

Relationship between Thermodynamic Driving Force and One-Way Fluxes in Reversible Chemical Reactions

Daniel A. Beard
Department of Physiology
Medical College of Wisconsin

Hong Qian
Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Washington

February 9, 2020

Chemical reaction systems operating in nonequilibrium open-system states arise in a great number of contexts, including the study of living organisms, in which chemical reactions, in general, are far from equilibrium. While all processes in a given system—a biological cell, for example—spontaneously move in the direction of equilibrium, living systems require that material be transported in and out to maintain a living state that is not in equilibrium. Here we show that there exists a fundamental relationship between forward and reverse fluxes for any chemical process operating in a steady state. This relationship, which is a generalization of equilibrium conditions to the case of a chemical process occurring in a nonequilibrium steady state, provides a novel equivalent definition for the reaction free energy.

For a reaction occurring in an isothermal and isobaric system the chemical driving force ΔG —the Gibbs free energy difference—characterizes how far a chemical reaction is away from equilibrium. If we take a simple bimolecular reaction



as an example, then ΔG is related to the concentrations of the reactants and products, as well as the equilibrium constant K_{eq} , through the well-known thermodynamic equation

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln ([A][B]K_{eq}/[C][D]). \quad (2)$$

If we further assume that the law of mass action governs the reaction's kinetics,

then the forward and reverse reaction fluxes and equilibrium constant are

$$J^+ = k_1[A][B], \quad J^- = k_2[C][D], \quad K_{eq} = k_1/k_2, \quad (3)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are constants that do not depend on the concentrations. Combining Equations (2) and (3) yields

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln (J^+/J^-). \quad (4)$$

Expressing ΔG in terms of Equation (4) has many advantages: It is apparent that if $\Delta G = 0$, then $J^+ = J^-$. This is the principle of detailed balance [9]. Furthermore, Equation (4) can be generalized to many other situations. For example, for reversible enzyme reactions governed by Michaelis-Menten kinetics, although both J^+ and J^- are complex functions of reactant and substrate concentrations, Equation (4) still holds true.

In this note, we show that Equation (4) is in fact a fundamental relation based on conservation of mass for any chemical process operating in steady state. Specifically, we show the validity of Equation (4) without invoking Equation (3) or any rate law. The proof may be generalized to the special case of cycle kinetics where a similar result is known due to T.L. Hill [3, 5, 6, 4]. The relation is also intimately related to the fluctuation theorem [2, 1, 11]. However, the most significant insight from the present work is that the relation between fluxes and ΔG can be established without any supposition on the dynamics of a system.

For the reaction $A \rightleftharpoons B$, the Gibbs free energy change per mole of molecules that transform from state A to state B is expressed

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^o + RT \ln(N_B/N_A), \quad (5)$$

where N_A and N_B are the number of molecules in states A and B, respectively. In equilibrium, the ratio N_B/N_A is equal to $e^{-\Delta G^o/RT} = K_{eq}$ and the net reaction flux is $J = J^+ - J^- = 0$, where J^+ and J^- are the forward and reverse reaction fluxes, respectively. When $\Delta G < 0$, the net flux J is positive.

To determine how flux and free energy are related for systems not in equilibrium we consider, without loss of generality, the case where $N_B/N_A < K_{eq}$ and $J > 0$. In a nonequilibrium steady state N_A and N_B are held constant by pumping A molecules into the system, and pumping B molecules out of the system, at the steady-state flux J .

Next imagine that we are able to place a label on each molecule that converts from state B to state A. These particles we denote by A^* . Apart from the label, A^* molecules are identical in every way to unlabeled A molecules in this thought experiment. In addition, imagine that A^* molecules lose their label when they convert to B molecules. Thus if we continue to pump A and B molecules into and out of the system at the constant flux J , then a steady state will be reached for which N_{A^*} , the number of labeled molecules in state A^* , is less than or equal to N_A , the total number of labeled plus unlabeled molecules in state A.

The steady state is reached when the rate of conversion of labeled A^* molecules into state B is equal to the rate of conversion from B to A^* . Since there is no

transport of A^* into or out of the system, then in the steady state the N_{A^*} molecules in state A^* will be in equilibrium with the N_B molecules in state B.

Next, we define

$$j^+ = J^+/N_A, \quad j^- = J^-/N_B,$$

the *per-particle* forward and reverse fluxes. The flux j^+ is the rate at which a single molecule in state A transforms to state B; j^- is the rate at which a single molecule in state B transforms to state A. Both j^+ and j^- are functions of N_A and N_B in general. (The per-particle forward rate is also the inverse of the average time that a particle in state A waits to transform to state B.) The steady state conservation of mass requires that $j^+ \cdot N_{A^*} = j^- \cdot N_B$. Because the ensemble of N_{A^*} molecules in state A^* is in equilibrium with the ensemble of N_B molecules in state B,

$$\frac{j^+}{j^-} = \frac{N_B}{N_{A^*}} = K_{eq}. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) holds for a reaction operating in any steady state, including thermodynamic equilibrium. In equilibrium, $J^+ = J^-$, and

$$\frac{J^+}{J^-} = 1 = \frac{j^+}{j^-} \left(\frac{N_A}{N_B} \right)_{eq}. \quad (7)$$

Thus it is trivial that Equation (6) holds in equilibrium. The more interesting case is a nonequilibrium steady state for which

$$\frac{j^+}{j^-} = \frac{J^+}{J^-} \frac{N_B}{N_A} = K_{eq}. \quad (8)$$


From Equation (8), we have $J^+/J^- = \frac{N_A}{N_B} K_{eq}$, from which follows the relationship

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln (J^+/J^-). \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) is a condition that does not depend on the details of the kinetic reaction mechanism that is operating in a particular system. In addition, the above proof is easily generalized to apply to multimolecular (non-unimolecular) chemical reactions or any spontaneous process transforming or transporting mass from one state to another. Therefore Equation (9) represents a fundamental property of any chemical reaction.

