

CONSTRUCTIONS OF KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS WITH NEGATIVE SCALAR CURVATURE

Jian Song¹

Johns Hopkins University
Department of Mathematics
Baltimore, MD 21218

Ben Weinkove²

Harvard University
Department of Mathematics
Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract. We show that on Kähler manifolds with negative first Chern class, the sequence of algebraic metrics introduced by H. Tsuji converges uniformly to the Kähler-Einstein metric. For algebraic surfaces of general type and orbifolds with isolated singularities, we prove a convergence result for a modified version of Tsuji’s iterative construction.

1. Introduction

A Kähler manifold with negative first Chern class admits a unique Kähler-Einstein metric. This was shown by Yau in his seminal paper on the Calabi conjecture [Y1], and also independently by Aubin [A]. Yau later posed the question of whether, in general, Kähler-Einstein metrics could be obtained as a limit of algebraic metrics induced from embeddings into projective space [Y2]. Donaldson [D1] showed that if a polarized variety (X, L) with discrete automorphism group admits a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric, then there is indeed a sequence of algebraic ‘balanced metrics’ [Zh] converging to it. Donaldson’s proof makes use of the Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion of the Bergman kernel [Ti], [Ze] (see also [C]) and Lu’s [L] computation of the second coefficient. Very recently, Donaldson [D2] has described how numerical approximations to these balanced metrics could be used to compute, to a good accuracy, explicit Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain varieties.

Tsuji [Ts] has considered a different way of producing Kähler-Einstein metrics by algebraic approximations. He introduced a new iterative procedure on varieties of general type with the aim of describing (possibly singular) Kähler-Einstein metrics. In the case when the first Chern class is

¹The first-named author is on leave for the semester and is visiting MSRI, Berkeley, CA as a postdoctoral fellow. He is supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS 0604805.

²Part of this research was carried out while the second-named author was a short-term visitor at MSRI in January 2007. He is supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS 0504285.

negative, Tsuji proved that his iteration converges, in a certain weak sense, to the Kähler-Einstein metric. In this paper, we give a uniform convergence result and describe how his procedure may be modified to obtain results in the case of algebraic surfaces of general type, and on orbifolds with isolated singularities.

We now describe Tsuji's iteration. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with ample canonical bundle K_X . Let $\omega_{\text{KE}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(g_{\text{KE}})_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}$ be the Kähler-Einstein metric satisfying

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_{\text{KE}}) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\omega_{\text{KE}}^n = -\omega_{\text{KE}} \in c_1(X).$$

Fix a Hermitian metric h_{KE} on K_X by setting $h_{\text{KE}} = (\det g_{\text{KE}})^{-1}$.

Let $m_0 \geq 1$ be an integer such that $K_X^{m_0}$ is base point free and let h_{m_0} be any Hermitian metric on $K_X^{m_0}$. Define a sequence of Hermitian metrics h_m on K_X^m for $m > m_0$ inductively as follows. Suppose that h_m is a given Hermitian metric on K_X^m . To define h_{m+1} , first define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_{m+1}}$ on the space of sections of K_X^{m+1} by

$$\langle s, t \rangle_{T_{m+1}} = \int_X h_m \otimes s \otimes \bar{t}, \quad \text{for } s, t \in H^0(X, K_X^{m+1}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $h_m \otimes s \otimes \bar{t}$ is regarded as a volume form on X . Now let $(\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \sigma_{m+1}^{(N_{m+1})})$, for $N_{m+1} + 1 = \dim H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$, be an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$ with respect to this inner product. Then define the Hermitian metric h_{m+1} on K_X^{m+1} by

$$h_{m+1} = \frac{(m+n+1)!}{(m+1)!} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{m+1}} \sigma_{m+1}^{(i)} \otimes \overline{\sigma_{m+1}^{(i)}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Observe that this metric is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis.

We have the following theorem on the convergence of the metrics h_m , strengthening the result given in [Ts].

Theorem 1 *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with ample canonical bundle. Let h_{KE} , ω_{KE} and the sequence of Hermitian metrics h_m be as above. There exists a constant C depending only on X and h_{m_0} such that for $m \geq m_0$,*

$$e^{-\frac{C \log m}{m}} h_{\text{KE}} \leq h_m^{1/m} \leq e^{\frac{C \log m}{m}} h_{\text{KE}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence $h_m^{1/m}$ converges uniformly on X to h_{KE} as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

We now describe a modification of Tsuji's iteration. Let β be a continuous function on a variety X with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Here we allow X to have mild singularities. We also remove the assumption that K_X be ample. We only require that there exists an $m_0 \geq 1$ such that $K_X^{m_0}$ is base point free. Let h_{m_0} be a Hermitian metric on $K_X^{m_0}$. Given $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we inductively define a sequence of Hermitian metrics $h_{m,\varepsilon} = h_{m,\varepsilon}(\beta, h_{m_0})$ on K_X as follows. Assuming that $h_{m,\varepsilon}$ is given, define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}}$ on the space of sections of K_X^{m+1} by

$$\langle s, t \rangle_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}} = \int_X \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon} \otimes s \otimes \bar{t}, \quad \text{for } s, t \in H^0(X, K_X^{m+1}).$$

Then define the Hermitian metric $h_{m+1,\varepsilon}$ on K_X^{m+1} by

$$h_{m+1,\varepsilon} = \frac{(m+n+1)!}{(m+1)!} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{m+1}} \sigma_{m+1,\varepsilon}^{(i)} \otimes \overline{\sigma_{m+1,\varepsilon}^{(i)}} \right)^{-1},$$

where $(\sigma_{m+1,\varepsilon}^{(0)}, \dots, \sigma_{m+1,\varepsilon}^{(N_{m+1})})$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}}$. We call $h_{m,\varepsilon}$ the *modified Tsuji iteration*. It depends on ε and β .

