

# Limits on entanglement in rotationally-invariant scattering of spin systems

N.L. Harshman\*

*Department of Computer Science,  
Audio Technology and Physics  
4400 Massachusetts Ave., NW  
American University  
Washington, DC 20016-8058*

This paper presents result about the entanglement that occurs when two spin systems interact via rotationally-invariant scattering. Maximum entanglement of out-states, as defined by the entropy of entanglement, only occurs for very finely-tuned scattering phase shifts and only for a limited set of unentangled in-states. Exact results for spin systems with  $\sigma = 1/2, 1,$  and  $3/2$  are presented.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 13.88.+e, 34.10.+x

Keywords: dynamical entanglement, scattering, Clebsch-Gordan methods, SU(2)

## I. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents results on the amount of entanglement produced when two spin systems scatter via a rotationally-invariant interaction. Entanglement is the resource for many current and proposed applications of quantum information theory, such as quantum computation [1] and quantum teleportation [2]. The scenario under consideration is a rotationally-invariant interaction in which the spin systems are originally unentangled, then they interact, and then they are analyzed separately. Such a sequence could be arranged via controlled interactions, but also appears naturally in the case of finite-range interactions. For the two-body central interactions considered here, entanglement in the spin degrees of freedom can be analyzed separately from any entanglement in the momentum degrees of freedom.

As an example, one physical system to which these results apply is the elastic scattering of distinguishable particles by a central force. Description of entanglement in general scattering systems requires considering entangled states of continuous variables (see [3] and references therein, and the review [4]). However, for non-relativistic particles with central interactions, there is no mixing between orbital and intrinsic angular momentum [5]. Therefore, within each partial wave of orbital angular momentum the entanglement of the spin degrees of freedom is separable from the translational degrees [6]. This is definitely not the case for non-central interactions or relativistic systems [7, 8]. Entanglement in the translational degrees of freedom is not considered here but is a subject of continuing research.

The main result proved here is that only a particular form for initial states can evolve by a rotationally-invariant interaction into a maximally entangled state, and then only if the scattering phase shifts are precisely tuned. For scattering spin systems like those described above, this paper calculates the in-states and phases necessary for maximal entanglement of spin systems with spins of  $\sigma = 1/2, 1,$  and  $3/2$ . Non-relativistic two-body interactions dominate the dynamics of a gas of trapped ultra-cold atoms, for example, and applications of quantum information theory to that system [9, 10] require an understanding of dynamical entanglement by scattering [11]. More generally, these results apply to any two particle "scattering-like" experiment, i.e., a bi-partite spin system where a spherically-symmetric interaction between the two spins can be turned on and off (see example in [12]). Systems that are asymptotically non-interacting can be cast into the form of a scattering problem and treated with the techniques below.

## II. DYNAMICAL ENTANGLEMENT

Consider two quantum systems with the same finite-number of levels  $d$  with Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{d^2} = \mathcal{H}_d \otimes \mathcal{H}_d$ . The entropy of entanglement for a pure state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{d^2}$  is

$$E(\psi) = S(\rho_1) = S(\rho_2) \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_1 = \text{tr}_2[|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]$  is the density matrix for system 1 that remains after a partial trace over system 2, and  $S(\rho) = -\text{tr}[\rho \log \rho]$  is the Von Neumann entropy of the density matrix  $\rho$ . Conventionally, the logarithm in the entropy

---

\* Electronic address: harshman@american.edu

is taken in base 2, but for our purposes it is better if it is taken base  $d$ . Then the entanglement is bounded by  $0 \leq E(\psi) \leq 1$ . A pure state of the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle &= |\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{(d-1)} a_j |j\rangle \times \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)} b_k |k\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

is unentangled. The reduced density matrix is  $\rho_i = |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$  and  $E(\phi) = 0$ . States of the system with the form

$$|\psi'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j=0}^{(d-1)} e^{i\alpha_j} |j\rangle \otimes |\pi_j\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where  $\pi_j$  is the  $j$ -th element of permutation  $\pi \in S_d$  of the numbers  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , have maximum entanglement  $E(\psi) = \log_d d = 1$ . These states have reduced density matrices  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = (1/d)\mathbb{I}_d$ .

