## The Spectra of Density Operators and the Kronecker Coefficients of the Symmetric Group

## Matthias Christandl\*

Centre for Quantum Computation, Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, United Kingdom

## Graeme Mitchison<sup>†</sup>

MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, University of Cambridge, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 2QH, United Kingdom (Dated: May 23, 2019)

Consider triples of spectra corresponding to a density matrix on a bipartite system and its two subsystems. We show that, for every such triple, certain Kronecker coefficients of the symmetric group must be non-zero. These coefficients correspond to triples of Young diagrams whose distribution of row lengths approximate the spectra. This makes a connection between properties of operators and a flourishing area of group representation theory. As a simple illustration we give a novel proof of subadditivity of you Neumann entropy and the Araki-Lieb inequality.

In 1930, Weyl observed with dry humour that the "group pest" seemed to be here to stay ([8], preface to second German edition). The theory of representations of groups, which he did so much to develop, is indeed a firmly established component of modern physics, appearing wherever the relation of a composite system to its parts is investigated. The aim of this paper is to derive a novel connection between certain representations and the properties of composite quantum systems.

Suppose a quantum system consists of two parts, A and B, and let  $\rho^{AB}$  be a density operator on the composite system AB. The states  $\rho^A$  and  $\rho^B$  obtained by tracing out the subsystems B and A, respectively, are constrained by the fact that they are derived from a common state. For instance, subadditivity and the Araki-Lieb inequality are informational inequalities that relate the von Neumann entropies (the Shannon entropies of the spectra) of  $\rho^{AB}$ ,  $\rho^A$  and  $\rho^B$ . Even more fundamentally, however, one can ask what constraints there are on the spectra of  $\rho^A$  and  $\rho^B$  once one knows the spectrum of  $\rho^{AB}$ . We prove here a theorem that relates this problem to certain representations of the unitary and symmetric groups.

A familiar example of a composite system is two particles, one with spin  $j_1$  and the other with spin  $j_2$ . The addition of their angular momenta can be described in terms of representations of SU(2); the product of two representations, one for each subsystem, can be expressed as a sum of representations on the total system. This is the familiar Clebsch-Gordan series, whose coefficients have been much studied and can be readily calculated. There is an analogous expansion of the product of two representations of the symmetric group  $S_k$  on k elements. The coefficients appearing in this alternative Clebsch-Gordan series are known as Kronecker coefficients, and their evaluation is more difficult: no simple algorithm is known at present.

To state our result, we need a little notation. As we

shall see shortly, every irreducible representation of the symmetric group  $S_k$  can be labelled by an ordered partition  $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_q)$  of k; i.e. a set of non-negative integers  $\lambda_i$  with  $\lambda_{i+1}\geq \lambda_i$  and  $\sum \lambda_i=k$ . Let  $\bar{\lambda}$  denote  $(\frac{\lambda_1}{k},\ldots,\frac{\lambda_q}{k})$  and let  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu}$  denote the Kronecker coefficient that counts the number of times (possibly zero) that the representation labelled by  $\lambda$  appears in the product of those labelled by  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Finally, let  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho)$  denote the spectrum of  $\rho$ . Then our main result is that, given a density operator  $\rho^{AB}$  with  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho^{AB})=\bar{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho^A)=\bar{\mu}$  and  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho^B)=\bar{\nu}$ , there is a sequence  $\lambda_j,\mu_j,\nu_j$  with non-zero  $g_{\lambda_j\mu_j\nu_j}$  such that  $\bar{\lambda}_j,\bar{\mu}_j$  and  $\bar{\nu}_j$  converge to  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho^{AB}),\mathrm{sp}(\rho^A)$  and  $\mathrm{sp}(\rho^B)$ , respectively.

Young Diagrams and the Spectrum of a Density Operator. In this section we give a brief description of representations of the symmetric group  $S_k$  and the special unitary group in d dimensions, SU(d), and review a theorem by Keyl and Werner [5], which will play a key role in proving our main result.

If  $\mathbb{C}^d$  denotes a d-dimensional complex vector space,  $S_k$  operates on  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes k}$  by

$$\pi\{e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_k}\} = e_{\pi(i_1)} \otimes e_{\pi(i_2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{\pi(i_k)}, (1)$$

for  $\pi \in S_k$ , where the  $e_1, \dots e_d$  are elements of some basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . The group SU(d) acts by

$$e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_k} \to U e_{i_1} \otimes U e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes U e_{i_k}, \quad (2)$$

for  $U \in SU(d)$ .

