# arXiv:q-bio/0312019v3 [q-bio.MN] 16 May 2004

# Topological Generalizations of network motifs

N. Kashtan<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Itzkovitz<sup>1,3</sup>, R. Milo<sup>1,3</sup>, U. Alon<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Cell Biology

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics of Complex Systems,

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100

Biological and technological networks contain patterns, termed network motifs, which occur far more often than in randomized networks. Network motifs were suggested to be elementary building blocks that carry out key functions in the network. It is of interest to understand how network motifs combine to form larger structures. To address this, we present a systematic approach to define 'motif generalizations': families of motifs of different sizes that share a common architectural theme. To define motif generalizations, we first define 'roles' in a subgraph according to structural equivalence. For example, the feedforward loop triad, a motif in transcription, neuronal and some electronic networks, has three roles, an input node, an output node and an internal node. The roles are used to define possible generalizations of the motif. The feedforward loop can have three simple generalizations, based on replicating each of the three roles and their connections. We present algorithms for efficiently detecting motif generalizations. We find that the transcription networks of bacteria and yeast display only one of the three generalizations, the multi-output feedforward generalization. In contrast, the neuronal network of C. elegans mainly displays the multi-input generalization. Forward-logic electronic circuits display a multi-input, multi-output hybrid. Thus, networks which share a common motif can have very different generalizations of that motif. Using mathematical modelling, we describe the information processing functions of the different motif generalizations in transcription, neuronal and electronic networks.

PACS numbers: 05, 89.75

# I. INTRODUCTION

A major current challenge is to understand the function of biological information-processing networks [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. These networks, as well as networks from engineering, ecology, and other fields, were recently found to contain *network motifs*: small subgraphs that occur in the network far more often than in randomized networks [14, 15]. Each class of networks was found to have a characteristic set of network motifs [16]. Information processing networks, such as gene regulation networks [15, 17], neuron networks, and some electronic circuits, were found to share many of the same network motifs [14, 16]. Recently, in the case of the transcription network of the bacterium E. coli, network motifs were shown theoretically and experimentally to function as elementary building blocks of the network, each performing specific information-processing tasks [15, 18, 19]. For example, one of the most significant motifs shared by biological information processing networks is the feedforward loop (FFL). In transcription networks, the feedforward loop with positive regulations was shown to act as a 'persistence detector' circuit that rejects transient activation signals yet allows rapid response to inactivation signals [15, 18, 19]. A second motif, the single-input module, was shown to generate a temporal order of gene expression, which correlates with the functional order of the genes in the pathway [21, 22]. A third major motif, the bifan, which is the building block of dense arrays of overlapping regulation,

performs hard-wired combinatorial decisions governed by the input functions of the output genes [23, 24, 25].

Here, we address the question of whether a given network motif appears independently in the network or whether instances of the motif combine to form larger structures [20]. If the latter occurs, what is the function of these larger structures? Do different networks that share a certain network motif also share the same structural combinations of that motif? These questions require analysis of large subgraphs, a computationally difficult problem [26, 27, 28, 29]. Recently, efficient algorithms for counting subgraphs based on sampling have been introduced [27]. These algorithms can at present be effectively used to detect motifs of up to 7-8 nodes. To go beyond this requires an approach to efficiently define and detect large structures whose architecture is based on a given motif.

To address these issues, we present an approach for uniting related groups of motifs of different sizes into families termed *motif generalizations*. This allows generalizing from small motifs to the larger complexes in which they appear. We present an efficient algorithm to detect motif generalizations. We find that networks that share the same motif can have different generalizations of that motif. For example, we find different generalizations of the FFL motif in transcription, neuronal and electronic networks. Using mathematical models we analyze the information-processing functions of the FFL generalization that is selected in each of these networks.



FIG. 1: **a.** A directed 3-node subgraph (triad) **b.** This triad has two roles. **c.** Roles in all the 13 types of connected triads. In each triad there are between one and three roles.

# II. RESULTS

### A. Node Roles in a subgraph

We begin by defining *roles* of nodes in a subgraph. A group of nodes in a subgraph share the same role if there is a permutation of these nodes, together with their corresponding edges, that preserves the subgraph structure (See APPENDIX A for formal definitions). For example, in the v-shaped subgraph in Fig. 1a, nodes b and c can be permuted leaving the structure intact, whereas nodes a and b cannot. Thus, this subgraph has two roles, role 1 and role 2 (Fig. 1b). The FFL has three roles (Fig. 1c, triad 6), whereas the 3-loop (Fig 1c, triad 7) has only one role (because a cyclic permutation of the three nodes preserves its structure). The thirteen possible connected-directed triads have between one and three roles each (Fig. 1c), with a total of 30 different roles.

# B. Subgraph Topological Generalizations

We now define subgraph topological generalizations based on node roles. Subgraph topological generalizations are extensions of a subgraph to a family of larger subgraphs which share its basic structure. Consider the FFL (Fig. 2a). For this 3-node subgraph we define three simple generalizations to the level of 4 nodes (Fig. 2b). In each simple generalization a single role and its connections are duplicated. In the first simple generalization, the X role and its connections are duplicated. This generalization is termed double-X FFL or double-input FFL. The other two generalizations are obtained by duplicating the Y or Z roles. This replication process can be continued, leading to higher-order motif generalizations, the multi-X (multi-input), multi-Y and multi-Z (multioutput) FFL generalizations (Fig. 2c).

More complex generalizations can be obtained by replicating more than one of the roles. For example, duplicating both the X and Z roles yields five-node generalizations (Fig 2d). When replicating more than one role (and in some cases replicating even a single role), one can define two kinds of generalizations: in strong generalizations, every X,Y,Z triplet forms a FFL. In weak generalizations, every node participates in at least one FFL, but not all possible FFLs are formed (Fig. 2d).

This procedure of generalization can be applied to any subgraph (see formal definition in APPENDIX B). For example simple generalizations of the 4-node bi-fan are shown in Fig. 2e-g. We now describe the statisticalsignificance of the generalizations of the motifs found in various networks.



FIG. 2: **a.** The feedforward loop triad has three roles: X (input node), Y (internal - secondary input) node and Z (output node) **b.** 4-node simple generalizations of the feedforward loop. The X node is duplicated to form the double-X generalization. The Y and Z nodes are duplicated to form the double-Y and double-Z generalizations respectively. **c.** Simple multi-node generalizations of the FFL. **d.** Strong and weak generalization rules. A 5-node generalization of the FFL with two X nodes, one Y node, and two Z nodes. In the strong generalization every combination of a X,Y,Z triplet of nodes forms a FFL. **e.** The bi-fan, a 4-node motif with two roles X (input role) and Y (output role). **f.** 5-node simple generalizations of the bi-fan. In each of the two generalizations one of the two roles is duplicated. **g.** Simple multi-node generalization of the bi-fan: an X or Y node is replicated to form the multi-input or multi-output bi-fan generalization respectively.



FIG. 3: Statistical significance of motif generalizations. The cumulative number of multi-Z FFLs in the real network (black) and randomized networks - mean  $\pm$  SD (grey) in **a**. *E. coli* transcription network. **b**. *S. cerevisiae* transcription network. **c**. The cumulative number of multi-X FFLs in the real and randomized networks (mean  $\pm$  SD) in the *C. elegans* neuronal network.