First, we consider the case when X is an algebraic surface of general type. Let $\mathcal{E} = \sum_i E_i$ be the sum of the nonsingular rational curves E_i of self intersection -1 (or (-1) -curves, for short) on X . Let $\tau : X \rightarrow X_{\min}$ be a holomorphic map blowing down these curves on X , so that X_{\min} is a minimal surface of general type. Now if h is any Hermitian metric on $K_{X_{\min}}$, then $\Omega = h^{-1}(z^1, \dots, z^n)(\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)^n dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n$ can be regarded as a volume form on X_{\min} . Using coordinates w^i on X , there is a holomorphic section S_{-1} of the line bundle $[\mathcal{E}]$ associated to \mathcal{E} and vanishing of order one on \mathcal{E} satisfying

$$((\tau^*h)^{-1} \otimes |S_{-1}|^2)(w^1, \dots, w^n) \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \right)^n dw^1 \wedge d\bar{w}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dw^n \wedge d\bar{w}^n = \tau^*\Omega,$$

for any such h .

X_{\min} has no (-1) -curves, but may have (-2) -curves. Let $f : X_{\min} \rightarrow X_{\text{can}}$ be its canonical map. X_{can} is a surface with ample canonical bundle $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ and, at worst, isolated orbifold singularities. By the orbifold version of the results of [Y1], [A] (see [K], for example), there exists an orbifold Kähler-Einstein metric ω_{KE} on X_{can} , with corresponding Hermitian metric h_{KE} on $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$. Define a Kähler metric on X_{\min} by $\omega_{\min} = f^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ and a Hermitian

metric on $K_{X_{\min}}$ by $h_{\min} = f^*h_{\text{KE}}$. Note that ω_{\min} and h_{\min} are not smooth in general, although h_{\min} is continuous on X_{\min} (see [TZ]). Let $\mathcal{C} = \sum_i C_i$ be the sum of the (-2) -curves on X_{\min} and let S_{-2} be a holomorphic section of the line bundle $[\mathcal{C}]$, vanishing of order one on \mathcal{C} . Fix a smooth Hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $[\mathcal{C}]$, and assume that $\sup_{X_{\min}} |S_{-2}|_{h_{\mathcal{C}}}^2 = 1$. Let β be the smooth function on X defined by $\beta = \tau^*|S_{-2}|_{h_{\mathcal{C}}}^2$, and let $h_{\infty} = \tau^*h_{\min}$.

For this β and some initial Hermitian metric h_{m_0} on $K_X^{m_0}$, let $h_{m,\varepsilon} = h_{m,\varepsilon}(\beta, h_{m_0})$ be the sequence of Hermitian metrics in the modified Tsuji iteration as described above. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 2 *Let X be an algebraic surface of general type. With $h_{m,\varepsilon} = h_{m,\varepsilon}(\beta, h_{m_0})$ and S_{-1} as described above, for every sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{m,\varepsilon_j}^{1/m} \rightarrow h_{\infty} \otimes |S_{-1}|^{-2}, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

almost everywhere on X .

Note that since $K_X = \tau^*K_{X_{\min}} + [\mathcal{E}]$, we can regard $h_{\infty} \otimes |S_{-1}|^{-2}$ as a singular Hermitian metric on K_X .

We now consider the case when X is an orbifold with isolated singularities with ample canonical bundle.

Theorem 3 *Let (X, ω_{KE}) be a Kähler-Einstein orbifold with K_X ample and only isolated singularities at points p_1, \dots, p_k . Let β be a continuous function on X satisfying $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = p_i$ for some i . Then, with $h_{m,\varepsilon} = h_{m,\varepsilon}(\beta, h_{m_0})$ as above, for every sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{m,\varepsilon_j}^{1/m} \rightarrow h_{\text{KE}}, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

almost everywhere on X .

We end the introduction with a couple of remarks.

1. Tsuji's iteration has some similarities to Donaldson's T_K -iteration (see [D2], section 2.2.2) which in the case of K_X ample should yield a 'canonically balanced metric' using sections of a fixed power k of the canonical line bundle. As $k \rightarrow \infty$, the limit of these metrics is expected to be the Kähler-Einstein metric. On the other hand, Tsuji's method is a single iterative process.

2. Donaldson has suggested that the dynamical systems introduced in [D2] are likely to be discrete approximations to the Kähler-Ricci and Calabi flows. It would be interesting to know whether Tsuji's iteration could be viewed in a similar light.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Our main technique is the peak section method of [Ti]. This is described in section 2, and extended to orbifolds with isolated singularities (for related results on the Szegő kernel for orbifolds, see [S], [DLM], [P]). In sections 3 and 4 we prove the main theorems.

