Equilibrium transitions in finite populations of players

Jacek Miękisz Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics Warsaw University ul. Banacha 2 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

October 25, 2018

Abstract. We discuss stochastic dynamics of finite populations of individuals playing games. We review recent results concerning the dependence of the long-run behavior of such systems on the number of players and the noise level. In the case of two-player games with two symmetric Nash equilibria, when the number of players increases, the population undergoes multiple transitions between its equilibria.

Keywords: evolutionary game theory, Nash equilibrium, equilibrium selection, adaptive dynamics, stochastic stability.

MSC: 91A10, 91A22, 92D15, 92D25.

1 Introduction

Many socio-economic and biological processes can be modeled as systems of interacting individuals; see for example Santa Fe collection of papers on economic complex systems [1], econophysics bulletin [2], and statistical mechanics and quantitative biology archives [3].

Here we will consider game-theoretic models of many interacting agents [4, 5, 6]. In such models, agents have at their disposal certain strategies and their payoffs in a game depend on strategies chosen both by them and by their opponents. A configuration of a system, that is an assignment of strategies to agents, is a Nash equilibrium if for any agent, for fixed strategies of his opponents, changing the current strategy will not increase his payoff. One of the fundamental problems in game theory is the equilibrium selection in games with multiple Nash equilibria. In two-player games with two strategies we may have two Nash equilibria: a payoff dominant (also called efficient) and a risk-dominant one. In the efficient equilibrium, players receive highest possible payoffs. The strategy is risk-dominant if it has a higher expected payoff against a player playing both strategies with equal probabilities. It is played by individuals averse to risks.

One of the selection methods is to construct a dynamical system where in the long run only one equilibrium is played with a high frequency. Here we will discuss an adaptive dynamics introduced by Robson and Vega-Redondo [7]. In their model, at any time period, individuals play only one game with randomly chosen opponents (they do not play against an average strategy as in the replicator dynamics or the adaptive model of Kandori, Mailath, and Rob [8]). The selection part of the dynamics ensures that if the mean payoff of a given strategy at the time t is bigger than the mean payoff of the other one, then the number of individuals playing the given strategy should increase in t + 1. In addition, with a small probability representing the noise of the system, players may make mistakes.

To describe the long-run behavior of stochastic dynamics, Foster and Young [9] introduced a concept of stochastic stability. A state of a system (a number of individuals playing the first strategy in our models) is *stochastically stable* if it has a positive probability in the stationary state in the limit of zero noise. It means that in the long run we observe it with a positive frequency.

Here we review recent results concerning the dependence of the long-run behavior of the above desribed dynamics on the number of players and the noise level. We will combine these results to show that in the case of two-player games with two symmetric Nash equilibria, when the number of players increases, the population undergoes multiple transitions between its equilibria.

2 Adaptive dynamics with mistakes

We will consider a finite population of n individuals who have at their disposal one of two strategies: A and B. At every discrete moment of time, t = 1, 2, ..., they are randomly paired (we assume that n is even) to play a two-player symmetric game with payoffs given by the following matrix:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ A & a & b \\ U = & & \\ B & c & d \end{array}$$

where the ij entry, i, j = A, B, is the payoff of the first (row) player when he plays the strategy i and the second (column) player plays the strategy j. We assume that both players are the same and hence payoffs of the column player are given by the matrix transposed to U; such games are called symmetric.

An assignment of strategies to both players is a Nash equilibrium, if for each player, for a fixed strategy of his opponent, changing the current strategy will not increase his payoff. If a > c and d > b, then (A, A) and (B, B) are two Nash equilibria. If a + b < c + d, then the strategy B has a higher expected payoff against a player playing both strategies with equal probabilities. We say that B risk dominates the strategy A (the notion of the risk-dominance was introduced and thoroughly studied by Harsányi and Selten [10]). If in addition a > d, then we have a selection problem of choosing between the payoff-dominant (also caled efficient) equilibrium (A, A) and the risk-dominant (B, B).

At every discrete moment of time t, the state of our population is described by the number of individuals, z_t , playing A. Formally, by the state space we mean the set

$$\Omega = \{z, 0 \le z \le n\}.$$

Now we describe the dynamics of our system. It consists of two components: selection and mutation. The selection mechanism ensures that if the mean payoff of a given strategy, $\pi_i(z_t), i = A, B$, at the time t is bigger than the mean payoff of the other one, then the number of individuals playing the given strategy should increase in t + 1.