How can a maximally-entangled state (3) evolve from a unentangled pure state (2)? Mathematically, any unit-normalized state in  $\mathcal{H}_{d^2}$  can be transformed to any other unit-normalized state in  $\mathcal{H}_{d^2}$  by a global unitary transformation  $U \in U(d^2)$ . In fact, since  $U(N)$  is a connected matrix Lie group, it is possible to express every  $U \in U(d^2)$  as

$$U = \exp(iH_1 t_1) \exp(iH_2 t_2) \dots \exp(iH_m t_m) \quad (4)$$

for some finite number of  $d^2 \times d^2$  Hermitian matrices  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$  [13]. In principle, one could imagine some series of interaction Hamiltonians, switched on and off at certain times, that could transform any initial state (including an initially-unentangled one) into any maximally entangled state. The question then becomes, what conditions are necessary such that operators like  $U \in U(d^2)$  exist for a generic in-state? In a given physical system, one may not be able to construct every global and two-body interaction Hamiltonian required in (4), and so not every transformation  $U \in U(d^2)$  could be physically executed.

More generally, this can be considered as a scattering problem. Assume that in the limit  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , the two systems are not interacting. Then one can define the unitary scattering operator, the S-matrix, that transforms the unentangled in-state  $|\phi\rangle$  to the maximally-entangled, out-state  $|\phi'\rangle$ :

$$|\phi'\rangle = S|\phi\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Scattering interactions are typically spherically symmetric and therefore commute with global rotations and their generators, the total angular momentum operators. However, many  $U \in U(d^2)$  do not share this invariance property. This restriction on the physically-realizable dynamical evolution operators  $S$  (or  $U$ ) can constrain the set of unentangled in-states  $|\phi\rangle$  that could possibly be dynamically entangled into some maximally-entangled out-state  $|\phi'\rangle$ , as will be shown below.

### III. USEFUL BASES FOR ANALYZING SPIN SYSTEM DYNAMICAL ENTANGLEMENT

This article considers the necessary conditions to achieve maximum entanglement in the case of a spherically-symmetric interaction of two spin systems. The two systems have the same intrinsic angular momentum  $\sigma^{(1)} = \sigma^{(2)} = \sigma$ , so  $d = 2\sigma + 1$ . As mentioned before, a physical example to keep in mind is two non-relativistic particles in a particular partial wave interacting via a central interaction. The S-matrix of a central interaction commutes with the total spin operator  $\Sigma = \Sigma^{(1)} + \Sigma^{(2)}$  (where, for example,  $\Sigma_3^{(1)} \equiv \Sigma_3^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{(2)}$ ) and with the total orbital angular momentum operator  $\mathbf{L}$ . Because of this property, the spin degrees of freedom do not interact with the translational degrees of freedom, so in what follows they will not be considered.

There are two bases that will be used for the states in  $\mathcal{H}_{d^2}$ . The first is the direct product basis denoted by either  $|\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle$  or  $|\chi_1, \chi_2\rangle$ . These are the eigenvectors of individual angular momentum 3-component operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3^{(1)} |\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle &= \mu_1 |\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle \\ \Sigma_3^{(2)} |\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle &= \mu_2 |\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The direct product basis is useful because the initially-unentangled state is most naturally expressed in it and because subsequent single-system measurements are most easily calculated in it. Also, the entropy of entanglement requires the partial trace, which is straight-forward to evaluate in this basis.

