

Comment on "Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics Is Incorrect"

Markus Bier*

*Max-Planck-Institut für Metallforschung,
Heisenbergstraße 3, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany*
and

*Institut für Theoretische und Angewandte Physik,
Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany*

(Dated: September 15, 2005)

It is shown that "Theorem 1" of the article "Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics Is Incorrect" by G.-L. Li and V.O.K. Li (see quant-ph/0509089) is false. Therefore the assertion expressed in the title of that article is untenable.

In Ref. [1], G.-L. Li and V.O.K. Li attempt to prove "Theorem 1: For a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , there are values almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$ that the probability measure P cannot take." From this assertion, they deduce non-existence of totally continuous probability measures, i.e., probability measures with a probability density. Finally, these authors conclude that the Copenhagen Interpretation of quantum mechanics, which interprets the squared modulus of wave functions $|\psi|^2$ as probability density, would be incorrect.

But "Theorem 1" is obviously false. A trivial counterexample is given by [2] $\Omega := [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{B} \cap [0, 1]$, and $P := \lambda_{LB}|_{\mathcal{B} \cap [0, 1]}$, where \mathcal{B} is the Borel set on \mathbb{R} and λ_{LB} is the Lebesgue-Borel measure on \mathcal{B} : In this case, it is $P(\mathcal{F}) = [0, 1]$.

In the "Proof" of "Theorem 1", $P(\mathcal{F})$ is enclosed in a set $S := \cup_{F \in G(\mathcal{F})} \Phi(F)$, where $\Phi(F)$ is a countable subset of $[0, 1]$ and thus a null-set [1]. Li and Li attempt

to show $P(S) = 0$. But, as $G(\mathcal{F})$ is *uncountable* if Ω is, this statement involves at least two flaws: Firstly, $S \in \mathcal{F}$ is *not* evident, i.e. it is *not* guaranteed whether $P(S)$ is meaningful either. Secondly, presumably $S \in \mathcal{F}$, from $\forall F \in G(\mathcal{F}) : P(\Phi(F)) = 0$ one *cannot* conclude " $P(S) = \sum_{F \in G(\mathcal{F})} P(\Phi(F)) = 0$ " because P is (in general only) σ -additive.

All conclusions drawn from "Theorem 1" of Ref. [1] are therefore untenable. In particular, the claimed incorrectness of the Copenhagen Interpretation of quantum mechanics is unfounded.

* Electronic address: bier@fluids.mpi-stuttgart.mpg.de

[1] G.-L. Li and V.O.K. Li, quant-ph/0509089 (2005).

[2] See any book on measure theory or probability theory.