

# Heisenberg's wave packet reconsidered

J. Orin Grabbe\*

(Dated: September 11, 2005)

This note shows that Heisenberg's choice for a wave function in his original paper on the uncertainty principle is simply a renormalized characteristic function of a stable distribution with certain restrictions on the parameters. Relaxing Heisenberg's restrictions leads to a more general formulation of the uncertainty principle. This reformulation shows quantum uncertainty can exist at a macroscopic level. These modifications also give rise to a new form of Schrödinger's wave equation as the equation of a vibrating string. Although a heat equation version can also be given, the latter shows the traditional formulation of Schrödinger's equation involves a hidden Cauchy amplitude assumption.

Keywords: uncertainty principle, Heisenberg, stable distributions, Schrödinger wave equation

## A generalized wave packet

We begin by showing that Heisenberg's choice for a wave function in his original paper [4] on the uncertainty principle is simply a renormalized characteristic function of a stable distribution,  $S_{\alpha,\beta}(x; m, c)$  with  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 0$ , and location and scale parameters  $m$  and  $c$ . Relaxing the assumptions on  $\alpha, \beta$  so that  $0 < \alpha \leq 2, \beta \neq 0$ , leads to a more general formulation of the uncertainty principle. These modifications also give rise to a new form of Schrödinger's partial differential equation.

Consider the following wave packet  $\psi(x, t)$ , where at time  $t = 0$ ,  $\psi(x, 0)$  has the form

$$\psi(x, 0) = A_o \exp[imx - c|x|^\alpha], \quad (1)$$

where

$$A_o = \left[ \frac{\alpha(2c)^\frac{1}{\alpha}}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})} \right]^\frac{1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that  $\psi(x, 0)$  is normalized to unity:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(x, 0)\psi(x, 0)dx = A_o^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-2c|x|^\alpha]dx = 2A_o^2 \int_0^{\infty} \exp[-2cx^\alpha]dx. \quad (3)$$

Using the relation

$$\int_0^{\infty} y^k e^{-y^\alpha} dy = \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{\alpha}\right) \quad (4)$$

and making the substitution  $u = (2c)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} x$ , we obtain

$$2A_o^2 \int_0^{\infty} \exp[-2cx^\alpha] dx = \frac{2A_o^2}{(2c)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u^\alpha} du = \frac{2A_o^2}{(2c)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Now, the form of the wave packet in Eq.(1) can be compared to Heisenberg's original wave packet, denoted here  $H(x, 0)$ :

$$H(x, 0) = (2\tau)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp[2\pi i \sigma_o x - \pi \tau x^2]. \quad (6)$$

If we make the substitutions

$$2\pi \sigma_o = m \quad (7)$$

$$\pi \tau = c \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha = 2 \quad (9)$$

in  $\psi(x, 0)$ , the wave packet of Eq.(1), we obtain  $H(x, 0)$ . (Note that with  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $A_o = \left[\frac{2(2c)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{2c}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = (2\tau)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .)

Now let's derive the amplitude function of  $\psi(x, 0)$ , which will necessarily also give us the amplitude function of  $H(x, 0)$ . First note that the log characteristic function of a stable distribution is

$$\log \varphi(z) = \log \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[ixz] dF\left(\frac{x-m}{c'}\right) \quad (10)$$

$$= imz - |c'|^\alpha |z|^\alpha [1 + i\beta(z/|z|)\tan(\pi\alpha/2)], \text{ if } \alpha \neq 1 \quad (11)$$

$$= imz - |c'|^\alpha |z|^\alpha [1 + i\beta(z/|z|)(2/\pi)\log|z|], \text{ if } \alpha = 1 \quad (12)$$

where  $m$  is a real number,  $c' \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ . Proof of this theorem, due to Khintchine and Lévy in 1936, may be found in [5] or [3]. Here  $\alpha$ , the *characteristic exponent*, is essentially an index of peakedness ( $\alpha = 2$  for the normal or Gaussian distribution,  $\alpha = 1$  for the Cauchy distribution). The parameter  $\beta$  is an index of skewedness ( $\beta = 0$  for symmetric distributions). The parameter  $c' = c^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  is a scale parameter (the standard deviation when  $\alpha = 2$ ). Finally,  $m$  is a location parameter (the mean if  $\alpha > 1$ ; it is also the median or modal value of the distribution if  $\beta = 0$ ).