2. Peak sections

Now suppose that (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with $\omega \in c_1(L)$ for an ample line bundle L . Fix a Hermitian metric h on L satisfying $-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h = \omega$. Write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(h^m)}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{L^2(h^m)}$ for the L^2 inner product and norm on $H^0(X, L^m)$ with respect to the Hermitian metric h^m and volume form $\frac{1}{n!} \omega^n$. We use the following lemma from [Ti].

Lemma 2.1 *There exists $m_1 > 0$ depending only on X, L and h such that for every $x_0 \in X$ and $m \geq m_1$ there is a global holomorphic section s_{m, x_0} of L^m satisfying the following.*

(i) $|s_{m, x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\|s_{m, x_0}\|_{L^2(h^m)}^2 = \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})),$$

where $f(m) = O(m^{-1})$ means that $|f(m)|m \leq A$ for a constant A depending only on X, L and h .

(ii) For t any holomorphic section of L^m which vanishes at x_0 ,

$$|\langle s_{m, x_0}, t \rangle_{L^2(h^m)}| \leq \frac{B}{m} \|s_{m, x_0}\|_{L^2(h^m)} \|t\|_{L^2(h^m)},$$

with a constant B depending only on X, L and h .

Proof We outline here the proof of part (i), since we will explicitly refer to this method in the orbifold case. For (ii) we refer the reader to [Ti]. Pick a normal coordinate chart $(U, (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n))$ for g centered at the point x_0 .

Let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\eta(t) = 1$ for $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$ and $-4 \leq \eta'(t) \leq 0$, $|\eta''(t)| \leq 8$. Define a weight function ψ , which for m sufficiently large is supported in U , by setting

$$\psi(z) = (n+2)\eta(a_m|z|^2) \log(a_m|z|^2), \quad (2.1)$$

for $z \in U$, and $\psi \equiv 0$ outside U , where $a_m = m/(\log m)^2$. A short calculation shows that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi(z) \geq -C(n+2)a_m \omega, \quad \text{for } |z| > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Now let ψ_i be a decreasing sequence of smooth functions on X such that

$$\psi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_i \geq -C(n+2)a_m \omega, \quad (2.3)$$

where the constant C may be different from the one in (2.2). It follows that for sufficiently large m ,

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_i - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h^m + \text{Ric}(\omega) \geq \frac{m}{C} \omega. \quad (2.4)$$

Choose a local holomorphic section v of L so that $|v|_h^2(x_0) = 1$ and $(\partial|v|_h^2)(x_0) = 0$. Let w be the smooth local section of L^m defined by

$$w = \bar{\partial} \left(\eta \left(\frac{a_m|z|^2}{4} \right) \right) v^m.$$

We apply the L^2 estimate of Hörmander [H] (cf. Proposition 1.1 of [Ti]) to the (1,0) form w : there exists a smooth global section u of L^m satisfying $\bar{\partial} u = w$ and

$$\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \frac{C}{m} \int_X |w|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

Here we are using the fact that the weight function ψ can be approximated by ψ_i satisfying (2.4). Observe that w vanishes identically outside the region $2/a_m \leq |z|^2 \leq 4/a_m$, and that in U , $|w|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\psi} \leq C a_m |v^m|_{h^m}^2$. It follows that

$$\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \frac{C}{(\log m)^2} \int_{2/a_m \leq |z|^2 \leq 4/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

From our choice of coordinates, $|v|_h^2(z) = 1 - |z|^2 + O(|z|^3)$, and so locally

$$|v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2\right)^m \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^n dz^1 \wedge \bar{d}z^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge \bar{d}z^n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &\leq \frac{C}{(\log m)^2} \left(\frac{1}{a_m}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{a_m}\right)^m \\ &\leq C(\log m)^{2n-2} m^{-\log m/2-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now set $s_{m,x_0} = \eta\left(\frac{a_m|z|^2}{4}\right)v^m - u$. Since $\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty$, we have $u(z) = O(|z|^2)$ by the definition of ψ . Hence $|s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = 1$. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &= \int_X \left| \eta\left(\frac{a_m|z|^2}{4}\right) \right|^2 |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \left\langle \eta\left(\frac{a_m|z|^2}{4}\right) v^m, u \right\rangle_{h^m} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) the last two terms are $O(m^{-q})$ for any q . For the first term, observe that

$$\int_{|z|^2 \leq 2/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \int_X \left| \eta\left(\frac{a_m|z|^2}{4}\right) \right|^2 |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \int_{|z|^2 \leq 4/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \quad (2.7)$$

We will make use of the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 2.2 *Fix $b > 0$ and $q > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{|z|^2 \leq b/a_m} (1-|z|^2)^m dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n = \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} + O(m^{-q}),$$

where the term $O(m^{-q})$ depends only on b, q and n .