These actions of  $S_k$  and SU(d) on  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes k}$  define representations of each group, but both representations are reducible. Their irreducible components can be constructed as follows. Let us write  $\lambda \vdash k$  to mean that  $\lambda$  is an ordered partition with  $\sum \lambda_i = |\lambda| = k$ . This can be depicted by a *Young frame*, which consists of q rows, the i-th row having  $\lambda_i$  boxes in it. A *Young tableau* T is obtained from a frame by filling the boxes with the numbers 1 to k in some order, with the constraint that

the numbers in each row increase on going to the right and the numbers in each column increase downwards.

To each tableau T, we associate the Young symmetry operator e(T) given by

$$e(T) = \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(T)} sgn(\pi)\pi\right) \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}(T)} \pi\right),$$
 (3)

where  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  are sets of permutations of  $S_k$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  being those that are obtained by permuting the integers within each row of T, and  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  those obtained by permuting integers within each column of T [8].

Each e(T) satisfies  $e(T)^2 = re(T)$  for some integer r, so e(T)/r is a projection which we denote by p(T). The action of SU(d) on the image subspace of p(T) in  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes k}$  gives an irreducible representation of SU(d). If T' is another tableau of the same frame, the representions of SU(d) are equivalent (under the permutation that takes T to T'). But if T' and T come from distinct Young frames, the representations of SU(d) are inequivalent. Thus the irreducible representations of SU(d) are labelled by Young frames, or equivalently, by partitions  $\lambda \vdash k$ .

Now pick a vector v in the subspace defined by p(T), and apply all elements  $\pi \in S_k$  to it. The subspace of  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes k}$  spanned by  $\{\pi v : \pi \in S_k\}$  defines an irreducible representation of  $S_k$ . Again, distinct frames yield distinct representations, so we can also label the irreducible representations of  $S_k$  by partitions  $\lambda \vdash k$ .

From the above construction, it can be shown that the subspaces  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  of the irreducible representations of  $S_k$  and SU(d), respectively, are related in the following elegant manner:

$$\left(\mathbb{C}^d\right)^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash k} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}. \tag{4}$$

This is sometimes called the Weyl-Schur duality of  $S_k$  and SU(d).

A systematic way to generate  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  for a tableau T is to apply p(T) to all vectors  $v = e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_k}$ , where we identify the j-th component of the tensor product with the j-th box in the numbering of the tableau T. If we count the number of times each basis element  $e_i$  occurs in v, this defines a partition  $v \vdash k$ . We say v is majorized by  $\lambda$ , and write  $v \prec \lambda$ , if  $\sum_{i=1}^q \nu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i$  for  $q = 1, \ldots, d-1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^d \nu_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$ . The vector v will project to zero under p(T) unless  $v \prec \lambda$ , since otherwise there must be two boxes in the same column of T, with numberings i and j, for which  $e_i = e_j$ . In particular, for any Young diagram with more than d rows,  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda} = 0$ .

The dimensions of  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$  are given by [4]

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\lambda} = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j)}{\prod_{m=1}^{d-1} m!}.$$
 (5)

and

$$\dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} = \frac{k!}{\prod_{i} \operatorname{hook}(i)},\tag{6}$$

where the index i in the latter formula runs over all boxes in the Young diagram of  $\lambda$ , and hook(i) is the hook-length of box i, i.e. the number of boxes vertically below i and to the right of i within the diagram, including box i. Useful bounds for these dimensions are

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\lambda} \le (k+d)^{d(d-1)/2} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{k!}{\prod_{i}(\lambda_{i}+d-i)!} \leq \dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \leq \frac{k!}{\prod_{i}\lambda_{i}!}.$$
 (8)

A remarkable connection between Young frames and density operators was discovered by Keyl and Werner [5]. Suppose  $\rho$  is a density operator whose spectrum  $\operatorname{sp}(\rho)$  is close to  $\bar{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \vdash k$ . Keyl and Werner showed that, for large k, the quantum state  $\rho^{\otimes k}$  will project with high probability into the Young subspace corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Their proof was somewhat elaborate. A succinct argument was found by Hayashi and Matsumoto [3], and we give it here, correcting an algebraic slip in their derivation.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\rho$  be a density operator with spectrum  $r = sp(\rho)$ , and let  $P_{\lambda}$  be the projection onto  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr} P_{\lambda} \rho^{\otimes k} \le (k+d)^{d(d-1)/2} \exp\left(-nD(\bar{\lambda}||r)\right)$$
 (9)

with  $D(p||q) = \sum_i p_i(\log p_i - \log q_i)$  the Kullback-Leibler distance of two normalised probability distributions p and q. Note that D(p||q) = 0 if and only if p = q.