Generalization	Subgraph size	Transcriptional Networks		Neurons	Electronic chips
		E. coli	yeast	C. elegans	S15850
basic bi-fan	4(2X,2Y)	+ (N=209)	+ (N=1812)	+ (N=126)	+ (N=1040)
multi output	5(2X, 3Y)	+ (N=264)	+ (N=14857)	+ (N=152)	+ (N=1990)
	6(2X, 4Y)	+ (C=0.015)	+ (C=3.5)	+(C=0.17)	+ (C=0.28)
multi input	5 (3X, 2Y)	+ (N=20)	+ (N=81)	+ (N=25)	+ (N=226)
	6 (4X, 2Y)	- (N=0)	+ (N=14)	+(C=0.015)	+ (C=0.001)
equal multi input-outputs	6 (3X, 3Y)	+ (N=6)	+ (N=21)	- (N=0)	+ (N=301)

TABLE I: **Bi-fan generalizations in different networks.** (aX,bY) represents the multiplicity of each of the roles in the generalization (Fig. 2g). '+': Statistically significant generalizations, '-': non-significant generalizations. Number of appearances (N), or concentration  $(x10^{-3})$  (C) [27] are listed.

### C. Network Motifs Topological Generalizations

While enumerating all subgraphs of a given size is a difficult task, enumerating generalizations of a given subgraph can be performed efficiently by an algorithm described in APPENDIX C. The algorithm is based on using the appearances of the basic subgraph as nucleation points for a search for its generalizations. As an example, we applied this algorithm to networks in which the FFL and bi-fan are motifs, to ask whether any of the possible FFL or bi-fan generalizations occur significantly in the networks (APPENDIX C). In the transcription networks of E. coli [15] and S. cerevisiae [14] we find that the multi-Z FFL generalization is highly significant (Fig. 3a,b). The other two possible simple generalizations are not significant (in the E. coli network, multi-X's and multi-Y's do not occur at all, in the S. cerevisiae network both appear only twice). An example of a multi-Z FFL in the *E. coli* transcription network, the maltose utilization system, is shown in Fig. 4a. In each multi-Z FFL, the different genes (Z roles) share a common biological function (as shown in tables 2 and 3 that list all multi-Z FFL complexes in the E. coli and S. cerevisiae networks).

In the network of synaptic connections between

neurons in *C. elegans* [14, 30, 31], we find a different FFL generalization: the multi-X FFL (Fig. 3c). This structure occurs 29 times in the network, with upto 4 inputs. Multi-Y and multi-Z FFLs are found in far smaller numbers (double-X's and double-Y's FFL appear 3 times each) [32]. An example of a multi-X FFL in the locomotion control circuit of *C. elegans* is shown in Fig. 4b.

In networks of connections between logic gates in forward-logic electronic chips [14, 33, 34] we find no simple generalization of the FFL. These electronic circuits do, however, show a complex FFL generalization - a structure with two Xs, a single Y and two Zs (a weak generalization, Fig. 4c). In the five forward-logic electronic chips we have analyzed, 70 percent to 100 percent of the FFLs are embedded in instances of this 5-node structure.

The most prominent 4-node network motif in these networks is the bi-fan [14] (Fig. 2e). The bi-fan has two roles and therefore two simple generalizations (Fig. 2g). We find that both simple generalizations of the bifan (multi-output and multi-input) are significant in the transcription, neuronal and electronic networks (Table 1). The multi-output bi-fan generalizations are more significant and the maximal Y multiplicity is higher than



FIG. 4: The FFL generalizations found in biological and technological networks. a. An example of a three-Z FFL in the transcription network of E. coli, maltose utilization system. The activator CRP senses glucose starvation, MalT senses maltotriose, and malEFG, malK and malS participate in maltose metabolism and transport. b. An example of a double-X FFL in the locomotion neural circuit of C. elegans. AVA and AVD are ventral cord command interneurons. AVD functions as modulator for backward locomotion. AVA functions as driver cell for backward locomotion. ASH and FLP are head sensory neurons sensitive to noxious chemicals and nose touch. c. A generalized form of the FFL (2X,Y,2Z) found in forward-logic electronic chips. This 5-node structure appears as a part of a 6-node module, which implements XOR (Exclusive OR) using 4 NAND gates. d. Truth table of the circuit described in c (a (2X,Y,2Z) FFL generalization with additional NAND gate at the output). There are 2 input bits X1 and X2 and a single output bit which is equal to (X1 XOR X2).

the maximal X multiplicity in all these networks. In these networks we find structures of multi-output bi-fan with 10 Ys and more, while multi-input bi-fan do not exceed 6 input X nodes.

# D. Functions of multi-output FFL generalization in transcription networks

The function of the FFL depends on the signs of the interactions (positive or negative regulation), on their strengths and on the functions that integrate multiple inputs into each node. In the case of positive regulation, the 3-node FFL has been shown to function as a persistence detector [15]: it filters out short input stimuli to X, and responds only to persistent signals. On the other hand, it responds quickly to OFF steps in the input to X [15, 18]. With other sign combinations, the 3-node FFL can function as a pulse-generator or response accelerator [18, 35]. These functions apply to a wide range of interaction strengths, and to both AND and OR-like input functions.

Here, we studied the functions of the generalizations of the FFL. We begin with the multi-output FFL, which is the generalization that is significant in transcription networks. The multi-output FFL has a single input node X, a single internal node Y (secondary input) and a number of output nodes  $Z_1..Z_m$  (Fig. 2c,4a). The arrows in the FFL diagram should be assigned numbers representing the strength of the interaction of the transcription factors (TFs) X and Y with the promoters of the various Z-genes [21]. These numbers correspond to the activation or repression coefficients of each gene (the concentration of the TF required for 50 percent effect [5, 21, 36]). Here, we consider for simplicity the most common case, that of FFLs with positive regulation [18]. We employ a simple model of the dynamics of this circuit [15]. X(t) is the activity of the transcription factor X, Y(t) of Y,  $Z_j(t)$  is the concentration of the gene product  $Z_j$ . The dynamics of transcription factor Y and the output gene products  $Z_i$ is given by

$$dY/dt = F(X, T_{yx}) - \alpha Y$$

$$dZ_j/dt = F(X, T_{z_j x})F(Y, T_{z_j y}) - \alpha Z_j$$

Where  $\alpha$  is the protein lifetime [37, 38] and  $T_{yx}$ ,  $T_{z_1x}$ ,  $T_{z_2x}$ ,  $T_{z_1y}$ ,  $T_{z_2y}$  are the activation thresholds of the various genes (Fig. 5a). For simplicity we use a sharp activation function, F(U,T) = 1 if U > T and 0 otherwise. The qualitative results apply also to Michaelis-type activation functions. These equations can be solved analytically, yielding piecewise exponential

dynamics in response to step like activation profiles of X. We find that the multi-output FFL can encode a temporal order of expression of the Z genes, by means of different activation thresholds  $T_{z_jy}$  for each of the output genes (Fig. 5a,b). This temporal ordering feature is shared with another common network motif, the single-input module [15, 21, 22]. Indeed, high resolution expression measurements on the flagella multi-output FFL (in *E. coli*) showed that the class 2 flagella genes, which are regulated by a feedforward loop, are activated in a temporal order that corresponds to the functional order of the gene product in the assembly of the flagellar motor [39, 40].

The timing of activation of gene j following a step activation of X is



FIG. 5: Kinetics of a double-output FFL generalizations following pulses of stimuli. **a.** A double-output FFL with positive regulation and AND-logic input function for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . Numbers on the arrows are activation thresholds. **b.** Simulated kinetics of the double-output FFL in response to a short pulse and a long pulse of X activity. The dashed and dotted horizontal lines represent the activation thresholds  $T_{z_1y}$  and  $T_{z_2y}$ .  $\alpha = 1$  was used.

