

METRICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SUPER-REFLEXIVITY AND LINEAR TYPE OF BANACH SPACES

FLORENT BAUDIER[†]

ABSTRACT. We prove that a Banach space X is not super-reflexive if and only if the hyperbolic infinite tree embeds metrically into X . We improve one implication of J.Bourgain's result who gave a metrical characterization of super-reflexivity in Banach spaces in terms of uniform embeddings of the finite trees. A characterization of the linear type for Banach spaces is given using the embedding of the infinite tree equipped with the metrics d_p induced by the ℓ_p norms.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

We fix some notation and recall basic results.

Let (M, d) and (N, δ) be two metric spaces and an injective map $f : M \rightarrow N$. Following [11], we define the *distortion* of f to be

$$\text{dist}(f) := \|f\|_{Lip} \|f^{-1}\|_{Lip} = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{\delta(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} \cdot \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{d(x, y)}{\delta(f(x), f(y))}.$$

If $\text{dist}(f)$ is finite, we say that f is a metric embedding, or simply an embedding of M into N .

And if there exists an embedding f from M into N , with $\text{dist}(f) \leq C$, we use the notation $M \xrightarrow{C} N$.

Denote $\Omega_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, the root of the tree. Let $\Omega_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$, $T_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n \Omega_i$ and $T = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$. Thus T_n is the finite tree with n levels and T the infinite tree.

For $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in T$, we note $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ if ε' is an extension of ε .

Denote $|\varepsilon|$ the length of ε ; i.e the numbers of nodes of ε . We define the hyperbolic distance between ε and ε' by $\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = |\varepsilon| + |\varepsilon'| - 2|\delta|$, where δ is the greatest common ancestor of ε and ε' . The metric on T_n , is the restriction of ρ .

For a Banach space X , we denote B_X its closed unit ball, and X^* its dual space.

T embeds isometrically into $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ in a trivial way. Actually, let $(e_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in T}$ be the canonical basis of $\ell_1(T)$ (T is countable), then the embedding is given by $\varepsilon \mapsto \sum_{s \leq \varepsilon} e_s$.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 6623
Université de Franche-Comté,
25030 Besançon, cedex - France

[†]florent.baudier@math.univ-fcomte.fr

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* (46B20) (51F99)

Aharoni proved in [1] that every separable metric space embeds into c_0 , so T does.

The main result of this article is an improvement of Bourgain's metrical characterization of super-reflexivity. Bourgain proved in [2] that X is not super-reflexive if and only if the finite trees T_n uniformly embed into X (i.e with embedding constants independent of n). Obviously if T embeds into X then the T_n 's embed uniformly into X and X is not super-reflexive, but if X is not super-reflexive we did not know whether the infinite tree T embeds into X . In this paper, we prove that it is indeed the case :

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a non super-reflexive Banach space, then (T, ρ) embeds into X .*

The proof of the direct part of Bourgain's Theorem essentially uses James' characterization of super-reflexivity (see [7]) and an enumeration of the finite trees T_n . We recall James' Theorem :

Theorem 1.2 (James). *Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and X a non super-reflexive Banach space, then :*
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B_X, \exists x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* \in B_{X^*}$ s.t :

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^*(x_j) &= \theta \quad \forall k < j \\ x_k^*(x_j) &= 0 \quad \forall k \geq j \end{aligned}$$

2. METRICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SUPER-REFLEXIVITY

The main obstruction to the embedding of T into any non-super-reflexive Banach space X is the finiteness of the sequences in James' characterization. How, with a sequence of Bourgain's type embedding, can we construct a single embedding from T into X ?

In [13], Ribe shows in particular, that $\bigoplus_2 l_{p_n}$ and $(\bigoplus_2 l_{p_n}) \bigoplus l_1$ are uniformly homeomorphic, where $(p_n)_n$ is a sequence of numbers such that $p_n > 1$, and p_n tends to 1. But T embeds into l_1 , hence via the uniform homeomorphism T embeds into $\bigoplus_2 l_{p_n}$. However T does not embed into any l_{p_n} (they are super-reflexive).