For  $\beta = 0$  we obtain the characteristic function of a *symmetric* stable distribution, which is identical to Eq.(1), if we omit the normalizing constant  $A_o$ . Therefore, for the amplitude function of our wave packet, we take the Fourier transform,  $A(z)$ , of Eq.(1) to obtain

$$A(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x, 0) \exp[-ixz] dx = A_o \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[imx - c|x|^\alpha] \exp[-ixz] dx = A_o s_{\alpha,0}(z; m, c). \quad (13)$$

In other words, we obtain a symmetric stable density function  $s_{\alpha,0}(z; m, c) = dS_{\alpha,0}(z; m, c)$  with the normalization constant  $A_o$  for the amplitude function. The symmetric stable density has  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and location and scale parameters  $m$  and  $c$ , respectively. Note that the amplitude function is normalized so that the integral of its *square* is equal to 1. This involves the square of the probability density function  $s_{\alpha,0}(z; m, c)$ .

For Heisenberg's case where  $\alpha = 2$ , we may explicitly solve for  $A(z) = A(\sigma)$ , which will be necessarily the Gaussian density multiplied by a normalizing constant. We reintroduce a factor of  $2\pi$  to obtain

$$A(\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2\tau)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp[2\pi i\sigma_o x - \pi\tau x^2] \exp[-2\pi i x\sigma] dx = \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi(\sigma - \sigma_o)^2}{\tau}\right]. \quad (14)$$

This is Heisenberg's amplitude function. That the integral of its square is 1 follows from:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [A(\sigma)]^2 d\sigma = \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi(\sigma - \sigma_o)^2}{\tau}\right] d\sigma = \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u^2} du = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} = 1, \quad (15)$$

where we have used the substitution  $u = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}(\sigma - \sigma_o)$ . Note that the usual normalizing constant  $\frac{1}{d\sqrt{2\pi}}$  for the Gaussian distribution (where  $d$  is the standard deviation) has been absorbed into  $A_o$ . So above and below, when we write the stable density  $s_{\alpha,\beta}(z; m, c)$ , we will understand the omission of the usual normalizing constant, and will consider only the normalizing  $A_o$  in the product  $A_o s_{\alpha,\beta}(z; m, c)$ . This will ensure that the square of the amplitude function is a probability distribution.

### Alternative amplitude functions

For  $\alpha = 1$ , which corresponds to the Cauchy distribution, the normalizing constant  $A_o = c^{\frac{1}{2}} = (c')^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = (c')^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , so the amplitude function is

$$A(z) = A_o s_{1,0}(z; m, c) = c^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{c}{c^2 + (z - m)^2}, \quad (16)$$

where we have removed a division by  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  in the usual statement of the Cauchy. That this is the correct normalization for the amplitude function in Eq.(16) follows from the integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [A(z)]^2 dz = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c^3}{[c^2 + (z-m)^2]^2} dz = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1+y^2]^2} dy, \quad (17)$$

where we have used the substitution  $y = \frac{z-m}{c}$ . We may now appeal to the relations, for  $a, c > 0$  and  $n$  a positive integer:

$$\int \frac{dx}{(ax^2 + c)^n} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)c} \frac{x}{(ax^2 + c)^{n-1}} + \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)c} \int \frac{dx}{(ax^2 + c)^{n-1}} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\int \frac{dx}{ax^2 + c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{ac}} \tan^{-1} \left[ x \sqrt{\frac{a}{c}} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{[1+y^2]^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1+y^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1+y^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} y \Big|_0^{\infty} = 1. \quad (20)$$

If we further generalize Eq.(1) by relaxing the constraint on  $\beta$ , we obtain the wave function

$$\psi(x, 0) = A_o \exp\{[imx - c|x|^\alpha][1 + i\beta(z/|z|)\tan(\pi\alpha/2)]\}. \quad (21)$$

