{-z}r) + \log r \Big) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big( \psi(1) - \frac{1}{2}\log(-z) + \log 2 \Big)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{J}}^{\text{ren}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r};z) &= \left[ -\frac{\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{2i\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}^{2})}} \big( \mathcal{Q}(\zeta^{+}) - \mathcal{Q}(\zeta^{-}) \big) + \frac{1}{2} \big( \mathcal{Q}(\zeta^{+}) + \mathcal{Q}(\zeta^{-}) \big) \right] \sigma_{0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \bigg[ \psi(1) - \frac{1}{2} \log\big( -\frac{z}{4} \big) + \frac{\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{2i\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}^{2})}} \log \frac{\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}^{2})} + i\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{\sqrt{-(z+\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}^{2})} - i\varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}} \bigg] \sigma_{0}, \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of  $\mathbf{r}$  due to the translational symmetry of the Hamiltonian.

For the magnetic case  $(b \neq 0)$  we use the expansions [4]

$$\begin{split} \Psi(a,n+1,x) &= -\frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(a-n)} \left[ \Phi(a,n+1,x) \log x \right. \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{(n+1)_r r!} \big( \Psi(a+r) - \Psi(1+r) - \Psi(1+n+r) \big) x^r \Big] + \frac{(n-1)!}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(a-n)_r}{(1-n)_r} \frac{x^{r-n}}{r!}, \\ \Phi(a,c,x) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{(c)_r r!} x^r, \quad (a)_r := \frac{\Gamma(a+r)}{\Gamma(a)}. \end{split}$$

The above expansions clearly show that the off-diagonal terms of  $G_{J}^{ren}$  vanish. To express the diagonal terms we use the function

$$Q(z) := \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r}'} \left( G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; z) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{2|b|} \right) - 2\psi(1) + \log \frac{|b|}{2} \right),$$

then

$$G_{\mathbf{J}}^{\text{ren}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},z) = \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{J}}\sigma_{z} - \varkappa_{\mathbf{J}}}{2\eta_{\mathbf{J}}} \begin{pmatrix} Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(b)) - Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(b)) & 0\\ 0 & Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(-b)) - Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(-b)) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(b)) + Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(b)) & 0\\ 0 & Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{-}(-b)) + Q(\zeta_{\mathbf{J}}^{+}(-b)) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Appendix. Supersymmetric spectral analysis

For the sake of completeness, here we are going to prove the following

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathscr{H}_1$ ,  $\mathscr{H}_2$  be Hilbert spaces, A be a closed densely defined linear operator from  $\mathscr{H}_1$  to  $\mathscr{H}_2$ , and  $m \ge 0$ . On  $\mathscr{H}_1 \oplus \mathscr{H}_2$  consider the operator  $L := \begin{pmatrix} m & A^* \\ A & -m \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{spec} L = -\sqrt{\operatorname{spec}(AA^* + m^2)} \cup \sqrt{\operatorname{spec}(A^*A + m^2)}, \qquad (A.1)$$

and the same correspondence holds for the eigenvalues.

*Proof.* First, it is well known [5] that spec  $AA^* \setminus \{0\} = \operatorname{spec} A^*A \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly,

$$L^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{*}A + m^{2} & 0\\ 0 & AA^{*} + m^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A.2)

Therefore, spec  $L^2 \setminus \{m^2\} = \operatorname{spec}(AA^* + m^2) \setminus \{m^2\}$ , and for any  $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}(AA^* \setminus \{0\}) \equiv \operatorname{spec}(AA^* \setminus \{0\})$  at least one of the numbers  $-\sqrt{\lambda + m^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{\lambda + m^2}$  lies in spec *L*. Let us show that actually they both are in the spectrum of *L*.

Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec} A^*A$ , then there exists a sequence  $(\phi_n)$  with  $\phi_n \in \operatorname{dom} A^*A \subset \operatorname{dom} A$  such that  $\|\phi_n\| \ge 1$  and  $\lim(A^*A - \lambda)\phi_n = 0$ . Denote  $\psi_n := \left[\lambda + \left(\sqrt{\lambda + m^2} - m\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly,  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which implies  $\|\psi_n\| \ge \lambda \|\phi_n\| \ge \lambda$ . (A.3)

By direct calculation,  $(L - \sqrt{\lambda + m^2})\psi_n = (\sqrt{\lambda + m^2} - m)\begin{pmatrix} (A^*A - \lambda)\phi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $\lim(L - \sqrt{\lambda + m^2})\psi_n = 0$ . Together with (A.3) this implies  $\sqrt{\lambda + m^2} \in \operatorname{spec} L$ .

To show  $-\sqrt{\lambda + m^2} \in \operatorname{spec} L$  one has to consider the functions

$$\psi_n := \left[\lambda - (\sqrt{\lambda + m^2} - m) \left(egin{array}{c} 0 & A^* \ A & 0 \end{array}
ight)
ight] \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ \phi_n \end{array}
ight)$$

where  $\phi_n \in \text{dom}AA^* \subset \text{dom}A^*$ ,  $\|\phi_n\| \ge 1$ , and  $\lim(AA^* - \lambda)\phi_n = 0$  and to repeat the above steps. To finish the proof of Eq. (A.1) it is necessary to study the points  $\pm m$ . Not that it is sufficient to consider the situation when  $\pm m$  is an isolated point in spec *L* (in particular, an eigenvalue of *L*), as for the continuous spectrum the result follows by taking the closure of spec  $L \setminus \{-m, m\}$ .

For m = 0, Eq. (A.2) reads as spec  $L^2 = \operatorname{spec} AA^* \cup \operatorname{spec} A^*A$ , and the conditions  $0 \in \operatorname{spec} L$ and  $0 \in \operatorname{spec} AA^* \cup \operatorname{spec} A^*A$  are equivalent.

Assume  $m \neq 0$  and m is an eigenvalue of L, then there are  $\phi \in \text{dom}A$  and  $\varphi \in \text{dom}A^*$ with  $\|\phi\| + \|\varphi\| > 1$  such that  $(L-m)\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A^*\phi \\ A\phi - 2m\phi \end{pmatrix} = 0$ . Clearly, this implies  $A\phi_n \in \text{dom}A^*$  and  $A^*A\phi = 0$ . If  $\phi = 0$ , then also  $\varphi = 0$ , which contradicts to the choice. Therefore,  $\phi$  is an eigenvector of  $A^*A$ .

Assume now that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A^*A$ , then there is a non-zero vector  $\phi \in$ dom  $A^*A \subset$  dom A with  $\langle A^*A\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle \equiv ||A\phi|| = 0$ . Then  $(L-m)\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A\phi \end{pmatrix} = 0$ , from which  $m \in \operatorname{spec} L$ .

The relationship between the conditions  $-m \in L$  and  $0 \in \operatorname{spec} AA^*$  can be proved in a completely similar way. 

## Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the research fellowship of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (PA 1555/1-1) and SFB 647 (Berlin).

#### References

- [1] Winkler R 2003 Spin-orbit coupling effects in two-dimensional electron and hole systems (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Csordaś A, Cserti J, Pályi A and Zülicke U 2006 Rashba billiards. European Phys. J. B 54 189-200
- [3] Zhang D 2006 Exact Landau levels in two-dimensional electron systems with Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit interactions in a perpendicular magnetic field J. Phys. A 39 L477-L482
- [4] Erdélyi A (ed.) 1953 Higher transcendental functions. Volume 1 (New York etc.: McGraw-Hill)
- [5] Deift P 1978 Applications of a commutation formula Duke Math. J. 45 267–310