The second useful basis is the direct sum basis  $|sm\rangle$ , which are the eigenvectors of the total angular momentum component operators  $\Sigma_3$  and total angular momentum squared operator  $\Sigma^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_3|sm\rangle &= m|sm\rangle \\ \Sigma^2|sm\rangle &= s(s+1)|sm\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

This is called direct sum basis because it arises in the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of products of irreducible representations (IRs) of the SU(2) into a direct sum of IRs. It is useful because if the interaction is spherically-symmetric (hence SU(2)-invariant), then the S-matrix has the form

$$\langle s' m' | S | s m \rangle = e^{2i\delta_s} \delta_{ss'} \delta_{mm'}.\tag{8}$$

The convention of calling the  $s$ -dependent phase  $2\delta_s$  comes from scattering theory and the form (8) can be seen as the consequence of the Wigner-Eckhart theorem for scalar operators [14] or more generally as the consequence of Schur's lemma [15]. In the case of scattering non-relativistic particles,  $\delta_s$  also depends on the total angular momentum, orbital angular momentum, and magnitude of relative momentum [6]. The direct product basis and direct sum basis are connected by the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGCs) for SU(2),  $\langle sm|\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle$ , which can be chosen as real.

So then the question becomes: for what states  $\phi$  of the form (2) will  $S\phi$  be a maximally entangled state of the form (3) and what must the phases  $\delta_s$  be for this to occur? To answer this question, the state  $\phi' = S\phi$  is expressed in the direct product basis:

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi'\rangle &= S \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2} a_{\mu_1} b_{\mu_2} |\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\chi_1 \chi_2} c_{\chi_1, \chi_2} |\chi_1, \chi_2\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where

$$c_{\chi_1 \chi_2} = \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2} a_{\mu_1} b_{\mu_2} r(\chi_1, \chi_2; \mu_1, \mu_2)\tag{10}$$

and

$$r(\chi_1, \chi_2; \mu_1, \mu_2) = \sum_{sm} \langle sm|\mu_1, \mu_2\rangle \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 | sm \rangle e^{2i\delta_s}.\tag{11}$$

Using this notation, the reduced density matrix for particle 1,  $\rho_1 = \text{tr}_2(|\phi'\rangle\langle\phi'|)$ , is

$$\rho_1 = \sum_{\chi_1 \chi'_1} \sum_{\chi_2} c_{\chi_1, \chi_2} c_{\chi'_1, \chi_2}^* |\chi_1\rangle\langle\chi'_1|.\tag{12}$$

Since  $\rho_1 = (1/d)\mathbb{I}_d$  maximizes  $S(\rho_1)$ , the state  $\phi' = S\phi$  will be a maximally entangled state if

$$\sum_{\chi_2} c_{\chi_1, \chi_2} c_{\chi'_1, \chi_2}^* = \frac{1}{d} \delta_{\chi_1, \chi'_1},\tag{13}$$

#### IV. FINDING THE IN-STATES AND PHASE SHIFTS

The equation (13) must be solved to find the possible phase shifts and in-states that lead to maximally-entangled out-states. The coefficients  $c(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  in (13) depend on three things: the initial state  $\phi$  through  $a_{\mu_1}$  and  $b_{\mu_2}$ , the CGCs for SU(2), and the scattering phases  $\delta_s$ .

The only states that can become maximally-entangled, as will be shown below, are states of the form

$$|\phi(u, \lambda)\rangle = U(u)|\lambda, -\lambda\rangle,\tag{14}$$

where  $U(u) = U_1(u) \otimes U_2(u)$  is the direct product representation of the rotation group with  $u \in \text{SU}(2)$  and  $\lambda \in \{\sigma, \sigma - 1, \dots, -\sigma\}$ . Such states are the zero eigenvectors of the total spin operator  $\Sigma'_3 = (R(u)\Sigma)_3$ , where  $R(u)$  is the image of  $u$  under the standard homomorphism  $\text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ .

