

Theory of Single Photon Control from a Two Level System Source

Yong He¹, Eli Barkai^{1,2}

¹ *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Notre Dame University, Notre Dame, IN 46556*

² *Department of Physics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel*

Generation of a single photon or a pair of photons from a single emitter is important for quantum information applications. Using the generating function formalism we investigate the theory of a few photons on demand for the square laser pulse and the rapid adiabatic following method. Exact theory and numerical solutions are used to design control fields for a two level emitter, which yield an optimal single or two photon source, under the constraints of finite laser field strength and finite interaction time. Comparison to experiments of Brunel et al, shows that the experiments were made close to optimal conditions.

PACS numbers: 42.50.-p, 33.80.-b, 32.50.+d

The generation of a single photon on demand, is motivated by fundamental interest in quantum properties of light [1], as well as possible applications like quantum computation, cryptology, and communication [2, 3]. Single photon experiments using two level rubidium atoms [4], single organic molecules [5, 6, 7], and single quantum dots [8], are nowadays considered in detail due to these applications. Besides single photon sources, sources of pairs of photons are also important, due to useful properties of indistinguishable photons and entanglement [9, 10, 11]. A generic problem in single photon sources, are fluctuations in the number of photons emitted, and in the time of emission, due to the quantum nature of the emission process.

Let $P_N(t)$ be the probability of N emission events in the time interval $(0, t)$. The generating function $2\mathcal{Y}(s, t) \equiv \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} s^N P_N(t)$ contains information on photon statistics necessary for the determination of $P_N(t)$ [12]. For a two level atom or molecule, within the rotating wave approximation, the generalized optical Bloch equations which yield the generating function $\mathcal{Y}(s, t)$ are [13, 14, 15, 16]

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{U}}(s, t) &= -\frac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{U}(s, t) + \delta(t)\mathcal{V}(s, t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{V}}(s, t) &= -\delta(t)\mathcal{U}(s, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{V}(s, t) - \Omega(t)\mathcal{W}(s, t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{W}}(s, t) &= \Omega(t)\mathcal{V}(s, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}(1+s)\mathcal{W}(s, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}(1+s)\mathcal{Y}(s, t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{Y}}(s, t) &= -\frac{\Gamma}{2}(1-s)\mathcal{W}(s, t) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}(1-s)\mathcal{Y}(s, t),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where Γ is the spontaneous emission rate, and $\Omega(t), \delta(t)$ are time dependent Rabi frequency and detuning. One goal of this paper is to use Eq. (1) and find optimal control fields $\delta(t)$ and $\Omega(t)$ which under physical constraints e.g. not too strong laser fields, yield a few photons. Interaction of the molecule with its environment, for example effects of spectral diffusion [14, 17], and other sources of stochasticity [18] like triplet blinking, are not considered here. Still as we show later the generating function formalism is in excellent agreement with cryogenic temperature single molecule experiments.

Two types of control approaches are used so far in

experiments. The first is the rapid adiabatic following method [6], in this method the single molecule is interacting with a continuous wave (cw) laser field, and at the same time the absorption frequency of the molecule is modulated periodically using a slow radio frequency Stark field. In this case the Rabi frequency $\Omega(t) = \Omega$ is time independent, and $\delta(t) = \frac{\Delta_{\text{RF}}}{2} \cos(\nu_{\text{RF}}t)$ [6]. The time dependent detuning $\delta(t)$ is designed to bring the molecule in and out of resonance with the cw laser in such a way that a few photons are emitted per crossing (see details below). In a second very common approach the emitter interacts with a sequence of laser pulses. We model such behavior by the textbook example of a square pulse where the Rabi frequency is

$$\Omega(t) = \begin{cases} \Omega & 0 < t < T \\ 0 & T < t, \end{cases}\tag{2}$$

and the detuning $\delta(t) = 0$. The specific goal in applications varies, some experiments are interested in single photon sources, while others consider two photon sources.

The goal of theory of single photon control is to find the probability of emission of $N = 0, 1, 2$ photons. And then to design the optimal external fields $\delta(t), \Omega(t)$ to maximize the probability of single photon emission or the probability of the emission of a pair photons. Since very little is known on this important control problem, we consider here simple cases. In particular, the optimization of P_1 and P_2 under the constraint of finite time and strength of the excitation laser field is investigated.

