# "Catalan Traffic" and Integrals on the Grassmannian of Lines<sup>\*</sup>

Taíse Santiago Costa Oliveira<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

We prove that certain numbers occurring in a problem of paths enumeration, studied by Niederhausen in [7] (see also [10]), are top intersection numbers in the cohomology ring of the grassmannian of the lines in the complex projective (n + 1)-space.

### 1 Introduction

1.1 The Catalan's numbers

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

occur in several combinatorial situations (see e.g. [9]), in particular in *lattice path* enumeration. It is well known, for instance, that  $C_n$  is the number of lattice paths contained in  $S := \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \le m \le n\}$  from (0, 0) to  $(n, n) \in S$ , allowing unitary steps only, along the "horizontal" or "vertical" directions.

Within this context, the aim of this paper is to make some remarks on the occurrence of Catalan's numbers in a *traffic game* ("Catalan traffic at the beach") constructed by Niederhausen [7]. One is given of a *city map* C (a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ) with some *gates* and *road blocks* (null traffic points). The traffic rules are as follows. First, no path can cross and go beyond the *beach* (the line m - n = 0 in the (m, n)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -plane). Furthermore:

<sup>\*</sup>AMS 2000 Math. Subject Classification: 14M15, 14N15, 05A15, 05A19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This work was supported in part by ScuDo - Politecnico di Torino, FAPESB proc. n 8057/2006 and CNPq proc. n 350259/2006-2.

- 1. At lattice points strictly "below" the line 2m + n = 0, only North ( $\uparrow$ ) or West ( $\leftarrow$ ) directions are allowed;
- 2. At lattice points strictly "above" the line 2m + n = 0, only East  $(\rightarrow)$  or NE  $(\nearrow)$  directions are allowed;
- 3. All the points  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  lying on the line 2m + n = 1 are inaccessible (road blocks  $\blacksquare$ ).
- 4. On the line 2m + n = 0 (gates), allow  $W(\leftarrow)$ ,  $E(\rightarrow)$ , and  $NE(\nearrow)$  (because of the road blocks at 2m + n = 1) (see [7], p. 2)

The diagram of the city map is depicted below: it is the same as in [7] after a harmless counterclockwise rotation of 90 degrees.



Fig. 1. City Map.

The problem, solved by Niederhausen, consists in finding the number of all distinct paths joining the origin to any point in the domain of C, compatibly with the constraints. Attaching to each point of the lattice the number of such paths one gets the following diagram:



Fig. 2. Detours preserving Catalan traffic.

The main result of [7] is that the numbers along the "beach" are Catalan's number. This is proven in three different ways. One of them relies on the following recursion:

$$\begin{cases} \Upsilon(m,n) = \Upsilon(m+1,n) - \Upsilon(m,n-1) \\ \Upsilon(n,n) = C_n \end{cases},$$
(1)

holding in the domain  $\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid -n \leq 2m \leq 2n\}$ , where  $\Upsilon(m,n)$  denotes the number of paths to get the point (m,n) starting from the origin.

**1.2** The Catalan number  $C_n$  has also a beautiful geometric interpretation (see e.g. [6]): in fact, it is the Plücker degree

$$\kappa_{2n,0} = \int_{G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})} \sigma_1^{2n},$$

of the grassmannian of lines  $G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ . The main result of this paper is that for each (m, n), such that  $n \ge m \ge 0$ ,  $\Upsilon(m, n) = \kappa_{2m, n-m}$  where

$$\kappa_{2m,n-m} = \int_{G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})} \sigma_1^{2m} \sigma_2^{n-m}$$

is the top intersection number computed in the integral cohomology ring  $H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}),\mathbb{Z})$  of  $G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ , generated (as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra) by the special Schubert cycles  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . The proof consists in using the formalism introduced in [2] (see also [3] and [8]) to show that the recursion (1) holds for  $K(m,n) := \kappa_{2m,n-m}$ . Acknowledgment. I want to thank my advisor L. Gatto for many helpful dis-

cussions and the anonymous referee for ideas and comments.