The problem solved in the next theorem, inspired in part by Ribe's proof, is to construct a subspace with a Schauder decomposition $\bigoplus F_n$ where $T_{2^{n+1}}$ embeds into F_n and to repast properly the embeddings in order to obtain the desired embedding.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : Let $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 0}$, a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + \varepsilon_i) \leq 2, \text{ and fix } 0 < \theta < 1. \text{ Let } k_n = 2^{2^{n+1}+1} - 1.$$

First we construct inductively a sequence $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of subspaces of X , which is a Schauder finite dimensional decomposition of a subspace of X s.t the projection from $\bigoplus_{i=0}^q F_i$ onto $\bigoplus_{i=0}^p F_i$, with kernel $\bigoplus_{i=p+1}^q F_i$ (with $p < q$) is of norm at most $\prod_{i=p}^{q-1} (1 + \varepsilon_i)$, and sequences

$$x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \dots, x_{n,k_n} \in B_{F_n}$$

$$x_{n,1}^*, x_{n,2}^*, \dots, x_{n,k_n}^* \in B_{X^*}$$

s.t :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n,k}^*(x_{n,j}) &= \theta \quad \forall k < j \\ x_{n,k}^*(x_{n,j}) &= 0 \quad \forall k \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\Phi_n : T_n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$ the enumeration of T_n following the lexicographic order. It is an enumeration of T_n such that any pair of segments in T_n starting at incomparable nodes (with respect to the tree ordering \leq) are mapped inside disjoint intervals.

Let $\Psi_n = \Phi_{2^{n+1}}$ and $\Gamma_n = T_{2^{n+1}}$.

X is non super-reflexive, hence from James' Theorem :
 $\exists x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,7} \in B_X, \exists x_{0,1}^*, x_{0,2}^*, \dots, x_{0,7}^* \in B_{X^*}$ s.t :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0,k}^*(x_{0,j}) &= \theta \quad \forall k < j \\ x_{0,k}^*(x_{0,j}) &= 0 \quad \forall k \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma_0 = T_2$ embeds into X via the embedding $f_0(\varepsilon) = \sum_{s \leq \varepsilon} x_{0, \Psi_0(s)}$ (see [2]).
Let $F_0 = \text{Span}\{x_{0,1}, \dots, x_{0,7}\}$, then $\dim(F_0) < \infty$.

Suppose that F_0, \dots, F_p , and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{p,1}, x_{p,2}, \dots, x_{p,k_p} &\in B_{F_p} \\ x_{p,1}^*, x_{p,2}^*, \dots, x_{p,k_p}^* &\in B_{X^*} \end{aligned}$$

verifying the required conditions, are constructed for all $p \leq n$.

We apply Mazur's Lemma (see [9] page 4) to the finite dimensional subspace $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n F_i$ of X . Thus there exists $Y_n \subset X$ such that $\dim(X/Y_n) < \infty$ and :

$$\|x\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n)\|x + y\|, \forall (x, y) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^n F_i \times Y_n$$

But Y_n is of finite codimension in X , hence is not super-reflexive.
From James' Theorem and Hahn-Banach Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x_{n+1,1}, x_{n+1,2}, \dots, x_{n+1,k_{n+1}} &\in B_{Y_n}, \\ \exists x_{n+1,1}^*, x_{n+1,2}^*, \dots, x_{n+1,k_{n+1}}^* &\in B_{X^*}, \end{aligned}$$

s.t :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1,k}^*(x_{n+1,j}) &= \theta \quad \forall k < j \\ x_{n+1,k}^*(x_{n+1,j}) &= 0 \quad \forall k \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

Γ_{n+1} embeds into Y_n via the embedding $f_{n+1}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{s \leq \varepsilon} x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(s)}$.

Let $F_{n+1} = \text{Span}\{x_{n+1,j} ; 1 \leq j \leq k_{n+1}\}$, then $\dim(F_{n+1}) < \infty$, which achieves the induction.