Note for the wave function in Eq.(21) that since  $i$  multiplies  $\beta$ , the normalizing constant  $A_o$  given in Eq.(2) is unchanged in terms of  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , which we will now consider,  $A_o = c = (c')^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = -1$ , we obtain for the amplitude function the completely positive stable distribution (sometimes called Pearson V), multiplied by the normalizing constant  $c$ :

$$A(z) = A_o s_{\frac{1}{2}, -1}(z; m, c) = c \frac{c}{\sqrt{(z-m)^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{c^2}{2(z-m)}\right]. \quad (22)$$

As a check, we integrate the probability function  $P(z) = [A(z)]^2$  corresponding to the amplitude function in Eq.(22):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [A(z)]^2 dz = \int_m^{\infty} \frac{c^4}{(z-m)^3} \exp\left[-\frac{c^2}{(z-m)}\right] dz = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^2} u^6 \frac{2c^2}{u^3} e^{-u^2} du \quad (23)$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{\infty} u^3 e^{-u^2} = 2 \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{2}\right) = 1, \quad (24)$$

where we have used the substitution  $u = \frac{c}{(z-m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ .

Finally, for the general case, we may express the amplitude function as a renormalized stable density, which is in turn represented by a Taylor expansion in the form of gamma functions [2][p. 583] (alternative expansions may be found in [1]):

$$A(z) = A_o s_{\alpha,\beta}(z; 0, 1) = A_o \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 + k/\alpha)}{k!} (-z)^k \sin\left[\frac{k\pi}{2\alpha}(\beta - \alpha)\right], \quad (25)$$

for  $z > 0$  and  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . For  $z < 0$  we have the general relation  $s_{\alpha,\beta}(-z; m, c) = s_{\alpha,-\beta}(z; m, c)$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we have the similar expansion, for  $z > 0$ ,

$$A(z) = A_o s_{\alpha,\beta}(z; 0, 1) = A_o \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 + k\alpha)}{k!} (-z^{-\alpha})^k \sin\left[\frac{k\pi}{2}(\beta - \alpha)\right]. \quad (26)$$

We may recover  $m$  and  $c$  in Eqs.(25,26) by the substitution  $z = \frac{u-m}{c^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}$ .

### The uncertainty relation

Now let's consider the uncertainty relation. From Eq.(1), where the distribution is symmetric, we get the value for  $(\Delta x)^2$  as:

$$(\Delta x)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(x, 0) x^2 \psi(x, 0) dx. \quad (27)$$

Inserting a factor of  $u^2 = (2c)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} x^2$  into the calculation of Eq.(5), we obtain

$$(\Delta x)^2 = \frac{1}{(2c)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})}. \quad (28)$$

For  $\alpha = 2$  this yields  $(\Delta x)^2 = \frac{1}{4c}$ , or in Heisenberg's formulation  $\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}$ .

Next consider the uncertainty in  $z$  (or  $\sigma$ ). First consider the case  $\alpha = 2$ . From Eq.(15) we have

$$(\Delta \sigma)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_o)^2 [A(\sigma)]^2 d\sigma = \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma - \sigma_o)^2 \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi(\sigma - \sigma_o)^2}{\tau}\right] d\sigma = \frac{\tau}{4\pi}. \quad (29)$$

Thus we obtain the uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x \Delta \sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi}. \quad (30)$$

From the de Broglie relation  $\Delta p = h\Delta\sigma$ , where  $h$  is Planck's constant, this becomes

$$\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (31)$$

However, for comparison with the results below, we will use for the (renormalized) Gaussian amplitude, the uncertainty relation in the form

$$\Delta x \Delta z = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (32)$$

Note that for the Cauchy density, where  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , the mean and variance don't exist ("are infinite"). But we are considering a Cauchy *amplitude*, and hence the *square* of the Cauchy density (renormalized) for the probability density. For this density the second moment exists, as we will now demonstrate. From Eqs.(16,17), we calculate  $(\Delta z)^2$  as:

$$(\Delta z)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z - m)^2 [A(z)]^2 dz = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c^3 (z - m)^2}{[c^2 + (z - m)^2]^2} dz \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{2c^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y^2}{[1 + y^2]^2} dy = \frac{2c^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dy}{1 + y^2} = c^2, \quad (34)$$

where we have used the relation

$$\int \frac{x^2 dx}{(ax^2 + c)^n} = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)a} \frac{x}{(ax^2 + c)^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)a} \int \frac{dx}{(ax^2 + c)^{n-1}}. \quad (35)$$

