

# On existence of resistive magnetohydrodynamic equilibria

H. Tasso\*, G. N. Throumoulopoulos<sup>†</sup>

\* Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik  
Euratom Association  
85748 Garching bei München, Germany

<sup>†</sup> University of Ioannina,  
Association Euratom-Hellenic Republic,  
Department of Physics, GR 451 10 Ioannina, Greece

January 24, 2005

---

\*het@ipp.mpg.de

<sup>†</sup>gthroum@cc.uoi.gr

## **Abstract**

A necessary condition for existence of general dissipative magneto-hydrodynamic equilibria is derived. The ingredients of the derivation are Ohm's law and the existence of magnetic surfaces, only in the sense of KAM theorem. All other equations describing the system matter exclusively for the evaluation of the condition in a concrete case.

PACS: 52.30.-q , 47.20.-k , 47.65.+a

Conditions for existence of long time equilibria of hot magnetically confined plasmas is an important question in fusion research. Such plasmas are weakly collisional and obey kinetic-Maxwell equations. Conditions for existence of such equilibria are not known neither within the frame of neoclassical theory nor in resistive magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). Since neoclassical theory should match with classical theory in the highly collisional limit, it is of interest to derive long time equilibrium conditions for the macroscopic equations. The modest purpose of this note is to derive necessary equilibrium conditions within the frame of resistive viscous MHD

The basic equations for resistive viscous MHD equilibria are (see e.g. Ref.[1])

$$\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p - \nu \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v} + source(momentum), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{j} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p_e), \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{v} = source(mass), \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{j}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\rho$  is the mass density,  $\nu$  is the viscosity and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  the anisotropic resistivity.  $p$  is the total pressure,  $p_e$  is the pressure of the electron fluid,  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are the velocity and the magnetic field,  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{j}$  are the electric field and the electric current density.

Since only Ohm's law (2) and equations (4)-(5) will be used in the derivation, the other equations can be modified to accommodate for additional physical sophistication. Another important ingredient is the existence of a volume bounded by magnetic surfaces. Due to Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) theorem (see e.g. Ref.[2]) this is a mild assumption even for three dimensional equilibria.

Let us take the scalar product of Eq.(2) with the magnetic field to obtain

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \Phi = \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{j} - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla p_e, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}$  has been replaced by  $\nabla \Phi$  because of Eq.(5). We integrate now throughout any volume bounded by two magnetic surfaces. By Gauss theorem and Eq.(4) the gradient term on the RHS of Eq.(7) vanishes if the

electron fluid is barotropic,  $p_e(\rho)$ , or if the electron temperature is constant along the magnetic field lines. The integral of the first term on the LHS either vanishes if the potential  $\Phi$  is single valued or is proportional to the loop voltage if the potential is multi valued.

- **Tokamak case:** Since the transformer produces a toroidal loop voltage, the above integration leads to

$$FV_L = \int_{KAM} d\tau \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{j}, \quad (8)$$

where  $F$  is the toroidal magnetic flux,  $V_L$  the toroidal loop voltage and  $d\tau$  the volume element.

- **Stellarator case:** Since no electric field is induced, the potential is single valued, so the above integration leads to

$$\int_{KAM} d\tau \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Conditions (8) and (9) are only necessary since they do not need the full system (1)-(6) for their derivation. They give, however, a qualitative insight of how the current density has to behave in order to fulfil the stationarity condition. The evaluation of the nonlocal conditions (8) and (9) needs the knowledge of the KAM surfaces in the three dimensional MHD equilibrium as well as the resistivity tensor, the magnetic field and the current density. For many axisymmetric equilibria the magnetic surfaces are known as well as the magnetic field and the current density. In the latter case one can find local conditions for existence of resistive MHD incompressible equilibria and even solve the full system (1)-(6) as demonstrated in Ref.[3]. The nonlocal conditions (8) and (9) apply, however, to any toroidal MHD equilibrium without restricting the number of dimensions by symmetry.

## Acknowledgements

Part of this work was conducted during a visit of one of the authors (G.N.T.) to the Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching. The hospitality of that Institute is greatly appreciated. The present work was performed under the Contract of Association ERB 5005 CT 99 0100 between the European Atomic Energy Community and the Hellenic Republic.

## References

- [1] L. Spitzer, *Physics of Fully Ionized Gases* (Wiley, New York 1962).
- [2] J. Moser, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Goettingen Math. Phys. K1*, 1 (1962).
- [3] G.N. Throumoulopoulos and H. Tasso, *Phys. Plasmas* 10, 2382 (2003).