

Quantum Signature Protocol without the Trusted Third Party

Wen Xiao-jun, Liu Yun

School of electronic information engineering,
Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, Beijing, China

Tel: +86-010-51684227, Fax: +86-010-51688266

E-mail: wxjun@oa.szpt.net

Abstract—In this paper, a quantum signature protocol is presented which can be used without the third party. In this protocol, by performing a local unitary operation on GHZ particles sequence, the sender can encode message and get its signature, then the message, after having been transformed by a HASH function, is sent to receiver with the signature together. The receiver can authenticate signature directly according to the correlations of the GHZ particles. Different from the digital signature which based on computational difficulty, this protocol is unconditional secure, it does not need the trusty third party and any keys in the communication process, and the sender cannot deny his signature later in this protocol.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to the mode of authenticate signature, the digital signature protocol is dived into two kinds: (1) direct authenticate signature technique, (2) indirect authenticate signature technique. In the direct check signature technique, receiver executes authenticating signature directly, so it is called authentic digital signature for short. However, in the indirect authenticate signature technique, authenticating signature is executed by the third party who serves as an arbitrator, so it is called arbitral digital signature. ^[1]

The direct authenticate signature technique generally adopts symmetrical encryption techniques or nonsymmetrical encryption techniques, the obvious disadvantage is that they relay on the security of the key completely, and in addition, if the sender later wishes to deny sending a particular message, the sender can claim that the private key was lost or stolen and that some one else forged his or her signature. Specially, nonsymmetrical encryption techniques need to build a trusted key distribution center (KDC) to solve the storage of the public keys, the KDC is the third party. So in many signature schemes, their security relies on the honesty of the third party usually. However, the existence of the third party reduces the efficiency of system as well as increases the insecure factors.

As classical cryptography, quantum secrecy communication involves the problem of quantum information signature. Recently, the research of quantum communication and quantum computation is progressing rapidly. The experiment of Quantum Key Distribution(QKD)^{[2][3]} and Quantum Secret Sharing(QSS)^{[4] [5]} had achieved success. Quantum Message Authentication(QMA)^[6] and Quantum Signature(QS)^[7] are attracted by academe. In the classical cryptography, many digital signature schemes based on computational security, such as ElGamal and DSA, however the quantum computer will attack these schemes easy. Different from the classical cryptography, the quantum information technology bases on physical characters, so the quantum information signature has unconditional security.

In 1989, Greenberger, Horne and Zeilinger had discovered the maximally entangled states (GHZ)^[8] of more than 3 particles. Entangled states are regarded as not isolated to each other no matter how long these particles are detached. This characteristic takes an important role in quantum information technology. In 2001, Zeng^{[9][10]} had researched the quantum

information signature scheme which based on GHZ triple particles, but his scheme belongs to an arbitrated signature scheme, it requires a trusty system manager and some keys between the communication party. Wen^[11] had proposed a signature scheme base on Quantum Entanglement Swapping(QES), because quantum entanglement swapping needs more particles to participate in, so the communication efficiency is lower in this scheme, and it requires keys for encrypt message too.

In this paper, according to the correlations of the GHZ particles and the character of local unitary operation, a quantum signature protocol is presented which can be used without the third party, and it do not require to share keys between communication party, so this protocol is unconditional secure and efficient.

II. BASIC THEORY

Define the four Bell states of 2-qubit as

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \quad (1)$$

$$|\phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \quad (2)$$

$$|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \quad (3)$$

$$|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle) \quad (4)$$

The GHZ state is an entangled state of a three qubits system, which is expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \quad (5)$$

Now, we perform two style transforms on above state as follows:

Transform 1: If perform a C_{NOT} operation on the first two qubits (the first qubit as the target qubit and the second qubit as the control qubit), the state of the tripartite system is transformed into:

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |011\rangle) \\ &= |0\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The tripartite system has been divided into two independent subsystem and the last two qubits just in a Bell state $|\Phi^+\rangle$.

Transform 2, If perform a unitary operation $\hat{\sigma}_x$

$$\hat{\sigma}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

on the third qubit of the GHZ state,

We get

$$|\psi'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle) \quad (8)$$

If perform the C_{NOT} operation on above state as transform 1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |010\rangle) \\ &= |0\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The subsystem of the last two qubits is also in another Bell state $|\Psi^+\rangle$.

So we can judge if had performed unitary operation $\hat{\sigma}_x$ on the GHZ state by do the Bell state measurement on the last two qubits.

III. PROTOCOL DESCRIPTION

In this signature protocol, Alice wants to send a message M and its signature to Bob, Bob will authenticate the signature after having received the message and its signature. If the signature is truth, Bob will accept the message, otherwise refuse it.

A. Initialization of the Protocol

1) Before Alice sends signature message to Bob, Bob prepares N tripartite system in state $|\psi\rangle$, it is expressed as $\{\psi_{123}^{(1)}, \psi_{123}^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_{123}^{(N)}\}$;

2) Alice transforms the message M into qbits $\{M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \dots, M^{(N)}\}$, and they consult with that “1” corresponds to performing $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation and “0” corresponds to no any operation respectively. For example, the message “011011” corresponds to the operation $\{\hat{\sigma}^{(i)}\} (i=1,2,\dots,6) = \{\times \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\sigma}_x \times \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\sigma}_x\}$;

3) To keep the signature message M secret, Alice and Bob choice a one-way Hash function H together, because calculate $H(M)$ is easy but calculate M from $H(M)$ is very difficult.