#### $\mathbf{2}$ **Preliminaries**

The grassmannian  $G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$  is a complete projective variety of complex di-2.1mension 2n. It is known (see e.g. [1], [5]) that its *integral cohomology* (or Chow intersection ring)  $H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^n),\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the special Schubert cycles  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . The cycle  $\sigma_1 \in H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}),\mathbb{Z})$  is the cohomology class represented by the subvariety which is the closure of all the lines incident a codimension 2 linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ , while  $\sigma_2 \in H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}),\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by the closure of all the lines which are incident a codimension 3 linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ . A top intersection number in  $G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$  is the *degree* of the product  $\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b$  in  $H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}), \mathbb{Z})$ , with a + 2b = 2n.

**2.2** The cohomology (or the intersection) theory of complex grassmannian varieties can be described by *Schubert Calculus*. The latter can be phrased in purely algebraic terms using the formalism introduced in [2] (see [3] for more details). For the grassmannian of lines of  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  this works, in short, as follows. Let  $\bigwedge^2 M$  be the  $2^{nd}$  exterior power of a free module of rank n+2. If M is spanned by  $(\epsilon^{n+1}, \epsilon^n, \ldots, \epsilon^1, \epsilon^0)$ , then  $\bigwedge^2 M$  is freely generated by  $\{\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j \mid 0 \le i < j \le n+1\}$ . Let  $D_1: M \longrightarrow M$  such that  $D_1 \epsilon^i = \epsilon^{i-1}$  if i > 1 and  $D_1 \epsilon^0 = 0$ . Extend  $D_1$  to

an endomorphism of  $\bigwedge^2 M$  by setting:

$$D_1(\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j) = D_1\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j + \epsilon^i \wedge D_1\epsilon^j,$$

and let  $D_2: \bigwedge^2 M \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 M$  such that:

$$D_2(\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j) = D_1^2 \epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j + D_1 \epsilon^i \wedge D_1 \epsilon^j + \epsilon^i \wedge D_1^2 \epsilon^j.$$

In other words  $D_1$  behaves as a "first derivative" and  $D_2$  as a "second derivative". The main result in [2] is that the endomorphism  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  generate a commutative subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $End_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigwedge^2 M)$  which is isomorphic to  $H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^n),\mathbb{Z})$ . The isomorphism is explicitly obtained from sending  $\sigma_1 \mapsto D_1$  and  $\sigma_2 \mapsto D_2$ . From this point of view, it turns out that the degree of a top intersection product  $\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b$ (a+2b = 2n) in  $H^*(G_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}),\mathbb{Z})$  is nothing else than the coefficient  $\kappa_{a,b}$  in the equality:

$$D_1^a D_2^b(\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^n) = \kappa_{a,b} \cdot \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0$$

#### 3 The result

In this section we will prove the main result of this paper: the connection between the numbers in the Catalan Traffic and top intersection numbers in the integral cohomology ring of the grassmannian  $G_1(\mathbb{P}^3)$  of lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . This connection is a consequence of the following:

**3.1 Theorem.** Let  $K(m, n) := \kappa_{2m,n-m}$  be the coefficient of  $\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0$  in the expansion of  $D_1^{2m} D_2^{n-m} (\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^n)$ . Thus, for all  $0 \le m \le n$  the following recursion hold:

$$K(m, n) = K(m + 1, n) - K(m, n - 1)$$

**Proof.** Let  $\Delta_{11}$  be the endomorphism of M defined by:

$$\Delta_{11}(D)(\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j) = D_1 \epsilon^i \wedge D_1 \epsilon^j = (D_1^2 - D_2)(\epsilon^i \wedge \epsilon^j).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Recalling that

$$D_1^{2m} D_2^{n-m}(\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^n) = K(m,n) \cdot \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0,$$

by definition of K(m, n), one has

$$K(m,n) \cdot \epsilon^{1} \wedge \epsilon^{0} = D_{1}^{2m} D_{2}^{n-m} (\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^{n}) =$$
  
$$= D_{1}^{2m} D_{2}^{n-m-1} (D_{1}^{2} - \Delta_{11}) (\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^{n}) =$$
  
$$= D_{1}^{2m+2} D_{2}^{n-m-1} (\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^{n}) - D_{1}^{2m} D_{2}^{n-m-1} (\epsilon^{n} \wedge \epsilon^{n-1}).$$
(3)

