

A note on the calculation of the effective range

H. Ouerdane and M. J. Jamieson

Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK.

The closed form of the first order non-linear differential equation that is satisfied by the effective range within the variable phase formulation of scattering theory is discussed. It is shown that the conventional method of determining the effective range, by fitting a numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation to known asymptotic boundary conditions, can be modified to include the first order contribution of a long range interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scattering of atoms and molecules in an ultra-cold environment is a process that is very important in the interpretation of measurements of properties of cold trapped gases and in the study of Bose-Einstein condensation. At such low temperatures s-wave scattering dominates and the scattering length, a , usually suffices to describe collisions. However the effective range, r_e , is important because it implies that there is a relative contribution to the elastic scattering cross section at energy E of $2\mu E a r_e$ where μ is the reduced mass. The effective range can provide an upper bound to the temperature, T , of a trapped sample in thermal equilibrium below which only the scattering length is significant; for example the corresponding relative contribution to the elastic scattering cross section that is attributable to the effective range is $2\mu k_B T a r_e$ where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. Previously [1] we used the variable phase formulation of scattering theory [2] to study the calculation of the scattering length in the presence of long range forces. Here we make a study of the effective range.

In the conventional method of calculating the effective range a numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation for scattering is fitted to known asymptotic boundary conditions at some suitably large separation R_c to yield a phase shift. This is repeated for several small values of the asymptotic wave number of the relative motion, k , and the values of a and r_e are obtained from the effective range theory fit of the phase shift as a function of k [3]. This procedure is appropriate even in the presence of long range forces because, by making the fit at the finite separation R_c , we solve a problem for short range forces curtailed at R_c . A difficulty occurs in that R_c must be large. Here we present a correction that accounts for the influence of a long range force over the range $[R_c, \infty[$ to first order in the potential strength. It allows much smaller values of R_c to be used with attendant reduction in computation time and accumulated error. Marinescu [4] has provided first and higher order corrections but they are complicated in comparison to our formulation and, in most cases, a first order correction is enough.

II. THEORY

In the notation of Levy and Keller [5] the s-wave phase shift η is expanded as

$$\eta = N\pi + k(\eta_0 + k^2\eta_1 + \dots) \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of bound states supported by the interaction potential. Equation (1) is in accord with Levinson's theorem. Levy and Keller [5] omitted the term $N\pi$ from their equation (8) but the subsequent analysis is not altered because effective range theory [3] provides an expansion for $k \cot \eta$.

When long range forces are present expansion (1) and the effective range expansion have additional terms in k , such as $k^4 \ln k$, but for interactions dominated by a Van der Waals R^{-6} dispersion potential the leading terms have the indicated k -dependence although the coefficients, η_i , are modified [6]. In variable phase theory the phase shift and these coefficients are functions of the separation, R , and hence the scattering length and the effective range are also functions of R . The effective range expansion is [3]

$$k \cot \eta(R) = -\frac{1}{a(R)} + \frac{1}{2}r_e(R)k^2 + \dots \quad (2)$$

The scattering length, $a(R)$, is the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$ of $-\tan \eta(R)/k$ and hence is $-\eta_0(R)$. The effective range is twice the coefficient of the term in k^2 in equation (2) and from the Taylor expansion of the cotangent it can be expressed as

$$r_e(R) = \frac{2a(R)}{3} - \frac{2\eta_1(R)}{[a(R)]^2}. \quad (3)$$

The usual scattering length and effective range are the asymptotic values taken by $a(R)$ and $r_e(R)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The log-derivative in variable phase theory is

$$u(R) = \left[k + \frac{d\eta(R)}{dR} \right] \cot[kR + \eta(R)]. \quad (4)$$

Substitution of $u(R)$ into the Riccati equation that is satisfied by the log-derivative yields the variable phase equation (equation (6) of Levy and Keller [5])

$$\frac{d\eta(R)}{dR} = -\frac{1}{k}V(R) \sin^2[kR + \eta(R)] \quad (5)$$

where $V(R)$ denotes $2\mu/\hbar^2$ times the interaction potential. From equation (1) Levy and Keller [5] rearranged equation (5) as a power series in k to obtain non-linear first order differential equations in R that are satisfied by the coefficients $\eta_i(R)$ (their equations (9) and (10)) from which we find

$$\frac{da(R)}{dR} = V(R)[R - a(R)]^2 \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{d\eta_1(R)}{dR} = -2V(R)[R - a(R)]\eta_1(R) + \frac{1}{3}V(R)[R - a(R)]^4. \quad (7)$$

