

The Triplet Genetic Code had a Doublet Predecessor

Apoorva Patel

Centre for High Energy Physics and Supercomputer Education and Research Centre,
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

E-mail: adpatel@cts.iisc.ernet.in.

Information theoretic analysis of genetic languages indicates that the naturally occurring 20 amino acids and the triplet genetic code arose by duplication of 10 amino acids of class-II and a doublet genetic code. Evidence for this scenario is presented based on the properties of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, amino acids and nucleotide bases.

There exists a broad consensus in biology that evolution, acting through natural selection on variations produced by genetic mutations, has brought living organisms to their present state, and would continue to take it still further. Evolution attempts to explain the highly complex mechanisms of life, observed in present day organisms, as arising from accumulation of small changes on simpler predecessors and over a long time scale. Among the many possible changes in a working system, most are harmful and a beneficial change occurs only rarely. But natural selection wipes out the undesirable changes, and amplifies the rare beneficial mutation. This view of evolution is very well supported by systematic analysis of fossil records and genome sequences. In this view, it is quite logical to believe that evolutionary changes can only be incremental, because a large change in a vital part of life would be highly deleterious¹. Nonetheless, large rapid changes have occurred during evolution, and two underlying routes for them have been discovered. One route is duplication of genes, which allows one copy to carry on the required function while the other is free to mutate and give rise to a new function. This process has produced many homologous families of proteins. Another route for increasing the capability of a particular organism is the import of fully functional genes developed by a different organism. Indeed, symbiotic transfers of whole genomes have given rise to organelles, such as mitochondria and chloroplasts, in eukaryotic cells.

All these advances in understanding evolution have enabled us to construct a "tree of life", at the root of which lies a prokaryotic proto-cell that would be the common ancestor of all the living organisms. Despite these advances, the origin of life itself, i.e. how the proto-cell came about, has remained a subject shrouded in mystery. We are unable to reconstruct, with any reasonable measure of confidence, the circumstances prevalent on earth when the proto-cell came into being. The fossil records become scanty as we extrapolate back in time and as the size of organisms decreases. And evolution itself, due to its optimizing nature, has wiped out traces of earlier simpler forms of life. The simplest proto-cells that we can track back life to would necessarily possess sufficient machinery to support life's fundamental processes—reproduction and metabolism. That would still require hundreds of genes and thousands of different types of molecules, and it is too complex a system to have been readily produced from a primordial soup of organic molecules. How can we bridge the gap? The clues are meagre and conjectures abound. Our only hope for a solution is to connect the fragments of information to the physical properties of the ingredients.

Here I focus on one particular aspect of this puzzle, namely how the languages of genes and proteins

arrived at their present structure. These two languages consist of 4 nucleotide bases and 20 amino acids respectively, and are connected by a non-overlapping triplet genetic code. They are universal, and so are expected to be present in the proto-cell. But they are too complicated to get established in one go. Discovery of simpler predecessors of these languages, containing a smaller number of building blocks, would definitely be a step towards understanding the mysterious origin of life. Towards this goal, many attempts have been made since the discovery of the genetic code (see refs.1-3 for instance), but they have remained inconclusive due to insufficient data. I take a different approach in what follows, based on recent advances in molecular bioinformatics rather than biochemistry. This approach focuses on purpose of the process instead of examining various biochemical possibilities, and provides evidence that the present genetic languages arose by duplication from a simpler form containing 10 amino acids and a doublet genetic code.

Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: The language of the genes is translated into the language of proteins by the adaptor molecules of tRNA. The truly bilingual molecules in this process are the aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases which attach an appropriate amino acid at one end of the tRNA molecule corresponding to the anticodon present at the other end. The genetic code is degenerate, and several different tRNA molecules (with different anticodons) supply the same amino acid. But the synthetases are unique, only one for each amino acid. It has been discovered that these synthetases belong to two distinct classes of 10 members each^{4,5}. The synthetase classes clearly differ from each other in sequence and structural motifs, in active sites and in the position where they attach the amino acid to the tRNA molecule^{6,7}. This has led to the conjecture that the two classes evolved independently, and early forms of life could have existed with proteins made up of only 10 amino acids of one class or the other.

Amino acid R-group sizes: The backbone of polypeptide chains consists of identical repetitive units, while the side-chain R-groups of amino acids dictate how the chain twists and folds to yield proteins of various shapes and sizes. Different amino acids are labeled according to the chemical properties of their R-groups, e.g. polar vs. non-polar, aliphatic vs. ring/aromatic, positive vs. negative charge⁸. Table 1 demonstrates that each R-group property is equally divided amongst the two amino acid classes. In addition, for every property, the amino acids with larger R-groups belong to class-I, while those with smaller R-groups belong to class-II. (The molecular weights in Table 4 indicate the size of the R-groups. Note that Asn is a shorter side chain version of Gln, and His has a positively charged R-group but it is close to being neutral.) Thus the class label for amino acids is a clear binary code for the size of their R-groups⁹. This binary code has unambiguous structural significance for packing of proteins. When a polypeptide chain folds into a compact structure, cavities of different shapes and sizes are left behind. The use of large R-groups to fill big cavities and small R-groups to fill small ones can produce a dense compact structure; indeed proteins have a packing fraction similar to the closest packing of identical spheres.

