

A Constructive Approach to Gene Expression Dynamics

T. Ochiai*, J.C. Nacher*, T. Akutsu

November 10, 2018

Bioinformatics Center, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University,

Uji, 611-0011, Japan

PACS number : 89.75.Da, 87.14.Gg, 87.15.Aa, 87.15.Vv

Keywords : Gene expression, Markov property, Power law.

Abstract

The advent of new experimental genomic technologies and the massive increase of DNA sequence information is helping researchers better understand how our genes work. Recently, experiments on mRNA abundance (gene expression) have revealed that gene expression shows a stationary organization described by a power-law distribution (scale-free organization) (i.e., gene expression k decays as $k^{-\gamma}$), which is highly conserved in all the major five kingdoms of life, from Bacteria to Human. An underlying gene expression dynamics "rich-travel-more" was suggested to recover that evolutionary conservation of transcriptional organization. Here we propose a constructive approach to gene expression dynamics with larger scope. Our gene expression construction restores the stationary state, predicts the power-law exponent for different organisms with natural explanation for small correction at high and low expression levels, describes the intermediate state dynamics (time finite) and elucidates the gene expression stability. This approach requires only one assumption: Markov property.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

1 Introduction

DNA encodes tens of thousands genes (around 30.000 genes in a human cell), which can be expressed as messenger ribonuclei acid (mRNA) transcripts and then translated into protein. Proteins are essential for most biochemical processes in the cell and execute almost all cell functions. Therefore, the protein study is one of the central issues in our post-genomic era.

Protein abundance in a cell depends on many factors. One of them is whether the respective gene is expressed (transcribed) or not, and how fast it is expressed. However, direct measures of protein abundance are difficult technically. With the advent of new experimental genomic technologies as microarray chips, simultaneous expression levels of thousands of genes can be measured and monitored under different conditions [1, 2]. Though the relationship between gene expression and abundance of proteins in the cells is not completely determined, it is possible to make precise estimations about the presence of proteins from gene expressions measures. Hence, the experimental study and theoretical analysis of gene expression organization in different organisms [3, 4], and its underlying dynamics are important in biology.

Evolutional gene expression organization has recently been studied by measuring the mRNA abundance (gene expression) for tens of thousands of genes in parallel (GeneChips arrays) through several related experiments [5]. From *E. Coli* to *Homo sapiens*, the gene distribution $p(k)$ (frequency of genes that have an amount of expression k) was revealed as a stationary scale-free organization [5] (i.e., k decays as a power-law $k^{-\gamma}$ [6, 7]). This organization can be recovered by means of the underlying dynamics "rich-travel-more" explained in [5] after some assumptions are considered.

Here, in this paper, we propose an innovative construction of gene expression dynamics, which *spontaneously* re-builds the observed stationary power-law organization, by making use of only one natural assumption: gene expression has "short memory" (Markov property). In addition, our gene expression construction predicts the power-law exponent for different organisms with natural explanation for small correction at high and low expression levels, describes the intermediate state dynamics (time finite) and elucidates the gene expression stability.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we describe the methods (subsection 2.1) and present our results (subsection 2.2). In Section 3, we summarize our work.

2 Methods and Result

2.1 Methods

2.1.1 Natural construction

Why Markov property. If we say that the system has a Markov property, we mean that the future is governed by the present and does not depend on the past. Our model is based on Markov property. This assumption is very natural for biology since all biological systems obey the physical laws, which manifest Markov property.

Why probability. On the other hand, it seems very natural to use stochastic models because cells are so complex systems that we can not precisely analyse all the variables. Therefore, it is not sufficient to use only deterministic models and we must approach to the problem by using stochastic processes theory.

In Fig. 1 we sketch our construction at different level of knowledge. Next subsections explain in detail each pyramidal level, from general and fundamental concepts to particular and operative solutions (stationary organization and dynamics).

2.1.2 Markov property and Master equation.

Markov property. Let $\{X_t, 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ be a stochastic process. For $(t_n > \dots > t_0)$, the conditional probability density function

$$p(x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}; \dots; x_0, t_0) = p(X_{t_n} = x_n | X_{t_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}; \dots; X_{t_0} = x_0)$$

is defined as usual manner. It is said that a stochastic process has "Markov property", when the condition

$$p(x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}; \dots; x_0, t_0) = p(x_n, t_n | x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

holds for arbitrary $t_n > \dots > t_0$. Roughly speaking, Markov process means that the future does not depend on the past, but only on the present time. In what follows, we assume that the probability density $p(x, t | x_0, t_0)$ has the time translation invariance $p(x, t | x_0, t_0) = p(x, t + a | x_0, t_0 + a)$ for arbitrary a .