B. The Process of Signature

- 1) Bob sends the third qubit of each tripartite system to Alice, it is expressed as $\{\psi_3^{(1)}, \psi_3^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_3^{(N)}\}$;
- 2) After having received the particles, Alice performs $\hat{\sigma}^{(i)}$ operations on them according to the code rule of $M^{(i)}$;
- 3) Alice sends the transformed qbits which are expressed as $S_a = \{\psi_3^{(1)}, \psi_3^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_3^{(N)}\}$ back to Bob, the S_a is the quantum signature of the message $M^{(i)}$;
- 4) Alice transform M into $H(M)$ by the Hash function which they had chosen together;
- 5) Alice sends $H(M)$ and S_a to Bob.

C. The Process Of Authenticating Signature

Bob can authenticate the Alice’s signature directly by the steps as follows:

- 1) After having received every qubit, Bob combines them with the corresponding two qubits in her hand, express as $\{\psi'_{123}^{(1)}, \psi'_{123}^{(2)}, \dots, \psi'_{123}^{(N)}\}$;
- 2) To every combined tripartite system, Bob performs C_{NOT} operation on the first two qubits, then the tripartite system is expressed as $\{\pi'_{123}^{(1)}, \pi'_{123}^{(2)}, \dots, \pi'_{123}^{(N)}\}$;
- 3) Bob does a Bell state measurement on the last two qbits of each tripartite system, if the measurement outcome is $|\Phi^+\rangle$, he writes down “0” and when it is; $|\Psi^+\rangle$, he writes down “1”. At last, Bob will get an N -bit string M' ;

4) Bob transform M' into $H(M')$ by the same Hash function which they had chosen together;

5) Bob compares $H(M)$ and $H(M')$, if $H(M)=H(M')$, then accept $S_a = \{\psi_3'(1), \psi_3'(2), \dots, \psi_3'(N)\}$ as the truthful signature of message M .

IV. SECURITY ANALYSIS

(1) The attack strategy of adversaries cannot succeed

Suppose that Eve, one of adversaries who know this signature protocol very well, had captured the qubits which Alice sends to Bob, he will adopt the following attack strategy in order to tamper the signature messages.

a. If Eve had known $M(i)=0$, it implied that Alice had not performed $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation on $\psi_3^{(i)}$, then Eve performs $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation on the corresponding $\psi_3^{(i)}$, and modify $M(i)$ to "1". Bob's measurement outcome will be $|\psi^+\rangle$, so it will not be found by Bob. This process may be described as following:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{Eve:}\hat{\sigma}_x} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{Bob:C}_{NOT}} |0\rangle \otimes |\Psi^+\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

b. If Eve had known $M(i)=1$, it implied that Alice had performed $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation on $\psi_3^{(i)}$, then Eve performs $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation on the corresponding $\psi_3^{(i)}$ too, and modify $M(i)$ to "0". Bob's measurement outcome will become $|\phi^+\rangle$ consequently, it will not be found by Bob either. Eve's reason for this strategy is:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{Alice:}\hat{\sigma}_x} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{Eve:}\hat{\sigma}_x} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From eq.(11) we can find that, after being done twice $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operations, the state of GHZ triple particles will not change.

However, Eve's attack strategy can not be successful in this protocol. Because the message M had been performed a Hash transform, so Eve can not know the value of $M(i)$. He has no choice but to perform $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operation on every qubit which had been captured from Alice sends to Bob, and randomly modify $M(i)$ to "1" or "0". The probability that Eve makes a mistake of $M(i)$ is $1/2$, if $\max(i)=1000$, the probability that Eve tampered the signature and escape from being found is :

$$P_{error} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1000} \approx (10)^{-300} \quad (12)$$

(2) The message sender can not deny his signature

In the direct check signature technique of classical cryptography, the message sender possibly says his private key has lost so as to deny his signature. In this protocol, Alice does not need key to encrypt message M at all, she also cannot deny

having sent these GHZ particles and performed local unitary operation $\hat{\sigma}_x$ according to the message code. Because the character of GHZ particles show that any disturbance to entangled states by others will destroy the correlations of the GHZ particles, and this is very easy to be detect. So Alice cannot deny her signature.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a quantum signature protocol is presented which can be used without the third party. In this protocol, the message sender performs a local unitary operation on his GHZ particles sequence according to the message code, this GHZ particles sequence, which is performed by sender, include the signature information. After receiving the message and signature, the message receiver performs a CNOT operation on their particles and writes down the Bell state measurement result. According to the correlations of the GHZ particles, the receiver can translate the message and authenticates the signature.

Different from the digital signature which based on computational difficulty, this protocol is unconditional secure as quantum key distribution, and it does not need keys to encrypt message and the trusty third party in the communication process. This signature protocol can be used in quantum secrecy communication and quantum identification.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the foundation of Beijing Municipality Key Laboratory of Communication and Information System (No.SYS100040408).

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] William Stallings: *Cryptography and network security: principles and practice: Second Edition*, Prentice Hall, 2003 pp. 299-305
- [2] P. W. Shor *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, (2000).
- [3] R. J. Hughes *et al.*, *J. Mod. Opt* 47 (2000)
- [4] M. Hillery *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* A59, (1999)
- [5] G. Guo-Ping, Guang-Can, *Phys. Lett.* A310(2003)
- [6] C. Barnum *et al.*, *In Proceedings of 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science*, Vancouver, Canada, 2002, pp. 449-458
- [7] D. Gottesman *et al.*, *Quantum digital signatures*, [quant-ph/0105032](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0105032)
- [8] D. M Greenburger *et al.*, *Am. J. Phys.* 58(1990)
- [9] G. Zeng. *Acta Electronica Sinica (in chinese)* , 29, (2001)
- [10] G. Zeng *et al.* :, *Physical review* A65(2002)
- [11] W. Xiao-jun, *et al.* : *journal of electronics & information technology (in chinese)*, (2005)