Now define the following projections :

Let, P_n the projection from $\overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i)$ onto $F_0 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$ with kernel $\overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} F_i)$.

It is easy to show that $\|P_n\| \leq \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} (1 + \varepsilon_i) \leq 2$.

We denote now $\Pi_0 = P_0$ and $\Pi_n = P_n - P_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. We have that $\|\Pi_n\| \leq 4$.

From Bourgain's construction, for all n :

$$(1) \quad \frac{\theta}{3}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \leq \|f_n(\varepsilon) - f_n(\varepsilon')\| \leq \rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon'),$$

where f_n denotes the Bourgain's type embedding from Γ_n in F_n , i.e $f_n(\varepsilon) = \sum_{s \leq \varepsilon} x_{n, \Psi_n(s)}$.

Note that :

$$\forall n, \forall \varepsilon \in \Gamma_n \quad \|f_n(\varepsilon)\| \leq |\varepsilon|.$$

Now we define our embedding.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f : T &\rightarrow Y = \overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i) \subset X \\ \varepsilon &\mapsto \lambda f_n(\varepsilon) + (1 - \lambda)f_{n+1}(\varepsilon), \text{ if } 2^n \leq |\varepsilon| \leq 2^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^n}$$

And

$$f(\emptyset) = 0.$$

We will prove that :

$$(2) \quad \forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in T \quad \frac{\theta}{24}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \leq \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \leq 9\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

Remark 2.1 We have $\frac{\theta}{24}|\varepsilon| \leq \|f(\varepsilon)\| \leq |\varepsilon|$.

First of all, we show that f is 9-Lipschitz.

We can suppose that $0 < |\varepsilon| \leq |\varepsilon'|$ w.r.t remark 2.1.

If $|\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\varepsilon'|$ then :

$$\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \geq |\varepsilon'| - |\varepsilon| \geq \frac{|\varepsilon| + |\varepsilon'|}{3}$$

Hence,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \leq 3\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

If $\frac{1}{2}|\varepsilon'| < |\varepsilon| \leq |\varepsilon'|$, we have two different cases to consider.

1) if $2^n \leq |\varepsilon| \leq |\varepsilon'| < 2^{n+1}$.

Then, let

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda' = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon'|}{2^n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| &= \|\lambda f_n(\varepsilon) - \lambda' f_n(\varepsilon') + (1 - \lambda)f_{n+1}(\varepsilon) - (1 - \lambda')f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\| \\ &\leq \lambda \|f_n(\varepsilon) - f_n(\varepsilon')\| + |\lambda - \lambda'| (\|f_n(\varepsilon')\| + \|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\|) + (1 - \lambda) \|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon) - f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\| \\ &\leq \rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') + 2\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') + 2\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') \\ &\leq 5\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon'), \end{aligned}$$

because $\|f_n(\varepsilon')\| < 2^{n+1}$, $\|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\| < 2^{n+1}$ and,

$$|\lambda - \lambda'| = \frac{|\varepsilon'| - |\varepsilon|}{2^n} \leq \frac{\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')}{2^n}.$$

2) if $2^n \leq |\varepsilon| \leq 2^{n+1} \leq |\varepsilon'| < 2^{n+2}$.

Then, let

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda' = \frac{2^{n+2} - |\varepsilon'|}{2^{n+1}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| &= \|\lambda f_n(\varepsilon) + (1 - \lambda)f_{n+1}(\varepsilon) - \lambda' f_{n+1}(\varepsilon') - (1 - \lambda')f_{n+2}(\varepsilon')\| \\ &\leq \lambda(\|f_n(\varepsilon)\| + \|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon)\|) + (1 - \lambda)(\|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\| + \|f_{n+2}(\varepsilon')\|) + \|f_{n+1}(\varepsilon) - f_{n+1}(\varepsilon')\| \\ &\leq \rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') + 2\lambda|\varepsilon| + 2(1 - \lambda)|\varepsilon'| \\ &\leq 9\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon'), \end{aligned}$$

because,

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')}{2^n}, \quad \text{so} \quad \lambda|\varepsilon| \leq 2\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

Similarly

$$1 - \lambda' = \frac{|\varepsilon'| - 2^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}} \leq \frac{\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')}{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \lambda')|\varepsilon'| \leq 2\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

Finally, f is 9-Lipschitz.

