

Non-relativistic quantum scattering from non-local separable potentials: the eigenchannel approach

Remigiusz Augusiak*

Department of Theoretical Physics and Mathematical Methods,
Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics,
Gdańsk University of Technology,
Narutowicza 11/12, PL 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Abstract

A recently formulated version of the eigenchannel method [R. Szymtkowski, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **311**, 503 (2004)] is applied to quantum scattering of Schrödinger particles from non-local separable potentials. Eigenchannel vectors and negative cotangents of eigenphase-shifts are introduced as eigensolutions to some weighted matrix spectral problem, without a necessity of prior construction and diagonalization of the scattering matrix. Explicit expressions for the scattering amplitude, the total cross section in terms of the eigenchannel vectors and the eigenphase-shifts are derived. An illustrative example is provided.

1 Introduction

Recently, Szymtkowski [1] (see also [2]), inspired by works of Garbacz [3] and Harrington and Mautz [4] has presented a general formulation of the eigenchannel method for quantum scattering from Hermitian short-range potentials. This formulation, exploiting the formalism of integral equations, is alternative to that proposed in 1960's by Danos and Greiner [5], who based on wave equations written in differential forms.

While various theoretical aspects of the new approach to the eigenchannel method have been considered in detail in Ref. [1], no explicit illustrative applications of the formalism have been provided therein. It is the purpose of the present paper to fill in this gap by applying the formalism to non-relativistic scattering from non-local separable potentials.

Separable potentials have proved to be useful in many branches of physics such as atomic [6], molecular [7] and solid state [8] physics. Still, the most extensive use of the separable potentials seems to be made in nuclear physics for describing nucleon-nucleon interactions [9]. The utility of separable potentials stems from two facts. Firstly, the Lippman-Schwinger [10] equation with a separable potential may be solved by employing algebraic methods. Secondly, methods are known which allow one to construct separable approximations to arbitrary local potentials [11]. Here, the crucial role plays the method proposed by Ernst, Shakin and Thaler [12] (see also [13]).

The arrangement of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some basic notions of quantum theory of potential scattering. In Section 3 we focus on scattering from separable potentials. In Section 4 we define *eigenchannel vectors* as eigenvectors of some weighted spectral problem and investigate some of their properties. We introduce

*email: remik@mif.pg.gda.pl

also eigenphaseshifts using eigenvalues of the same spectral problem. Then, we derive formulas for a scattering amplitude in terms of eigenchannel vectors and calculate a total cross section and an averaged total cross section. Finally, in Section 5 we consider an illustrative example. The paper ends with two appendices.

2 Non-relativistic quantum scattering from non-local potentials

Consider a Schrödinger particle described by the monochromatic plane wave

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\hbar\mathbf{k}_i$ denoting its initial momentum, scattered elastically, i.e. $|\mathbf{k}_i| = |\mathbf{k}_f| = k$ ($\hbar\mathbf{k}_f$ is a momentum of the scattered particle) by a non-local Hermitian potential with a kernel $V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$.

It was shown by Lippmann and Schwinger [10] that such processes can be described by the following integral equation:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}'' G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') V(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}'') \psi(\mathbf{r}''), \quad (2.2)$$

where $G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the well-known free-particle outgoing Green function and is of the form

$$G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (2.3)$$

As usual, during considerations concerning scattering processes we tend to find asymptotical behavior of the wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r})$. To aim this we firstly need to find asymptotical form of Green function (2.3) for $r \rightarrow \infty$. After straightforward movements we have:

$$G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}'}, \quad \mathbf{k}_f = k \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}. \quad (2.4)$$

and therefore

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} + A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}. \quad (2.5)$$

The quantity $A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i)$ appearing above is called scattering amplitude and is of the form

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}'' e^{-i\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}'} V(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}'') \psi(\mathbf{r}''). \quad (2.6)$$

In terms of the scattering amplitude the differential cross section for scattering from the direction \mathbf{k}_i into the direction \mathbf{k}_f is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_f}(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = |A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i)|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, one defines the total cross section in the following way