The rise time of the different genes can be tuned by  $T_{z_iy}/Y_{max}$ , where  $Y_{max}$  is the maximal concentration of Y. Note that  $T_{z_j y}$  can be easily tuned during evolution , for example by mutations in the binding site of Yin the  $Z_i$  promotor [25, 40]. The Z gene with the lowest activation threshold is turned on first after the stimulation of X. Furthermore, the multi-Z FFL can act as a persistence detector for all of the output genes (Fig. 5b): the Z genes are expressed only if the input stimulus to X is present for a long enough time. The minimal time that a saturating X stimulus needs to be present to activate gene j is equal to  $\tau_i$ . Thus this FFL generalization preserves the functionality of the original FFL motif. The turn-off order of the Z genes upon a gradual decay of X activity can be separately controlled by the activation coefficients of the X TF,  $T_{z_jx}$  [40]. Thus different turn on and turn off orders of the  $Z_j$  genes can in principle be achieved. In summary, the multi-output FFL preserves the functionality of the simple FFL, and in addition can encode temporal expression programs among the different Z genes.

# E. Functions of multi-input FFL generalization in neuronal networks

A different FFL generalization, multi-input FFL, is found in the neuronal network of C. elegans. In general, the function of this circuit depends on the signs on the arrows and on two input-functions (gates): one input function integrates the multiple X inputs to Y, and the other integrates the inputs from Y and  $X_1..X_m$  to Z. (Fig. 6a)

We analyzed the dynamics of one possible two-input FFL, where the input-function governing the Y node is an OR gate,  $X_1$  OR  $X_2$ , and the input-function of the Z node is Y AND  $(X_1 \text{ OR } X_2)$  (Fig. 6a,b,c). This choice of input-functions ensure that both Y and either  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  are needed for Z to be activated to a level that allows activation of its downstream (post synaptic) neurons or muscle cells (as is the case, for example, in the circuit of Fig. 4b, in which ablation of the neuron AVD results in loss of sensory input to the neuron AVA [41]). These input functions could in principle be implemented by simple neurons which integrate weighted inputs. The input function of Z, for example, represents strong synapses from Y and weaker ones from  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . It is important to note that the simplest equations that describe transcription networks also describe neurons with graded potential and no spiking (as C. elegans neurons are thought to be [42, 43]). In the case of neurons,  $X_i(t)$ , Y(t) and Z(t)



FIG. 6: Kinetics of a double-input FFL generalization following pulses of stimuli. **a.** A double-input FFL. Input functions for Y and Z, and the activation thresholds, are shown as gates and numbers on the arrows. **b.** Simulated kinetics of the twoinput FFL, with short well-separated stimuli pulses of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , followed by a persistent  $X_1$  stimulus. **c.** Simulated kinetics of the double-input FFL, with short  $X_1$  stimulus followed rapidly by a short  $X_2$  stimulus pulse. The dashed horizontal line corresponds to the activation thresholds for Y,  $T_{zy}$ .  $\alpha = 1$  was used.

represent neuron membrane potentials. The activation dynamics of the circuit in Fig. 6a are

$$dY/dt = F(X_1 + X_2, T_{yx}) - \alpha Y$$
$$dZ/dt = F(Y, T_{zy})[F(X_1 + X_2, T_{zx})] - \alpha Z$$

Here  $\alpha$  is the relaxation rate of the neurons' membrane potential, and the synaptic activation thresholds are  $T_{yx}, T_{zx}, T_{zy}$ .

This model shows that the circuit can act as a persistence detector for both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  (Fig. 6b). In the locomotion neuronal circuit example (Fig 4b), the FFL circuit could elicit backward motion only if the stimulation of one of the sensory neurons is longer than a threshold duration  $\tau$  determined by the parameters of the circuit.

$$\tau = -\alpha^{-1} \ln(1 - T_{zy}/Y_{max})$$

A transient stimulation would not be enough to elicit backward motion. Furthermore, we find that sufficiently closely spaced short pulses of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  can elicit a response, even if each pulse alone can not (Fig. 6c). This highlights a 'memory-like' function of Y, which can store information from recent stimulations over its relaxation time. In the basic 3-node FFL, Y can store information about recurring pulses of X. In the multi-input FFL, Y can store information from multiple inputs (Fig. 6c gives an example), and increase sensitivity to one input if the other input has recently been detected. Generally, if the summed input of the input-nodes  $X_j$  to node Y is  $S(t) = F(x_1 + x_2, T_{yx})$ , Z is activated when Y activity exceeds the threshold  $T_{zy}$ 

$$Y(t) = e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t S(t') e^{\alpha t'} dt' > T_{zy}$$

(where Y(t = 0) = 0), showing that node Y effectively integrates the inputs over a time scale of  $1/\alpha$ .

# F. Function of FFL generalization in electronic chips

Forward-logic electronic chips are networks in which nodes represent logic gates. These circuits are optimized to perform a hard-wired logical function between input and output nodes. Forward-logic chips, taken from an engineering database (ISCAS89), were previously found to display the FFL network motif [14]. Here we find that they display a specific generalization of the FFL, with two input and two output nodes (Fig. 4c). Analyzing the appearances of this pattern, we find that this 5-node generalized FFL motif is part of a commonly used engineering module built of 4 NAND gates, which implements XOR (exclusive OR) logic on the two inputs [44] (see truth table in Fig. 4d).

# III. DISCUSSION

This study presented a systematic approach for defining and detecting generalizations of network motifs. Motif generalizations are families of subgraphs of different sizes which share a common structural theme, and which appear significantly more often in the network than in randomized networks. The generalizations are produced by replicating nodes in a basic motif structure. The generalizations often preserve the functionality of the network motif on which they are based, because they preserve the roles of nodes in the motif (for example, by replicating input or output nodes). We presented an efficient algorithm for detecting motif generalizations. We find that different networks which display the same motifs can show very different generalizations of these motifs. We also demonstrated using simple models that these generalized motifs can carry out specific information processing functions. These functions can in principle be tested experimentally in transcription and neuronal systems.

The two sensory transcription networks, from a prokaryote  $(E. \ coli)$  and a eukaryote  $(S. \ cerevisiae)$ , showed the same generalization of the FFL: both networks display the multi-output FFL generalization The other two generalizations, multi-input [15, 20].and multi-Y, are not found significantly in these transcription networks. Multi-output FFL complexes are found throughout the transcription networks in diverse systems (tables 2,3). The X role is usually a global transcription factor which controls many genes, the Y role is usually a 'local' transcription factor which controls specific systems, and the Z nodes are the regulated genes which share a specific function. Often, multi-output FFLs in *E. coli* that respond to specific stimuli have a non-homologous multi-output FFL counterpart in yeast which responds to similar stimuli. The fact that the genes in these circuits are not evolutionary related, whereas their connectivity patterns are the same in the two organisms, suggests convergent evolution to the same regulation pattern [14, 45]. Examples include systems that respond to carbon limitation, drugs, and nitrogen starvation in both organisms (tables 2.3). Multi-output FFLs can also appear in systems that make up a protein machine, for example, a multi-output FFL in *E. coli* controls genes whose products make up

the flagellar basal-body motor [39] (X=flhDC, Y=fliA, Z= class 2 flagella genes). We find that the multi-output FFL can serve as a persistence detector for all the outputs. In addition it can generate temporal orders of output gene expression [40].