We first investigate the square pulse and later consider the rapid adiabatic following method. Only the limit of long measurement time $t \gg T$ is considered, for the sake of space. The details on the calculation of P_N will be published elsewhere, this calculation becomes cumbersome already for small N . We use dimensionless units with $\Gamma = 1$, the notation $y = \sqrt{1 - 4\Omega^2}$ and $x = \sqrt{1 - 16\Omega^2}$, and find

$$P_0 = \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{2y^2} \left[(1 + y^2) \cosh\left(\frac{T}{2}y\right) + y^2 - 1 + 2y \sinh\left(\frac{T}{2}y\right) \right],\tag{3}$$

$$P_1 = \frac{(1-y^2)e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{8y^5} \left[y(-8+T+4y^2+Ty^2) \cosh\left(\frac{Ty}{2}\right) + 2y(4+T-2y^2-Ty^2) + 2(-3+(1+T)y^2) \sinh\left(\frac{Ty}{2}\right) \right], \quad (4)$$

$$P_2 = \frac{(y^2-1)^2 e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{64y^8} \left\{ [T(T+8)y^4 + (T^2-28T-32)y^2 + 96] \cosh\left(\frac{Ty}{2}\right) + 4[T(T+4)y^4 - (T^2+8T-8)y^2 - 24] + 2y[(T^2-24)y^2 - T(y^2+9) + 60] \sinh\left(\frac{Ty}{2}\right) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{\Omega^2}{(1+2\Omega^2)^2} \left\{ T(1+2\Omega^2) - 2 + 2\Omega^2 + e^{-\frac{3T}{4}} \left[(2-2\Omega^2) \cosh\left(\sqrt{1-16\Omega^2}\frac{T}{4}\right) + (2-14\Omega^2) \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{1-16\Omega^2}\frac{T}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{1-16\Omega^2}} \right] \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\langle N(N-1) \rangle = \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{8} \left\{ e^{-\frac{3T}{4} + \frac{xT}{4}} \frac{12 - (16+3T)x - 2(6+T)x^2 + Tx^3}{(-3+x)^4 x^3} + e^{-\frac{3T}{4} - \frac{xT}{4}} \frac{-12 - (16+3T)x + 2(6+T)x^2 + Tx^3}{(3+x)^4 x^3} + 2 \frac{[T^2(-9+x^2)^2 + 32(63+5x^2) + 2T(-351+30x^2+x^4)]}{(-9+x^2)^4} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Using the moments Eqs. (6, 7) we get an exact expression for Mandel's parameter $Q = (\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2) / \langle N \rangle - 1$, which classifies deviations from Poissonian behavior. We now investigate limiting cases, which explain the rich Physical behaviors of Eqs. (3- 7).

In the strong field limit $\Omega \gg 1$

$$P_0 \sim e^{-\frac{T}{2}} \cos^2\left(\frac{\Omega T}{2}\right),$$

$$P_1 \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{8} [4 + 2T - (4+T) \cos(\Omega T)],$$

$$P_2 \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{64} T [(8+T) \cos(\Omega T) + 4T + 16]. \quad (8)$$

Hence for fixed T , P_N shows a bounded oscillatory behavior, related to the Rabi oscillations of the excited state population. Note that P_1 and P_2 are bounded from above according to

$$P_1 \leq \frac{(8+3T)e^{-\frac{T}{2}}}{8},$$

$$P_2 \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{T}{2}} T}{64} (5T+24). \quad (9)$$

While for P_1 we may set $T \rightarrow 0$ and get $P_1 = 1$ i.e. a π pulse soon to be discussed, the behavior of P_2 is more interesting and we find that we cannot reach the ideal limit of emitting two photons with probability one. Instead we find that for a 2π pulse $\Omega T = 2\pi n$, with n a positive integer, the maximum of P_2 is $P_2^{\text{Max}} \simeq 0.41$ which is found when $T = 2(\sqrt{61}-1)/5$. Thus unlike the maximum of P_1 which is found for a very short pulse, the maximum of P_2 is found for a particular finite interaction time, which is needed for the production of a pair of photons. This maximum is for a fixed large Rabi frequency. The global maximum of P_2 is found at $\Omega \simeq 1.25, T \simeq 4.86$ and then $P_2^{\text{Max}} = 0.56$, namely the global maximum of P_2 is found for intermediate Rabi frequencies.