Our only one assumption is that "gene expression has the Markov property". More precisely, we assume that the gene expression level is denoted by

the stochastic process with Markov property X_t . This assumption is quite natural, because the gene expression system belongs to nature, the nature obeys the physical laws and the physical laws manifest the Markov property (see Fig. 1).

Master equation. For the matter of convenience, we write $p(x, t)$ for $p(x, t | x_0, t_0)$. Then, if the stochastic process has the Markov property (Eq. (1)), the conditional probability density function $p(x, t | x_0, t_0)$ obeys Kramers-Moyal expansion (which is mathematically equivalent to the Master equation):

$$\frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \{a_n(x)p(x, t)\}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$a_n(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int (y - x)^n T_\epsilon(y, x) dy. \quad (3)$$

Here $T_\epsilon(y, x)$ is an instantaneous transition probability defined by $T_\epsilon(y, x) = p(y, t + \epsilon | x, t)$ for sufficiently small ϵ . Details of the proof can be found in Ref. [8].

In the context of gene expression level, this equation (2) represents the dynamics of abundance of mRNA (gene expression).

How to use Master equation. We can obtain the dynamics of probability density $p(x, t | x_0, t_0)$ for any time t , from experimental data of instantaneous transition probability $T_\epsilon(y, x)$ (ϵ is sufficiently small and fixed), by the following procedure:

- (i) Given the experimental data of instantaneous transition probability $T_\epsilon(y, x)$ (ϵ is sufficiently small), we obtain $a_n(x)$ by using Eq. (3).¹
- (ii) By inserting $a_n(x)$ into Kramers-Moyal expansion (2) (Master equation) and by solving this PDE (partial differential equation), we can obtain $p(x, t | x_0, t_0)$.

¹Notice that we do not need the whole data $T_\epsilon(y, x)$ for any ϵ . The necessary data is $T_\epsilon(y, x)$ at a sufficiently small fixed ϵ .

In general, it is difficult to solve Kramers-Moyal expansion (Eq.(2)) (Master equation) in the above second process (*ii*). However, for particular cases we can solve this equation analytically, which will be explained in next sections.

In the sequel, we use notations k_i ($i=1,2$) for gene expression levels instead of x, y . For example, $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$ denotes the instantaneous transition probability of expression level from k_1 to k_2 .

2.1.3 Initial instantaneous transition data $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$

Recently, gene expression instantaneous transition probability (ITP) $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$ for individual genes, from expression level k_1 to expression level k_2 along different conditions, was obtained experimentally [5]. The following expression

$$T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma k_2)^2\epsilon}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log(k_2/k_1) - (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\epsilon)^2}{2\sigma^2\epsilon}\right], \quad (4)$$

with $\mu = -0.07$, $\sigma = 0.25$ and $\epsilon = 0.01$, faithfully reproduces the experimental data of ITP of *S.Cerevisiae*, shown in [5]. Fig. 2 shows this ITP $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$. It represents how expression level k_1 (horizontal axis) changes into expression level k_2 (vertical axis) under a small time interval. Colors represent gradual changes of values of instantaneous transition probability, from red (maximum) to light blue (minimum).

In what follows, our aim is to compute probability density $p(k, t)$ from the input data $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$, using the procedure given in the previous section.

2.1.4 Computation of $a_n(k_1)$

In this section, we compute $a_n(k_1)$ from the initial data of ITP $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$. We insert Eq. (4) into

$$a_n^\epsilon(k_1) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int (k_2 - k_1)^n T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1) dk_2. \quad (5)$$

Then, after some computation, we have

$$a_n^\epsilon(k_1) = (k_1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (z\sqrt{2\sigma^2}\sqrt{\epsilon} + (\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\sigma^2 z^2)\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{3/2}))^n. \quad (6)$$

After we take limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we finally obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(k_1) &= \mu k_1, \\ a_2(k_1) &= (\sigma k_1)^2, \\ a_i(k_1) &= 0 \quad (i \geq 3). \end{aligned}$$

Here we remark the following. Although we have fixed the value of ϵ at $\epsilon = 0.01$ in Eq. (4), the above limiting procedure $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is still valid as a sufficiently good approximation. Furthermore, it is remarkable that all a_i ($i = 3, 4, \dots$) vanish.

2.1.5 Emergence of Kolmogorov equation and SPDE

In the last section, we find out that $a_1(k_1) = \mu k_1$, $a_2(k_1) = (\sigma k_1)^2$ and $a_i(k_1) = 0$ ($i \geq 3$), where $\mu = -0.07$ and $\sigma = 0.25$. However, in order to keep the argument more general, we still consider $a_1(k_1)$ and $a_2(k_1)$ arbitrary while we assume that $a_i = 0$ vanish for $i \geq 3$.