Then for any fixed $b > 0$ and for m sufficiently large,

$$\int_{|z|^2 \leq b/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})).$$

From (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\int_X |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})),$$

as required. \square

Assume now that (X, ω) is a Kähler orbifold of complex dimension n with isolated orbifold singularities at points p_1, \dots, p_k . Then for each $x \in$

$\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ there is an open neighborhood $V_x \subset X$ containing x , a finite subgroup $G_x \in \mathbf{U}(n)$, a G_x -invariant open neighborhood \tilde{V}_x of the origin in \mathbb{C}^n and a projection map $\pi_x : \tilde{V}_x \rightarrow \tilde{V}_x/G_x \cong V_x$ with $\pi_x(0) = x$. Let h be an orbifold Hermitian metric on an orbifold line bundle L with $-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h = \omega$. We show the following.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists $m_1 > 0$ depending only on X , L and h such that for each $m \geq m_1$ the following holds. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then*

(i) *If x_0 satisfies*

$$(d(x_0, p_i))^2 \leq \frac{1}{m^2}, \quad \text{for some } i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance function on X with respect to ω , then there is a global holomorphic section s_{m, x_0} of L^m satisfying $|s_{m, x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{m!} \|s_{m, x_0}\|_{L^2(h^m)}^2 = \frac{1}{|G_{p_i}|} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}).$$

(ii) *If x_0 satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{m^2} < (d(x_0, p_i))^2 < \frac{1}{64a_m}, \quad \text{for some } i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $a_m = m/(\log m)^2$, then there is a global holomorphic section s_{m, x_0} of L^m satisfying $|s_{m, x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{C_1} (1 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})) \leq \frac{(m+n)!}{m!} \|s_{m, x_0}\|_{L^2(h^m)}^2 \leq C_2 (1 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})),$$

for positive constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on $|G_{p_i}|$.

(iii) *If x_0 satisfies*

$$(d(x_0, p_i))^2 \geq \frac{1}{64a_m}, \quad \text{for every } i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

then there exists a global holomorphic section s_{m, x_0} of L^m satisfying $|s_{m, x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{m!} \|s_{m, x_0}\|_{L^2(h^m)}^2 = 1 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}).$$

Proof We will choose $m_1 \gg 1$ later in the proof, depending only on X , L and h . Let $m \geq m_1$. For (i), assume first that $x_0 \in X - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$(d(x_0, p_1))^2 \leq \frac{1}{m^2},$$

and $d(x_0, p_i) \geq c > 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$ for some uniform c .

Dropping the subscript p_1 , we have a uniformizing coordinate system $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}/G \cong V$ centered at $p_1 \in V$, so that at $0 \in \tilde{V}$, the metric g is the identity. The metric is G -invariant and smooth in \tilde{V} and has vanishing first derivatives at the origin. Set $|G| = l$. Since the singularity is isolated, the only fixed point of the action is $0 \in \tilde{V}$, and so $\tilde{V} - \{0\}$ is a l -fold cover of $V - \{p_1\}$. The preimage of x_0 under the map π consists of l distinct points which we will write as $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_l \in \tilde{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We may assume that

$$0 < |\tilde{x}_1|^2 = |\tilde{x}_2|^2 = \dots = |\tilde{x}_l|^2 < \frac{2}{m^2}.$$

Let η and ψ be the functions defined earlier in the smooth case, and let $\tilde{\psi}$ be a weight function on U given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^l \psi(z - \tilde{x}_i).$$

Observe that $\tilde{\psi}$ is G -invariant, since $\psi(z)$ is a function of $|z|^2$ only. Hence $\tilde{\psi}$ can be regarded as a smooth function on X in the orbifold sense. Note also that $\tilde{\psi}$ is non-positive everywhere. We have

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}(z) \geq -C(n+2)a_m\omega(z), \quad \text{for } z \in \tilde{V} - \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}.$$

Hence for sufficiently large m , with $\tilde{\psi}_j$ approximating $\tilde{\psi}$ as before,

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}_j - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^m + \text{Ric}(\omega) \geq \frac{m}{C}\omega.$$

Let v be a local orbifold holomorphic section of L . We may assume without loss of generality that $|v|_h^2(p_1) = 1$. Pulling back to \tilde{V} we have $(\partial|v|_h^2)(0) = 0$. Let w be the smooth local section of L^m defined in \tilde{V} by

$$w = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\partial} \left(\eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) \right) v^m.$$

Notice that w is G -invariant. If m_1 is sufficiently large then

$$|\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{x}_j|^2 \leq \frac{8}{m^2} \leq \frac{1}{16a_m},$$

for all i, j and it follows that w vanishes identically in the regions

$$\left\{ |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4a_m} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \geq \frac{16}{a_m} \right\},$$

for all i . In addition, w vanishes in the regions

$$\left\{ |z|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4a_m} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ |z|^2 \geq \frac{16}{a_m} \right\}.$$

It follows that w descends to a smooth global orbifold section of L^m and $\tilde{\psi}$ is uniformly bounded whenever w is not identically zero. Hence in V ,

$$|w|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \leq C a_m |v^m|_{h^m}^2.$$

Then

$$\int_X |w|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty,$$

and we can apply the orbifold version of Hörmander's estimates to obtain a smooth global orbifold section u of L^m satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = w$ and

$$\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \frac{C}{m} \int_X |w|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \frac{C}{(\log m)^2} \int_{1/4a_m \leq |z|^2 \leq 16/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

We can write $|v|_h^2(z) = 1 - |z|^2 + O(|z|^3)$ and it follows that, by a similar argument as in the smooth case,

$$\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq C (\log m)^{2n-2} m^{-\log m/2-n}.$$

