

Divergence and Shannon information in genomes

Hong-Da Chen¹, Chang-Heng Chang¹, Li-Ching Hsieh⁴ and Hoong-Chien Lee¹⁻³
¹Department of Physics, ²Department of Life Science, and ³Center for Complex Systems,
 National Central University, Chungli, Taiwan 320, ROC

⁴Institute of Information Science and Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 115, ROC
 (Dated: Received September 23, 2004; Revised October 27, 2018)

Shannon information (SI) and its special case, divergence, are defined for a DNA sequence in terms of probabilities of chemical words in the sequence and are computed for a set of complete genomes highly diverse in length and composition. We find the following: SI (but not divergence) is inversely proportional to sequence length for a random sequence but is length-independent for genomes; the genomic SI is always greater and, for shorter words and longer sequences, hundreds to thousands times greater than the SI in a random sequence whose length and composition match those of the genome; genomic SIs appear to have word-length dependent universal values. The universality is inferred to be an evolution footprint of a universal mode for genome growth.

PACS numbers: PACS number: 87.10.+e, 89.70.+c, 87.14.Gg, 87.23.Kg, 02.50.-r

Shannon entropy [1] has been used in almost every field concerned with information, including the analysis of DNA and protein sequences [2, 3, 4, 5]. In the field of comparative genomics however it seems not to have found any systematic application. The high heterogeneity of complete genomes in length, base composition and percentage of coding regions may make comparison based on Shannon entropy problematic. Here we show that by simple and appropriate definition of a quantity we call *Shannon information* (SI), difficulties associated with these issues are surmounted. The SI are applied to characterize distribution of occurrence frequency (FD) of k -mers, or words of a k chemical letters, in complete genomes. We present a simple relation between the SI and the relative spectral width of an FD, a relation that furnishes an intuitive understanding between SI and information in a sequence. We show that in spite of their high heterogeneity, the SIs in complete genomes can be represented by a set of genome independent universal lengths.

Divergence and Shannon information. Consider a set of occurrence frequencies, $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i | \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} f_i = L\} \equiv \{f_i | \tau, L\}$, for τ types of events, where f_i/L is the probability of event i . The Shannon entropy, or uncertainty [1], for \mathcal{F} is $H(\mathcal{F}) = -\sum_i (f_i/L) \ln(f_i/L)$. The quantity attains its maximum value $H_{max} = \ln \tau$ when all f_i are equal to the mean frequency $\bar{f} = \tau^{-1}L$. In H , Shannon was concerned with the fidelity of messages as they are transported through communication devices. Here we are interested in the information in \mathcal{F} itself. There is a general notion that information in a system increases with a decrease in uncertainty, hence we identify the quantity,

$$D(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \ln \tau - H(\mathcal{F}) = L^{-1} \sum_i f_i \ln(f_i/\bar{f}) \quad (1)$$

called *divergence* by Gatlin [2], as the zeroth order SI in \mathcal{F} . Shannon called the ratio $D(\mathcal{F})/H_{max}$ redundancy.

Suppose the set $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_m | m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is composed of subsets, $\mathcal{F}_m = \{f_i | \tau_m, L_m\}$, each having its own distinct types of events τ_m , total number of events

L_m and mean frequency $\bar{f}_m = L_m/\tau_m$, where $\sum_m \tau_m = \tau$ and $\sum_m L_m = L$. The divergence of each subset is $D(\mathcal{F}_m) = \sum'_i f_i/L_m \ln(f_i/\bar{f}_m)$, where the summation is restricted to those f_i 's in the subset \mathcal{F}_m . We define the SI carried by \mathcal{F} to be the weighed average of the divergences in the subsets:

$$R(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \sum_m (L_m/L) D(\mathcal{F}_m) = L^{-1} \sum_{m,i} f_i \ln(f_i/\bar{f}_m) \quad (2)$$

Frequency distribution in a DNA sequence. We view a single strand of DNA and as a linear text written in the four chemical letters, A, C, G and T representing the four kinds of nucleotides. Empirically genomes are invariably within a few percent of being compositionally self-complementary and, for the present study, it suffices to characterize the base composition of a genome by a single number, p , the combined probability of (A+T). From now on the term *profile* of a sequence will refer to the p value and the length L of the sequence. We will use the SI in random sequences as benchmarks for the SI in genomes. A random sequence having the profile of a genome is said to be a *random match* for the genome.

