Medium effects on the selection of sequences folding into stable proteins in a simple model

You-Quan Li,* Yong-Yun Ji, Jun-Wen Mao, and Xiao-Wei Tang

Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China.

(Dated: November 16, 2018)

We study the medium effects on the selection of sequences in protein folding by taking account of the surface potential in HP-model. Our analysis on the proportion of H and P monomers in the sequences gives a direct interpretation that the lowly designable structures possess small average gap. The numerical calculation by means of our model exhibits that the surface potential enhances the average gap of highly designable structures. It also shows that a most stable structure may be no longer the most stable one if the medium parameters changed.

PACS numbers: 87.10.+e, 87.14.Ee, 87.15.-v

Proteins are known to play a virtual role in the structure and functioning of all forms of life, and the protein folding problem is one of the most fundamental and still unsolved problems. Composed of a specific sequence of amino acids, each protein is folded into native structure (a particular 3-dimensional shape) that determines its biological function and it is widely believed that for most single domain proteins, the native structure is the global free-energy minimum[1]. The amino-acid sequence alone encodes sufficient[1] information to determine its 3-d structure. Theoretical studies on protein sequence and structure include molecular dynamical simulation[2] and lattice model[3]. The latter has absorbed much attention[4, 5] while the former takes much CPU even on huge computers[2].

For the naturally occurring varieties of amino acids can be classified[6] as either of hydrophobic(H) or of polar(P), a HP-lattice model to interpret protein folding was introduced^[4]. Based on the called standard HP model, 27 monomers occupying all sites of a cubic[5], Li et al. [7] introduced the designability to show that potentially good sequences are those with a unique ground state separated by a large gap from the first excited state. By defining the designability of a structure as the number of sequences that possess the structure as their unique lowest-energy state, they found that the structures differ drastically in their designabilities. The sequences that design the highly designable structures are thermodynamically more stable [7, 8]. Studies on the designability for a larger lattice model[9] and for an off-lattice model[10] showed the similar results. For many-letter models, the different parameters gave different results: Buchler et al. [11] got that the designability of the structure depends sensitively on the size of the alphabet, and Li et al.[12] achieved that the designability of the structure is not sensitive to the alphabet size when a realistic interaction potential (MJ matrix) is employed. Ejtehadi et al. found that if the strength of the non-additive part of the interaction potential becomes larger than a critical value, the degree of designability of structures will depend on the parameters of the potential[13].

Since useful features concerning to the protein folding and their stability can be explored on the basis of lattice model, it will be worthwhile to study the effect of media on protein folding properties. In this letter, we consider the medium effects by introducing different parameters that characterize various concentrations of medium solution. Our results give some answers to the following questions. Namely, are those sequences associated with highly designable structures universally good? how do they vary depending on media[14] where the protein is placed?

We investigate the effects of media upon the category of highly designable protein sequences, which will undoubtedly provide a clue to understand the variations in the nature selection of protein species caused by media where the protein lives. For this purpose, we must reconstruct the original HP model by introducing potential parameters to the monomers at protein's surface. The protein is figured as a chain of beads occupying the sites of a lattice in a self-avoiding way, so our model evaluating the energy of a sequence folded into a particular structure reads,

$$H = \sum_{i < j} E_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \delta_{|r_i - r_j|, 1} (1 - \delta_{|r_i - r_j|, 1}) + \sum_{r_j \in S} U_{r_j} \delta_{\sigma_j, P}$$

where i, j denote for the successive labels of monomers in a sequence, r_i for the position (of the *i*-th monomer) on the lattice sites, and σ_i refers H or P corresponding to hydrophobic or polar monomer. Here the Kronecker delta notation is adopted, i.e., $\delta_{a,b} = 1$ if a=b but $\delta_{a,b} = 0$ if $a \neq b$. As the hydrophobic force[6] drives protein to fold into a compact shape with more hydrophobic monomers inside as possible, the *HH* contacts are more favorite in this model, which can be characterized by choosing $E_{PP} = 0$, $E_{HP} = -1$, and $E_{HH} = -2.3$ as adopted in Ref.[7]. In order to include the effects caused by the protein's surrounding medium that is relevant to salt concentration[14] of a solution where the protein is placed, we introduce U_V , U_E , and U_F to represent the attractive potentials in the protein surface for polar (hy-