Now set

$$s_{m,x_0}(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v^m(z) - u(z),$$

so that s_{m,x_0} is a global holomorphic orbifold section of L^m . Notice that since

$$\int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty,$$

it follows from the definition of $\tilde{\psi}$ that $u(z - \tilde{x}_i) = \mathcal{O}(|z - \tilde{x}_i|^2)$ for each i . Hence $u(x_0) = 0$ and since $|s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) = |v^m|_{h^m}^2(x_0)$, we have

$$1 \geq |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) \geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{m^2}\right)^m = e^{m \log(1-2/m^2)} = 1 - \mathcal{O}(m^{-q}), \quad (2.8)$$

for any q . Calculate, remembering that $\tilde{V} - \{0\}$ is an l -fold cover of $V - \{p_1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &= \frac{1}{l} \int_{\tilde{V}} \left| \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) \right) \right|^2 |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{l} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\tilde{V}} \left\langle \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v^m, u \right\rangle_{h^m} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{l} \int_X |u|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The last two terms are $\mathcal{O}(m^{-q})$ for any q . For the first term, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l} \int_{|z|^2 \leq 1/4a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &\leq \frac{1}{l} \int_{\tilde{V}} \left| \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) \right) \right|^2 |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \int_{|z|^2 \leq 16/a_m} |v^m|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\int_X |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{m!}{l(m+n)!} (1 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})).$$

Then from (2.8) we obtain the required section by rescaling.

The case when x_0 is one of the singular points p_i is easier, since we can take the weight function to be ψ , which is of course G -invariant. The proof follows as in the smooth case, except that a factor of l arises when estimating the integral of $|s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2$.

We now consider case (ii). We divide this into two parts:

- (a) $\frac{1}{m^2} < (d(x_0, p_1))^2 < \frac{A}{m}$;
- (b) $\frac{A}{m} \leq (d(x_0, p_1))^2 < \frac{1}{64a_m}$;

for a constant $A \gg 0$ depending on l to be determined later.

For (a), we can use almost the same argument as in (i). The only difference is that (2.8) becomes

$$1 \geq |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) \geq c > 0,$$

for a constant c depending on A . The required estimate follows after scaling s_{m,x_0} .

For (b) we argue as follows. Using the notation above, we work in the coordinate patch \tilde{V} and consider the same weight function $\tilde{\psi}$. Let v_1 be a local holomorphic section of π^*L over \tilde{V} with the property that

$$|v_1|_h^2(z) = 1 - |z - \tilde{x}_1|^2 + O(|z - \tilde{x}_1|^3).$$

Observe that v_1 is not G -invariant. Writing the elements of G as $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l$ with γ_1 the identity element, we set $v_i = \gamma_i^* v_1$, so that

$$|v_i|_h^2(z) = 1 - |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2 + O(|z - \tilde{x}_i|^3).$$

Now define a local G -invariant section \hat{w} of L^m over \tilde{V} by

$$\hat{w}(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\partial} \left(\eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) \right) v_i^m(z).$$

We have

$$\frac{A}{4m} \leq |\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{x}_j|^2 \leq \frac{1}{16a_m}, \quad (2.11)$$

and by a similar argument as in case (i),

$$\int_X |\hat{w}|_{h^m}^2 e^{-\tilde{\psi}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty.$$

Hence we can obtain a smooth global orbifold section \hat{u} of L^m satisfying $\bar{\partial} \hat{u} = \hat{w}$ and

$$\int_X |\hat{u}|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq C(\log m)^{2n-2} m^{-\log m/2-n}.$$

Let s_{m,x_0} be the global holomorphic orbifold section of L^m given by

$$s_{m,x_0}(z) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v_i^m(z) - \hat{u}(z).$$

Notice that $\hat{u}(x_0) = 0$. For $i \neq j$ we have $|v_i^m|_{h^m}^2(\tilde{x}_j) \leq e^{-A/8}$, using (2.11), and if A is sufficiently large depending only on l it follows that

$$1 \geq |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2(x_0) \geq c > 0, \quad (2.12)$$

for c depending only on l . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |s_{m,x_0}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &= \frac{1}{l} \int_{\tilde{V}} \left| \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v_i^m \right|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{l} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\tilde{V}} \left\langle \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v_i^m, \hat{u} \right\rangle_{h^m} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{l} \int_X |\hat{u}|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

As before, the last two terms are $O(m^{-q})$ for any q , and

$$\begin{aligned}
c' \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})) &\leq \frac{1}{l} \int_{\tilde{V}} \left| \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \eta \left(\frac{a_m |z - \tilde{x}_i|^2}{4} \right) v_i^m \right|_{h^m}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
&\leq \frac{m!}{l(m+n)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})), \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant $c' > 0$ depending only on l . Combining (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) completes part (b) of (ii).

For case (iii) we can avoid the singularities using the same argument as in the smooth case. \square

Remark 2.1 The result of Lemma 2.3.(ii) is clearly not sharp. It would be interesting to know what the optimal estimates are in this case.

3. Convergence of Tsuji's iteration

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1. We begin with a simple and well-known observation, which we will be useful later. Let \mathcal{X} be any set, and let H be a finite dimensional vector subspace of the vector space of functions from \mathcal{X} to \mathbb{C} . Suppose that H is equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$. For any orthonormal basis (v_0, \dots, v_N) of H , define a function $\rho : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\rho(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N |v_i|^2(x)$. Note that the function ρ is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. Now fix $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then it is possible to choose an orthonormal basis (v_0, \dots, v_N) such that $v_i(x) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. The observation is that

$$\rho(x) = \sup \{ |v|^2(x) \mid v \in H, \|v\|_H = 1 \} = |v_0|^2(x). \tag{3.1}$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Notice that, in addition to a Hermitian metric h_m on K_X^m for each $m \geq m_0$, we have defined by (1.1) an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_m}$ on $H^0(X, K_X^m)$ for each $m \geq m_0 + 1$. Also, from the Hermitian metric h_{KE} on K_X we have the L^2 inner product on $H^0(X, K_X^m)$ given by h_{KE}^m and $\frac{1}{m!}\omega_{KE}^m$. We will denote this inner product simply by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{KE}$.