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k}_i) = \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}}_f |A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i)|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Then averaging the total cross section by all directions of incidence \mathbf{k}_i , one gets the so-called averaged total cross section:

$$\sigma_t(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}}_f |A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i)|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

3 The special class of non-local separable potentials

In this section we focus on the special class of Hermitian separable potentials given by the following kernel:

$$V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} v_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) v_{\mu}^*(\mathbf{r}') \quad (\forall \mu : \omega_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (3.1)$$

where μ may, in general, denote an arbitrary finite set of countable indices μ_i , i.e., $\mu = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$ and asterisk stands for the complex conjugation.

Application of Eq. (3.1) to Eq. (2.2) leads us to the Lippmann–Schwinger equation for the separable potentials:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) - \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r}' G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') v_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r}'' v_{\mu}^*(\mathbf{r}'') \psi(\mathbf{r}''). \quad (3.2)$$

Similarly, substitution of Eq. (3.1) to Eq. (2.6) gives us the scattering amplitude of the form

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r}' e^{-i\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}'} v_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r}'' v_{\mu}^*(\mathbf{r}'') \psi(\mathbf{r}''). \quad (3.3)$$

For the sake of convenience, henceforth we shall use the Dirac notation. Then, the above formula reads

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \sum_{\mu} \langle \mathbf{k}_f | v_{\mu} \rangle \omega_{\mu} \langle v_{\mu} | \psi \rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

The fact that μ is an element of an arbitrary countable set, implies that we can put all scalar products $\langle v_{\mu} | \psi \rangle$ into a sequence. Therefore, for further simplicity we can employ the following notations:

$$\langle \mathbf{v} | \varphi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1 | \varphi \rangle \\ \langle v_2 | \varphi \rangle \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \varphi | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v} | \varphi \rangle^{\dagger} = (\langle \varphi | v_1 \rangle \langle \varphi | v_2 \rangle \dots) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\Omega = \text{diag}[\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots], \quad (3.6)$$

where the dagger denotes the matrix Hermitian conjugation. Note that from Eqs. (3.1) and (3.6) it is evident that the matrix Ω is invertible. We keep this fact for purposes of further considerations. In the light of Eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) we may rewrite the scattering amplitude (3.4) in the following form:

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \langle \mathbf{k}_f | \mathbf{v} \rangle \Omega \langle \mathbf{v} | \psi \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

In the last step we need to calculate the scalar product $\langle \mathbf{v} | \psi \rangle$. To this end, we transform Lippmann–Schwinger equation for the separable potentials (3.2) to a set of linear algebraic equations. Hence, after some elementary movements, we have

$$\sum_{\mu} [\delta_{\nu\mu} + \mathbf{G}_{\nu\mu} \omega_{\mu}] \langle v_{\mu} | \psi \rangle = \langle v_{\nu} | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{G}_{\nu\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{r}' v_{\nu}^*(\mathbf{r}) G(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') v_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (3.9)$$

Finally, application of Eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) to Eq. (3.8) yields

$$(I + G\Omega)\langle v|\psi\rangle = \langle v|\mathbf{k}_i\rangle \Rightarrow \langle v|\psi\rangle = (I + G\Omega)^{-1}\langle v|\mathbf{k}_i\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

where I stands for the identity matrix and G denotes a matrix with the elements $G_{\nu\mu}$. Substitution of Eq. (3.10) to Eq. (3.7) gives the expression for the scattering amplitude

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}\langle \mathbf{k}_f|v\rangle\Omega(I + G\Omega)^{-1}\langle v|\mathbf{k}_i\rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $(MN)^{-1} = N^{-1}M^{-1}$ for all invertible matrices M and N , we can conclude that

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}\langle \mathbf{k}_f|v\rangle(\Omega^{-1} + G)^{-1}\langle v|\mathbf{k}_i\rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

4 The eigenchannel method

Here, we turn to the formulation of the eigenchannel method proposed by Szmytkowski [1]. This author has shown that the eigenchannels provide a powerful mathematical tool to the quantum theory of scattering. Moreover, in this approach we *do not need* to construct the scattering matrix to obtain the formula for an averaged total cross section.