Thus we obtain the uncertainty relation, from Eqs.(28,34),

$$\Delta x \Delta z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (36)$$

For the Pearson V amplitude, we have from Eqs. (22,23)

$$(\Delta z)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z - m)^2 [A(z)]^2 dz = c^2 \int_m^{\infty} \frac{c^2}{(z - m)} e^{-\frac{c^2}{(z-m)}} dz = c^4 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{y} e^{-y} dy, \quad (37)$$

where we have used the substitution  $y = \frac{c^2}{(z-m)}$ . This integral is divergent. So instead we calculate

$$\Delta z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z - m| [A(z)]^2 dz = \int_m^{\infty} \frac{c^4}{(z - m)^2} e^{-\frac{c^2}{(z-m)}} dz = c^2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} dy = c^2. \quad (38)$$

This yields, from Eqs.(28,38) the uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x \Delta z = \sqrt{\frac{15}{2}}. \quad (39)$$

It is easy to see from Eq.(28) that the general uncertainty relation, as a function of  $\alpha$ , is

$$\Delta x \Delta z = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(2)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})}}}. \quad (40)$$

This, then, is the reformulation of Heisenberg's uncertainty relation. The uncertainty is a function of the characteristic exponent  $\alpha$  of the (renormalized) stable amplitude. As  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the uncertainty becomes unbounded.

### The time-dependent wave function and the dispersion relation

We can write the time-dependent wave equation corresponding to Eq.(1) as a superposition of plane waves:

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(z) \exp[i(zx - \nu(z)t)] dz, \quad (41)$$

where  $A(z)$  is the stable amplitude—a renormalized stable density, and  $\nu(z)$  is the frequency. A dispersion relation connects  $\nu(z)$  to  $z$ .

From the de Broglie relations

$$E = h\nu \quad (42)$$

$$p = hz \quad (43)$$

we obtain the relation

$$\nu = z \frac{E}{p}, \quad (44)$$

which gives as the time-dependent wave equation

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(z) \exp[iz(x - \frac{E}{p}t)] dz. \quad (45)$$

(Note that we do *not* insert the classical relation  $E = \frac{p^2}{2M}$ , where  $M$  is mass, at this point, because doing so does not yield a proper inverse Fourier transform.) Each plane wave equation  $g(x, t) = \exp[iz(x - \frac{E}{p}t)]$  has differential operators  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$  with eigenvalues  $-z^2$  and  $-z^2 \frac{E^2}{p^2}$  respectively:

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} = -z^2 g \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} = -z^2 \frac{E^2}{p^2} g. \quad (47)$$

These relations give rise to the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} = \frac{E^2}{p^2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2}. \quad (48)$$

The time-dependent wave equation in Eq.(45) may be rewritten more fully (for  $\alpha \neq 1$ ) as

$$\psi(x, t) = A_o \exp\{[im(x - \frac{E}{p}t) - c|(x - \frac{E}{p}t)|^\alpha][1 + i\beta(x/|x|)\tan(\pi\alpha/2)]\}. \quad (49)$$

The probability density function corresponding to  $\psi(x, t)$  is

$$P(x, t) = \psi^*(x, t)\psi(x, t) = A_o^2 \exp[-2c|(x - \frac{E}{p}t)|^\alpha], \quad (50)$$

which is the characteristic function of a stable density. For  $\alpha = 2$ , this is the Heisenberg density.