^{*}Electronic address: yqli@zimp.zju.edu.cn

drophilic) monomers at vertices, edges, or face centers respectively. These attractive forces arise from the medium (solution) to the hydrophilic monomers. Since we are not able to deal with a sphere surface in present lattice model, we consider different weights at the surface, saying $U_{\tau} = -\gamma_{\tau}V$. If $\gamma_V = \gamma_E = \gamma_F \neq 0$, no any new results occur in comparison to the result that Li et al. had studied. This is because the core in the cubic of the 27-site model always contains a hydrophobic core, which implies that the surface potentials merely cause a global shift in energy spectrum of the 27-site model if we impose an equal weights on a vertex, edge as well as center of a face. We then investigate several cases of non-vanishing, γ 's later on.

It has been noticed [7] that some structures can be designed by a large number of sequences, while the others can be designed by only few sequences. The designability of a structure is measured by the number (N_s) of sequences that take the given structure as their unique ground state, as was first introduced by Li et al. [7]. Additionally, structures differ drastically according to their designability, i.e., highly designable structures emerge with a number of associated sequences much larger than the average ones. For a particular sequence, the energy gap δ_s is the minimum energy needed to change its ground-state structure into a different compact structure. The average energy gap $\bar{\delta}_s$ for a given structure is evaluated by averaging the gaps over all the N_s sequences that design that structure. The structures with large N_s have much larger average gap than those with small N_s , and there is an apparent jump around $N_s = 1400$ in the average energy gap. This feature was first noticed by Li et al.[7] in the medium-independent HP model, thus these highly designable structures are thermodynamically more stable and possess protein-like secondary structures into which the protein sequences fold faster than the other structures [7]. To interpret this feature, we calculate the average distribution of the number of hydrophobic monomers for the highly designable structures and for the lowly designable structures respectively. We plot these two distributions together with the pure mathematical binary arrangement distribution in Fig. 1 where all distributions are normalized to unit. Clearly, the distributions for highly designable structures shift toward the larger number of hydrophobic monomers in comparison to the mathematical distribution. This leads to a lower energy scale because the more hydrophobic monomers there are, the lower their energy will be. Oppositely, the distribution for lowly designable structures shift toward the small number of hydrophobic monomers in comparison to the mathematical distribution, which causes a higher energy. This may interpret that the lowly designable structures possess small average gap.

Although the choices of $E_{PP} = 0$, $E_{HP} = -1$, and $E_{HH} = -2.3$ adopted in Ref.[7] fulfil the principle that the major driving force for protein folding is the hydrophobic force, the difference between the H-H contacts occurring inside protein and that occurring at surface



FIG. 1: Comparison of distributions for binary arrangement (green dot line), the lowly designable structures (red dash-dot line), and the highly designable structures (black solid line) respectively.

was disregarded. Therefore, to explore the designability affected by the medium surrounding the protein, the application of surface potential in our model becomes inevitable. We pointed out in the above that the 26 monomers are on the surface for 27-site model, which gave trivial result for uniform weights to the surface potential. On the other hand, increasing the number of the lattice sites will make the model beyond the calculation capacity of nowadays computers. However, after some further tuning the original model, we are able to obtain nontrivial and interesting results. First, we consider a "cubic shape approximation" by imposing different potential weights: $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, and $\gamma_F = 4/8$, which come from the different interfaces between the medium solution and the monomers at vertex, edge and the face centre respectively. For this parameter choice, we find there are 17 more sequences possessing unique ground state regardless of the magnitudes of V (ranging from 0.1 to 2.1) though they do not possess unique ground states in the model studied by Li et al where the effect of medium was neglected [7]. Our calculation further exposes that 14 of those 17 sequences mainly belong to the highly designable structures, and have relatively larger energy gap. We analyse all the 17 sequences, and find that the 14 ones can be related to each other by a single mutation, which implies that they belong to the "neutral island" suggested by Trinquier et al. [15]. These results confirm that protein structures are selected in nature because they are readily designed and stable against mutations, and that such a selection simultaneously leads to thermodynamic stability and foldability. Thus, a key point to understand the protein-folding problem is to understand the emergence and the properties of highly designable structures.