A different FFL generalization, the multi-input FFL, is found in the neuronal synaptic wiring of C. elegans. This network is found to chiefly display the multi-input FFL (Fig. 2c), and not the other two generalizations. The multi-input FFL has a number of input nodes  $X_1...X_m$ , a single internal node Y (secondary input) and a single output node Z. As an example we have mentioned the backward locomotion control circuit of the worm. This circuit is governed by two ventral-cord command interneurons AVD and AVA [41, 42]. These two neurons are linked in a multi-input FFL with several input neurons, such as ASH and FLP (Fig. 4b), which are head sensory neurons sensitive to nose touch and noxious chemicals [41, 42]. This circuit implements an avoidance reflex, eliciting backward motion in response to head stimulation. We find that the multi-input FFL can serve as a persistence detector for each input. In addition, it can serve as coincidence detector for weak inputs, firing only if short stimuli from two or more different inputs occur within a certain time of each other.

A different FFL generalization, with two inputs and two outputs, appears in a class of electronic circuits. This motif generalization functions within a XOR gate. This demonstrates that network motifs and their generalizations can be used to detect basic functional building block of a network without prior knowledge.

Motif generalizations cover a substantial portion of the high-order motifs in various biological and technological networks we have studied. However, motifs generalizations in the present form do not cover all possible types of families of structures that share similar architectural themes. It would be important to find additional rules for defining families of motifs beyond the current notion of motif generalization by role replication. Motifs and their generalizations can help us understand the design principles of complex networks by defining functional building blocks whose function can be tested experimentally.

To summarize, this study presented topological generalizations of network motifs, and an efficient algorithm to detect them. We found motif generalizations in several real-world networks. Networks that share the same motif were found to exhibit different generalizations of that motif. We demonstrated theoretically that the generalized motifs in biological networks can carry out informationprocessing functions.

### Acknowledgments

We thank all members of our lab for discussions. We thank NIH, Israel Science Foundation and Minerva for support. N.K. was supported by Ernst and Anni Deutsch-Promotor Stiftung foundation for an MSc fellowship. R.M. was supported by Horowitz complexity science foundation PhD fellowship.

# APPENDIX A: ROLES IN A SUBGRAPH -FORMAL DEFINITION

We classify nodes in a subgraph into structurally equivalent classes. Each class represents a role. The measure of structural equivalence that we use here is automorphic equivalence [46, 47, 48, 49, 50]. Let  $S = (V_s, E_s)$ be a subgraph, then an automorphism is a one-to-one mapping,  $\tau$ , from  $V_s$  to  $V_s$ , such that  $(v_i, v_j) \in E_s$  if and only if  $(\tau(v_i), \tau(v_j)) \in E_s$ . Two nodes  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are automorphically equivalent if and only if there is some automorphism,  $\tau$  , that maps one of the nodes to the other  $(\tau(v_i) = v_i)$ . For each subgraph S, we classify all its n nodes into roles by examining structural equivalence of all possible pairs of the nodes. By the transitivity of automorphic equivalence, we are guaranteed to get a partition of the nodes into distinct roles. This concept can be readily generalized for networks with weights on the edges or with different types of nodes.

# APPENDIX B: SUBGRAPH GENERALIZATION -FORMAL DEFINITION

Let S be the basic subgraph where  $r_1..r_L$  are the set of roles of S with multiplicity  $(d_1, ..., d_L)$  respectively. simple generalization of S is a subgraph which is formed by replication of a single role  $r_i$  and its edges to preserve the roles connectivity of S. Note that in a simple generalization only a single role is replicated. A generalized form of a subgraph is defined by a pair  $(M, V^L)$  where M is an  $L \times L$  image matrix, which describes the connectivity between roles. M[i, j] = 1 if there is an edge between role i and j (i is not equal to j), and M[i, j] = 0 otherwise. M[i,i] = 0 if there is no edge between every two nodes of role i, M[i, i] = 1 if there is a single edge, and M[i,i] = 2 if there is a mutual edge.  $V^L \in N^L$  is an Ldimensional vector which defines the multiplicity of each role. The FFL which is an example of a basic subgraph, is represented by  $(M_{FFL}, (1, 1, 1))$  where

$$\mathbf{M_{FFL}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the vector (1, 1, 1) describes the roles multiplicity: in the basic FFL each of the three roles X,Y,Z appears once. A FFL with two output nodes is represented by

the pair  $(M_{FFL}, (1, 1, 2))$ . A FFL with m output nodes (m Z-role nodes) is represented by  $(M_{FFL}, (1, 1, m))$  (Fig 2c). Such a generalization has only one degree of freedom - the multiplicity of the Z role in the structure. There are cases, such as multiplicity of more than one role, where we need additional definition in order to distinguish between different types of structures. For this we define the generalization rule r. We define two possible generalization rules: a strong generalization rule and a weak generalization rule. An example of a strong and weak  $(M_{FFL}, (2, 1, 2))$  generalization is illustrated in Fig 2d. If S is the basic n-node subgraph with set of L roles represented by the multiplicity vector  $(d_1, ..., d_L)$  then a basic n-node set is every set of n nodes in the structure that consists of  $d_i$  nodes of role i (for all  $1 \le i \le L$ ). For example every set of three nodes in the multi output FFL, consisting of the X node, Y node and one of the Z role nodes, is a *basic n-node set*. A strong generalization rule,  $r_s$ , requires that every basic *n*-node set in the structure forms the basic subgraph S. A weak generalization rule,  $r_w$ , requires that every node in the structure participates in at least one *basic n-node set* (Fig. 2d). Note that weak generalization can represent more than one unique structure of a given size.

# APPENDIX C: ALGORITHM FOR MOTIF GENERALIZATIONS DETECTION

We begin by finding the network motifs (significant subgraphs) of size n (usually n=3-4) in the network as described in [14, 15](application and source code are available at http://www.weizmann.ac.il/mcb/UriAlon/). For each motif, for each of its roles, we prepare a list of all the nodes that play that role. We perform a search for all of the generalizations of each motif using its appearances in the network as starting point. This search reduces computation time and enables the detection of significant generalization forms of the basic motifs, which are beyond reach using algorithms that attempt to enumerate all subgraphs of a given size.

In order to compute the statistical significance of a certain generalization of a motif S, we first find for each appearance of S in the network the maximal size generalization in which it appears. Then we count the cumulative number of times S appears in the union of all the maximal generalizations (up to size k). In order to verify that the generalization significance is not due to many stand-alone appearances of the basic subgraph (e.g. a single-Z FFL in the case of multi-Z FFL generalization), we subtract the number of time Sappears as a stand-alone structure in the network from the cumulative results (Note that in Fig 3 we show the results before subtractions). We compare these numbers to the corresponding numbers in randomized networks (Here we used Zscore > 2). It is important to note that the randomized networks preserve the incoming,