### Schrödinger's equation revisited

Schrödinger's equation may be viewed as a simple consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty relations. Eq.(49) is a solution of the partial differential equation Eq.(48), so we have, as replacement for the Schrödinger equation, the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{E^2}{p^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}, \quad (51)$$

which may be rewritten in the form

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}. \quad (52)$$

This, of course, is the equation of a vibrating string, where  $v = \frac{E}{p}$  is the speed of propagation of the waves. It is a true wave equation, by contrast to Schrödinger's heat equation formalism, which relates  $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$  to  $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$ . In fact, noting from Eq.(49) that

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = (im - c\alpha|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-1})\psi \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = ((im - c\alpha|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-1})^2 - c\alpha(\alpha - 1)|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-2})\psi \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{E}{p} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{E^2}{p^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \quad (56)$$

it does not appear to be particularly useful to relate  $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$  to  $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$ , although this can be done.

In fact,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{E}{p} \frac{(im - c\alpha|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-1})}{((im - c\alpha|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-1})^2 - c\alpha(\alpha - 1)|x - \frac{E}{p}t|^{\alpha-2})} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}. \quad (57)$$

Only in the case of the Cauchy amplitude  $\alpha = 1$  do we find this latter formulation in a simplified form:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{E}{p} \frac{1}{(im - c)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}. \quad (58)$$

If we now make the substitutions  $E = \frac{p^2}{2M}$ ,  $p = h\sigma$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{h\sigma}{2M} \frac{im + c}{(m^2 + c^2)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \quad (59)$$



which may be rewritten

$$ih\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2\sigma}{2M}\frac{m-ic}{(m^2+c^2)}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}. \quad (60)$$

It would appear that the traditional Schrödinger equation involves a hidden Cauchy amplitude assumption. The latter equation can be divided into two equations, one involving  $m$  and the other involving  $-ic$ .

### Conclusion

Stable distributions are the only distributions that exist as limit distributions of sums of random variables, thus giving rise to central limit theorems. Therefore they play a paramount role in the physical world. We have shown that Heisenberg's original choice for a wave packet to illustrate his uncertainty principle is simply the characteristic function (the inverse Fourier transform) of a Gaussian distribution, leading to a Gaussian amplitude function with  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 0$ . Relaxing Heisenberg's assumptions to the general case  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , leads to stable amplitudes renormalized so that the integral of their squares are probability distributions. The renormalization constant gives rise to a new form of Heisenberg's uncertainty relation, expressed in terms of the characteristic exponent  $\alpha$  of the underlying stable amplitude:  $\Delta x \Delta z = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(2)^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})}}$ . This relationship was illustrated by explicit calculation for the Gaussian ( $\alpha = 2$ ), the Cauchy ( $\alpha = 1$ ), and the Pearson V ( $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = -1$ ). As  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the uncertainty  $\Delta x \Delta z$  becomes unbounded. This means that, depending on the underlying stable amplitude, quantum uncertainty can arise at a macroscopic level.

By eschewing the ad hoc classical insertion  $E = \frac{p^2}{2M}$ , we were able to solve for the time-dependent wave equation as a superposition of plane waves, by taking the Fourier transform of the stable amplitude function. For  $\alpha = 2$ , this recovers Heisenberg's case. The wave function follows the partial differential equation  $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{v^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}$ , which is the equation for a vibrating string. This is a proper wave equation, differing from Schrödinger's equation, which is really a heat equation as it relates  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , instead of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$ , to  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ . The traditional form of the Schrödinger equation can be recovered, but only in the case  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus it would appear that Schrödinger's equation involves a hidden Cauchy amplitude assumption. This is not fatal, but is limiting. The more general heat equation relationship is given by Eq.(57).

---

\* Email: quantum@orlingrabbe.com

- [1] Bergström, H., ‘On some expansions of stable distribution functions’, *Arkiv für Mathematik*, 2, 1952, 375-378.
- [2] Feller William, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Volume II*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1971.
- [3] Gnedenko B.V., and A.N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, Addison Wesley, 1954.
- [4] Heisenberg W., ‘Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik,’ *Zeitschrift für Physik* 43, 172-198 (1927); reprinted in *Dokumente der Naturwissenschaft*, Vol 4 (1963), pp. 9-35.
- [5] Lévy, Paul, *Théorie de l’addition des variables aléatoires*, Gauthier-Villars, 1937.