*Proof.* In the procedure for generating  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  described above, we can choose the eigenvectors of  $\rho$  as a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . When eigenvectors of  $\rho^{\otimes k}$  are projected onto  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$ , the result is non-zero only if  $\rho \prec \lambda$ , as argued above. The 'surviving' eigenvalues  $\prod_i r_i^{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu \vdash k$ , are therefore smaller than  $\prod_i r_i^{\lambda_i}$ .

Using the bounds (7) and (8), it follows that

$$\operatorname{tr} P_{\lambda} \rho^{\otimes k} \leq \dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \dim \mathcal{V}_{\lambda} \prod_{i} r_{i}^{\lambda_{i}}$$
 (10)

$$\leq (k+d)^{d(d-1)/2} \frac{k!}{\prod_{i} \lambda_{i}!} \prod_{i} r_{i}^{\lambda_{i}}$$
 (11)

$$\leq (k+d)^{d(d-1)/2} \exp(-nD(\bar{\lambda}||r)).$$
 (12)

This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. If  $\rho$  is a density operator with spectrum  $r = sp(\rho)$ ,

$$tr P_X \rho^{\otimes k} \le (k+d)^{d(d+1)/2} \exp(-d \min_{\lambda \vdash n: \bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}} D(\bar{\lambda}||r)),$$
(13)

where  $P_X := \sum_{\lambda \vdash k : \bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}} P_{\lambda}$  for a set of spectra  $\mathcal{S}$ .

This follows from the theorem if we simply pick the Young frame with the slowest convergence and multiply it by the total number of possible Young frames with k boxes in d rows. This number is certainly smaller than  $(k+d)^d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r) := \{r' : \sum |r'_i - r_i| < \epsilon\}$  be the  $\epsilon$ -ball around the spectrum r. If we take  $\mathcal{S}$  to be the complement of  $\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r)$ , it becomes clear that for large k,  $\rho^{\otimes k}$  will project into a Young subspace  $\lambda$  with  $\bar{\lambda}$  close to r with high probability. More precisely

**Corollary 2.** Given an operator  $\rho$  with spectrum  $r = sp(\rho)$ , and given  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , let  $P_X = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k: \bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon_1}(r)} P_{\lambda}$ . Then for any  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  there is a  $k_0 > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,

$$tr \, P_X \rho^{\otimes k} > 1 - \epsilon_2. \tag{14}$$

The Content Expansion. Suppose now we have a bipartite system AB made up of systems A and B with spaces  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , respectively. SU(mn) thus acts on  $\mathbb{C}^{mn}$ , the space of AB, and hence on the k-fold tensor product  $(\mathbb{C}^{mn})^{\otimes k}$  according to equation (2). Similarly, SU(m) and SU(n) act on A and B, respectively, this gives an action of  $SU(m) \otimes SU(n)$  on AB. If  $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}$  is an irreducible representation of SU(mn) on the Young subspace  $\lambda$  of AB, its restriction to  $SU(m) \otimes SU(n)$  is not necessarily irreducible, and can generally be expressed as a sum of terms  $\mathcal{R}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\nu}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\nu}$  are irreducible representions of SU(m) and SU(n), respectively. We call this sum the content expansion of  $\lambda$ , borrowing some terminology from [2, 4].

The content expansion can be conveniently described in terms of the characters of the underlying representations. The conjugacy class of a unitary matrix in SU(d), for some dimension d, is given by its d eigenvalues  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ . The character  $s_{\lambda}$  of the representation  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  of SU(d) is therefore a function of  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ . Define the homogeneous power sums by  $h_r = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$ . Then

$$s_{\lambda}(x) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \le i, j \le d}. \tag{15}$$

The polynomial  $s_{\lambda}(x)$  is called the *Schur function* or *S-function* of  $\lambda$ . The  $s_{\lambda}$  form a basis for the symmetric polynomials in d variables of degree  $|\lambda|$  [7].