The second parametrization is to consider $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, and $\gamma_F = 0$, which models a protein with 7 monomers at the inside while 20 ones at surface. In this case, we find there are 48 more sequences possessing unique ground state for a wider range of magnitudes of V (from 0.0001 to 2.1), which, however, have none unique ground states in the case of Li et al.[7]. Whereas,



FIG. 2: Average gap of structures versus N_s of the structures in the case of $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 0$ for (a) V = 0.0001, (b) V = 0.9, and (c) V = 2.1, respectively.



FIG. 3: The largest average gap $\bar{\delta}_{max}$ versus the parameter V: (a) for $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 4/8$; (b) for $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 0$; (c) for $\gamma_V = 1$, $\gamma_E = 1$, $\gamma_F = 0$ case.

only one sequence designs the highly designable structure while the other 47 sequences design lowly designable structures. All the energy gaps of those new sequences are found to be V/8. Since the ratio of the numbers of the monomers at surface to that at the inside is of order 1 in natural proteins[8], and the ratio in our model is 26:1 in first case but is 20:7 in the second case, the latter case ought to be closer to the usual natural proteins. Fig. 2 shows the average energy gap for different potential parameters. Clearly, the surface potential enhances the average gap of highly designable structures, which illustrates that the highly designable structures selected by nature are more stable in proper media than in "vacuum". Recent experiment [16] revealed that the additional stability of a thermophilic protein comes from just a few residues at the protein surface. Thus our theoretical results may evoke more attention to the dependence of stability on medium effects in further model studies.

We calculate the case by assuming the potentials at the vertices and at edges with the same weights, i.e., $\gamma_V = 1$, $\gamma_E = 1$, and $\gamma_F = 0$. We find that there is no sequence beyond those of Ref.[7] to take the highly designable structures. Just like the result in Ref.[14], there are also 60 structures that possess large average gap. When we take account of the effects of medium, the average gap for highly designable structures increase apparently as the potential parameter increases, but the average gap of lowly designable structures does not change much. In all the aforementioned cases, the average gap of a single highly designable structure increases linearly with respect to the increase of V. Furthermore, we find the structure with largest average gap is not fixed for all potential parameters. Crossings between energy levels always take place when the potential parameter changes. It is therefore worthwhile to point out that the gains of stability for distinct structures vary, and the most stable protein structure in one surrounding medium maybe no more the most stable one in another medium. The plots of the largest energy gap versus the parameter Vare shown in Fig. 3 respectively for the three cases of the weights γ 's discussed in the above. In order to show an apparent change for eye's view, we have set the value of the vertical axis in Fig. 3 to be the largest average gap minus 0.21V, 0.5V, and 0.6V for the cases (a), (b), and (c), respectively. In each case is there a critical value of V across which the plot transits from a strait line to another strait line. The critical values of V differ in different cases, but the largest average gaps at the transition point take the same value $\bar{\delta}_s = 1.4137$.

We analyze all the sequences that design the 60 highly designable structures respectively. In the absence of medium, $\gamma_V = \gamma_E = \gamma_F = 0$, the energy gaps δ_s of those sequences range from 0.3 to 2.6 (see Fig. 4). Almost half of them have small energy gaps (around 0.3).