In the first step we rewrite the matrix $\Omega^{-1} + G$ as a sum of its Hermitian and non-Hermitian parts. Hence, we have

$$\Omega^{-1} + G = A + iB, \quad (4.1)$$

where the matrices A and B are defined by the relations:

$$A = \frac{1}{2} [\Omega^{-1} + G + (\Omega^{-1} + G)^\dagger] = \Omega^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(G + G^\dagger) \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{2i} [\Omega^{-1} + G - (\Omega^{-1} + G)^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2i}(G - G^\dagger), \quad (4.3)$$

respectively. From the definitions (4.2) and (4.3) it is evident that both matrices A and B are Hermitian, i.e., $A = A^\dagger$ and $B = B^\dagger$. Moreover, straightforward calculations with the aid of Eqs. (2.3) and (3.9) allow us to express their elements as

$$[A]_{\nu\mu} = \frac{1}{\omega_\nu} \delta_{\nu\mu} + \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\cos(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} v_\mu(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.4)$$

$$[B]_{\nu\mu} = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\sin(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} v_\mu(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (4.5)$$

Following [1] let us consider the following weighted spectral problem

$$AX_\gamma(E) = \lambda_\gamma(E)BX_\gamma(E), \quad (4.6)$$

where $X_\gamma(E)$ and $\lambda_\gamma(E)$ are, respectively, its eigenvectors and eigenvalues. Throughout the rest of the present paper, the eigenvectors $X_\gamma(E)$ will be called *eigenchannel vectors*. They constitute a representation of eigenchannels, defined in [1] as state vectors, in subspace spanned by the potential functions $v_\mu(\mathbf{r})$. In fact, the knowledge of eigenvectors $X_\gamma(E)$ allows us, by some elementary steps, to construct the eigenchannels.

By virtue of the fact that both A and B are Hermitian with the aid of positive semidefiniteness of the matrix B (for a proof, cf. Appendix A), we infer that $\lambda_\gamma^*(E) =$

$\lambda_\gamma(E)$ for all γ . Moreover, it is easy to show that eigenvectors $X_\gamma(E)$ satisfy the weighted orthogonality relation

$$X_{\gamma'}^\dagger(E)BX_\gamma(E) = 0 \quad (\gamma' \neq \gamma). \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, it is natural to assume the following normalization:

$$X_{\gamma'}^\dagger(E)BX_\gamma(E) = \delta_{\gamma'\gamma}, \quad (4.8)$$

or, using the matrices \mathbf{A} and $\Omega^{-1} + \mathbf{G}$:

$$X_{\gamma'}^\dagger(E)AX_\gamma(E) = \lambda_\gamma(E)\delta_{\gamma'\gamma}, \quad X_{\gamma'}^\dagger(E)(\Omega^{-1} + \mathbf{G})X_\gamma(E) = [i + \lambda_\gamma(E)]\delta_{\gamma'\gamma}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since the eigenvectors $X_\gamma(E)$ are solutions of the Hermitian eigenproblem, they should obey the following closure relations:

$$\sum_\gamma X_\gamma(E)X_\gamma^\dagger(E)B = \mathbf{I}, \quad \sum_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^{-1}(E)X_\gamma(E)X_\gamma^\dagger(E)A = \mathbf{I}, \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\sum_\gamma \frac{1}{i + \lambda_\gamma(E)} X_\gamma(E)X_\gamma^\dagger(E)(\Omega^{-1} + \mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{I}. \quad (4.11)$$

Henceforth, we shall be assuming that the potential (3.1) is such that the above relations are satisfied. Therefore, it is possible to express the matrix $(\Omega^{-1} + \mathbf{G})^{-1}$ in terms of the eigenchannel vectors $X_\gamma(E)$. Indeed, using Eq. (4.11) we have

$$(\Omega^{-1} + \mathbf{G})^{-1} = \sum_\gamma \frac{1}{i + \lambda_\gamma(E)} X_\gamma(E)X_\gamma^\dagger(E). \quad (4.12)$$