Because  $[S, U(u)] = 0$  for all  $u \in SU(2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi'\rangle &= U(u)S|\lambda, -\lambda\rangle \\
&= U(u) \sum_s e^{2i\delta_s} \langle s m = 0 | \lambda, -\lambda \rangle |s m = 0\rangle \\
&= U(u) \sum_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \tilde{c}_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\lambda) |\chi_1, \chi_2\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\lambda) &= \sum_s e^{2i\delta_s} \langle s m = 0 | \lambda, -\lambda \rangle \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 | s m = 0 \rangle \\
&= g_{\chi_1}(\lambda) \delta_{\chi_1, -\chi_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then (12) becomes

$$\rho_1 = U_1(u) \left( \sum_{\chi_1} |g_{\chi_1}(\lambda)|^2 |\chi_1\rangle \langle \chi_1| \right) U_1^\dagger(u). \tag{17}$$

This is a diagonal matrix and  $|g_{\chi_1}(\lambda)|^2$  are the Schmidt coefficients for the state after the interaction. If all the  $\delta_s$  are the same phase, then  $|g_{\chi_1}(\lambda)|^2 = \delta_{\chi_1, \lambda}$  because the basis transformation given by Clebsch-Gordan decomposition is unitary. In this case, the dynamics just evolve the in-state by a total phase and there is no entanglement. The reduced density matrix  $\rho_1$  in (17) will be of the maximally entangled form for a given  $\lambda$  if and only if

$$|g_{\chi_1}(\lambda)|^2 = 1/d \text{ for all } \chi_1. \tag{18}$$

If (18) is satisfied, then the density matrix will be a scalar multiple of the identity and commute with all rotations  $u \in SU(2)$ . It can be shown that (17) would not be diagonal for any other initial condition besides one of the form  $\phi(u, \lambda)$ . Only eigenvectors of the total angular momentum component (in any direction) with  $m = 0$  lead to a reduced density matrix of the form (17) because only those states can have non-zero Clebsch-Gordan coefficients with every  $s$  from zero to  $2\sigma$ . Also, this shows that all maximally-entangled states that emerge from a scattering experiment will have the form in (15).

So, it has been shown that if  $|g_{\chi_1}(\lambda)|^2 = 1/d$  for all  $\chi_1 \in \{\sigma, \sigma - 1, \dots, -\sigma\}$ , then  $kt\phi(u, \lambda)$  will be maximally entangled by the interaction. This places tight constraints on what the phase shifts  $\delta_s$  must be. Explicit solutions have been found for  $\sigma = 0$  (trivial),  $1/2$ ,  $1$ , and  $3/2$  and it has been found that the solutions are in fact independent of  $\lambda$  and only depend on  $\sigma$ . The results are summarized below. The phases are set so  $\delta_0 = 0$  and all other phases are relative to this and all  $\delta_s \in (-\pi, \pi]$ .

- For  $\sigma = 0$ , spin entanglement is not meaningful.
- For  $\sigma = 1/2$ , (18) for all  $\lambda$  and  $\chi_1$  leads to two independent equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} &= \cos^2 \delta_1 \\
\frac{1}{2} &= \sin^2 \delta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

There are four solutions:  $\delta_1 = \pm 3\pi/4$  or  $\delta_1 = \pm \pi/4$ .

- For  $\sigma = 1$ , (18) for all  $\lambda$  and  $\chi_1$  leads to three independent equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} &= \frac{1}{18} (7 + 6 \cos(2\delta_1) + 3 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) + \cos(2\delta_2)) \\
\frac{1}{3} &= \frac{4}{9} \sin^2 \delta_2 \\
\frac{1}{3} &= \frac{1}{18} (7 - 6 \cos(2\delta_1) - 3 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) + 2 \cos(2\delta_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

There are eight solutions:  $\delta_1 = \pi/12 \pm \pi/4$  and  $\delta_2 = -\pi/6 \pm \pi/2$  or  $\delta_1 = -\pi/12 \pm \pi/4$  and  $\delta_2 = \pi/6 \pm \pi/2$ .

