## Symmetric Triple Well with Non-Equivalent Vacua: Simple Quantum Mechanical Approach

H. A. Alhendi<sup>1</sup> and E. I. Lashin<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of physics and Astronomy, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

December 10, 2018

## Abstract

The structure of the energy levels in a deep triple well is analyzed using simple quantum mechanical considerations. The resultant spectra of the first three energy levels are found to be composed of a ground state localized at the central well and the two other states are distributed only among the left and right well in anti-symmetric and symmetric way respectively. Due to the tunneling effects the energy eigenvalue of the ground state is approximately equal to the ground state energy for a harmonic oscillator localized at the central well, while the two others are nearly degenerate with approximate values equal to the ground state energy of a harmonic oscillator localized at the left or right well. The resulting pattern of the spectra are confirmed numerically. The failure of the instantonic approach recently applied for predicting the correct spectra is commented.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>PACS numbers: 31.15.Pf, 03.65.Xp

Understanding the structure of the energy levels in a triple well is important from both theoretical and practical point of view and quite different from the symmetric double well. In this work we consider the triple well of the form;

$$
V(x) = \frac{\omega^2}{2} x^2 (x^2 - 1)^2,
$$
\n(1)

<span id="page-0-1"></span>The Schrodinger equation corresponding to the potential in eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0)  $(\hbar = 1, m =$ 1) reads

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\Psi}{dx^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}x^2\left(x^2 - 1\right)^2\Psi = E\Psi
$$
\n(2)

The potential  $V(x)$ , shown in fig. [1,](#page-1-0) consists of central, left and right well separated by barriers. In the limit of highly enough barriers (deeply enough wells), the low lying energy states can be described as linear combination of



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1: Triple well:  $V(x) = \frac{\omega^2}{2}$  $\frac{x^2}{2}x^2(x^2-1)^2$ 

harmonic oscillator states localized over the wells at  $x = 0, \pm 1$ . In the vicinity of each well the potential can be approximated as a harmonic oscillator potential. The frequency corresponding to the central well is  $\omega$ , while for the left and right well is  $2\omega$  as can be easily verified by expanding the potential around each minima. To fix our notations the state  $|C\rangle$  denotes the harmonic oscillator ground state confined to the central well, while  $|L\rangle$  and  $|R\rangle$  denote the ground states for those confined to right and left well respectively.

The low lying spectra (first three levels) of the triple well can be analyzed from simple quantum mechanical considerations. First; each eigen-state must have a definite parity since the potential in eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) admits symmetry under reflection  $(x \to -x)$ . Second; having a trial wave function for a quantum mechanical system containing some parameters, these parameters can be fixed by using the variational method.

The most general linear combination of harmonic oscillator states forming the ground and the first two excited states are the following,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n|\Psi_0\rangle &= \alpha_0 |R\rangle + \beta_0 |C\rangle + \gamma_0 |L\rangle, \\
|\Psi_1\rangle &= \alpha_1 |R\rangle + \beta_1 |C\rangle + \gamma_1 |L\rangle, \\
|\Psi_2\rangle &= \alpha_2 |R\rangle + \beta_2 |C\rangle + \gamma_2 |L\rangle,\n\end{aligned} \tag{3}
$$

where  $\alpha' s$ ,  $\beta' s$  and  $\gamma' s$  are free parameters are taken to be real.

Parity requirement namely,

$$
\mathcal{P}|\Psi_0\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}|\Psi_1\rangle = -|\Psi_1\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}|\Psi_2\rangle = |\Psi_2\rangle,\tag{4}
$$

where  $P$  is the parity operator, allows to take the parity for the states  $|C\rangle, |R\rangle, \text{and} |L\rangle$ as

$$
\mathcal{P}|R\rangle = |L\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}|C\rangle = |C\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}|L\rangle = |R\rangle. \tag{5}
$$

The other requirements are orthogonality and normalization relations of the states namely

$$
\langle \Psi_i | \Psi_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \qquad , \qquad i, j = 0, 1, 2 \tag{6}
$$

Taking into account the previously mentioned requirements we get

$$
\alpha_0 = \gamma_0, \ \alpha_1 = -\gamma_1, \ \alpha_2 = \gamma_2, \ \beta_1 = 0, \ \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},
$$
\n(7)

and the following equations

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
2\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 = 1,2\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 = 1,2\alpha_0 \alpha_2 + \beta_0 \beta_2 = 0.
$$
\n(8)

Introducing angle parameterizations

$$
\sqrt{2} \alpha_0 = \sin \theta \quad , \quad \beta_0 = \cos \theta, \n\sqrt{2} \alpha_2 = \cos \phi \quad , \quad \beta_2 = \sin \phi,
$$
\n(9)

we find the first two relations of eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) are satisfied identically and the last relation implies

$$
\sin(\theta + \phi) = 0 \Rightarrow \theta + \phi = \pm n\pi, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,
$$
\n(10)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>taking the solution  $\theta + \phi = \pi$ , the resultant states are

$$
\begin{aligned}\n|\Psi_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sin \theta \, |R\rangle + \sqrt{2} \cos \theta \, |C\rangle + \sin \theta \, |L\rangle \right) \\
|\Psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |R\rangle - |L\rangle \right) \\
|\Psi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\cos \theta \, |R\rangle + \sqrt{2} \sin \theta \, |C\rangle - \cos \theta \, |L\rangle \right)\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(11)