In the mathematical limit of strong and short pulses, the product ΩT remaining fixed, we have

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow \infty, T \rightarrow 0} P_1 = \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega T}{2}\right), \quad (10)$$

$P_0 = 1 - P_1$, namely in this limit either one or zero photons are emitted per pulse. The well known π pulse $\Omega T = n\pi$ yields the global maximum of P_1 which is unity.

In experiments fields are never of infinite strength and interaction time is not zero and then exact results are useful. A transcendental equation for the value of T which yields the extremum of P_1 is found using Eq. (8)

$$-2T + (2+T) \cos(\Omega T) + 2(4+T)\Omega \sin(\Omega T) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since P_1 is oscillating in this limit of strong fields, there exists an infinite number of solutions of this equation. For large Ω the maximum of P_1 , P_1^{Max} is the first root of this equation. For $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (11) gives $\Omega \sin(\Omega T) = 0$ hence the value $T \simeq n\pi/\Omega$ yields the maximum of P_1 and

$$P_1^{\text{Max}} \simeq e^{-\pi/(2\Omega)} \left(1 + \frac{3\pi}{8\Omega}\right). \quad (12)$$

This equation gives the corrections to the ideal π pulse limit of $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow 0$ which yields $P_1^{\text{Max}} = 1$.

The intermediate Rabi frequency marks the transition between over damped to under-damped behaviors. For $\Omega = 1/2$ we have

$$P_1 = \frac{T^2 (480 + 160T + 20T^2 + T^3)}{7680} e^{-\frac{T}{2}} \quad (13)$$

We find $P_1^{\text{Max}} \simeq 0.56$ when $T \simeq 6.75$.

The weak field limit where $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $\Omega^2 T$ is called the semi-classical since

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow \infty} P_N = \frac{(\Omega^2 T)^N}{N!} e^{-\Omega^2 T}, \quad (14)$$

and photon statistics is Poissonian. The semi classical maximum of P_1 is found when $\Omega^2 T = 1$ and $\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow \infty} P_1^{\text{Max}} = e^{-1}$. While for P_2 the maximum is found when $\Omega^2 T = 2$ and then $P_2^{\text{Max}} = 2e^{-2}$.

In Fig. 1 we show the maximum of P_1 obtained using Eq. (4). The Fig. shows for each Rabi frequency the value of the maximum of P_1 and the duration of pulse interval on which this maximum is found. The general trend is that for strong excitation fields $P_1^{\text{Max}} = 1$ for a π pulse, and then P_1^{Max} is decreasing as we decrease Ω , and at the same time the value of T on which the maximum is found is increasing. A transition between a strong field and semi-classical limit is easy to observe. Fig. 2 shows the maximum of P_2 . The three regimes of strong fields, intermediate, and semi-classical limit are clearly observed. The observation that a peak in P_2 is found for intermediate Rabi frequency, makes this case more interesting, and similar behaviors are expected also for other types of pulse shapes.

For two reasons it is interesting to investigate the probability of emission of two photons using a short pulse. First since ideal single photon emission demands $P_2 = 0$ and secondly since inter-arrival times of a pair of photons generated from a short pulse are expected to be shorter if compared with inter-arrival times of a pair of photons generated by a longer pulse, and hence potentially useful for sources of indistinguishable photon pairs. In the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ we find

$$P_2 \sim \frac{\Omega^4 T^5}{480}. \quad (15)$$

The T^5 behavior in Eq. (15) can be explained, by noting that the field dependence of P_2 is expected, namely $P_0 \propto \Omega^0$, $P_1 \propto \Omega^2$ and $P_2 \propto \Omega^4$. Physically we obviously




FIG. 1: The maximum of the probability of emission of a single photon in a square pulse obtained from Eq. (4) with $\Gamma = 20\text{MHz}$. The circles show the strong field approximation Eq. (12). The star gives the asymptotic semi-classical weak Rabi frequency behavior $\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow \infty} P_1^{\text{Max}} = e^{-1}$. The dark dot is for the intermediate Rabi frequency $\Omega = 1/2$ as predicted based on Eq. (13).