size I arcA app (mathefamily appCBA) Anaerobic/stationary phase   1 arcA app (mc) appCBA Anaerobic/stationary phase   3 crp fur cirA Fucose utilization   3 crp fur cirA For cirtate uptake   4 crp galS mglBAC Carbon utilization   6 crp melR melAB Melbiose utilization   6 crp melR melAB Melbiose utilization   8 metJ metR metA Melbiose utilization   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBA Osmotic stress response   11 crp nagBACD maxYZ Carbon utilization   12 himA ompR-envZ ompF ompF   13 rpoN fhlA fdhF for outilization   14 rpoN fnlAG ghHPQ ompC   13 rpoN fnlAG ghHPQ malK   14 rpoN gnlALG ghHPQ mathefamB   15 crp malY malK-lamB-malM mara   14 rpo araA ara ara   15 iff fifAG fifGCDEFGHI	$\operatorname{Complex}$	Id.	Х	Y	Z	Function
1 arcA appY appCBA Anaerobic/stationary phase   2 crp fucPIKUR fucAO Fucose utilization   3 crp galS mglBAC Carbon utilization   4 crp galS mglBAC Carbon utilization   5 crp mall malXY Maltose utilization   6 crp melR melAB Methionine biosynthesis   9 ompRenvZ csgDEFG csgBAC Carbon utilization   11 crp calF calTABCDE Carbon utilization   11 crp calF calTABCDE Carbon utilization   11 crp cagDEFG csgBAC Mantropointe   12 hinA ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBAC   13 crpoN fhlA fdhF Formate hydrogen lyase system   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnALG graC araBAD   araE araFGH araJ araJ   15 crp marKAB fuuC providaca   16 crp fik	size					
2 crp fucPIKUR fucAO Fucose utilization   3 crp fur cirA Iron cirate uptake   4 crp galS mglBAC Carbon utilization   5 crp mall maXY Maltose utilization   6 crp melR melAB Melibiose utilization   8 metJ metA Methionine biosynthesis   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBA Osmotic stress response   10 crp caiTABCDE Carbon utilization   11 crp nagBACD manXYZ Carbon utilization   12 himA ompR-envZ ompC Osmotic stress response   13 rpoN fhlA fdhF Formate hydrogen lyase system   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN malT malEG Maltose utilization   14 rpoN malT malEA Arabinose utilization   14 rpoN malT malEG Arabinose utilization   14 rpoN malT malEA Arabinose utilization   15 crp marA gaGDEFGHIJK file   16 c		1	arcA	appY	appCBA	Anaerobic/stationary phase
3 crp fur cirA Iron citrate uptake   4 crp galS mglBAC Carbon utilization   1 5 crp malI malXY Maltocsutilization   7 hns flbDC fiAZY Flagella regulation   8 metJ metA Methionine biosynthesis   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBAC Osmotic stress response   11 crp caiF caiTABCDE Carbon utilization   12 rp caiF caiTABCDE Carbon utilization   14 crp nagBACD maXYZ Carbon utilization   15 rp nagBACD maXYZ Osmotic stress response   14 rpoN fhlA fdhF Formate hydrogen lyase system   hycABCDEFGH raac malK-lamB-malM malK-lamB-malM   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Maltose utilization   15 rp marA malK-lamB-malM malK-lamB-malM   16 crp araC araFGH araFGH   17 rob marAAB fumC progresitance   16 rp fiAAC fiBADC fiBADC   16 rp<		2	$\operatorname{crp}$	fucPIKUR	fucAO	Fucose utilization
4   crp   galS   mglBAC   Carbon utilization     1   5   crp   malR   mAXY   Maltose utilization     6   crp   melR   melAB   Melibiose utilization     8   metJ   metR   metA   Methionine biosynthesis     9   ompR-envZ   cgDEFG   cgBA   Osmotic stress response     11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     12   himA   ompR-envZ   ompC   Osmotic stress response     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     hycABCDEFGH   nac   nac   nac     14   rpoN   ghALG   ghHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     nac   nac   nac   nac   nac     14   rpoN   ghALG   ghHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     nac   nac   nac   nac   nac     15   crp   m		3	$\operatorname{crp}$	fur	cirA	Iron citrate uptake
1 5 crp mall malXY Maltose utilization   6 crp melR molAB Melibiose utilization   7 hns fhDC fiAZY Flagella regulation   8 metJ metA Methionine biosynthesis   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBA Osmotic stress response   10 crp caiTABCDE Carnitine metabolism   11 crp nagBACD manXYZ Carbon utilization   12 himA ompR-envZ ompC Osmotic stress response   13 rpoN fhlA fdhF formate hydrogen lyase system   hycABCDEFGH nac malf malf   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   nac nac nac nac   3 15 crp malT malEFG Maltose utilization   malK nac araBAD araFGH araFGH   4 17 rob marRAB fumC progen   5 18 fhDC fliAZY flgBCDEFGHIJK flagella system   malK araG araFGH araFGH araFGH   araj fumC		4	$\operatorname{crp}$	galS	mglBAC	Carbon utilization
6 crp melR melAB Melibiose utilization   7 hns nDCC fiAZY Flagella regulation   8 metA Methionne biosynthesis omotic stress response   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG cgBA Carnitine metabolism   10 crp caiF caiTABCDE Carnitine metabolism   11 crp nagBACD maxYZ Carbon utilization   12 himA ompR-envZ ompC Osmotic stress response   13 rpoN fhlA fhFF Formate hydrogen lyase system   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnALG malFG malFG   15 crp malT malEG malFG   16 rp araC araFa araFGH   17 rob marRAB fumC prus prus   16 fhDC fisACT prus prus   17 rob marRAB fgBCDEFGHIJK flagella system   18 fhDC fisACT fisACT prus   19 fnr <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>5</td><td><math>\operatorname{crp}</math></td><td>malI</td><td>malXY</td><td>Maltose utilization</td></t<>	1	5	$\operatorname{crp}$	malI	malXY	Maltose utilization
7   hns   fhDC   fiAZY   Flagella regulation     8   metA   metA   Methionine biosynthesis     9   ompR-envZ   csgDEG   csgBA   Osmotic stress response     10   crp   caiF   caiTABCDE   Carnitine metabolism     11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     12   himA   ompR-envZ   Osmotic stress response     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   glnAQ   malEFG   Matose utilization     14   rpoN   glnAQ   malEFG   Matose utilization     15   crp   malT   malEFG   Matose utilization     16   rp   araC   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     17   rob   marRAB   fumC   prus   prus     18   fthDC   fiAZY   fgBCDEFGHIJK   prus   prus     19   fthA   fiAZY   fgBCDEFGHIJK   flie   prus     10   fthA   rpum   marAG   prus   prus   prus <td></td> <td>6</td> <td><math>\operatorname{crp}</math></td> <td>melR</td> <td>melAB</td> <td>Melibiose utilization</td>		6	$\operatorname{crp}$	melR	melAB	Melibiose utilization
8 metJ metA Methionine biosynthesis   9 ompR-envZ csgDEFG csgBA Osmotic stress response   10 crp caiTABCDE fixABCX   11 crp nagBACD manXYZ Carbon utilization   12 himA ompR-envZ ompC Osmotic stress response   13 rpoN fhlA fdhF Formate hydrogen lyase system   14 rpoN glnAQ glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnAG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN glnAG glnHPQ Maltose utilization   14 rpoN glnAG araBAD araBAD   15 crp malT malEFG Maltose utilization   16 crp araC araFGH araJ   17 rob marRAB fumC prug resistance   16 fihAZ fibADE fibADE fibADE   17 rob marAAB fumC prug resistance   18 fhDC fliAZY flgBDEPGHJK flagella system   19 fnr arcA cyoABCDE araerobic metabolism   104 rodA cyoABCDE <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>hns</td> <td>flhDC</td> <td>fliAZY</td> <td>Flagella regulation</td>		7	hns	flhDC	fliAZY	Flagella regulation
9   ompR-env2   csgDEFG   csgBA   Osmotic stress response     10   crp   caiF   caiTABCDE   Carnitine metabolism     11   crp   nagBACD   maXYZ   Carbon utilization     12   limA   ompR-env2   ompC   Osmotic stress response     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdbF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     15   rpoN   maIT   maEFG   Malose utilization     malk-lamB-malM   malk-lamB-malM   malk-lamB-malM   araA     16   crp   araA   araFGH   araJ     17   rob   marRAB   funC   Drug resistance     nfo   sodA   araJ   araJ   araJ     18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   fliBAE     file   file   file   file     file   fileA   fileA   file     file   fileA   fileA   file   file<		8	metJ	metR	metA	Methionine biosynthesis
10   crp   caiF   caiTABCDE   Carnitine metabolism     11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     12   himA   ompR-enz   ompC   Osmotic stress response     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   glnHQ   Portered   Nitrogen utilization     14   rpoN   glnHQ   Matose utilization   nac     30   14   rpoN   malFG   Matose utilization     31   crp   malT   malEFG   Matose utilization     32   frp   rpoN   araC   araFGH     34   frp   marARAB   fumC   prug resistance     15   rob   marARAB   fumC   prug resistance     16   rpoN   faiADY   fgBCDEFGHIJK   flagella system     17   rob   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   flagella system     16   ris   ris   ris   ris     17   fl   fliA   crA   glACB   ris     18   fliDC		9	ompR-envZ	$\operatorname{csgDEFG}$	csgBA	Osmotic stress response
11   crp   nagBACD   manXYZ   Carbon utilization     12   himA   ompR-env2   ompC   Osmotic stress response     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   fnlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   fnlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   fnlA   malEFG   Maltose utilization     30   15   crp   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     matK-lamB-malM   malS   malS   matS   matS     14   rpoN   marAM   fumC   prome soda   prome soda     15   rpoN   marRAB   fumC   prome soda   prome soda   prome soda     15   rob   marRAB   fumC   prome soda   prome soda   prome soda     15   rob   marRAB   fumC   prome soda   prome soda   prome soda     16   rob   ref   ref   ref   prome soda   prome soda   prome soda     15   rob		10	crp	caiF	caiTABCDE	Carnitine metabolism
11   crp   nagBACD   maXYZ   Carbon utilization     nagE   magE   magBACD   magE   magE     12   himA   ompR-envZ   ompC   Osmotic stress response ompF     13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system hycABCDEFGH     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Maltose utilization     15   crp   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     16   crp   araC   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     17   rob   marRAB   fumC   porgenetication     18   rob   marRAB   fumC   porgenetication     19   rob   marRAB   fumC   porgenetication     19   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   fliBAE     fliE   fliFGHIJK   fliEGHIJK   fliEGHIJK     fliE   fliEGHIJK   fliEGA   porgenetication     fliE   fliEA   fliEA   fliEA     fliE   fliLMNOPQR   fliEA   f					fixABCX	