Now take the character  $s_{\lambda}(z) = s_{\lambda}(z_1, \ldots, z_{mn})$  of the representation  $\lambda$  of SU(mn). When restricted to  $SU(m) \otimes SU(n)$ , this can be regarded as the function  $s_{\lambda}(xy)$ , where  $x_1, \ldots x_m$  are eigenvalues of an element of SU(m), and  $y_1, \ldots y_n$  those of an element of SU(n), and xy denotes the set of all products  $x_iy_j$ . The products  $s_{\mu}(x)s_{\nu}(y)$  of Schur functions over all  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with  $|\mu| = |\nu| = |\lambda|$  are the characters of the irreducible representations of  $SU(m) \otimes SU(n)$ . Hence we can write  $s_{\lambda}(xy)$ 

in this basis, and obtain the content expansion:

$$s_{\lambda}(xy) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\lambda\mu\nu} s_{\mu}(x) s_{\nu}(y). \tag{16}$$

The relationship between representations of SU(d) corresponding to eq. (16) can be written

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda} \downarrow_{SU(m)\otimes SU(n)} = \bigoplus_{\mu,\nu} g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\nu}, \qquad (17)$$

where the left hand side denotes the representation  $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}$  of SU(mn) restricted to the subgroup  $SU(m) \otimes SU(n)$ . Note that the underlying subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\nu}$  is not in general  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\nu}$ , but is embedded in  $(\mathcal{U}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\mu}) \otimes (\mathcal{U}_{\nu} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\nu})$  by some unitary action.

The integers  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu}$  are sometimes called the *Kronecker coefficients*; this name alludes to another context they occur in, as we now explain.

Let  $\chi_{\lambda}(\tau)$  denote the character of the representation  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$  of  $S_k$  on the conjugacy class  $\tau$ . The conjugacy class of a permutation in  $S_k$  is determined by the lengths of the cycles in the permutation, so  $\tau$  is a partition of k whose parts  $\tau_i$  represent the lengths of those cycles. Let  $p_r(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=\sum_i x_i^r$  be the rth power sum and let  $p_{\lambda}=p_{\lambda_1}p_{\lambda_2}\cdots p_{\lambda_q}$ . Then the character of the representation of  $SU(d)\times S_k$  given by equations (1) and (2) takes the value  $p_{\tau}(x)=p_{\tau}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$  at an element of SU(d) with eigenvalues  $x_1,\ldots,x_d$  and a permutation with class  $\tau \vdash k$ . In terms of characters, therefore, eq.(4) becomes

$$p_{\tau}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(\tau) s_{\lambda}(x). \tag{18}$$

Thus

$$p_{\tau}(x)p_{\tau}(y) = \sum_{\mu\nu} \chi_{\mu}(\tau)\chi_{\nu}(\tau)s_{\mu}(x)s_{\nu}(y), \qquad (19)$$

but since  $p_{\tau}(x)p_{\tau}(y) = p_{\tau}(xy)$ , by equation (16) we have

$$p_{\tau}(x)p_{\tau}(y) = \sum_{\lambda\mu\nu} g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \chi_{\lambda}(\tau) s_{\mu}(x) s_{\nu}(y). \tag{20}$$

Comparing equations (19) and (20), and noting that the products  $s_{\mu}(x)s_{\nu}(y)$  are linearly independent, we have for each  $\mu$ 

$$\chi_{\mu}(\tau)\chi_{\nu}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\lambda\mu\nu}\chi_{\lambda}(\tau), \qquad (21)$$

with  $|\lambda| = |\mu| = |\nu|$ . This implies that the corresponding representation subspaces satisfy

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\nu} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}. \tag{22}$$

Thus the Kronecker coefficients  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu}$  appear in the Clebsch-Gordan series for the symmetric group  $S_k$ , i.e. in

the series that represents the Kronecker (or tensor) product of two irreducible representations of  $S_k$  as a sum of irreducible representations, where the latter are weighted by the number of times they occur. This is analogous to the Clebsch-Gordan series for SU(d),

$$s_{\mu}(x)s_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{\lambda\mu\nu} c_{\lambda\mu\nu} s_{\lambda}(x), \qquad (23)$$

where  $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ . The coefficients  $c_{\lambda\mu\nu}$  can be calculated using the famous Littlewood-Richardson rule [7], whereas finding an efficient computational rule for the  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu}$  is a fundamental open problem (see e.g. [6]).