The energy for each state given by eqs. [11,](#page-2-1) obtained from the expectation value of the corresponding Hamiltonian, are

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | H | \Psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_1 \sin^2 \theta + \omega_0 \cos^2 \theta \right)
$$
  

$$
\langle \Psi_1 | H | \Psi_1 \rangle = \frac{\omega_1}{2}
$$
  

$$
\langle \Psi_2 | H | \Psi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_1 \cos^2 \theta + \omega_0 \sin^2 \theta \right),
$$
 (12)

where  $\omega_0$  (corresponding to  $\omega$ ) and  $\omega_1$  (corresponding to  $2\omega$ ) are the angular frequencies of the harmonic oscillator near the vicinities of central and left(right) well respectively. Minimizing the energy of the ground state with respect to the angle parameter  $\theta$ , we find that the minimum occurs at  $\theta = n \pi$  for  $\omega_1 > \omega_0$ . The the energy eigenvalues are then:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
E_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2} = \frac{\omega}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
E_1 = E_2 = \frac{\omega_1}{2} = \omega,
$$
\n(13)

The results here are for the ideal case -The limits of very deeply enough well without tunneling- but due tunneling effect, the first two excited states become nearly degenerate with energy approximately equal to  $\omega$  while the ground state energy has approximately the value  $\frac{\omega}{2}$ .

These energy pattern spectra are inconsistent with the results based on instantonic approach in Refs. [\[1,](#page-4-0) [2\]](#page-4-1), which predicted that the first three levels are equidistant and nearly degenerate with midpoint energy equals to  $\frac{3\omega}{2}$ . It seems to us that this resulted from an improper application of the instanton method. In contrast the simple quantum mechanical consideration presented in this work implies that the first and second excited states are nearly degenerate with a finite non vanishing energy gab separating them from the ground state as given by eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-0).

To justify the results derived here from simple quantum mechanical considerations, we resort to numerical solution for Schrodinger equation. For this purpose we apply the power series method in a finite range, of width  $2L$ , for eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-1). This method has been previously applied to variant potential functions with and without degenerate minima leading to results with high accuracy [\[3,](#page-4-2) [4\]](#page-4-3), and its details can be found in Ref.[\[3\]](#page-4-2). In this short letter we present only the

$\omega, L, I$	20, 2, 750	40, 2, 1000	60, 1.5, 1000
$E_0$	9.1100715702553	19.200084475112926	29.218766418207469
$E_1$	17.5140977513941	37.948103273585804	58.017242546933332
E <sub>2</sub>	17.6975924458074	37.948176236685948	58.017242556963103
$\omega, L, I$	80, 1.5, 1000	100, 1.5, 1500	
$E_0$	39.227231934365212	49.23207941514294132072024439682	
$E_1$	78.047249798583686	98.06414003277967330221270153882	
$E_2$	78.047249798584662	98.06414003277967338155670061896	

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Table 1: The numerically calculated energy eigenvalues for the first three levels. The numbers  $\omega, L, I$  represent the parameter appearing in the triple well potential, the width between the two infinite walls, and the number of non vanishing terms in truncated power series solution respectively

numerical results for the energy eigenvalues and eigen-states in Table [1](#page-3-1) and Fig. [2](#page-4-4) respectively. these reveal that low lying spectra (first three levels) consist of combinations of harmonic oscillator states localized at the central, left and right well. More precisely, the ground state is the ground harmonic oscillator state localized at the central well. While the first and second excited states are linear combination of the ground harmonic oscillator states localized at the left and right well having odd and even parity respectively. These results assert the implication presented in this work, and contradict that obtained in Refs.[\[1,](#page-4-0) [2\]](#page-4-1). The method here, can be extended to higher excited states and multi-well potentials. We hope the present work will encourage for a proper treatment of the instantonic methods for potential function with non equivalent vacua.



<span id="page-4-4"></span>Figure 2: The normalized ground (left), first excited (middle) and second excited (right) state wave functions for  $\omega = 100$  and,  $L = \frac{3}{2}$  for the bounded triple well.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by research center at college of science, King Saud University under project number Phys/1423/02.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] J. Casahorrán, *Phys. Lett.* A  $283$ , (2001) 285.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[2] S. Y. Lee et al., Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12, (1997) 1803.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[3] H. A. Alhendi and E. I. Lashin [quant-ph/0305128,](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0305128) submitted to Cand. J. Phys.
- [4] H. A. Alhendi and E. I. Lashin [quant-ph/0306016](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0306016).