Secondary structure propensities: From an evolutionary point of view, the simpler amino acids of class-II are likely to have emerged earlier than the more elaborate ones of class I. With the knowledge of a large number of protein structures, the propensities of individual amino acids to participate in various secondary structures (i.e. α -helices, β -sheets and turns) have been identified¹⁰. In particular, turns are crucial for polypeptide chains to fold into compact shapes and produce a variety of structures. Table 1 shows that all the amino acids with high preferences for turns (Gly, Pro, Ser, Asn, Asp) belong to class-II. Also, together with other members of class-II, they are capable of forming all the secondary protein structures.

Optimal 3-dim structural language: Tetrahedral geometry provides the simplest discrete language that can encode arbitrary 3-dim structures (in the same manner as Boolean logic is the simplest dis-

cretization of our 1-dim languages), and carbon is the unique element at the atomic scale for its physical realization⁹. To have the maximum versatility while a polypeptide backbone folds on a diamond lattice, this language requires 9 orientation instructions per amino acid building block. These 9 orientations have a good overlap with the allowed regions of the Ramachandran map (3 values each for angles ϕ and ψ). Each amino acid class has a special member involved in transformations beyond these 9 orientations (Cys of class-I forms long distance disulfide bonds, and Pro of class-II helps in “trans-cis” switch).

Patterns in the genetic code: The triplet genetic code is degenerate. In particular, the third base of the codon carries only a limited (either binary or none) meaning instead of four-fold possibilities. This feature, called wobble rules¹¹, is exact for the mitochondrial genetic code. Table 2 shows that the pyrimidines U,C are equivalent in the third position of the codon, and so are the purines A,G. This redundancy reduces the codon possibilities to 32, NNY and NNR. A closer inspection of Table 2 shows that all class-II amino acids, except Lys, can be coded by the codons NNY. (Note that Arg—the class-I counterpart of Lys—can be coded by the NNY codons.) A doublet genetic code NNY, with the third base representing only a punctuation mark, would therefore suffice to encode the class-II amino acids. The inference that NNR codons were roped in the language later is also consistent with the feature that all the variations seen in the genetic code (differences in nuclear and mitochondrial codes, and locations of seleno-cysteine and Stop codons⁷) are of the NNR type.

Optimal database search algorithm: Syntheses of DNA/RNA and proteins are assembly operations that construct desired biomolecules by arranging their fundamental building blocks in a specific manner. Prior to assembly, the building blocks are randomly floating around in the cellular environment, so the assembly process involves unsorted database search. The search takes place through molecular bond formation with a pre-existing template, which is a binary oracle (either it happens or it does not). Also, natural selection is expected to guide the assembly process towards its optimal realization. The optimal assembly algorithm is based on dynamics of waves and predicts a specific relation between the number of search queries and the number of items in the database¹². Its predictions match the number of building blocks involved in genetic languages (i.e. $N = 4, 10, 20$ for $Q = 1, 2, 3$ respectively¹³), when a query is identified with nucleotide base-pairing; no other purposeful explanation of these numbers is known. Explicitly, DNA/RNA have an alphabet of 4 nucleotide bases identified with 1 base pairing, polypeptides have an alphabet of 20 amino acids identified by 3 base pairings, and a single class of 10 amino acids can be identified by 2 base pairings.

Taken individually, there are minor variations in the features listed above. But the properties span three different types of molecules—aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, amino acids and nucleotide bases—and as a whole present a strong case for the following evolution of the genetic information processing machinery: The ancestors to the present proteins were those synthesized from only the 10 class-II amino acids. These 10 amino acids were encoded by a doublet genetic code of the form NNY. The set of 10 amino acids doubled by including larger R-groups for every property. This duplication improved packing of proteins without disrupting previously established structures, by filling up some of the unfilled large cavities that existed. The doubled set of amino acids were encoded in the genes by converting the third base of codons from a punctuation mark to a binary value. Subsequent small adjustments led to the present universal genetic code.

The above described “doubling of the genetic code” hypothesis firmly shifts the evolutionary emphasis from “frozen accident”¹ to “optimal solution”. This is not the first time that a primitive doublet genetic code has been proposed. But now its form is explicit, and the inputs leading to it have arisen from a different perspective. The sole purpose of the genetic machinery, apart from self-sustenance, is reliable and efficient transmission of hereditary information regarding protein structures. Considerations of optimal encoding of languages provide a direction to evolution, that can make specific choices amongst the many

possibilities thrown up by blind biochemistry. As a matter of fact, information theory and biochemistry (i.e. software and hardware) can complement each other in narrowing down the multitude of options, while trying to understand the origin of life.