Equation (21) and orthogonality of characters implies

$$g_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in S_k} \chi_{\lambda}(\tau) \chi_{\mu}(\tau) \chi_{\nu}(\tau), \tag{24}$$

which shows that the Kronecker coefficients are symmetric under interchange of the indices.

Main Result. We now show that there is a close correspondence between the spectra of a density operator  $\rho^{AB}$  and its traces  $\rho^A$  and  $\rho^B$  and Young frames  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  with positive Kronecker coefficients. More precisely,

**Theorem 2.** For every density operator  $\rho^{AB}$ , there is a sequence  $(\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j)$  of partitions, labelled by natural numbers j, with  $|\lambda_j| = |\mu_j| = |\nu_j|$  such that

$$g_{\lambda_i \mu_i \nu_i} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } j$$
 (25)

and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \bar{\lambda}_j = sp(\rho^{AB}) \tag{26}$$

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \bar{\mu}_j = sp(\rho^A) \tag{27}$$

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \bar{\nu}_j = sp(\rho^B) \tag{28}$$

Proof. Let  $r^{AB} = \operatorname{sp}(\rho^{AB}), r^A = \operatorname{sp}(\rho^A), r^B = \operatorname{sp}(\rho^B)$ . Let  $P_{\lambda}^{AB}$  denote the projector onto the Young subspace  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  in system AB, and let  $P_{\mu}^{A}$ ,  $P_{\nu}^{B}$  be the corresponding projectors onto Young subspaces in A and B, respectively. By corollary 2, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $k_0$  such that the following all hold for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,

$$\operatorname{tr} P_X(\rho^A)^{\otimes k} \ge 1 - \epsilon, \quad P_X := \sum_{\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^A)} P_{\mu}^A \quad (29)$$

$$\operatorname{tr} P_Y(\rho^B)^{\otimes k} \ge 1 - \epsilon, \quad P_Y := \sum_{\bar{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^B)} P_{\nu}^B \quad (30)$$

$$\operatorname{tr} P_Z(\rho^{AB})^{\otimes k} \geq 1 - \epsilon, \quad P_Z := \sum_{\bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^{AB})} P_{\lambda}^{AB}.(31)$$

Equations (29) and (30) can be combined to yield

$$\operatorname{tr} (P_X \otimes P_Y)(\rho^{AB})^{\otimes k} \ge 1 - 2\epsilon.$$
 (32)

This follows from

$$\operatorname{tr}(P \otimes Q)\xi^{AB} \ge \operatorname{tr}P\xi^A + \operatorname{tr}Q\xi^B - 1,$$
 (33)

which holds for all projectors P and Q and density operators  $\xi^{AB}$  since tr  $[(\mathbb{1} - P) \otimes (\mathbb{1} - Q)\xi^{AB}] \geq 0$ .

Because  $(\rho^{AB})^{\otimes k}$  maps each Young frame into itself, writing  $\sigma = (\rho^{AB})^{\otimes k}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} P_{\lambda} \sigma P_{\lambda} = \sigma. \tag{34}$$

Defining  $P_{\bar{Z}} := \mathbb{1} - P_Z$ , eqs. (32) and (34) imply

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[(P_X \otimes P_Y)(P_Z \sigma P_Z + P_{\bar{Z}} \sigma P_{\bar{Z}})\right] \ge 1 - 2\epsilon. \tag{35}$$

We now insert tr  $[(P_X \otimes P_Y)P_{\bar{Z}}\sigma P_{\bar{Z}}] \leq \epsilon$  (from eq. (31)) and obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[(P_X \otimes P_Y)P_Z \sigma P_Z\right] \ge 1 - 3\epsilon. \tag{36}$$

Clearly, there must be at least one triple  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^A), \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^B)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}(r^{AB})$  with tr  $[(P_{\mu}^A \otimes P_{\nu}^B)P_{\lambda}^{AB}\sigma P_{\lambda}^{AB}] \neq 0$ . Thus  $(P_{\mu}^A \otimes P_{\nu}^B)P_{\lambda}^{AB} \neq 0$  and by eq. (17) this implies  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \neq 0$ .