Application of Eq. (4.12) to Eq. (3.12) yields

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \sum_\gamma \frac{1}{i + \lambda_\gamma(E)} \langle \mathbf{k}_f | \mathbf{v} \rangle X_\gamma(E)X_\gamma^\dagger(E) \langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle \quad (4.13)$$

or equivalently

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \sum_\gamma \frac{1}{i + \lambda_\gamma(E)} \sum_\mu \langle \mathbf{k}_f | v_\mu \rangle X_{\gamma\mu}(E) \sum_\nu X_{\gamma\nu}^*(E) \langle v_\nu | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle. \quad (4.14)$$

Because of the very symmetrical form of the scattering amplitude, it is useful to define the following functions:

$$\mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{mk}{8\pi^2\hbar^2}} \sum_\mu \langle \mathbf{k} | v_\mu \rangle X_{\gamma\mu}(E) = \sqrt{\frac{mk}{8\pi^2\hbar^2}} \langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{v} \rangle X_\gamma(E), \quad (4.15)$$

hereafter termed *eigenchannel harmonics*. It follows from their definition that they are orthonormal on the unit sphere (cf. Appendix B), i.e.,

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{Y}_{\gamma'}^*(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\gamma'\gamma}. \quad (4.16)$$

After substitution of Eq. (4.15) to Eq. (4.14), one finds

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -\frac{4\pi}{k} \sum_\gamma \frac{1}{i + \lambda_\gamma(E)} \mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}_f)\mathcal{Y}_\gamma^*(\mathbf{k}_i). \quad (4.17)$$

Further, it is convenient to express the eigenvalues $\lambda_\gamma(E)$ in terms of so-called eigenphaseshifts $\delta_\gamma(E)$ by the relation

$$\lambda_\gamma(E) = -\cot \delta_\gamma(E), \quad (4.18)$$

which after application to the scattering amplitude (4.17) gives

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = \frac{4\pi}{k} \sum_\gamma e^{i\delta_\gamma(E)} \sin \delta_\gamma(E) \mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}_f) \mathcal{Y}_\gamma^*(\mathbf{k}_i). \quad (4.19)$$

As already mentioned the above result was obtained *without* prior construction of the scattering matrix. It is also necessary to emphasize that the method used gives formula for the scattering amplitude which has a similar form to that obtained for potentials with spherical symmetry $V(r)$ [16]. For such potentials the functions $\mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k})$ reduce to the spherical harmonics $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$. Subsequently, combining relation (4.19) with (2.8) we have

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k}_i) = \frac{16\pi^2}{k^2} \sum_\gamma \sin^2 \delta_\gamma(E) \mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}_i) \mathcal{Y}_\gamma^*(\mathbf{k}_i). \quad (4.20)$$

Finally, after substitution of Eq. (4.19) to Eq. (2.9) one obtains

$$\sigma_t(E) = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sum_\gamma \sin^2 \delta_\gamma(E). \quad (4.21)$$

Thus, we have arrived at the well-known formula for the averaged total cross section.

5 Example

To illustrate the method, let us consider scattering from a pair of identical spheres, of radii R . The symmetry of this target allows us to locate the origin of a coordinate system in the midpoint of the interval joining the centers of spheres. Thus, we may choose the spheres to be centered at points $\mathbf{r} = \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}$. However, due to the assumption of non-locality we may simulate this collision process by potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \omega [v_+(\mathbf{r})v_+^*(\mathbf{r}') + v_-(\mathbf{r})v_-^*(\mathbf{r}')] \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$v_\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\delta(|\mathbf{r} \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}| - R)}{R^2}. \quad (5.2)$$

It should be noticed that potential defined by the Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2) is the special case of that proposed recently by de Prunelé [14] (see also [8]). As one can notice, for further simplicity the strengths of both spheres were taken to be equal and have value ω . Therefore, the matrix Ω defined by Eq. (3.6) may be rewritten as