12 12 hmA nagE ompC ompG ompF Osmotic stress response ompF   13 rpoN fhlA fdhF fdhF Formate hydrogen lyase system hycABCDEFGH   14 rpoN glnALG glnHPQ Nitrogen utilization   14 rpoN malT malEFG Maltose utilization   15 crp malT malEFG Maltose utilization   16 crp araC araBAD Arabinose utilization   17 rob marRAB fumC point   18 fhDC filACY fgBCDEFGHIJK point   19 fhDC filACY fgBCDEFGHIJK point   10 point point point point   10 point point point point   10 point point point point   11 point filaC point point   12 filA filAC filAC point   13 filA filBAE <td< td=""><td></td><td>11</td><td><math>\operatorname{crp}</math></td><td>nagBACD</td><td>manXYZ</td><td>Carbon utilization</td></td<>		11	$\operatorname{crp}$	nagBACD	manXYZ	Carbon utilization
2   12   himA   ompR-envZ   ompF     mpF   ompF   filA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system hycABCDEFGH     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     3   15   crp   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     malS   malF   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     araE   araJ   araJ   araJ     4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     nfo   sodA   zwf   promethyse   filE   filE     5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     nfie   filfGHIJK   file   file   file     7   19   fnr   arcA   cyoABCDE   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pfB   glpACB   ficA   ficA   ficA     4   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pfB   glpACB   ficA					nagE	
13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system hycABCDEFGH hycABCDEFGH hycABCDEFGH     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization nac     3   15   crp   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization malK-lamB-malM     malS   ra   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     4   17   crp   marAB   fumC     no   naraG   araFGH   araFGH     44   17   rob   marRAB   fumC     nfo   araJ   araJ   araJ     5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK     fliE   filfGHIJK   fliBALE   fliFGHIJK     fliE   filfGHIJK   fliBALE   fliGHIJK     fligHIJK   filfGHIJK   fliAA   fliAA     fligHACB   cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   fligHACB     jlighACB   icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   flighACB     icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   flighACB   flighACB	2	12	himA	ompR-envZ	ompC	Osmotic stress response
13   rpoN   fhlA   fdhF   Formate hydrogen lyase system     14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     14   rpoN   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     15   crp   malT   malE-G   Maltose utilization     16   crp   araC   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     17   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     16   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     15   fliADC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     16   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     17   rob   marRAB   flimG   Flagella system     18   flhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     19   flr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   glpACB   icdA   inuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN     icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sidhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   icda   icda					ompF	
14   rpoN   glnALG   hycABCDEFGH   Nitrogen utilization     3   15   crp   malT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     malK-lamB-malM   malK-lamB-malM   Maltose utilization     malK   rop   araC   araBAD     araF   araF   araJ   Arabinose utilization     4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     16   rop   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     16   fun   fumC   Drug resistance     17   rob   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     fhBAE   fliE   fliFGHIJK   fliE     15   18   flnAC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK     fliE   fliGHIJK   fliE   fliE     fliE   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   fliE     fliE   fliAGNOPQR   Anaerobic metabolism     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB     fliA   jgpACB   jgpACB   jgpACB     icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   huoABCDEFGHIJKLMN		13	rpoN	fhlA	fdhF	Formate hydrogen lyase system
14   rpoN   glnALG   glnHPQ   Nitrogen utilization     3   15   crp   maIT   malEFG   Maltose utilization     malK-lamB-malM   malS   malS   Arabinose utilization     16   crp   araC   araBAD   Arabinose utilization     4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     5   18   flhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   fliBAE     fliE   fliFGHJK   fliE   fliFGHJK   fliE     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     6/20-ABB   glpACB   iccA   muABB   funABC   index     arcA   cydAB   anaerobic metabolism   funABB   index   index     6/20-ABB   glpACB   iccA   index   index   index     6/20-ABB-b0725-sucABCD   iccA   muABB-b0725-sucABCD   index   index					hycABCDEFGH	
1   1		14	rpoN	glnALG	$_{\rm glnHPQ}$	Nitrogen utilization
3   15   crp   malT   malEFG malK-lamB-malM malS   Maltose utilization     16   crp   araC   araBAD araE araFGH araJ   Arabinose utilization     4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC fumC no   Drug resistance     5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK fliE fliFGHIJK fliLMNOPQR   Flagella system     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB cyoABCDE focA-pflB glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   Anaerobic metabolism					nac	
1   Imate   malK-lamB-malM     malS   malS     1   Crp   araC     araE   araFGH     araJ   Drug resistance     nfo   sodA     zwf   zwf     5   18   fhDC     18   fhDC   fliAZY     19   fnr   fiAZA     7   19   fnr     7   19   fnr     8   arcA     9   arcA     9   arcA     19   fnr     19   fnr     10   arcA     11   glpACB     icdA   icdA     icdA	3	15	crp	malT	malEFG	Maltose utilization
Indext constraintsIndext constraintsIndext constraintsIndext constraints416rparaCaraBADArabinose utilization417robmarRABfumCanaprogressionts6narAGnarAGAprogressiontsprogressionts518fhDCfliAZYflgBCDEFGHIJKfliBAE6nifliEfliEfliE719fnrarcAcydABAnaerobic metabolism719fnrarcAcydABAnaerobic metabolism719fnrarcAglpACBfliACH6nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMNglpACBfliACHfliACH6nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMNfliACHfliACH					malK-lamB-malM	
16   crp   araC   araBAD araE araFGH araJ   Arabinose utilization     4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC fumC sodA zwf   Drug resistance     5   18   flhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK fliBAE fliE fliFGHIJK fliEGHIJK fliEGHIJK   Flagella system     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB cyoABCDE focA-pflB glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   Anaerobic metabolism					malS	
4   Image: A structure in the		16	crp	araC	araBAD	Arabinose utilization
4   17   rob   marRAB   araFGH   araJ     4   17   rob   fumC   Drug resistance     16   sodA   sodA   ruf     5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     6   HiBAE   fliE   HIMOPQR   HIMOPQR     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     6   cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   glpACB   HADE   HADE     6   icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   HADE   HADE					araE	
4   17   rob   marRAB   araJ   Drug resistance     10   nfo   sodA   wf   unterpresentation     5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     6   11   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     100ABCDEFGHIJKLMN   glpACB   icdA   inuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   inuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN					araFGH	
4   17   rob   marRAB   fumC   Drug resistance     nfo   sodA   with   marRAB   fumC   mode     50   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     15   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     16   fliE   fliE   fliE   fliE     17   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     162   jgpACB   jcdA   jnoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   jnoABCDEFGHIJKLMN     18   intervention   intervention   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   intervention					araJ	
18   Image: Anticipation of the section of the secti	4	17	rob	marRAB	fumC	Drug resistance
18   flhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK flbBAE fliE fliFGHIJK fliLMNOPQR   Flagella system     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB cyoABCDE focA-pflB glpACB icdA   Anaerobic metabolism     8   unoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   arca   cydAB					nfo	
Image: system zwf   5 18 fhDC fliAZY flgBCDEFGHIJK Flagella system   fhbAE fliE fliF fliF   fliF fliFGHIJK fliLMNOPQR fliLMNOPQR   7 19 fnr arcA cydAB   glpACB glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					sodA	
5   18   fhDC   fliAZY   flgBCDEFGHIJK   Flagella system     fhBAE   fliE   fliFGHIJK   fliEMNOPQR     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     glpACB   icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   Anaerobic metabolism					zwf	
19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   glpACB   icdA     nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   identified	5	18	flhDC	fliAZY	flgBCDEFGHIJK	Flagella system
19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     10   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     10   focA-pflB   glpACB   icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN     8dhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					flhBAE	
19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   glpACB   icdA     nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD   attribute					fliE	
n n fildMNOPQR   fildman arcA cydAB Anaerobic metabolism   cyoABCDE focA-pflB glpACB icdA   nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD and an an and an and an					fliFGHIJK	
7   19   fnr   arcA   cydAB   Anaerobic metabolism     cyoABCDE   focA-pflB   glpACB   icdA     nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN   sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					fliLMNOPQR	
cyoABCDE focA-pflB glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD	7	19	fnr	arcA	cydAB	Anaerobic metabolism
focA-pflB glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					cyoABCDE	
glpACB icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					focA-pflB	
icdA nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					glpACB	
nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					icdA	
sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD					nuoABCDEFGHIJKLMN	
					sdhCDAB-b0725-sucABCD	