FIG. 2: The maximum of P_2 . For weak fields the semi-classical maximum of P_2 is $P_2^{\text{Max}} = 2e^{-2} \simeq 0.27$ while in the strong field limit the maximum is $P_2^{\text{Max}} \simeq 0.41$ when $\Gamma T = 2(\sqrt{61} - 1)/5$, as predicted in the text. The global maximum (i.e. the dark dot) is found for an intermediate Rabi frequency.

expect that $P_2 \propto \Omega^4 T^m$ and m is a positive integer. As mentioned in Eq. (10) for a short and strong pulse we have $P_2 = 0$ and hence we must have $m > 4$, otherwise the product $\Omega^4 T^m$ does not approach zero in the limit $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow 0$ with ΩT remaining fixed. And indeed we find $m = 5$ in Eq. (15).

Mandel's Q parameter characterizing deviation from Poissonian photon statistics, is analyzed using Eqs. (6, 7) in the limits considered so far for the probabilities P_N .

In the limit of long interaction times

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q = -\frac{6\Omega^2}{(1 + 2\Omega^2)^2}. \quad (16)$$

This result was obtained by Mandel [19] for an atomic transition interacting with a cw laser. As expected if the interaction time T is long, namely many photons are emitted, the familiar resonance fluorescence sub-Poissonian behavior is found.

New behavior is found for finite interaction time T . For strong laser fields, $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ we find using Eqs. (6, 7)

$$Q \sim \frac{-\left[1 - \cos(\Omega T) e^{-\frac{3T}{4}}\right]^2 + 3T \cos(\Omega T) e^{-\frac{3T}{4}}}{2\left[1 + T - \cos(\Omega T) e^{-\frac{3T}{4}}\right]}. \quad (17)$$

When the square pulse is short and strong, however ΩT remains finite, we find an interesting discontinuous behavior

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow \infty, T \rightarrow 0} Q = \begin{cases} -\sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega T}{2}\right) & \Omega T \neq 2\pi n \\ 6/7 & \Omega T = 2\pi n, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where n is a positive integer. Of-course for finite though large (small) values of Ω (T), Q is a continuous function. Though for large Ω , Q exhibits: (i) very sharp, cusp resonances on $\Omega T = 2\pi n$ which become narrower as the mathematical limit of $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow 0$ is approached and (ii) the value of Q on the peaks is roughly $6/7$. To understand the origin of the behavior predicted in Eq. (18) note that according to Eq. (10) we either obtain zero photons or one photon in this limit. Hence we expect naively $Q = -P_1 = -\sin^2(\Omega T/2)$. However this approach cannot be used when $P_1 \simeq 0$, namely when $\Omega T \simeq 2\pi n$, when $\langle N \rangle \simeq 0$ and the fluctuations become non-trivial. Instead one must consider the limit with care and include the $1/\Omega$ corrections, which yield the $Q \sim 6/7$ law in Eq. (18).

We now consider the rapid adiabatic following method. In Table 1 we show photon statistics obtained using the generating function formalism Eq. (1) and experiments of the group of Orrit (numbers in the brackets in Table 1). A good agreement is found, indicating that the simple two level system approximation of the molecule, is an excellent approximation, a conclusion reached previously in [6]. The question remains what is the ideal choice of control parameters for the generation of a single photon? And how does this method compare with the square pulse approach?

From the experimental data in Table 1 we see that maximum of P_1 for $\Omega = 3.2\Gamma$ is $P_1 = 0.68$ found for

$\Delta_{\text{RF}} = 88\Gamma$. We found numerically (data not shown) that for $\Omega = 3.2\Gamma, \nu_{\text{RF}} = 3\text{MHz}$ the maximum of P_1 is $P_1 \simeq 0.69$ for $\Delta_{\text{RF}} \simeq 100\Gamma$. We see that the experiments of the group of Orrit are conducted very close to optimal condition for a particular Rabi frequency, in the sense that P_1 is close to its maximum. Note that *in principle* for very strong Rabi frequency and very large Δ_{RF} we may obtain effectively a π pulse, i.e. a single photon with probability one.