Kolmogorov Equation. If $a_i(k_1) = 0$ vanish for $i \geq 3$, then Kramers-Moyal expansion (2) (Master equation) becomes Kolmogorov equation:

$$\frac{\partial p(k, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{a_1(k)p(k, t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2} \{a_2(k)p(k, t)\}, \quad (7)$$

where $p(k, t) = p(k, t|k_0, t_0)$.

SPDE. In addition, it is known that the Kolmogorov equation is equivalent to the following stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE):

$$dX_t = \alpha(X_t)dt + \beta(X_t)dW_t, \quad (8)$$

where stochastic variable X_t denotes the gene expression level, $\alpha(X_t) = a_1(X_t)$ denotes the instantaneous transition of the average of the gene expression level per unit time, $\beta(X_t) = \sqrt{a_2(X_t)}$ denotes the instantaneous transition of variance of the gene expression level per unit time and W_t denotes the Wiener process [9].

2.1.6 Analysis of our model

From general analysis of Kolmogorov equation, we return to our original situation where $a_1(k_1) = \mu k_1$, $a_2(k_1) = (\sigma k_1)^2$ and $a_i(k_1) = 0$ vanish for $i \geq 3$. Then, by imposing the condition $\alpha(X_t) = \mu X_t$ and $\beta(X_t) = \sigma X_t$ on the SPDE (Eq. (8)), we obtain

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t. \quad (9)$$

This reduced model is also known as Black-Scholes model in financial engineering (see Ref. [10]). This SPDE directly gives useful information about the properties of the model as follows:

- (i) *Negative μ .* From subsection 2.1.3, we know that μ is negative. This means that the gene expression level has a slightly decreasing tendency, which seems natural for biological systems.
- (2) *Rich-travel-more.* This mechanism, which regenerates the stationary power-laws, was introduced by [5]. In our construction, this mechanism is not concealed at all, and it is evident just by looking at the second term ($\sigma X_t dW_t$) in Eq. (9).

2.1.7 Gene expression dynamical solution

By using Ito formula, we can solve the SPDE (Eq. (9)) and derive the dynamics of gene expression (the *expression-temporal* probability density)

$$p(k, t | k_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma k)^2(t - t_0)}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log(k/k_0) - (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(t - t_0))^2}{2\sigma^2(t - t_0)}\right], \quad (10)$$

where $\mu = -0.07$ and $\sigma = 0.25$.² From this equation, we can compute the probability of finding that the gene expression level takes the value k at arbitrary time t . This equation explains how the gene expression behaves as a function of time. This is our main result.

²Certainly, we can formally obtain this solution (Eq. (10)) by substituting the initial instantaneous transition probability $T_\epsilon(k_1, k_2)$ (Eq. (4)) into $p(y, t + \epsilon | x, t) = T_\epsilon(y, x)$. However, this argument is not correct.

2.2 Interpretation of results

2.2.1 Results when time is infinity

The following results are obtained as asymptotic behavior of the system for large time:

(i) *Model shows an almost stationary state* : In Eq. (10), if we take limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, then we re-build the power-law distribution observed experimentally

$$p(k) \propto k^{\frac{2\mu/\sigma^2-5}{4}}, \quad (11)$$

where $p(k) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(k, t|k_0, t_0)$. Here, we remark that $p(k, t|k_0, t_0)$ essentially does not depend on both k_0 and t_0 if we take limit $t \rightarrow \infty$. The result indicates how the system is organized in a stationary state after long time³.

Once that scale-free organization has been reached, it becomes stationary. However, it is worth noticing that when the system continues evolving (time passing), the absolute values of gene expression level still continue decreasing or increasing with the time in order to keep the total probability equal to one. However, the global scale-free organization is invariant. Due to this reason, we also call it *almost stationary state*. We can see the probability distribution in Fig. 3.

(ii) *Model predicts power-law organization* : It includes prediction of the scale-free exponent γ for each different organism (or dataset). As we see in Eq. (11), the expression of γ is $-\frac{2\mu/\sigma^2-5}{4}$. By knowing μ and σ from experimental data, it is straightforward to obtain the respective value of γ . In particular, we have obtained $\gamma = 1.81$ for the organism *S. Cerevisae* in good agreement with experimental results in [5], by using $\mu = -0.07$ and $\sigma = 0.25$. The same procedure can be applied for obtaining γ values for different organisms.

(iii) *Model predicts small corrections in the scale-free distribution* : As we can see from Fig. 3, the results predicted by our construction differ slightly from a scale-free distribution. It contains a small curvature, reflecting the original Log-Normal distribution. It is worth noticing that this curvature is also found at the experimental data from [5] for low expression level (high

³This result Eq. (11) is different from that of [5]. It is because they assume $\mu = 0$ and $\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = 0$ in [5]. In our approach, μ is obtained from experimental data and we do not assume $\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = 0$

probability) and large expression level (very low probability). Hence, the agreement with data from [5] is very good.