We will use Lemma 2.1 on the existence of peak sections to prove the following:

Lemma 3.1 *Let m_1 be the constant of Lemma 2.1 for $L = K_X$, $h = h_{KE}$. Assume $m_1 \geq m_0$. There exists A depending only on X such that for all $m \geq m_1$,*

$$\inf_X \left(\frac{h_{m_1}}{h_{KE}^{m_1}} \right) h_{KE}^m \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 - \frac{A}{k} \right) \leq h_m \leq \sup_X \left(\frac{h_{m_1}}{h_{KE}^{m_1}} \right) h_{KE}^m \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof In the course of this proof, the constant A may change from line to line. We will prove the upper bound on h_m first. We use induction. Obviously, the inequality holds for $m = m_1$. Let

$$C_m = \sup_X \left(\frac{h_{m_1}}{h_{KE}^{m_1}} \right) \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right),$$

and assume that $h_m \leq C_m h_{KE}^m$. Notice that for any section t of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$,

$$\|t\|_{T_{m+1}}^2 \leq C_m \|t\|_{KE}^2.$$

Fix a point $x_0 \in X$. Let $s_{m+1, x_0} \in H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$ be a peak section as constructed in Lemma 2.1. Then calculate, from the definition of h_{m+1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} h_{m+1}(x_0) &\leq \frac{\|s_{m+1, x_0}\|_{T_{m+1}}^2}{\|s_{m+1, x_0}\|_{h_{KE}^{m+1}}^2(x_0)} h_{KE}^{m+1}(x_0) \\ &\leq C_m \|s_{m+1, x_0}\|_{KE}^2 h_{KE}^{m+1}(x_0) \\ &= C_m \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right) \right) h_{KE}^{m+1}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$h_{m+1} \leq \sup_X \left(\frac{h_{m_1}}{h_{KE}^{m_1}} \right) h_{KE}^{m+1} \prod_{k=m_1}^{m+1} \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right).$$

We turn now to the lower bound for h_m . Again we use induction and assume that $h_m \geq D_m h_{\text{KE}}^m$ for

$$D_m = \inf_X \left(\frac{h_{m_1}}{h_{\text{KE}}^{m_1}} \right) \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 - \frac{A}{k} \right).$$

Fix x_0 in X . Let $(\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \sigma_{m+1}^{(N_{m+1})})$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_{m+1}}$. We may assume that

$$\sigma_{m+1}^{(i)}(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N_{m+1}.$$

Observe that $1 = \|\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}\|_{T_{m+1}}^2 \geq D_m \|\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}\|_{\text{KE}}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} h_{m+1}(x_0) &= h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}(x_0) \frac{1}{|\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0)} \\ &\geq D_m h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}(x_0) \frac{\|\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}\|_{\text{KE}}^2}{|\sigma_{m+1}^{(0)}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now let $(\tau_{m+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \tau_{m+1}^{(N_{m+1})})$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{KE}}$. As before we may assume that

$$\tau_{m+1}^{(i)}(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N_{m+1}.$$

Then it follows that if t is any section of $H^0(X, K_X^{m+1})$, we have

$$\frac{|t|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0)}{\|t\|_{\text{KE}}^2} \leq |\tau_{m+1}^{(0)}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence

$$\frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} h_{m+1}(x_0) \geq D_m h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}(x_0) \frac{1}{|\tau_{m+1}^{(0)}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0)}. \quad (3.5)$$

We consider again the peak section s_{m+1, x_0} . Define real numbers $a_0, \dots, a_{N_{m+1}}$ by

$$s_{m+1, x_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{m+1}} a_i \tau_{m+1}^{(i)}.$$

Then, using the second part of Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} a_i^2 &= \left\langle s_{m+1,x_0}, \sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} a_i \tau_{m+1}^{(i)} \right\rangle_{\text{KE}} \\ &\leq \frac{A}{m+1} \|s_{m+1,x_0}\|_{\text{KE}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} a_i^2 \leq A \frac{\|s_{m+1,x_0}\|_{\text{KE}}^2}{(m+1)^2}.$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 &= \|s_{m+1,x_0}\|_{\text{KE}}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} a_i^2 \\ &\geq \|s_{m+1,x_0}\|_{\text{KE}}^2 \left(1 - A \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now since $|s_{m+1,x_0}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0) = 1$, we have $|\tau_{m+1}^{(0)}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0) = 1/a_0^2$. Then from (3.5) we have

$$h_{m+1}(x_0) \geq D_m h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}(x_0) \left(1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right) \right),$$

and the required lower bound follows. \square

From this, we can prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 Raise (3.2) to the power $1/m$. For the upper bound of Theorem 1, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\prod_{m_1}^m \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right) \right)^{1/m} &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \log \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq C \frac{\log m}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C depending only on A . The lower bound follows similarly.