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \omega \mathbf{l}_2, \quad (5.3)$$

where \mathbf{l}_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix. Moreover, straightforward integrations in Eq. (3.9) with the aid of the expansion

$$\frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} = 4\pi ik \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l h_l^{(+)}(kr_>) j_l(kr_<) Y_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{r}') \quad (r_> = \max\{r, r'\}, r_< = \min\{r, r'\}). \quad (5.4)$$

gives the matrix \mathbf{G} in the form

$$\mathbf{G} = i\eta k j_0(kR) \begin{pmatrix} h_0^{(+)}(kR) & j_0(kR)h_0^{(+)}(2k\rho) \\ j_0(kR)h_0^{(+)}(2k\rho) & h_0^{(+)}(kR) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\eta = 2m/\hbar^2$, $j_l(z)$ stand for spherical Bessel functions and $h_l^{(+)}(z)$ stand for Hankel functions of the first kind. Then, utilizing Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) one finds

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR) y_0(kR) & -\eta k j_0^2(kR) y_0(2k\rho) \\ -\eta k j_0^2(kR) y_0(2k\rho) & \omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR) y_0(kR) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \eta k j_0^2(kR) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j_0(2k\rho) \\ j_0(2k\rho) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

with $y_l(z)$ denoting spherical Neumann functions. Note that, in general

$$j_0(z) = \frac{\sin z}{z}, \quad y_0(z) = -\frac{\cos z}{z}, \quad h_0^{(+)}(z) = -i \frac{e^{iz}}{z}. \quad (5.8)$$

As one can see the eigenvalue problem (4.6) reduces to

$$\mathbf{A}X_{\pm}(E) = \lambda_{\pm}\mathbf{B}X_{\pm}(E), \quad (5.9)$$

where its eigenvalues $\lambda_{\pm}(E)$ are

$$\lambda_{\pm}(E) = \frac{\omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR) [y_0(kR) \pm j_0(kR)y_0(2k\rho)]}{\eta k j_0^2(kR) [1 \pm j_0(2k\rho)]} \quad (5.10)$$

and respective eigenvectors

$$X_{\pm}(E) = \{2\eta k j_0^2(kR) [1 \pm j_0(2k\rho)]\}^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.11)$$

Since for arbitrary \mathbf{k} :

$$\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_+ | \mathbf{k} \rangle \\ \langle v_- | \mathbf{k} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{4\pi} j_0(kR) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \\ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.12)$$

and by virtue of Eqs. (4.15) and (5.11) the eigenchannel harmonics may be expressed as

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} [1 \pm j_0(2k\rho)]^{-1/2} (e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \pm e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}}). \quad (5.13)$$

and therefore, after substitution of Eqs. (5.10) and (5.13) to Eq. (4.17) we infer that the scattering amplitude is of the form

$$A(\mathbf{k}_f \leftarrow \mathbf{k}_i) = -2\eta j_0^2(kR) \left[\frac{\cos(\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}) \cos(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})}{\omega^{-1} + i\eta k j_0(kR) [h_0^{(+)}(kR) + j_0(kR)h_0^{(+)}(2k\rho)]} + \frac{\sin(\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}) \sin(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})}{\omega^{-1} + i\eta k j_0(kR) [h_0^{(+)}(kR) - j_0(kR)h_0^{(+)}(2k\rho)]} \right]. \quad (5.14)$$

After application of the above to Eq. (2.8) and integration over all directions of scattering \mathbf{k}_f we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathbf{k}_i) &= 8\eta^2 j_0^4(kR) \\ &\times \left[\frac{[1 + j_0(2k\rho)] \cos^2(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})}{\{\omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR) [y_0(kR) + j_0(kR)y_0(2k\rho)]\}^2 + \eta^2 k^2 j_0^4(kR) [1 + j_0(2k\rho)]^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{[1 - j_0(2k\rho)] \sin^2(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})}{\{\omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR) [y_0(kR) - j_0(kR)y_0(2k\rho)]\}^2 + \eta^2 k^2 j_0^4(kR) [1 - j_0(2k\rho)]^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Then averaging Eq. (5.15) over all directions of incidence \mathbf{k}_i and using the fact that