For a DNA sequence of length L we denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}}$ the set $\{f_i | \tau = 4^k, L\}_k$, where f_i is the occurrence frequency of the i^{th} overlapping k -letter word, or k -mer [6]. For $\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}}$ the number of event types is $\tau = 4^k$ and the mean frequency is $\bar{f} = 4^{-k}L$. Given $\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}}$ we can construct a k -spectrum where n_f , the number of k -mers occurring with frequency f , is given as a function of f . For simplicity we also call $\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}}$ a k -spectrum.

The $\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}}$ of a genomes is always composed of $k+1$ subsets $\mathcal{F}_m^{\{k\}}$, called m -sets, $m=1$ to k , where $\mathcal{F}_m^{\{k\}}$ is the subset of k -mers with m (A+T)'s. When a genome does not have $p \approx 0.5$, and most genomes are of this type, then the mean frequencies \bar{f}_m of the subsets $\mathcal{F}_m^{\{k\}}$ are distinct: $\bar{f}_m(p) = \bar{f} 2^k p^m (1-p)^{k-m}$. Fig. 1 [7] shows the 5-spectra of a $p=0.691$ genome, *Clostridium acetobutylicum*, and its random match. The spectrum from the random match (sharp peaks in gray (or green)) is composed

of five nonoverlapping subspectra (a sixth one is out of range), one for each $\mathcal{F}_m^{5\}$. The corresponding subspectra (dash and dotted gray curves) underlying the entire genomic spectrum (black curve) are also shown; they are seen to have overlapping widths.




FIG. 1: The 5-spectra of the genome of *C. acetobutylicum* (black) ($p \approx 0.7$) and that of its random match (green or gray), normalized to that of a 2 Mb sequence. The random-match spectrum is composed of sharply peaking subspectra $\mathcal{F}_m^{5\}$, $m = 0$ to 4. The center of the $m=5$ subspectrum is off scale at 10,500. Ordinates n_f are not integers because (for better viewing only) large fluctuations in the spectra are smoothed out by averaging over a range of 21 frequencies.

The types and number of k -mers in $\mathcal{F}_m^{k\}$ are $\tau_m = 2^k \binom{k}{m}$, and $L_m = \tau_m \bar{f}_m$, respectively, where $\binom{k}{m}$ is the binomial coefficient. As in Eq. (2) we define the SI in $\mathcal{F}^{k\}$ as the weighed mean of the divergences in $\mathcal{F}_m^{k\}$: $R(\mathcal{F}^{k\}) = \sum_{m,i} f_i / L \ln(f_i / \bar{f}_m)$. When p approaches 0.5 all \bar{f}_m tend to \bar{f} and $R(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$ approaches $D(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$. Note that $R(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$ and $D(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$ are invariant under the replacement $p \rightarrow 1-p$.

Relative spectral width. In Eq. (1), by expanding f_i around \bar{f} in the logarithm we obtain for a unimodal \mathcal{F} the series

$$D(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n=2} \frac{(-1)^n}{n(n+1)} \left\langle \left(\frac{f_i - \bar{f}}{\bar{f}} \right)^n \right\rangle \approx \frac{\Delta^2}{2\bar{f}^2} \quad (3)$$

where Δ is the standard deviation in \mathcal{F} , or $D(\mathcal{F}) \approx \sigma^2/2$, where σ is the *relative spectral width* (RSW) of \mathcal{F} . This relation is useful in at least two respects. First, it gives one a heuristic understanding why the divergence of and information in a (unimodal) spectrum are connected. When the spectrum is narrow, there is little information because all the k -mers occur with almost equal frequency. Because of the generally substantiated notion that highly overrepresented words in a genome are more likely to have biological meaning than words represented with an average frequency [8, 9, 10, 11], the obverse is also true, namely, there is more information when the spectrum is broad. Second, Eq. (3) gives an accurate estimate of the divergence in the $\mathcal{F}_m^{k\}$ (and $\mathcal{F}^{k\}$) of a random sequence. Provided \bar{f}_m is much greater than one, $\mathcal{F}_m^{k\}$ is proportional to a Poisson distribution with mean \bar{f}_m [12, 13], so that $\Delta_{ran}^2 \approx b_k \bar{f}_m$ and $\sigma_{ran}^2 \approx b_k / \bar{f}_m$. Hence

TABLE I: Shannon entropy H and divergence D in units of $\ln 2$ in the k -spectra of the genome sequence of *E. coli 0157:H7* and its random match.