(iv) *Model elucidates robustness (stability)* : It means that, by following our construction, any gene expression level k_0 at the initial time t_0 will evolve to the same stationary final state, self-organizing like a scale-free distribution. Hence, the final state is independent and stable under changes done at the initial state.

It is worth remarking that all these findings are supported by experimental data [5].

2.2.2 Intermediate gene expression states (time finite)

From Eq. (10), we can compute the probability of finding expression level k at arbitrary time t . Namely, this equation explains how the gene expression changes over time. We show the evolving dynamics of gene expression in Fig. 4 (*a*, *b*, *c*) (from left to right): (*a*) initial time, (*b*) intermediate time or finite fixed time (now the system is evolving), (*c*) time is very large (final state). These results are in agreement with the numerical simulated data shown in *Supplementary Material* of ref. [5].

3 Conclusions

We have developed a constructive approach to gene expression dynamics based on only one theoretical assumption: Markov property. Our gene expression construction restores the stationary state, predicts the power-law exponent for different organisms with natural explanation for small correction at high and low expression levels, describes the intermediate state dynamics (time finite) and elucidates the gene expression stability. Furthermore, our model is in agreement with the experimental data shown in [5].

Many extensive analyses have recently been done for studying the organization and topology of biological networks [11, 12, 13]. However, a theoretical and rigorous analysis for explaining the dynamical complexity of these biological networks has not been developed. An adequate and self-consistent theoretical framework would be illuminating and fruitful for understanding the cell dynamics.

Our construction may play the same role for the gene expression dynamics as the Schrödinger equation in Quantum Mechanics or the Conservation of

Energy and Newton's Law in Classical Mechanics. By following our construction, it seems plausible to predict the future behaviour of a gene expression dynamical system. This marvelous gene expressions mechanics may provide a better understanding of cell dynamics. As a future work, this approach may be extended for studying multi-gene correlations dynamics, which hold interesting information of gene functionality.

References

- [1] L.H. Hartwell, J.J. Hopfield, S. Leibler and A.W. Murray, *Nature* **402**, C47 (1999).
- [2] D. J. Lockhard, E. A. Winzeler, *Nature* **405**, 827 (2000).
- [3] C. Furusawa and K. Kaneko, *Physical Review Letters* **90**, 088102 (2003).
- [4] V.A. Kuznetsov *et al*, *Genetics* **161**, 1321 (2002).
- [5] H. Ueda *et. al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 3765 (2004).
- [6] A.-L. Barabási, R. Albert, *Science* **286**, 509 (1999).
- [7] L.A.N. Amaral, A.Scala, M. Barthelemy, H.E. Stanley, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 11149 (2000).
- [8] N.G.Van Kampen, *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*. Elsevier Science B.V.,1992.
- [9] Eugene Wong, *Stochastic Processes in Information and Dynamical Systems*, Ed. New York, McGraw-Hill (1971).
- [10] Thomas Mikosch, *Elementary Stochastic Calculus with Finance in View*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd (1998).
- [11] H. Jeong, B. Tombor, R. Albert, Z.N. Oltvai, A.-L. Barabási, *Nature* **407**, 651 (2000).
- [12] A. Wagner, D. A. Fell, *Proc. R. Soc. London B* **268**, 1803 (2001).
- [13] E. Ravasz, A.L. Somera, D.A. Mongru, Z.N. Oltvai, A.-L. Barabási, *Science* **297**, 1551 (2002).




Figure 1: Scheme of the fundamental levels of our construction. From Markov property (or equivalently Master Equation) to scale-free distribution.




Figure 2: Transition probability between two states of gene expression $T_\epsilon(k_2, k_1)$. We use analytical expression for reproducing qualitatively the same behaviour observed experimentally by [5]. Colors represent gradual changes of values of transition probability: white (maximum), red (medium), light blue (minimum).




Figure 3: Gene distribution $p(k)$ in vertical axis in terms of gene expression level k in horizontal axis. Scale is log-log. We plot the stationary state corresponding to the Log-Normal distribution. As we see the distribution is almost scale-free, with small curvature correction.




Figure 4: We show the dynamics of gene expression $p(k, t, k_0, t_0)$ (vertical axis). y (resp. x) axis represents k_1 (resp. k_2) expression level. At the top, from left to right, three different states: initial state ($t = 0$), intermediate state (intermediate t), and final state ($t \rightarrow \infty$). At the bottom, the same representation by using 3D view. Colors represent gradual changes of values of transition probability: white (maximum), red (medium), light blue (minimum).