TABLE II: Feedforward loops in *E. coli* transcription network classified into multi-Z complexes. Complex size is the number of operons (Z-role nodes) in the FFL generalization

outgoing and mutual edge degree for each node. The networks are not constrained to have the same number of 3-node or higher subgraphs as in the real network (in [14] in contrast, 4-node motifs were detected based on randomized networks that preserved 3-node subgraph counts).

The network is described by a directed interaction graph G = (V, E), where V is the set of nodes and E is the set of edges. An edge  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$  represents a directed link between nodes  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ . For every n-node subgraph S which is detected as a network motif [14, 15] we search for its simple generalizations (multiplicity of one of the roles). We begin by building an induced graph G' = (V', E'). The nodes in G' are only those that act as members (nodes) of S appearances in G, and the edges are only the edges in G between these nodes. G' is usually a much smaller graph then G, but it contains all the information we need for our purpose. For each simple generalization type j (multiplicity of the j-th role of the subgraph) the following is performed: A non-directed graph  $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$  is built where each node represents a specific basic subgraph S in G (a specific set of nodes in G that form a subgraph of type S). The number of nodes in  $\hat{G}$  equals the number of times Sappears in the original graph G. Two nodes in  $\hat{G}$  are

Complex	Id.	Х	Y	Z	Function
size					
	1	TUP1	RME1	IME1	Meiosis
	2	RIM101	IME1	DIT1	Sporulation
	3	MIG1	HAP2-3-4-5	CYC1	Formation of apocytochromes
	4	MIG1	GAL4	GAL1	Galactokinase
1	5	MIG1	CAT8	JEN1	Lactate uptake
	6	MIG2	CAT8	JEN1	(2X-FFL complex)
	7	GAT1	DAL80-GZF3	GAP1	Nitrogen utilization
	8	TUP1	ALPHA1	MFALPHA1	Mating factor alpha
	9	GAL11	ALPHA1	MFALPHA1	(2X-FFL complex)
	10	TUP1	ROX1	ANB1	Anaerobic metabolism
				CYC7	
	11	GLN3	GAT1	GAP1	Nitrogen utilization
				GLN1	Glutamate synthetase
2	12	GLN3	GAT1	DAL80	Nitrogen utilization
				GLN1	Glutamate synthetase
	13	GLN3	DAL80-GZF3	GAP1	Nitrogen utilization
				UGA4	
	14	PDR1	YRR1	SNQ2	Drug resistance
				YOR1	_
	15	GCN4	MET4	MET16	Methionine biosynthesis
				MET17	
-	16	HAP1	ROX1	ERG11	Anaerobic metabolism
				HEM13	
3				CYC7	
-	17	SPT16	SWI4-SWI6	CLN1	Cell cycle and
				CLN2	mating type switch
				но	0.01
-	18	GCN4	LEU3	ILV1	Leucine and branched amino
				ILV2	acid biosynthesis
				ILV5	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
4				LEU4	
	19	UME6	INO2-INO4	CHO1	Phospholipid biosynthesis
				CHO2	
				INO1	
				OPI3	
6	20	PDR1	PDR3	HXT11	Drug resistance
				HXT9	-
				IPT1	
				PDR5	
				SNQ2	
				YOR1	
15	21	GLN3	DAL80	CAN1	Nitrogen utilization
				DAL1	_
				DAL2	
				DAL3	
				DAL4	
				DAL5	
				DAL7	
				DCG1	
				DUR1	
				DUR3	
				GDH1	
				PUT1	
				PUT2	
				PUT4	
				UGA1	
				UGA1	

TABLE III: Feedforward loops in *S. cerevisiae* transcription network classified into multi-Z complexes. Complex size is the number of genes (Z-role nodes) in the FFL generalization.

connected if and only if they follow the generalization type, j, and the generalization rule (strong or weak). Setting the edges in  $\hat{G}$  is done efficiently by using the appearances of the basic subgraph in G' as starting points. For each specific 'starting point' subgraph  $S_1$ in G' we pass through all the 'neighboring' subgraphs  $S_2$  ('neighboring' in the sense that they share all node roles excluding j-th node roles) and check if the joint subgraph  $(S_1 \bigcup S_2)$  in G' forms a generalization type j. After setting all edges in  $\hat{G}$ , the next step is to find all maximal cliques [51] (a group of nodes in which every two are connected) in  $\hat{G}$ . Each maximal clique represents a maximal generalization type i of S (i.e. the generalization with maximal number of appearances of the basic subgraph). We store the size and the members (nodes in the original network) of all maximal generalizations. Complex generalizations (when more than one role is replicated) were detected in a similar way by appropriately changing the rules for setting the edges in  $\tilde{G}$ .