k	Random match			<i>E. coli 0157:H7</i>		
	H	D	$b_k 4^k / 2L$	H	D	$\sigma^2/2$
2	4.0000	5.12E-7	1.06E-6	3.986	1.39E-2	1.39E-2
3	6.0000	6.76E-6	6.26E-6	5.953	4.71E-2	4.41E-2
4	8.0000	3.03E-5	2.92E-5	7.908	9.16E-2	8.65E-2
5	9.9999	1.28E-4	1.25E-4	9.854	1.46E-1	1.42E-1
6	11.999	5.23E-4	5.18E-4	11.79	2.07E-1	2.11E-1
7	13.998	2.12E-3	2.10E-3	13.72	2.73E-1	2.90E-1
8	15.991	8.56E-3	8.48E-3	15.65	3.49E-1	3.87E-1
9	17.965	3.45E-2	3.42E-2	17.54	4.54E-1	5.22E-1
10	19.858	1.42E-1	1.37E-1	19.34	6.58E-1	7.70E-1

$D(\mathcal{F}_m^{k\}) \approx b_k / 2\bar{f}_m = b_k \tau_m / 2L_m$ for a random sequence. Then from Eq. (2) we have a result for the SI in the $\mathcal{F}^{k\}$ of any random match, independent of p :

$$R(\mathcal{F}^{k\}) \approx b_k \tau / 2L \quad (\text{Random sequence}) \quad (4)$$

The combinatorial factor b_k should be $1 - \tau^{-1}$ for a true random sequence; a semi-empirical value $1 - 1/2^{k-1}$ is used here because a random match is not fully random: it is made to be approximately compositionally self-complementary (as most genomes are) and its p value is fixed. That the SI in a random sequence diminishes as $1/L$ with increasing L is connected to what is known as the large-system rule: the square of the RSW of a distribution associated with a random system is inversely proportional to the size of the system. We will see that the SI in genomes does not follow this rule.

Shannon information in a $p \approx 0.5$ genome. The genome of *E. coli 0157:H7* is 5.53 Mb long and has $p = 0.496$. We therefore use Eq. (1) to compute its SI. The Shannon entropy and divergence (in units of $\ln 2$) in the k -spectra, $k = 2$ to 10, of the genome and its random match are given in Table I. We notice the following: (i) For both sequences the Shannon entropy (columns 2 and 5) is in every case close to its maximum value, $2k$. (ii) For the random match the value computed using Eq. (1) (column 3) is in excellent agreement with the expected value (column 4). (iii) For the smallest k 's, the divergence is a minuscule signal buried in a huge Shannon entropy background. (iv) This tiny signal, when isolated, cleanly sets apart a genome from its random match: the genomic divergence is greater than its random-match counterpart in all cases and, for the smaller k 's, many thousand times greater. (v) Eq. (3) is verified (last column).

Difference between divergence and SI. When p is not close to 0.5 $D(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$ and $F(\mathcal{F}^{k\})$ differ. Consider the 5-spectra (not the subspectra) for the genome and its random match shown in Fig. 1. Because the \bar{f}_m 's are spread widely, their distribution play a dominant role in determining the width of the spectra. If we ignore the widths of the individual subspectra and use Eq. (3) we get $D(\mathcal{F}^{k\}) \approx D^{(0)}(k, p) = 0.5[(2^k(p^2 + (1-p)^2))^k - 1]$ for both the genomic and random spectra ($D^{(0)}(5, 0.691) = 0.488$, as compared to the actual values of 0.575 and 0.485 for

the genomic and random 5-spectra in Fig. 1). $D(\mathcal{F}^{\{k\}})$ depends strongly on p , is independent of L , disagrees with Eq. (4), and is therefore not useful for estimating the SI of a random sequence.

The monomer divergence and SI are quantities that are completely determined by the base composition of a sequence and are independent of its randomness. Whereas $R(\mathcal{F}^{\{1\}})=0$ always, $D(\mathcal{F}^{\{1\}})$ depends strongly on p and vanishes only at $p=0.5$. The genome of *Mycoplasma genitalium* has $p=0.683$ and $D(\mathcal{F}^{\{1\}})=0.0686$ (compared with $D^{(0)}=0.670$). The genome *Haemophilus influenzae* has $p=0.618$ and $D(\mathcal{F}^{\{1\}})=0.0281$ (0.0278). Since these values say nothing about the randomness or order of the sequence yet depend nontrivially on p , they convey less information about the sequence than the value of p alone.