\square

4. The modified iteration

We give a proof of Theorem 2. We omit the proof of Theorem 3, since it is simpler and follows along the same lines. We first prove a convergence result on the minimal surface X_{\min} of general type. Consider a Hermitian metric h_{m_0} on $K_{X_{\min}}^{m_0}$ and write $\beta = |S_{-2}|_{h_C}^2$, for $|S_{-2}|_{h_C}^2$ as in the introduction. Recall that h_{\min} is the Hermitian metric on $K_{X_{\min}}$ given by f^*h_{KE} , for h_{KE} the Hermitian metric on $K_{X_{\text{can}}}$ corresponding to the Kähler-Einstein metric ω_{KE} . Consider the sequence of metrics $h_{m,\varepsilon} = h_{m,\varepsilon}(\beta, h_{m_0})$ on $K_{X_{\min}}^m$.

Theorem 4.1 *For every sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{m,\varepsilon_j}^{1/m} \rightarrow h_{\min}, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

almost everywhere on X_{\min} .

To prove this, we will need two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 *There exist $m_1 > 0$ and A depending only on X_{\min} , β and ε such that for all $m \geq m_1$,*

$$\beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon} \leq \sup_{X_{\min}} \left(\frac{h_{m_1,\varepsilon}}{h_{\min}^{m_1}} \right) h_{\min}^m \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof We will use induction, for m_1 to be determined later. Assume the inequality holds for h_m . Let

$$C_m = \sup_{X_{\min}} \left(\frac{h_{m_1,\varepsilon}}{h_{\min}^{m_1}} \right) \prod_{k=m_1}^m \left(1 + \frac{A}{k} \right).$$

Then $\beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon} \leq C_m h_{\min}^m$. It follows that $\|t\|_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}}^2 \leq C_m \|t\|_{L^2(h_{\min}^{m+1})}^2$ for t any global section of $K_{X_{\min}}^{m+1}$. Since the inequality for $h_{m+1,\varepsilon}$ obviously holds at points on \mathcal{C} , it is sufficient to prove it at a fixed point $y_0 \in X_{\min} - \mathcal{C}$. Write $x_0 = f(y_0) \in X_{\text{can}}$. By Lemma 2.3 there is a global holomorphic section s_{m+1,x_0} of $K_{X_{\text{can}}}^{m+1}$ satisfying $|s_{m+1,x_0}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\beta^\varepsilon(y_0) \int_{X_{\text{can}}} |s_{m+1,x_0}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2 \frac{\omega_{\text{KE}}^n}{n!} \leq \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})),$$

as long as m_1 is chosen to be sufficiently large. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} \beta^\varepsilon(y_0) h_{m+1,\varepsilon}(y_0) \\
& \leq \beta^\varepsilon(y_0) \frac{\|f^*(s_{m+1,x_0})\|_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}}^2}{|f^*(s_{m+1,x_0})|_{h_{\min}^{m+1}}(y_0)} h_{\min}^{m+1}(y_0) \\
& \leq C_m \beta^\varepsilon(y_0) \left(\int_{X_{\text{can}}} |s_{m+1,x_0}|_{h_{\text{KE}}^{m+1}}^2 \frac{\omega_{\text{KE}}^n}{n!} \right) h_{\min}^{m+1}(y_0) \\
& \leq C_m \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+n+1)!} (1 + O(m^{-1})) h_{\min}^{m+1}(y_0),
\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

For the lower bound of $h_{m,\varepsilon}$, we use a modification of a lemma of Tsuji [Ts]:

Lemma 4.2 *There exist constants m_2 and B depending only on X_{\min} such that for all $m \geq m_2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$,*

$$\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} \leq V^{\frac{m-m_2+1}{m}} \left(\prod_{k=m_2}^m \left(1 + \frac{B}{k} \right) \right)^{1/m} \left(\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m_2,\varepsilon}^{-1/m_2} \right)^{m_2/m}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\text{for } V = \int_{X_{\min}} \frac{\omega_{\min}^n}{n!}.$$

Proof Set

$$L_{m,\varepsilon} = \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m}$$

and

$$c_m = \frac{m!}{(m+n)!} (N_m + 1),$$

for $N_m + 1 = \dim H^0(X_{\min}, K_{X_{\min}}^m)$. Then we claim that

$$L_{m,\varepsilon} \leq c_m^{1/m} L_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{(m-1)/m}. \quad (4.3)$$

Given (4.3), we can finish the proof of the lemma as follows. First, by Riemann-Roch, there exist constants m_2 and B such that for $m \geq m_2$,

$$c_m \leq V \left(1 + \frac{B}{m} \right).$$

From (4.3), arguing by induction, we have

$$L_{m,\varepsilon} \leq (c_m c_{m-1} \cdots c_{m_2})^{1/m} L_{m_2,\varepsilon}^{m_2/m},$$

and the inequality (4.2) follows immediately. It remains to show (4.3). Using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,\varepsilon} &= \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} h_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{1/(m-1)} h_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{-1/(m-1)} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon (h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} h_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{1/(m-1)})^m h_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{-1/(m-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \left(\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{-1/(m-1)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\ &= \left(\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1} h_{m-1,\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} L_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{(m-1)/m} \\ &= \left(\frac{m!}{(m+n)!} \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^{N_m} \sigma_{m,\varepsilon}^{(i)} \otimes \overline{\sigma_{m,\varepsilon}^{(i)}} \otimes h_{m-1,\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} L_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{(m-1)/m} \\ &= c_m^{1/m} L_{m-1,\varepsilon}^{(m-1)/m}, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We can now use these lemmas to prove a convergence result for the metrics $h_{m,\varepsilon}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 From Lemma 4.1 we have