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cos^2(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\varrho}) = 2\pi[1 + j_0(2k\varrho)] \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \sin^2(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\varrho}) = 2\pi[1 - j_0(2k\varrho)], \quad (5.17)$$

we obtain the averaged total cross section

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t(E) &= 4\pi\eta^2 j_0^4(kR) \\ &\times \left[\frac{[1 + j_0(2k\varrho)]^2}{\{\omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR)[y_0(kR) + j_0(kR)y_0(2k\varrho)]\}^2 + \eta^2 k^2 j_0^4(kR)[1 + j_0(2k\varrho)]^2} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{[1 - j_0(2k\varrho)]^2}{\{\omega^{-1} - \eta k j_0(kR)[y_0(kR) - j_0(kR)y_0(2k\varrho)]\}^2 + \eta^2 k^2 j_0^4(kR)[1 - j_0(2k\varrho)]^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Note that the results (5.17) and (5.18) may be equivalently obtained after application of Eqs. (5.10) and (5.13), respectively, to Eqs. (4.20) and (4.21).

Acknowledgements I am grateful to R. Szymtkowski for very useful discussions, suggestions and commenting on the manuscript. Discussions with P. Horodecki are also acknowledged.

A Positive semidefiniteness of the matrix B

The proof is due to R. Szymtkowski [17]. Below we show that the matrix B given by Eq. (4.3) is such that, the inequality

$$X_\gamma^\dagger(E) \mathbf{B} X_\gamma(E) \geq 0 \quad (A.1)$$

is satisfied. Since

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} = 4\pi \frac{\sin k|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \quad (A.2)$$

we may rewrite Eq. (4.5) in the form

$$[\mathbf{B}]_{\nu\mu} = \frac{mk}{8\pi^2\hbar^2} \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' v_\mu(\mathbf{r}') e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}'}, \quad (A.3)$$

which after application to Eq. (A.1) yields

$$X_\gamma^\dagger(E) \mathbf{B} X_\gamma(E) = \frac{mk}{8\pi^2\hbar^2} \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \left| \sum_\nu X_{\gamma\nu}^*(E) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \right|^2 \geq 0. \quad (A.4)$$

Obviously, the above statement finishes the proof.

B Proof of orthonormality relation (4.16)

Substituting Eq. (4.15) to Eq. (4.16) and reorganizing terms one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{Y}_{\gamma'}^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{Y}_\gamma(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{mk}{8\pi^2\hbar^2} \\ &\times \sum_{\nu\mu} X_{\gamma'\nu}^*(E) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' v_\mu(\mathbf{r}') \oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} X_{\gamma\mu}(E). \end{aligned} \quad (B.1)$$

In virtue of Eq. (A.2), Eq. (B.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{Y}_{\gamma'}^*(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{Y}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \times \sum_{\nu\mu} X_{\gamma'\nu}^*(E) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' v_{\nu}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\sin k|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} v_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}') \right] X_{\gamma\mu}(E). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

As one can notice with the aid of Eq. (4.5), the expression in square brackets is proportional to an element of the matrix \mathbf{B} . Hence, we arrive at

$$\oint_{4\pi} d^2\hat{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{Y}_{\gamma'}^*(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{Y}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) = X_{\gamma'}^{\dagger}(E)\mathbf{B}X_{\gamma}(E), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which after comparison with Eq. (4.8) completes the proof.