Now we deal with the minoration.

In our next discussion, whenever $|\varepsilon|$ (respectively $|\varepsilon'|$) will belong to $[2^n, 2^{n+1})$, for some integer n , we shall denote

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^n} \quad (\text{respectively} \quad \lambda' = \frac{2^{n+1} - |\varepsilon'|}{2^n}).$$

We can suppose that ε is smaller than ε' in the lexicographic order. Denote δ the greatest common ancestor of ε and ε' . And let $d = |\varepsilon| - |\delta|$ (respectively $d' = |\varepsilon'| - |\delta|$).

1) if $2^n \leq |\varepsilon|, |\varepsilon'| \leq 2^{n+1}$.

We have,

$$x_{n, \Psi_n(\delta)}^* \Pi_n(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) = \theta(\lambda d - \lambda' d')$$

$$x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{n+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) = \theta((1 - \lambda)d - (1 - \lambda')d').$$

Hence,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta(d - d')}{8}.$$

And,

$$-x_{n, \Psi_n(\varepsilon)}^* \Pi_n(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) = \theta\lambda' d'$$

$$-x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(\varepsilon)}^* \Pi_{n+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) = \theta(1 - \lambda')d'.$$

So,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta d'}{8}.$$

Finally if we distinguish the cases $\frac{d}{2} \leq d'$, and $d' < \frac{d}{2}$ we obtain :

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta(d + d')}{24} = \frac{\theta}{24}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

- 2) if $2^n \leq |\varepsilon| \leq 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{q+1} \leq |\varepsilon'| \leq 2^{q+2}$,
or $2^n \leq |\varepsilon'| \leq 2^{n+1} \leq 2^{q+1} \leq |\varepsilon| \leq 2^{q+2}$.

If $n < q$,

$$|x_{q+1, \Psi_{q+1}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{q+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) + x_{q+2, \Psi_{q+2}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{q+2}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))| = \theta \text{Max}(d, d')$$

Hence,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta}{16}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

If $n = q$ and $|\varepsilon| \leq |\varepsilon'|$,

$$|x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(\varepsilon)}^* \Pi_{n+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) + x_{n+2, \Psi_{n+2}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{n+2}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))| \geq \theta d'.$$

So,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta}{16}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

If $n = q$ and $|\varepsilon'| < |\varepsilon|$,

$$x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{n+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) - x_{n+1, \Psi_{n+1}(\varepsilon)}^* \Pi_{n+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) + x_{n+2, \Psi_{n+2}(\delta)}^* \Pi_{n+2}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')) = \theta d.$$

Hence,

$$\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\| \geq \frac{\theta}{24}\rho(\varepsilon, \varepsilon').$$

Finally $T \xrightarrow{\frac{216}{\theta}} X$.

□

Corollary 2.2. *X is non super-reflexive if and only if (T, ρ) embeds into X.*

Proof : It follows clearly from Bourgain's result [2] and Theorem 1.1.

□

3. METRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE LINEAR TYPE

First we identify canonically $\{-1, 1\}^n$ with $K_n = \{-1, 1\}^n \times \prod_{k>n} \{0\}$.

Let $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Then we define an other metric on $T = \bigcup K_n$ as follows :

$\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in T$,

$$d_p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon'_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The length of $\varepsilon \in T$ can be viewed as $|\varepsilon| = (d_p(\varepsilon, 0))^p$.

The norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ on ℓ_p coincides with d_p for the elements of T .