Δ_{RF}	ν_{RF}	Ω	P_0	P_1	P_2
50 Γ	3 MHz	3.2 Γ	0.01(0.02)	0.53(0.56)	0.32(0.31)
88 Γ	3 MHz	3.2 Γ	0.09(0.11)	0.68(0.68)	0.20(0.18)
130 Γ	3 MHz	3.2 Γ	0.24(0.22)	0.66(0.66)	0.10(0.10)
160 Γ	3 MHz	5.0 Γ	0.04	0.72(0.74)	0.21

Table 1: Rapid adiabatic following method, theory versus experiment, $\Gamma = 20\text{MHz}$.

A more detailed investigation of the rapid adiabatic method will be published elsewhere. We mention that the maximum of P_1 for the square pulse is slightly larger compared with the rapid adiabatic method. More specifically we searched for the maximum of P_1 in the range $0 < \Omega < 20\Gamma, 0 < \Delta_{\text{RF}} < 600\Gamma$ and $\nu_{\text{RF}} = 3\text{MHz}$ to be reasonably close to experimental situations (see Table 1), and found $P_1^{\text{Max}} \simeq 0.82$. This maximum should be compared with $P_1^{\text{Max}} \simeq 1$ in Fig. 1 for the square pulse and for a similar range of Rabi frequency. We found that the rapid adiabatic following method has one possible advantage over the square pulse, and that is the absence of fast Rabi oscillations in P_1 , in the limit of strong fields. If P_1 is oscillating the generation of a single photon is less stable, and in this sense the rapid adiabatic following method is better. The absence of Rabi oscillations is due to the smooth time dependent detuning which averages out the Rabi oscillations.

Finally, while our two level system approximation works very well for certain low temperature single molecules [6] and is expected to work well for simple atoms [4], extensions of this work for multi level emitters, where even the π pulse condition for single photon emission is not valid [3] should be investigated theoretically, though then analytical theory is cumbersome. While our work provides the conditions for the maximum of the emission of a pair of photons, further work on the inter arrival times between such pairs and their entanglement is also of interest.

Acknowledgment This work was supported by the National Science Foundation award CHE-0344930. EB thanks Israel's Science Foundation for financial support.

[1] L. Mandel, and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
 [2] W. E. Moerner, *New Journal of Physics* **6** 88 (2004).

[3] B. Lounis, and M. Orrit, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **68** 1129 (2005).
 [4] B. Darquie, et al *Science* **309** 454 (2005).

- [5] F. De. Martini, G. Di Giuseppe, and M. Marrocco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 900 (1996).
- [6] C. Brunel, B. Lounis, P. Tamarat, and M. Orrit *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 2722 (1999).
- [7] B. Lounis, W. E. Moerner, *Nature* **407**, 491-493 (2000)
- [8] P. Michler et al *Science* **290** 2282 (2000).
- [9] C. K. Hong, and L. Mandel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 58 (1986).
- [10] C. Santori et al *Nature* **419** 594 (2002).
- [11] S. Brattke, B. T. H. Varcoe, and H. Walther *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 3534 (2001).
- [12] For example $P_0(t) = 2\mathcal{Y}(0, t)$, $P_1(t) = 2\partial\mathcal{Y}(s, t)/\partial s|_{s=0}$, $P_2(t) = \partial^2\mathcal{Y}(s, t)/\partial s^2|_{s=0}$, or the mean $\langle N(t) \rangle = 2\partial\mathcal{Y}(s, t)/\partial s|_{s=1}$.
- [13] R. J. Cook *Phys. Rev. A* **23** 1243 (1981).
- [14] Y. Zheng, F. L. H. Brown *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 238305 (2003)
- [15] Y. Zheng, F. L. H. Brown *J. of Chemical Physics* **119** 11814 (2004)
- [16] S. Mukamel, *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 063821 (2003).
- [17] Y. He, E. Barkai *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 068302 (2004).
- [18] E. Barkai, Y. Jung, and R. Silbey *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **55**, 457 (2004).
- [19] L. Mandel *Optics Letters* **4** 205 (1979).