References

- [1] R. Szmytkowski, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **311**, 503 (2004).
- [2] R. Szmytkowski and M. Gruchowski, Phys. Rev. **A70**, 062719 (2004); R. Szmytkowski, to be published.
- [3] R. J. Garbacz, Proc. IEEE **53**, 856 (1965); Ph. D. Thesis, Ohio State University (1968).
- [4] R. F. Harrington and J. R. Mautz, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat. **19**, 622 (1971); IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat. **20**, 194 (1972); R. F. Harrington, in: Numerical and Asymptotic Techniques in Electromagnetics, ed. R. Mittra(Springer, Berlin, 1975).
- [5] M. Danos and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **146**, 708 (1966).
- [6] A. F. Shestakov, Opt. Spektrosk. **73**, 1071 (1992); D. V. Ponomarenko and A. F. Shestakov, Chem. Phys. Lett. **210**, 269 (1993).
- [7] G. Drukarev and S. Pozdneev, J. Phys. B **13**, 2611 (1980); L. A. Collins and B. I. Schneider, J. Phys. B **17**, L235 (1984); R. Curik, F. A. Gianturco and N. Sanna, J. Phys. B **33**, 2705 (2000); M. N. Hounkonnou, K. Sodoga, Y. Justum and D. Lauvergnat, J. Phys. B **37**, 1859 (2004).
- [8] X. Bouju and E. de Prunelé, phys. stat. sol. (b) **217**, 819 (2000); E. de Prunelé and X. Bouju, phys. stat. sol. (b) **225**, 95 (2001); E. de Prunelé, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 094202 (2002).
- [9] Y. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1628 (1954); Y. Yamaguchi and Y. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1635 (1954); J.-P. Meunier, W. Plessas, J. Pigeon and R. Nahabetian, Phys. Rev. C **9**, 2114 (1974); W. Schweiger, W. Plessas, L. P. Kok and H. van Haeringen, Phys. Rev. C **27**, 515 (1983) and references therein; M. Baldo and L. S. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 2298 (1990); S. G. Bondarenko, V. V. Burov, N. Hamamoto and H. Toki, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 064003 (2002); S. A. Moszkowski, Few-Body Systems **34**, 5 (2004).
- [10] B. A. Lippmann and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **79**, 469 (1950).
- [11] C. Lovelace, Phys. Rev. **135**, B1225 (1964); H. P. Noyes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15**, 538 (1965); K. L. Kowalski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15**, 798 (1965); E. Harms, Phys. Rev. C **1**, 1667 (1970); S. Oryu, Prog. Theor. Phys. **52**, 550 (1974); Prog. Theor. Phys. **62**, 847 (1979); Phys. Rev. C **27**, 2500 (1983); S. K. Adhikari and I. H. Sloan, Nucl. Phys. **A241**, 429 (1975); I. H. Sloan and S. K. Adhikari, Nucl. Phys. **A235**, 352 (1974); J. Révai, M. Sotona and J. Zofka, J. Phys. G **11**, 745 (1985); E. A. Bartnik, H. Haberzettl and W. Sandhas, Phys. Rev. C **34**, 1520 (1986) and references therein.

- [12] D. J. Ernst, C. M. Shakin and R. M. Thaler, *Phys. Rev. C* **8**, 46 (1973); *Phys. Rev. C* **9**, 1780 (1974).
- [13] S. C. Pieper, *Phys. Rev. C* **9**, 883 (1974); J. Haidenbauer and W. Plessas, *Phys. Rev. C* **30**, 1822 (1984); *Phys. Rev. C* **32**, 1424 (1985); J. Haidenbauer, Y. Koike and W. Plessas, *Phys. Rev. C* **33**, 439 (1986); K. Schwartz, J. Haidenbauer and J. Fröhlich, *Phys. Rev. C* **33**, 456 (1986); S. Nemoto, K. Chmielewski, N. W. Schellingerhout, J. Haidenbauer, S. Oryu and P. U. Sauer, *Few-Body Systems* **24**, 213 (1998); W. Schadow, W. Sandhas, J. Haidenbauer and A. Nogga, *Few-Body Systems* **28**, 241 (2000).
- [14] E. de Prunelé, *J. Phys. A* **30**, 7831 (1997); *Yad. Fiz.* **61**, 2090 (1998).
- [15] L. Rodberg, R. Thaler, *Introduction to the Quantum Theory of Scattering* (Academic, New York, 1967).
- [16] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, third ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968).
- [17] R. Szymtkowski, private communication.