We recall now two classical definitions :

Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. If X and Y are linearly isomorphic, the *Banach-Mazur distance* between X and Y , denoted by $d_{BM}(X, Y)$, is the infimum of $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\|$, over all linear isomorphisms T from X onto Y .

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we say that a Banach space X uniformly contains the ℓ_p^n 's if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every integer n , X admits an n -dimensional subspace Y so that $d_{BM}(\ell_p^n, Y) \leq C$.

We state and prove now the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$.*

If X uniformly contains the ℓ_p^n 's then (T, d_p) embeds into X .

Proof : We first recall a fundamental result due to Krivine (for $1 < p < \infty$ in [8]) and James (for $p = 1$ and ∞ in [7]).

Theorem 3.2 (James-Krivine). *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and X be a Banach space uniformly containing the ℓ_p^n 's. Then, for any finite codimensional subspace Y of X , any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subspace F of Y such that $d_{BM}(\ell_p^n, F) < 1 + \epsilon$.*

Using Theorem 3.2 together with the fact that each ℓ_p^n is finite dimensional, we can build inductively finite dimensional subspaces $(F_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of X and $(R_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ so that for every $n \geq 0$, R_n is a linear isomorphism from ℓ_p^n onto F_n satisfying

$$\forall u \in \ell_p^n \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u\| \leq \|R_n u\| \leq \|u\|$$

and also such that $(F_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder finite dimensional decomposition of its closed linear span Z . More precisely, if P_n is the projection from Z onto $F_0 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$ with kernel $\overline{\text{Span}}(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} F_i)$, we will assume as we may, that $\|P_n\| \leq 2$. We denote now $\Pi_0 = P_0$ and $\Pi_n = P_n - P_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. We have that $\|\Pi_n\| \leq 4$.

We now consider $\varphi_n : T_n \rightarrow \ell_p^n$ defined by

$$\forall \varepsilon \in T_n, \quad \varphi_n(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon|} \varepsilon_i e_i,$$

where (e_i) is the canonical basis of ℓ_p^n . The map φ_n is clearly an isometric embedding of T_n into ℓ_p^n .

Then we set :

$$\forall \varepsilon \in T_n, f_n(\varepsilon) = R_n(\varphi_n(\varepsilon)) \in F_n.$$

Finally we construct a map $f : T \rightarrow X$ as follows :

$$f : T \rightarrow X$$

$$\varepsilon \mapsto \lambda f_m(\varepsilon) + (1 - \lambda) f_{m+1}(\varepsilon), \text{ if } 2^m \leq |\varepsilon| < 2^{m+1},$$

where,

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{m+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^m}.$$

Remark 3.3 We have $\frac{1}{16} |\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f(\varepsilon)\| \leq |\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Like in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we prove that f is 9-Lipschitz using exactly the same computations.

We shall now prove that f^{-1} is Lipschitz. We consider $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in T$ and assume again that $0 < |\varepsilon| \leq |\varepsilon'|$. We need to study two different cases. Again, whenever $|\varepsilon|$ (respectively $|\varepsilon'|$) will belong to $[2^m, 2^{m+1})$, for some integer m , we shall denote

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{m+1} - |\varepsilon|}{2^m} \quad (\text{respectively } \lambda' = \frac{2^{m+1} - |\varepsilon'|}{2^m}).$$

1) if $2^m \leq |\varepsilon|, |\varepsilon'| < 2^{m+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') &\leq \|\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon|} \varepsilon_i e_i - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon'|} \varepsilon'_i e_i\|_p + \|(1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon|} \varepsilon_i e_i - (1 - \lambda') \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon'|} \varepsilon'_i e_i\|_p \\ &\leq 2\|\Pi_m(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| + 2\|\Pi_{m+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| \\ &\leq 16\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\|. \end{aligned}$$

2) if $2^m \leq |\varepsilon| \leq 2^{m+1} \leq 2^{q+1} \leq |\varepsilon'| < 2^{q+2}$.