For the case of a catalytic cycle with J^+/J^- equal to the ratio of the positive-to-negative cycle flux and ΔG equal to the thermodynamic driving force for the cycle, Equation (9) is identical to the relationship introduced by Hill [3, 7, 6] and proved by Kohler and Vollmerhaus [8] and by Qian et al. [10] for cycles in Markov systems. (See Equations (3.7) and (7.8) in [6].) Therefore the relationship between J^+/J^- and ΔG introduced by Hill for linear cycle kinetics is a special case of Equation (9).

As an additional example, consider the well known Michaelis-Menten enzyme mechanism:



in which E is an enzyme involved in converting substrate A into product B. The steady-state flux through this mechanism is

$$J_{MM}([A], [B]) = J^+ - J^- = \frac{k_f[A] - k_r[B]}{1 + [A]/K_a + [B]/K_b}, \quad (11)$$

where E_o is the total enzyme concentration, $k_f = E_o k_{+1} k_{+2} / (k_{-1} + k_{+2})$, $k_r = E_o k_{-1} k_{-2} / (k_{-1} + k_{+2})$, $K_a = (k_{-1} + k_{+2}) / k_{+1}$, and $K_b = (k_{-1} + k_{+2}) / k_{-2}$. From Equation (11) it is straightforward to verify that J^+ and J^- satisfy Equation (9), where $\Delta G^o = -RT \ln(k_{+1} k_{+2} / k_{-1} k_{-2})$.

In addition to application to chemical reactions, Equation (9) applies directly to transport processes, such as the transport of particles through a complex medium. Transport of particles in a complex medium can be modeled by a Fokker-Planck equation with spatially dependent diffusion coefficient $D(x)$ and potential function $u(x)$, together with the Einstein relation [14]. The steady-state transport flux then is

$$J = J^+ - J^- = \left(c_0 e^{u(0)/RT} - c_1 e^{u(1)/RT} \right) \left[\int_0^1 e^{u(y)/RT} \frac{dy}{D(y)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where c_0 and c_1 are the concentrations of the two reservoirs at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. Recognizing that $\Delta G = u(1) - u(0) + RT \ln(c_1/c_0)$ for this system, we have Equation (9).

In summary, we have demonstrated that Equation (9) is a fundamental condition that is satisfied by any chemical process operating in a steady state. This equation is a generalization of the well known equilibrium conditions $\Delta G = 0$ and $J^- = J^+$ to the case of a chemical process occurring in a nonequilibrium steady state, such as a chemical reaction in an open system [13, 12]. It provides a novel equivalent definition for the reaction free energy, or thermodynamic driving force.

We thank J.B. Bassingthwaighte, for valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH grant GM0680610.

References

- [1] G.E. Crooks. Entropy production fluctuation theorem and the nonequilibrium work relation for free energy differences. *Phys. Rev. E.*, 60:2721–2726, 1999.
- [2] G. Gallavotti and E.G.D. Cohen. Dynamic ensembles in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:2694–2697, 1995.
- [3] T. L. Hill. Studies in irreversible thermodynamics IV. Diagrammatic representation of steady state fluxes for unimolecular systems. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 10:442–459, 1966.
- [4] T. L. Hill. *Free Energy Transduction in Biology*. Academic Press, New York, NY, 1977.

- [5] T. L. Hill. Discrete-time random walks on diagrams (graphs) with cycles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 85:5345–5349, 1988.
- [6] T. L. Hill. *Free Energy Transduction and Biochemical Cycle Kinetics*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, NY, 2004.
- [7] T. L. Hill and Y.-D. Chen. Stochastics of cycle completions (fluxes) in biochemical kinetic diagrams. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 72:1291–1295, 1975.
- [8] H. H. Kohler and E. Vollmerhaus. The frequency of cyclic processes in biological multistate systems. *J Math Biol*, 9:275–290, 1980.
- [9] G.N. Lewis. A new principle of equilibrium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 11:179–183, 1925.
- [10] C. Qian, M. Qian, and M.-P. Qian. Markov chain as a model of Hill’s theory on circulation. *Scientia Sinica*, 24:1431–1447, 1981.
- [11] H. Qian. Nonequilibrium steady-state circulation and heat dissipation functional. *Phys. Rev. E.*, 64:022101, 2001.
- [12] H. Qian and D. A. Beard. Thermodynamics of stoichiometric biochemical networks in living systems far from equilibrium. *Biophys. Chem.*, 114:213–220, 2005.
- [13] H. Qian, D. A. Beard, and S.-D. Liang. Stoichiometric network theory for nonequilibrium biochemical systems. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 270:415–421, 2003.
- [14] H. Risken. *The Fokker-Planck Equation. Methods of Solution and Applications*. New York, NY, second edition.