$$h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} \geq E(m,\varepsilon) h_{\min}^{-1} \quad \text{on } X_{\min} - \mathcal{C},$$

where $E(m,\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} \leq V F(m,\varepsilon),$$

where $F(m,\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Writing $h_\varepsilon = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{m,\varepsilon}^{1/m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon \left| \frac{h_\varepsilon^{-1} - h_{\min}^{-1}}{h_{\min}^{-1}} \right| h_{\min}^{-1} &= \int_{X_{\min}} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta^\varepsilon (h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} - E(m,\varepsilon) h_{\min}^{-1}) \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{m,\varepsilon}^{-1/m} - \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{\min}^{-1} \\ &\leq V - \int_{X_{\min}} \beta^\varepsilon h_{\min}^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Theorem 4.1 follows. \square

Finally, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2 Using the notation given in the introduction, there is an isomorphism $\Theta : H^0(X_{\min}, K_{X_{\min}}^m) \rightarrow H^0(X, K_X^m)$ given by $\Theta(s) = \tau^*s \otimes S_{-1}^m$. Then, given an inner product $T_{m,\varepsilon}$ on $H^0(X, K_X^m)$, we can define an inner product $\hat{T}_{m,\varepsilon}$ on $H^0(X_{\min}, K_{X_{\min}}^m)$ by $\langle s, t \rangle_{\hat{T}_{m,\varepsilon}} = \langle \Theta(s), \Theta(t) \rangle_{T_{m,\varepsilon}}$.

Then given an initial Hermitian metric h_{m_0} on $K_X^{m_0}$ we can obtain an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{T}_{m_0+1,\varepsilon}}$ on $K_{X_{\min}}^{m_0+1}$ and hence a Hermitian metric $\hat{h}_{m_0+1,\varepsilon}$ on $K_{X_{\min}}^{m_0+1}$. Applying the modified Tsuji iteration as in the case of Theorem 4.1, we obtain a sequence of Hermitian metrics $\hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon}$ for $m \geq m_0+1$ on $K_{X_{\min}}^m$. From the definition of S_{-1} , one can check that $h_{m,\varepsilon} = \tau^*\hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon} \otimes |S_{-1}|^{-2m}$. Indeed, assuming inductively that $h_{m,\varepsilon} = \tau^*\hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon} \otimes |S_{-1}|^{-2m}$, denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{\hat{T}_{m+1,\varepsilon}}$ the inner product induced by $\hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon}$ on $H^0(X_{\min}, K_{X_{\min}}^{m+1})$. We need to show that $\langle s, t \rangle'_{\hat{T}_{m+1,\varepsilon}} = \langle s, t \rangle_{\hat{T}_{m+1,\varepsilon}}$ for $s, t \in H^0(X_{\min}, K_{X_{\min}}^{m+1})$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s, t \rangle'_{\hat{T}_{m+1,\varepsilon}} &= \int_{X_{\min}} \hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon} \otimes s \otimes \bar{t} \\ &= \int_X h_{m,\varepsilon} \otimes |S_{-1}|^{2m} \otimes (\tau^*s) \otimes (\overline{\tau^*t}) \otimes |S_{-1}|^2 \\ &= \langle \Theta(s), \Theta(t) \rangle_{T_{m+1,\varepsilon}} \\ &= \langle s, t \rangle_{\hat{T}_{m+1,\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 4.1, we see that for any sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{m,\varepsilon_j}^{1/m} \rightarrow h_{\min},$$

almost everywhere. Theorem 2 follows immediately. \square

References

- [A] Aubin, T. *Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **102** (1978), no. 1, 63–95.
- [C] Catlin, D. *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), 1–23, Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999.

- [DLM] Dai, X., Liu, K. and Ma, X. *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman Kernel*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), no. 1, 1–41.
- [D1] Donaldson, S.K. *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I.*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 479–522.
- [D2] Donaldson, S.K. *Some numerical results in complex differential geometry*, preprint, math.DG/0512625.
- [H] Hörmander, L. *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Second revised edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 7. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1973.
- [K] Kobayashi, R., *Einstein-Kähler V -metrics on open Satake V -surfaces with isolated quotient singularities*. Math. Ann. **272** (1985), no. 3, 385–398.
- [L] Lu, Z. *On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian-Yau-Zelditch*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 2, 235–273.
- [P] Paoletti, R. *Szegő kernels and finite group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 8, 3069–3076.
- [S] Song, J. *The Szegő kernel on an orbifold circle bundle*, preprint, math.DG/0405071.
- [Ti] Tian, G. *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 99–130.
- [TZ] Tian, G. and Zhang, Z. *On the Kähler-Ricci flow on projective manifolds of general type*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), no. 2, 179–192.
- [Ts] Tsuji, H. *Dynamical construction of Kähler-Einstein metrics*, preprint, math.AG/06066262.
- [Y1] Yau, S.-T. *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no.3, 339–411.
- [Y2] Yau, S.-T. *Open problems in geometry*, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **54** (1993), 1–28

- [Ze] Zelditch, S. *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 6, 317–331.
- [Zh] Zhang, S. *Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties*, Compositio Math. **104** (1996), 77–105.