A. Numerical procedures

Although equation (7) has the closed form solution,

$$\eta_1(R) = \frac{2}{3} \exp \left\{ -2 \int^R V(S)[S - a(S)]dS \right\} \times \int^R \exp \left\{ 2 \int^S V(Q)[Q - a(Q)]dQ \right\} V(S)[S - a(S)]^4 dS, \quad (8)$$

we find that numerical evaluation is not conveniently provided in this way because the closed form solution (8) requires computation of a double integral and, when the interaction supports bound states, also requires a suitable numerical account of the poles in the scattering length, $a(R)$; these poles also make a direct numerical solution of equation (7) impractical. The adaptive method of Lambert [7] is unsuitable for heavy particle collisions because there are many poles in $a(R)$. The log-derivative method that we used previously [1] is also unsuitable; although equations (6) and (7) may be written in the Riccati form

$$\frac{d}{dR} \begin{bmatrix} R - a(R) & \eta_1(R) \\ 0 & R - a(R) \end{bmatrix} + V(R) \begin{bmatrix} R - a(R) & \eta_1(R) \\ 0 & R - a(R) \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{3}V(R)[R - a(R)]^4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

the difference between the right hand side and the unit matrix prevents application of the log-derivative method. A rational fraction transformation of equation (9) yields another Riccati equation [8] but there is no obvious transformation that simultaneously changes the right hand side of equation (9) to a unit matrix and maintains the structure of its left hand side.

We suggest that the conventional method for obtaining the effective range is used at separation R_c , chosen beyond the last pole in $a(R)$, and equations (3) and (7) are then used to obtain a correction that is of first order in the potential strength.

III. LONG RANGE CORRECTIONS

The exponential terms in expression (8) are positive. Hence the contribution to $\eta_1(R)$ from the range $[R_c, \infty)$ is negative when the potential is attractive over this range and therefore the conventional calculation yields an upper bound to $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\infty)$.

The subsequent analysis is simpler when presented in terms of dimensionless quantities obtained by scaling by suitable powers of R_c . Throughout the remainder of this note, unless otherwise indicated, we let R , a , r_e , V and η_1 denote the corresponding quantities divided by R_c , R_c , R_c , R_c^{-2} and R_c^3 respectively. Equations (3), (6) and (7) are not altered. The correction, $\delta\eta_1$, to η_1 , obtained by integrating the right hand side of equation (7) by parts over the range $[1, \infty[$, is

$$\delta\eta_1 = -2\eta_1 \left[V^{(1)}(a-1) + V^{(2)} \right] - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{4!}{(5-i)!} V^{(i)}(a-1)^{5-i} \quad (10)$$

where

$$V^{(i)}(R) = \int^R V^{(i-1)}(S) dS \quad (11)$$

with $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ and $V^{(0)}(R)$ identified as $V(R)$, and the right hand expression is evaluated at $R = 1$ (*i.e.* at separation R_c).

The inverse power potential that is given in terms of the unscaled separation by $-C_n R^{-n}$ is represented in the scaled quantities by

$$V(R) = -\frac{\alpha_n^{n-2}}{R^n} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \left(\frac{2\mu C_n}{\hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \frac{1}{R_c}. \quad (13)$$

From equations (3), (6), (10), (11), (12) and (13) we obtain the first order correction, δr_e , to the effective range as

$$\delta r_e = \alpha_n^{n-2} \left[\frac{2r_e}{(n-3)a} - \frac{2r_e}{(n-2)} + \frac{2}{3(n-5)a^2} - \frac{8}{3(n-4)a} + \frac{2}{n-3} \right] \quad (14)$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at $R = 1$. Equation (14) is consistent with the correction that was derived by different methods [9]. Note that the correction given by equation (14) is not *identical* to the correction in reference [9]; equation (14) provides the correction to the effective range whereas reference [9] gives the correction to the product $r_e a^2$. Their equivalence is readily shown by introducing the correction to the scattering length; the correction is [9]

$$\delta a = -\alpha_n^{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{n-3} - \frac{2a}{n-2} + \frac{a^2}{n-1} \right]. \quad (15)$$