The evolutionary scenario presented here can be strengthened by finding more supporting evidence. For example, highly conserved regions of ancestral proteins should be dominated by class-II amino acids (the two classes of amino acids are thoroughly mixed in present proteins). Also, it should be possible to make artificial proteins of different functionality from the class-II amino acids alone.

All this can only be the first step towards unraveling the mystique surrounding the origin of life. One may ask further, “How did the genetic machinery involving 10 class-II amino acids come about?” The optimality criteria point towards a predecessor involving 4 amino acids, perhaps encoded by one pair of complementary nucleotide bases. But understanding that would require more clues and careful modeling.

References

- [1] Crick F.H.C., *J. Mol. Biol.* **38**, 367-379 (1968).
- [2] Söll D. and Doolittle R. (eds.), *Special issue: The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases and the evolution of the genetic code*, *J. Mol. Evol.* **40**(5) (1995).
- [3] Maynard Smith J. and Szathmáry E., *The major transitions in evolution* (W.H. Freeman, Oxford, 1995).
- [4] Eriani G., Delarue M., Poch O., Gangloff J. and Moras D., *Nature* **347**, 203-206 (1990).
- [5] Schimmel P., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **16**, 1-3 (1991).
- [6] Arnez J.G. and Moras D., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **22**, 211-216 (1997).
- [7] Lewin B., *Genes VII* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2000).
- [8] See for example: Lehninger A.L., Nelson D.L. and Cox M.M., *Principles of biochemistry*, Second edition (Worth Publishers, USA, 1993).
- [9] Patel A., *J. Biosc.* **27**, 207-218 (2002), [arXiv.org:quant-ph/0103017](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0103017).
- [10] See for example: Stryer L., *Biochemistry*, Fourth edition (W.H. Freeman, New York, 1995).
- [11] Crick F.H.C., *J. Mol. Biol.* **19**, 548-555 (1966).
- [12] Grover L.K., *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, Philadelphia (1996), p.212-219, [arXiv.org:quant-ph/9605043](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9605043).
- [13] Patel A., *J. Biosc.* **26**, 145-151 (2001), [arXiv.org:quant-ph/0105001](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0105001).

Amino acid properties

Amino acid	R-group property	Mol. weight	Class	Secondary propensity
Gly	Non-polar aliphatic	75	II	turn
Ala		89	II	α
Pro		115	II	turn
Val		117	I	β
Leu		131	I	α
Ile		131	I	β
Ser	Polar uncharged	105	II	turn
Thr		119	II	β
Asn		132	II	turn
Cys		121	I	α
Met		149	I	α
Gln		146	I	α
Asp	Negative charge	133	II	turn
Glu		147	I	α
Lys	Positive charge	146	II	α
Arg		174	I	all
His	Ring/ aromatic	155	II	α
Phe		165	II	β
Tyr		181	I	β
Trp		204	I	β

Table 1: Properties of amino acids depend on their side chain R-groups. Larger molecular weights indicate bigger side chains. The 20 naturally occurring amino acids are divided into two classes of 10 each, depending on the properties of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases that bind the amino acids to tRNA^{6,7}. The dominant propensities of amino acids for forming secondary protein structures are also listed¹⁰.

Mitochondrial genetic code

UUU Phe	UCU Ser	UAU Tyr	UGU Cys
UUC Phe	UCC Ser	UAC Tyr	UGC Cys
UUA Leu	UCA Ser	UAA Stop	UGA Trp
UUG Leu	UCG Ser	UAG Stop	UGG Trp
CUU Leu	CCU Pro	CAU His	CGU Arg
CUC Leu	CCC Pro	CAC His	CGC Arg
CUA Leu	CCA Pro	CAA Gln	CGA Arg
CUG Leu	CCG Pro	CAG Gln	CGG Arg
AUU Ile	ACU Thr	AAU Asn	AGU Ser
AUC Ile	ACC Thr	AAC Asn	AGC Ser
AUA Met	ACA Thr	AAA Lys	AGA Stop
AUG Met	ACG Thr	AAG Lys	AGG Stop
GUU Val	GCU Ala	GAU Asp	GGU Gly
GUC Val	GCC Ala	GAC Asp	GGC Gly
GUA Val	GCA Ala	GAA Glu	GGA Gly
GUG Val	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	GGG Gly

Table 2: The mitochondrial (human) genetic code, which differs slightly from the universal genetic code. The wobble rules are exact for the mitochondrial code, so the third codon position has only a binary meaning. Class II amino acids are indicated by boldface letters.