Now, on the r.h.s of formula (3), the former summand is precisely  $K(m+1,n)\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0$ while the latter, using (2), is equal to  $D_1^{2m}D_2^{n-m-1}(\epsilon^n \wedge \epsilon^{n-1})$  which in turn equals  $\kappa_{2m,n-m-1}\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0$ . Hence, keeping in mind that  $\kappa_{2m,n-m-1} = K(m,n-1)$ , one has, using (3):

$$K(m,n) \cdot \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0 = (K(m+1,n) - K(m,n-1)) \cdot \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0.$$

As a conclusion K(m+1, n) = K(m, n) + K(m, n-1).

**3.2 Proposition.** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $K(n, n) = C_n$ . **Proof.** In fact one has:

$$D_1^{2n}(\epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} {2n \choose i} D_1^i \epsilon^{n+1} \wedge D_1^{2n-i} \epsilon^n$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} {2n \choose i} \epsilon^{n+1-i} \wedge \epsilon^{i-n}$$
(4)

Since  $n \leq i \leq n+1$ , i = n or i = n+1. Hence only the sum

$$\binom{2n}{n}\epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0 + \binom{2n}{n+1}\epsilon^0 \wedge \epsilon^1$$

can survive in expression (4). Therefore:

$$D_1^{2n} \epsilon^{n+1} \wedge \epsilon^n = \left[ \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n+1} \right] \epsilon^1 \wedge \epsilon^0 \tag{5}$$

so that

$$K(n,n) = \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n+1} = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!n!} = C_n.$$

**3.3 Corollary.** For all  $0 \le m \le n$ , the number  $\Upsilon(m, n)$  (see equation (1)) coincides with the number  $\kappa_{2m,n-m}$  of lines in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  incident 2m linear subspaces of codimension 2 and n-m subspaces of codimension 3 in general position in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and the remarks in Section 2.

**3.4 Remark.** A formula for the number  $\kappa_{a,b}$ , with a + 2b = 2n is computed in [8]. For a = 2m and b = n - m, it coincides with the following combinatorial expression:

$$\kappa_{2m,n-m} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} {2m \choose j} \frac{(n-m)!(m+n-2j-3i+1)}{i!(n-j-2i+1)!(i+j-m)!},$$
(6)

holding for each  $n \ge m \ge 0$ .

Further manipulations involving other properties of the operators  $D_i$ , studied in [8] and not mentioned here, lead to the following simplified form:

$$\kappa_{2m,n-m} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} (-1)^i \binom{n-m}{i} C_{n-i},$$

holding for each  $n \ge m \ge 0$ .

## References

- [1] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [2] L. Gatto, Schubert Calculus via Hasse–Schmidt Derivations, Asian J. Math., 9, No. 3, (2005), 315–322.
- [3] L. Gatto, Schubert Calculus: an Algebraic Introduction, 25 Colòquio Brasileiro de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 2005.
- [4] L. Gatto, T. Santiago, Schubert Calculus on Grassmann Algebra. math.AG/0702759, (Canad. Math. Bulletin, to appear).
- [5] Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [6] S. Mukai, *Curves and Grassmannians*, Algebraic Geometry and Related Topics, pp. 19-40, International Press, 1993, Cambridge, MA.
- [8] T. Santiago, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Politecnico di Torino, 27 March 2006 http://calvino.polito.it/~taise/Articles/Taise Santiago - Ph.D. Thesis.pdf.
- [9] R. P. Stanley et al, *Enumerative Combinatorics Volume 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, England 1999.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, *Catalan Addendum*, version of 30 October 2005. http://www-math.mit.edu/~rstan/ec/catadd.pdf.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino C.so Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 - Torino-Italia E-mail address: taise@calvino.polito.it

Departamento de Ciências Exatas e da Terra Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana Av. Universitria, s/n - Km 03 da BR 116 44031-460,Feira de Santana - BA - Brasil E-mail address: taisesantiago@gmail.com