IV. METHOD

Our suggested procedure for calculating the effective range is as follows. First evaluate the scattering length and effective range at separation R_c by the conventional method and then scale them by division by R_c . For each term such as (12) of the long range potential, evaluate the scaled correction δr_e of equation (14) and find the sum of the corrections; this is legitimate because we are interested in only the first order correction. Multiply the resulting correction by R_c . Some experiment is needed to find a suitable value for R_c but generally it is much smaller than the separation that represents infinity in the conventional method without correction. The leading terms that describe the influence of the long range force that are not accounted for by equation (14) are of second order and hence are proportional to $(\alpha_n^{n-2})^2$. The chosen value of R_c should coerce this quantity to be smaller than unity; a suitable choice is several times the characteristic length, $(2\mu C_n/\hbar^2)^{1/n-2}$, of the potential.

TABLE I: Effective Range and Corrections (bohr)

Separation R_c (bohr)	Effective Range	Correction from term in			Effective Range (Corrected)
		C_6	C_8	C_{10}	
600	284	317	428×10^{-4}	1088×10^{-8}	602
800	358	259	200×10^{-4}	287×10^{-8}	617
1000	406	215	107×10^{-4}	99×10^{-8}	621
1200	440	183	64×10^{-4}	41×10^{-8}	623
1400	464	160	41×10^{-4}	19×10^{-8}	624
1600	483	141	28×10^{-4}	10×10^{-8}	624
1800	498	126	20×10^{-4}	6×10^{-8}	624
2000	510	115	15×10^{-4}	3×10^{-8}	624
3000	546	78	4×10^{-4}	$< 10^{-8}$	624
4000	565	59	2×10^{-4}	$< 10^{-8}$	624
5000	576	47	10^{-4}	$< 10^{-8}$	624
6000	584	40	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-8}$	624

V. EXAMPLE

For the model Cs-Cs potential described by Gribakin and Flambaum [10] we obtained the effective range accurate to three significant figures with $R_c = 1400$ bohr while even the first significant figure is uncertain in the conventional uncorrected calculation with $R_c = 10000$ bohr; the characteristic length of the dominant term of the asymptotic dispersion potential is 203 bohr. We show details of the convergence in table I; the values shown in table I are unscaled. The characteristic lengths of the dispersion terms in C_6 , C_8 and C_{10} are, in bohr, 203, 80 and 50 respectively.

VI. CONCLUSION

The variable phase method provides a simple way in which account may be taken of the long range dispersion terms of an interatomic potential in calculations of the effective range. The method gives considerable saving of computation time and of accumulated truncation error.

Acknowledgments

We are pleased to thank Dr. D. Vrinceanu for discussions about the variable phase method. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

-
- [1] H. Ouerdane, M. J. Jamieson, D. Vrinceanu, and M. J. Cavagnero, J. Phys. B **36**, 4055 (2003).
 - [2] F. Calogero, *Variable Phase Approach to Potential Scattering* (Academic Press, 1967).
 - [3] N. F. Mott and H. S. W. Massey, *The Theory of atomic Collisions* (Oxford:Clarendon, 1965).
 - [4] M. Marinescu, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 3177 (1994).
 - [5] B. R. Levy and J. B. Keller, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 54 (1963).
 - [6] O. Hinckelmann and L. Spruch, Phys. Rev. A **3**, 642 (1971).
 - [7] J. D. Lambert, *Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations* (Chichester:Wiley, 1973).
 - [8] R. E. Bellman and R. E. Kalaba, *Quasilinearization and Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems* (American Elsevier Publishing Company, Inc:New York, 1965).
 - [9] M. J. Jamieson, H. Sarbazi-Azad, H. Ouerdane, G.-H. Jeung, Y. S. Lee, and W. C. Lee, J. Phys. B **36**, 1085 (2003).
 - [10] G. F. Gribakin and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 546 (1993).