TABLE II: Divergence (Eq. (1)) in the m -sets of the 6-spectra of three genomes and their random matches.

m	\bar{f}_m	L_m (kb)	$b_6/(2\bar{f}_m)$	D_{ran}	D_{gen}
<i>Pyrobaculum aerophilum</i> , $p=0.486$, $L=2.22$ Mb					
0	480	30.7	1.01E-3	8.54E-4	4.32E-2
1	500	192	9.69E-4	1.06E-3	6.88E-2
2	520	499	9.31E-4	9.58E-4	8.71E-2
3	541	692	8.96E-4	8.12E-4	1.33E-1
4	563	540	8.93E-4	8.80E-4	1.80E-1
5	586	225	8.60E-4	9.37E-4	1.58E-1
6	610	39.0	7.94E-4	7.65E-4	7.74E-2
<i>Chlamydia muridarum</i> , $p=0.597$, $L=1.07$ Mb					
0	68.7	4.40	7.05E-3	4.87E-3	1.11E-1
1	103	39.5	4.70E-3	4.47E-3	1.16E-1
2	155	148	3.12E-3	3.18E-3	1.15E-1
3	232	297	2.08E-3	2.15E-3	1.07E-1
4	348	334	1.39E-3	1.49E-3	1.02E-1
5	521	200	9.29E-4	1.12E-3	1.20E-1
6	782	50.0	6.14E-4	7.36E-4	1.52E-1
<i>Streptomyces avermitilis</i> , $p=0.293$, $L=9.03$ Mb					
0	89.0	5.70	5.44E-3	5.99E-3	1.73E-1
1	214	82.2	2.26E-3	2.27E-3	1.80E-1
2	519	498	9.33E-4	1.04E-3	4.21E-1
3	1252	1,602	3.87E-4	3.78E-4	2.59E-1
4	3024	2,903	1.60E-4	1.66E-4	1.33E-1
5	7300	2,803	6.63E-5	6.14E-5	6.22E-2
6	17,622	1,127	2.75E-5	2.87E-5	8.87E-2

The general case. Table II gives the divergences, D_{gen} and D_{ran} , of the m -sets in the 6-spectra of three sequences and their random matches, *P. aerophilum*, *C. muridarum*, and *S. avermitilis*. These sequences span a wide range in profile. Once again, D_{ran} (column 5) is well approximated by its expected value (column 4) and is much less than D_{gen} . D_{gen} varies but does not exhibit a clear dependence on L . The 6-mer SI, computed according to Eq. (2), for *E. coli* 0157:H7 and the three genomes of Table II and their random matches are given in Table III. Again we have: R_{ran} is well approximated by $b_k\tau/2L$, $R_{gen} \gg R_{ran}$, and R_{gen} is independent of genome length.

A new feature is manifest in Table III: in spite of their greatly varying profiles the four genomes have almost the

TABLE III: Shannon information (Eq. (2)) in the 6-spectra of the four genomes cited in Tables I and II and their random matches.

Genome	p	L (Mb)	\bar{f}	R_{ran}	$R_{ran}/(b_6/2\bar{f})$	R_{gen}
<i>E. coli</i>	0.486	5.52	1348	3.59E-4	1.01	0.143
<i>P. aero.</i>	0.514	2.22	542	8.97E-4	0.995	0.127
<i>C. muri.</i>	0.597	1.07	261	1.83E-3	1.05	0.108
<i>S. aver.</i>	0.293	9.03	2203	2.20E-4	1.03	0.144




FIG. 2: SI in the k -spectra for $k=2$ (\diamond), 3 (\triangleleft), 4 ($*$), 5 (∇), 6 ($+$), 7 (\triangle), 8 (\times), 9 (\triangleright), and 10 (\square), of the genomes of twelve organisms: E cun, *E. cuniculi* (Chromosome I; length 0.198 Mb, $p=0.533$); CM, *C. muridarum*; MG, *M. genitalium* (length 0.580 Mb, $p=0.683$); SC, yeast (*S. cerevisiae*, IV; 1.53 Mb, 0.621); MJ, *M. jannaschii* (1.66 Mb, 0.686); PA, *P. aerophilum*; E col, *E. coli* K12 (4.64 Mb, 0.492); SA, *S. avermitilis*; CE, worm (*C. elegans*, Chr. I; 15.1 Mb, 0.643); DM, fly (*D. melanogaster*, X; 21.8 Mb, 0.574); MM, mouse (*M. musculus*, IX; 98.9 Mb, 0.562); HS, human (*H. sapiens*, I; 228 Mb, 0.582). The lines give SI expected in random sequences for $k=2$ (open circles connected by dotted line) and 100 times the expected value for $k=2$ (solid circles connected by solid line).