We conclude the paper with some consequences of the above theorem. We show first that the non-vanishing of a Kronecker coefficient implies a relationship between entropies:

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash k$ . If  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \neq 0$ , then  $H(\bar{\lambda}) \leq H(\bar{\mu}) + H(\bar{\nu})$ , where  $H(\bar{\lambda}) = -\sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \log(\bar{\lambda}_i)$  is the Shannon entropy of  $\bar{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* The Clebsch-Gordan expansion for the symmetric group, eq. (22), implies

$$g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{mn} \le \dim \mathcal{U}_{\mu}^{m} \dim \mathcal{U}_{\nu}^{n}.$$
 (37)

Since the Kronecker coefficients are always non-negative integers, if  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \neq 0$ , we have

$$\dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \le \dim \mathcal{U}_{\mu} \dim \mathcal{U}_{\nu}. \tag{38}$$

Now Kirillov has proved ([6], Theorem 2.11) that  $g_{\lambda\mu\nu} \neq 0$  implies  $g_{N\lambda\,N\mu\,N\nu} \neq 0$ , for any integer N, where  $N\lambda$  means the partition with lengths  $N\lambda_i$ . By Stirling's approximation and inequality (8),  $\log(\dim \mathcal{U}_{N\lambda})$  tends to  $NkH(\bar{\lambda})$  for large N. Thus inequality (38) implies the result we seek.

The von Neumann entropy  $S(\rho)$ , of the operator  $\rho$ , is defined by  $S(\rho) = -\text{tr }(\rho \log(\rho)) = H(r)$ , where r is the spectrum of  $\rho$ .

**Proposition 2.** Von Neumann entropy is subadditive; i.e. for all  $\rho^{AB}$ ,  $S(\rho^{AB}) \leq S(\rho^A) + S(\rho^B)$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2 tells us that, for every operator  $\rho^{AB}$ , there is a sequence of non-vanishing  $g_{\lambda_j \mu_j \nu_j}$  with  $\bar{\lambda}_j$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_j$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_j$  converging to the spectra of  $\rho^{AB}$ ,  $\rho^A$  and  $\rho^B$ . Since

$$\dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda_i} \le \dim \mathcal{U}_{\mu_i} \dim \mathcal{U}_{\nu_i} \tag{39}$$

holds for all j, in the limit of large j we obtain subadditivity.

**Proposition 3.** The Araki-Lieb inequality [1],  $S(\rho^{AB}) \ge |S(\rho^A) - S(\rho^B)|$  holds for all  $\rho^{AB}$ .

*Proof.* The symmetry of the coefficients implied by eq. (24) tells us that in addition to eq. (38) we also have the two equations obtained by cyclically permuting  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ ; e.g.  $\dim \mathcal{U}_{\mu} \leq \dim \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \dim \mathcal{U}_{\nu}$ . The Araki-Lieb inequality then follows by applying the reasoning in the proof of the preceding proposition.

Note that our proof of the Araki-Lieb inequality is very different in spirit from the conventional one that applies subadditivity to the purification of the state.

Acknowledgements. We thank Professor Graeme Segal for inspiring conversations and Dr. Andreas Winter for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Cambridge-MIT Institute, A\*Star Grant No. 012-104-0040 and the EU under project RESQ (IST-2001-37559). MC acknowledges

the support of a DAAD Doktorandenstipendium and the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

- \* matthias.christandl@qubit.org
- † gjm@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk
- H. Araki and E. H. Lieb. Entropy inequalities. Comm. Math. Phys., 18:160-170, 1970.
- [2] C. R. Hagen and A. J. MacFarlane. Reduction of representations of  $SU_{mn}$  with respect to the subgroup  $SU_m \otimes SU_n$ .

  J. Math. Phys., 6(9):1355–1365, 1965.
- [3] M. Hayashi and K. Matsumoto. Quantum universal variable-length source coding. *Phys. Rev. A*, 66(2):022311, 2002.
- [4] C. Itzykson and M. Nauenberg. Unitary groups: Representations and decompositions. Rev. Mod. Phys., 38(1):95–120, 1966.
- [5] M. Keyl and R. F. Werner. Estimating the spectrum of a density operator. *Phys. Rev. A*, 64(5):052311, 2001.
- [6] A. N. Kirillov. An invitation to the generalized saturation conjecture. 2004. math.CO/0404353.
- [7] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford mathematical monographs. Oxford, Clarendon, 1979.
- [8] H. Weyl. The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1950.