Let p_t denote the random variable which describes the number of cross-pairings, i.e. the number of pairs of matched individuals playing different strategies at the time t. Let us notice that p_t depends on z_t . For a given realization of p_t and z_t , mean payoffs obtained by each strategy are as follows:

$$\pi_A(z_t, p_t) = \frac{a(z_t - p_t) + bp_t}{z_t},$$

$$\pi_B(z_t, p_t) = \frac{cp_t + d(n - z_t - p_t)}{n - z_t},$$
(1)

provided $0 < z_t < n$.

We assume that at any time period, each individual has a revision opportunity with a small positive probability τ and adopts a strategy with the higher mean payoff. Players may make mistakes. At every time period, each player who has a revision opportunity, instead of following the selection rule may adopt the other strategy with a small probability ϵ . It is easy to see, that for any two states of the population, there is a positive probability of the transition between them in some finite number of time steps. We have therefore obtained an irreducible Markov chain with n + 1 states. It has a unique stationary state (a probability mass function) which we denote by μ_n^{ϵ} . For any $z \in \Omega$, $\mu_n^{\epsilon}(z)$ is the frequency of visiting the state z in the long run. The following definition was introduced by Foster and Young [9].

Definition $z \in \Omega$ is stochastically stable if $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mu_n^{\epsilon}(z) > 0$.

3 Equilibrium transitions

We review here recent results concerning the dependence of stochastic stability of equilibria on the number of players.

They are based on a certain tree representation of stationary states of irreducible Markov chains ([11, 12, 13]; see also Appendix). We assume that at any time period, each individual has a revision opportunity with a small positive probability τ . It follows that z = 0 and z = nare the only absorbing states. After a finite number of steps of the noise-free dynamics, we arrive at one of these two states and stay there forever - there are no other recurrence classes. Therefore to obtain a stationary state in the limit of zero noise, it is enough to count a number of mistakes the population needs to evolve between these states. If one requires, for example, fewer mistakes to evolve from z = 0 to z = n than from z = n to z = 0, then z = n is stochastically stable. Robson and Vega-Redondo proved that for a sufficiently big number of players, the efficient strategy A is stochastically stable [7]. They showed that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mu_n^{\epsilon}(n) = 1$ which means that in the long run, in the limit of no mistakes, all individuals play A.

However, their proof requires the number of players to be sufficiently big. It was showed in [14] that the risk-dominant strategy B is stochastically stable if the number of players is below (2a - c - b)/(a - c).

Let us recall the proof. If the population consists of only one *B*-player and n-1 *A*-players and if c > [a(n-2)+b]/(n-1), that is n < (2a-c-b)/(a-c), then $\pi_B > \pi_A$. It means that one needs only one mistake to evolve from z = n to z = 0. It is easy to see that two mistakes are necessary to evolve from z = 0 to z = n which finishes the proof.

To see stochastically stable states, we need to take the limit of the zero noise level. It was showed in [14] that for any arbitrarily low fixed noise level, if the number of players is big enough, then in the long run only a small fraction of the population plays the efficient strategy A. Smaller the noise level is, fewer individuals play A.

Let us note that the above theorem concerns an ensemble of states, not an individual one. In the limit of the infinite number of players, that is the infinite number of states of the system, every single state has zero probability in the stationary state. It is an ensemble of states that might be stable. Ensemble and stochastic stability in spatial games with local interactions were recently discussed in [15, 16, 17]. For an interesting discussion on the importance of the order of taking different limits ($\tau \to 0, n \to \infty$, and $\epsilon \to 0$) in evolutionary models (especially in the Aspiration and Imitation model) see [18].

Now we combine the above theorems and obtain

Theorem

For any
$$\delta > 0$$
 and $\beta > 0$ there exist $\epsilon(\delta, \beta)$ and $n_1 < n_2 < n_3(\epsilon) < n_4(\epsilon)$ such that
if $n < n_1 = \frac{2a-c-b}{a-c}$, then $\mu_n^{\epsilon}(z=0) > 1-\delta$,
if $n_2 < n < n_3(\epsilon)$, then $\mu_n^{\epsilon}(z=n) > 1-\delta$,
if $n > n_4(\epsilon)$, then $\mu_n^{\epsilon}(z \le \beta n) > 1-\delta$ for a sufficiently small τ .

We see that for a fixed noise level, when the number of player increases, the population undergoes twice a transition between its two equilibria. Of course, for any fixed number of players, $n > n_2$, if the noise level is sufficiently small, then almost all indivisuals will play in the long run the efficient strategy A.