- For  $\sigma = 3/2$ , (18) leads to four independent equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} &= \frac{1}{400} (132 + 90 \cos(2\delta_1) + 90 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) + 18 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_3) \\ &\quad + 50 \cos(2\delta_2) + 10 \cos(2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3) + 10 \cos(2\delta_3)) \\ \frac{1}{4} &= \frac{1}{400} (132 - 90 \cos(2\delta_1) - 90 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) + 18 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_3) \\ &\quad + 50 \cos(2\delta_2) - 10 \cos(2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3) - 10 \cos(2\delta_3)) \\ \frac{1}{4} &= \frac{1}{400} (68 - 30 \cos(2\delta_1) + 30 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) - 18 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_3) \\ &\quad - 50 \cos(2\delta_2) - 30 \cos(2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3) + 30 \cos(2\delta_3)) \\ \frac{1}{4} &= \frac{1}{400} (68 + 30 \cos(2\delta_1) - 30 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2) - 18 \cos(2\delta_1 - 2\delta_3) \\ &\quad - 50 \cos(2\delta_2) + 30 \cos(2\delta_2 - 2\delta_3) - 30 \cos(2\delta_3))\end{aligned}$$

There are four solutions for any value of  $\delta_1 \in (-\pi, \pi]$ :  $\delta_2 = \pm\pi/2$  and  $\delta_3 = \delta_1$  or  $\delta_2 = \pm\pi/2$  and  $\delta_3 = \delta_1 \pm \pi$  (whichever one of  $\delta_1 \pm \pi \in (-\pi, \pi]$ ).

Solutions for  $\sigma \geq 2$  have not been found, but preliminary work suggests that they will be of a similar character to those presented above.

## V. CONCLUSION

In summary, it has been shown that a rotational-symmetric interaction acts as a constraint on entanglement. Only certain initial states can be transformed into maximally entangled states, only certain phase shifts allow for such a transformation, and only a subset of maximally entangled vectors are possible. The implications for production of entangled states by scattering-type interactions are important and should guide experimentalists in constructing or searching for suitable systems. Additionally, this idea can be reversed, and as in classic partial wave analysis, entanglement correlations could be used to find information on the phase shifts. This idea has been partially explored in [3] for translational entanglement in scattering, but much work remains to be done.

Finally, this paper can also be thought of as showing how interaction symmetries limit the possible unitary transformations and therefore limit the maximal entanglement possible for a given initial condition. Particles are elements of the representation spaces of space-time symmetry groups, and this paper is specific example of how this perspective leads to natural bases for considering entanglement and other quantum information properties of multiparticle states. Extensions to other space-time and interaction symmetry groups will be considered in the future.

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Mark Byrd for fruitful discussions.

- 
- [1] P. Shor, in *Proc. 35th Ann. Symp. Found. of Comp. Sci.*, (IEEE, Los Alamitos, CA, 1994), p. 124.
  - [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
  - [3] A. Tal and G. Kurizki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 160503 (2005).
  - [4] J. Eisert and M. Plenio, *Int. J. Quant. Inf.*, **1** 479 (2003).
  - [5] M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, *Collision Theory*, (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1964), ch. 6-7.
  - [6] N. L. Harshman, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **20**, 6220 (2005).
  - [7] M. Czachor, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 72 (1997).
  - [8] N. L. Harshman, *Phys. Rev. A*, **71**, 022312 (2005).
  - [9] D. Jaksch, H.J. Briegel, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1975 (1999).
  - [10] G. K. Brennen, I. H. Deutsch, and P. S. Jessen, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 062309 (2000); G. K. Brennen, I. H. Deutsch, and C. J. Williams, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 022313 (2002).
  - [11] J. Wang, C. K. Law, and M.-C. Chu, arXiv: quant-ph/0503020.
  - [12] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, and F. Laloë, *Quantum Mechanics*, Vol. 2, (Wiley, New York, 1977), Chap. 10, Comp. F.
  - [13] B. C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations*, (Springer, New York, 2003), Corollary 2.31.

- [14] M. E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum*, (Wiley, New York, 1957).
- [15] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, *Symmetries, Lie Algebras and Representations*, (Cambridge, 1997), ch. 5.4.