# APPENDIX D: NETWORK DATABASES

Transcr	ription	network	of	E.c	eoli	[15],
version	1.1	(N=423,	E=51	9)	available	$\operatorname{at}$

- L.H. Hartwell, J.J. Hopfield, S. Leibler, and A.W. Murray, Nature 402: C47-52 (1999).
- [2] C.A. Ouzounis and P.D. Karp, Genome Res. 10: 568-576 (2000).
- [3] H. McAdams and A. Arkin, Curr. Biol. 10: R318-320 (2000).
- [4] M.B. Elowitz, and S. Leibler, Nature **403**:335-338 (2000).
- [5] M.A. Savageau, Chaos **11**: 142-159 (2001).
- [6] C.V. Rao and A.P. Arkin, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 3: 391-419 (2001).
- [7] S.H. Strogatz, Nature 410: 268-276 (2001).
- [8] H. Bolouri and E.H. Davidson Bioessays 24:1118-1129 (2002).
- [9] J. Hasty, D. McMillen, and J.J. Collins Nature 420: 224-230 (2002).
- [10] C.C. Guet, M.B. Elowitz, W. Hsing, and S. Leibler, Science 296: 1466-1470 (2002).
- [11] J.J. Tyson, K.C. Chen, and B. Novak, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 15: 221-231 (2003).
- [12] S. Maslov. K. Sneppen, Science 296(5569):910-3 (2002).
- [13] M. Newman, SIAM Review 45: 167-256 (2003).
- [14] R. Milo, S. Shen-Orr, S. Itzkovitz, N. Kashtan, D. Chklovskii, and U. Alon, Science 298: 824-827 (2002).
- [15] S. Shen-Orr, R. Milo, S. Mangan, and U. Alon, Nat. Genet. **31**: 64-68 (2002).
- [16] R. Milo et. al. Science **303**: 1538-1542 (2004).
- [17] T.I. Lee et. al. Science **298**: 799-804 (2002).
- [18] S. Mangan and U. Alon, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 100(21):11980-5 (2003)

[19] S. Mangan, A. Zaslaver, and U. Alon, J. Mol. Biol. 334(2):197-204 (2003).

http://www.weizmann.ac.il/mcb/UriAlon/

Transcription network of veast (S. cere-

[14], version 1.3 (N=685, E=1052) available

The Neuronal synaptic connection network

[14] were obtained by parsing

http://www.weizmann.ac.il/mcb/UriAlon/

based on selected data from [14, 53]. (N=number

of nodes, E=number of edges). Self edges were ex-

of C. elegans (N=280, E=400) was based on [30] as

arranged in [31]. The network was compiled with a

cutoff of at least 5 synapses for connections between

neurons. Target muscle cells were excluded. Electronic

generalizations data (Table 1) are shown for chip S15850

(N=10383, E=14240), and are representative of all logic

based on selected data from

the ISCAS89 benchmark data set

www.cbl.ncsu.edu/CBL\_Docs/iscas89.html .

ature.

visiae)

cluded.

forward-logic chips

chips in the database.

 $\operatorname{at}$ 

- [20] R. Dobrin, Q.K.Beg, A.L. Barabasi, Z.N. Oltvai, BMC Bioinformatics 5(1):10 (2004).
- [21] M. Ronen, R. Rosenberg, B.I. Shraiman, and U. Alon, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 99: 10555-10560 (2002).
- [22] A. Zaslaver, A. Mayo, M. Surette, N. Rosenberg, P. Bashkin, H. Sberro, M. Tsalyuk, and U. Alon, Nat. Genet. 36(5): 486-91 (2004).
- [23] C.H. Yuh, H. Bolouri, and E.H. Davidson Science 279: 1896-1902 (1998).
- [24] N. Buchler, U. Gerland, and T. Hwa, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 100: 5136-5141 (2003).
- [25] Y. Setty, A.E. Mayo, M.G. Surette, and U. Alon, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 100(13):7702-7 (2003).
- [26] S. Itzkovitz, R. Milo, N. Kashtan, G. Ziv, and U. Alon. Phys Rev. E 68: 026127 (2003).
- [27] N. Kashtan, S. Itzkovitz, R. Milo, and U. Alon, Bioinformatics, advance access '10.1093/bioinformatics/bth163' (2004).
- [28] J. Nesetril and S. Poljak, Commen. Math. Univ. Carol. 26: 415-419 (1985).
- [29] F. Harary, and E.M. Palmer, *Graphical Enumeration*. (Academic Press, NY, 1973).
- [30] J. White, E. Southgate, J. Thomson, and S. Brenner, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. B 314: 1-340 (1986).
- [31] T.B. Achacoso and W.S. Yamamoto, AY's Neuroanatomy of C. elegans for Computation. (CRC Press, 1992).
- [32] We note that in the neuronal network where edges rep-

was

was

[14, 52] and liter-

[33] available at

Bi-fan

resent all synaptic connections (not only those with 5 or more synapses), we find numerous examples of the multi-Z and multi-Y FFLs, with the multi-X FFL the most common structure (data not shown).

- [33] F. Brglez, D. Bryan, and K. Kozminski Combinational Profiles of Sequential Benchmark Circuits. Proc. IEEE Int. Symposium on Circuits and Systems: 1929-1934 (1989).
- [34] R.F. Cancho, C. Janssen, and R. V. Sol Phys. Rev. E 64: 046119 (2001).
- [35] S. Basu, R. Mehreja, S. Thiberge, M.T. Chen, R. Weiss, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 101(17):6355-60 (2004).
- [36] H. McAdams and A. Arkin, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 27: 199-224 (1998).
- [37] N. Rosenfeld, M.B. Elowitz, and U. Alon, J. Mol. Biol. 323: 785-793 (2002).
- [38] N. Rosenfeld and U. alon, J. Mol. Biol. **329**:645-654 (2003).
- [39] S. Kalir, J. McClure, K. Pabbaraju, C. Southward, M. Ronen, s. Leibler, M.G. Surette, U. Alon, Science 292: 2080-2083 (2001).
- $\left[ 40\right]$  S. Kalir and U. Alon . Cell in press (2004).
- [41] M. Chalfie, J.E. Sulston, J.G. White, E. Southgate, J.N. Thomson, and S. Brenner, The Journal of Neuroscience 5: 956-964 (1985).
- [42] I.A. Hope C. elegans A practical approach. (Exford uni-

versity press, 1999).

- [43] M.B. Goodman, D.H. Hall, L. Avery, and S.R. Lockery, Neuron 20: 763-772 (1998).
- [44] M.C. Hansen, H. Yaclin, and J.P. Hayes, Unveiling the ISCAS-85 Benchmarks: A case study in reverse engineering. IEEE Design and Test: 72-80 (1999).
- [45] G.C. Conant, and A. Wagner, Nat. Genet. 34: 264-266 (2003).
- [46] S. Wasserman and K. Faust, . Social Network Analysis. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).
- [47] F. Lorrain and H.C.White, Journal of Mathematical Sociology 1: 49-80 (1971).
- [48] C. Winship. Social Networks 10: 209-231 (1988).
- [49] C. Winship and M. Mandel, Sociological Methodology 1983-1984: 314-344 (1983).
- [50] M.G. Everett, J.P. Boyd, and S.P. Borgatti, Journal of Mathematical Sociology 15: 163-172 (1990).
- [51] C. Bron, J. Kerbosch, Commun. ACM. 16: 575-577 (1973).
- [52] H. Salgado, A. Santos-Zavaleta, S. Gama-Castro, D. Millan-Zarate, E. Diaz-Peredo, F. Sanchez-Solano, E. Perez-Rueda, C. Bonavides-Martinez, and J. Collado-Vides, Nucleic Acids Res. 29: 72-74 (2001).
- [53] M.C. Costanzo et. al. Nucleic Acids Res. 29: 75-79 (2001).