In order to study the long-run behavior of stochastic population dynamics, we should esti-

mate the relevant parameters to be sure what limiting procedures are appropriate in specific examples. Equilibrium transitions in other stochastic dynamics of finite populations were recently investigated in [19, 20].

Appendix

The following tree representation of stationary distributions of Markov chains was proposed by Freidlin and Wentzell [11, 12], see also [13]. Let (Ω, P) be an irreducible Markov chain with a state space Ω and transition probabilities given by $P^{\epsilon} : \Omega \times \Omega \to [0, 1]$. It has a unique stationary distribution, μ^{ϵ} , also called a stationary state. For $X \in \Omega$, let an X-tree be a directed graph on Ω such that from every $Y \neq X$ there is a unique path to X and there are no outcoming edges out of X. Denote by T(X) the set of all X-trees and let

$$q^{\epsilon}(X) = \sum_{d \in T(X)} \prod_{(Y,Y') \in d} P^{\epsilon}(Y,Y'), \qquad (2)$$

where the product is with respect to all edges of d. We have that

$$\mu^{\epsilon}(X) = \frac{q^{\epsilon}(X)}{\sum_{Y \in \Omega} q^{\epsilon}(Y)}$$
(3)

for all $X \in \Omega$.

Let us assume now that after a finite number of steps of the noise-free dynamics, i.e. $\epsilon = 0$, we arrive at one of two absorbing states, say X and Y, and stay there forever - there are no other recurrence classes. It follows from the tree representation that any state different from absorbing states has zero probability in the stationary distribution in the zero-noise limit. Consider a dynamics in which $P^{\epsilon}(Z, W)$ for all $Z, W \in \Omega$, is of order ϵ^m , where m is the number of mistakes involved to pass from Z to W or is zero. Then one has to compute the minimal number of mistakes, m_{XY} , needed to make a transition from the state X to Y and the number of mistakes, m_{YX} , to evolve from Y to X. q(X) is of order $\epsilon^{m(YX)}$ and q(Y) is of order $\epsilon^{m(XY)}$. It follows that if $m_{XY} < m_{YX}$, then Y is stochastically stable and moreover $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mu^{\epsilon}(Y) = 1$.

References

- W. B. Arthur, S. N. Durlauf, and D. A. Lane, eds. The Economy as an Evolving Complex System II. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1997).
- [2] Econophysics bulletin on www.unifr.ch/econophysics

- [3] Condensed Matter and Quantitative Biology archive on xxx.lanl.gov
- [4] J. Weibull, Evolutionary Game Theory (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1995).
- [5] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, Evolutionary Games and Population Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [6] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, Evolutionary game dynamics, Bulletin AMS 40 (2003), 479-519.
- [7] A. Robson and F. Vega-Redondo, Efficient equilibrium selection in evolutionary games with random matching, J. Econ. Theory **70** (1996), 65-92.
- [8] M. Kandori, G. J. Mailath, and R. Rob, Learning, mutation, and long-run equilibria in games, *Econometrica* 61 (1993), 29-56.
- [9] D. Foster and P. H. Young, Stochastic evolutionary game dynamics. Theoretical Population Biology 38 (1990), 219-232.
- [10] J. Harsányi and R. Selten, A General Theory of Equilibrium Selection in Games (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1988).
- [11] M. Freidlin and A. Wentzell, On small random perturbations of dynamical systems, Russian Math. Surveys 25 (1970), 1-55.
- [12] M. Freidlin and A. Wentzell, Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems (Springer Verlag, New York, 1984).
- [13] B. Shubert, A flow-graph formula for the stationary distribution of a Markov chain, *IEEE Trans. Systems Man. Cybernet.* 5 (1975), 565-566.
- [14] J. Miękisz, Equilibrium selection in evolutionary games with random matching of players, J. Theor. Biol. 232 (2004), 23-32.
- [15] J. Miękisz, Stochastic stability in spatial games, J. Stat. Phys. 117 (2004), 99-110.
- [16] J. Miękisz, Stochastic stability in spatial three-player games, *Physica A* 343 (2004), 175-184

- [17] J. Miękisz, Statistical mechanics of spatial evolutionary games, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004), 9891-9906.
- [18] L. Samuelson, Evolutionary Games and Equilibrium Selection. (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1997).
- [19] M. A. Nowak, A. Sasaki, C. Taylor, and D. Fudenberg, Nature 428 (2004), 646-650.
- [20] C. Taylor, D. Fudenberg, A. Sasaki, and M. A. Nowak, Evolutionary game dynamics in finite populations, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 66 (2004), 1621-1644.