same SI in their 6-spectra. Indeed, at least for word lengths up to 10 letters, we have found that SI varies little for complete genomes with vastly differing profiles. Fig. 2 shows SI as a function of sequence length in six prokaryotes and six eukaryotic chromosomes [7]. In the plot, the symbols in each column, top to bottom, give the k -mer SI, $k=10$ (\square), 9 (\triangleright), ..., to 2 (\diamond), of an organism. The k -mer SI of a sequence is ill-defined when the sequence length is less than twice 4^k , therefore the SIs for $k=9$ and 10 in *E. cuniculi* and for $k=10$ in *M. genitalium* are not given. We have verified that the SI in different chromosomes of the same organism vary little. For comparison the solid and dashed lines in Fig. 2 connect the expected 2-mer SI ($\times 100$) and 10-mer SI in the matching random sequences.

Genomes have universal SI. With the exception of several 2-mer SIs, all genomic SIs lie within a horizontal band bounded by 0.01 and 0.9. (For technical reasons too lengthy to describe here but which will be explained elsewhere, the 2-mer SI has the largest fluctuations.) For a given k the genomic variance in SI is only about a factor of two. In comparison the longest sequence - *H. sapiens* (I) at 228 Mb - is about 1,200 times longer than the shortest - *E. cuniculi* I at 0.198 Mb. In this context we view the genomic SI as having a genome independent but k -dependent universal value. For each k we express

this universal value, $R_{uni}(k)$, in terms of the length, L_r , called the *equivalent root-sequence*, of a random sequence whose SI is equal to R_{uni} : $L_r(k) \equiv 4^k b_k / 2R_{uni}(k)$. Then the genomic results in Fig. 2 are summarized by the empirical relation $\ln(L_r(k)) = \alpha k + C$, where $\alpha = 1.01 \pm 0.06$, $C = 3.80 \pm 0.50$. L_r is surprisingly short for small k but grows rapidly with k : $L_r(k) \approx 340$ b, 15 kb and 1.1 Mb, for $k=2, 6$, and 10, respectively.

Now, if a random root-sequence of length L_r is replicated a finite number of times, then, provided $k \ll L_r$, the k -mer SI in the product sequence is the same as

that in the root-sequence. We therefore suggest the observed universality of SI in complete genomes is an evolution footprint produced by a universal mode of genome growth, a mode that began when the genomes were very short - less than 340 b - and in which segmental duplication played a major role [13, 14]. Work exploring the implication of this notion will be reported elsewhere.

This work is partly supported by grants 92-2112-M-008-040 and 93-2311-B-008-006 from the National Science Council (ROC) to HCL.

-
- [1] C.E. Shannon, Bell Sys. Techn. J. **27**, 379; 623 (1948).
 [2] L.L. Gatlin, "Information Theory and the Living System", (Columbia University Press, New York, 1972).
 [3] P. Clote and R. Backofen, "Computational Molecular Biology - An Introduction", (John Wiley & Sons, 2000).
 [4] P. Bernaola-Galvn, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1342 (2000).
 [5] Ivo Grosse, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **E65**, 041905 (2002)
 [6] B.L. Hao, H.C. Lee and S.Y. Zhang, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **11**, 825 (2000).
 [7] All genome sequences are downloaded from GenBank; prokaryotes from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/Complete.html> and eukaryotes from http://.../genomes/static/euk_g.html.
 [8] H.O. Smith *et al.*, Science **269**, 538 (1995).
 [9] S. Karlin, J. Mrazek and M. Campbell, Nucl. Acid Res. **24**, 4263 (1996).
 [10] J. van Helden, B. Andre & J. Collado-Vides, J. Mol. Biol. **281**, 827 (1998).
 [11] H.J. Bussemaker, H. Li & E.D. Siggia, PNAS **97**, 10096 (2000).
 [12] H.M. Xie and B.L. Hao, IEEE Proc. Comp. Sys. Bioinf., 31 (2003).
 [13] L.C. Hsieh, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 018101 (2003).
 [14] L.C. Hsieh, *et al.*, Gen. Biology **4**, 7 (2003) and **5**, 7 (2004) (depository research articles).