FIG. 4: The histogram for the number of sequences versus the energy gap for the 60 high designable structures in the absence of medium (left); and in the presence of medium $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 0$, V = 2.1 (right).

In the presence of medium, the energy gaps for most of the sequences with larger (over 1) energy gap rises as parameter increases while that for the sequences with small energy gap does not rises apparently. For the cases (a) $\gamma_V = 7/8, \gamma_E = 6/8, \gamma_F = 4/8$, (b) $\gamma_V = 7/8, \gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 0$, and (c) $\gamma_V = \gamma_E = 1$, $\gamma_F = 0$, the increments in energy gaps are mainly 3V/8, 7V/8, and V respectively. Whereas, there are also a small portion of the sequences whose energy gaps decrease in the medium, e.g., 276 sequences in the case $\gamma_V = 7/8$, $\gamma_E = 6/8$, $\gamma_F = 4/8$. Considering some particular structures among the 60 highly designable ones, we analyze the sequences that design them. The energy gap of the sequences with larger energy gap will mostly increase when the sequence is placed in medium, which leads to the linear increment of average gap. Our results also illustrate that the distribution shapes emerge similar for those three structures. In addition, the total number of sequence in (b) is less than in (c), but there are much more sequences possessing large energy gap in (b) than in (c).

In summary, our simple analysis of the average distri-

bution of the number of hydrophobic monomers can interpret that the lowly designable structures possess small average gap. Our model study exhibits that the surface potential enhances the average gap of highly designable structures, which implies that the highly designable structures selected by nature are more stable in proper media than in "vacuum". We obtained that the energy gap of the sequences with larger energy gap will mostly increase when the sequence is placed in medium, which leads to the linear increment of average gap. We also noticed that there is a critical value for the parameter of the surface potential, which means that a most stable structure may be no longer the most stable one if the medium parameters changed. Since a lot of studies have shown that several properties of natural proteins can be captured by simple models, our discussion in above may motivate people to model the effect of medium on all theoretical studies where the medium potential was ignored.

This work is supported by NSFC No.10225419 and 90103022.

- [1] C. Anfinsen, Science **181**, 223 (1973).
- [2] T. Lazaridis and M. Karplus, Science 278, 1928 (1997).
- [3] H. Taketomi, Y. Ueda, and N. Go, Int. J. Prept. Protein Res 7, 445 (1975).
- [4] K. A. Dill, Biochemistry **24**, 1501 (1985).
- [5] M. E. Shakhnovich and A. Gutin, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 5967 (1990)
- [6] W. Kauzmann, Adv. Protein Chem. 14, 1 (1959).
- [7] H. Li, R. Helling, C. Tang, and N. S. Wingreen, Science 273, 666 (1996).
- [8] H. Li, C. Tang, and N. S. Wingreen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 4987 (1998).
- [9] H. Cejtin, J. Edler, A. Gottlieb, R. Helling, H. Li, J. Philbin, N. Wingreen, and C. Tang, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 352 (2002).
- [10] J. Miller, C. Zeng, N. S. Wingreen and C. Tang, Proteins

47, 506 (2002).

- [11] N. E. G. Buchler and R. A. Goldstein, Proteins 34, 113 (1999).
- [12] H. Li, C. Tang, and N. S. Wingreen, Proteins 49, 403 (2002).
- [13] M. R. Ejtehadi, N. Hamedani, H. Seyed-Allaei, V. Shahrezaei, and M. Yahyanejad, J. Phys. A **31**, 6141 (1998).
- [14] B. N. Dominy, D. Perl, F. X. Schmid, and CB III. Brooks, J. Mol. Biol. **319**, 541 (2002).
- [15] G. Trinquier and Y. H. Sanejouand, Phys. Rev. E 59, 942 (1999).
- [16] D. Perl, U. Mueller, U. Heinemann, and F. X. Schmid, Nature Struct. Biol. 7, 380 (2000).