if $m < q$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') &\leq 2d_p(\varepsilon', 0) \\ &\leq 2((1 - \lambda')d_p(\varepsilon', 0) + \lambda'd_p(\varepsilon', 0)) \\ &\leq 2(2\|\Pi_{q+2}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| + 2\|\Pi_{m+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\|) \\ &\leq 32\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\|. \end{aligned}$$

if $m = q$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') &\leq \lambda d_p(\varepsilon, 0) + \|(1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon|} \varepsilon_i e_i - \lambda' \sum_{i=1}^{|\varepsilon'|} \varepsilon'_i e_i\|_p + (1 - \lambda') d_p(\varepsilon', 0) \\ &\leq 2\|\Pi_m(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| + 2\|\Pi_{m+1}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| + 2\|\Pi_{m+2}(f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon'))\| \\ &\leq 24\|f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain that f^{-1} is 32-Lipschitz, and $T \xrightarrow{288} X$.

□

In the sequel a Banach space X is said to have *type* $p > 0$ if there exists a constant $T < \infty$ such that for every n and every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|_X^p \leq T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_X^p,$$

where the expectation \mathbb{E}_ε is with respect to a uniform choice of signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}^n$.

The set of p 's for which X contains ℓ_p^n 's uniformly is closely related to the type of X according to the following result due to Maurey, Pisier [10] and Krivine [8], which clarifies the meaning of these notions.

Theorem 3.4 (Maurey-Pisier-Krivine). *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Let*

$$p_X = \sup\{p ; X \text{ is of type } p\},$$

Then X contains ℓ_p^n 's uniformly for $p = p_X$. Equivalently, we have

$$p_X = \inf\{p ; X \text{ contains } \ell_p^n \text{'s uniformly}\}.$$

We deduce from Theorem 3.1 two corollaries.

Corollary 3.5. *Let X a Banach space and $1 \leq p < 2$.*

The following assertions are equivalent :

- i) $p_X \leq p$.*
- ii) X uniformly contains the ℓ_p^n 's.*
- iii) the (T_n, d_p) 's uniformly embed into X .*
- iv) (T, d_p) embeds into X .*

Proof : *ii) implies i) is obvious.*

i) implies ii) is due to Theorem 3.2 and the work of Bretagnolle, Dacunha-Castelle and Krivine [4].

For the equivalence between ii) and iii) see the work of Bourgain, Milman and Wolfson [3] and Krivine [8].

iv) implies iii) is obvious.

And ii) implies iv) is Theorem 3.1.

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space, then (T, d_2) embeds into X .*

Proof : This corollary is a consequence of the Dvoretzky's Theorem [6] and Theorem 3.1.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Aharoni, *Every separable metric space is Lipschitz equivalent to a subset of c_0^+* . Israel J. Math. 19 (1974), 284–291.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *The metrical interpretation of super-reflexivity in Banach spaces*. Israel J. Math. 56 (1986), 221–230.
- [3] J. Bourgain, V. Milman, H. Wolfson, *On type of metric spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. volume 294, number 1, march 1986, 295–317.
- [4] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle, J.L. Krivine, *Lois stables et espaces L^p* . Ann. Institut. H. Poincaré, 2 (1966), 231–259.
- [5] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*. Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [6] A. Dvoretzky, *Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces*. Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces (Jerusalem, 1960) 123–160.
- [7] R. C. James, *Super-reflexive spaces with bases*. Pacific J. Math. 41 (1972), 409–419.
- [8] J. L. Krivine, *Sous-espaces de dimension finie des espaces de Banach réticulés*, Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (1976), 1–29.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer Berlin 1977.
- [10] B. Maurey, G. Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. **58(1)** 1976, 45–90.
- [11] M. Mendel, A. Naor, *Metric cotype*, arXiv:math.FA/0506201 v3 29 Apr 2006
- [12] G. Pisier, *Factorization of Linear Operators and Geometry of Banach Spaces*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 60.
- [13] M. Ribe, *Existence of separable uniformly homeomorphic non isomorphic Banach spaces*. Israel J. Math. 48 (1984), no. 2-3, 139–147.