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#### Abstract

A simple position probability density formulation is presented for the motion of a particle in a spherically symmetric potential. The approach provides an alternative to Newtonian methods for presentation in an elementary course, and requires only elementary algebra and one tabulated integral. The method is applied to compute the distributions for the Kepler-Coulomb and isotropic harmonic oscillator potentials. Formulas are also deduced for the average values for powers of the radial coordinate, and applied to describe perturbations to these systems. The classical results are also compared with quantum mechanical calculations using the Einstein-Brillouin-Keller semiclassical quantization.


## I. INTRODUCTION

A significant distinction exists between the conceptual framework presented in traditional introductory physics courses and that used in the advanced physics courses that follow them ${ }^{\underline{1}}$. Introductory physics courses utilize historical Newtonian concepts involving forces and accelerations, but these concepts never enter in more advanced formulations. The introductory approach is often characterized as "classical" whereas that of the more advanced is described as "quantum mechanical." However, the primary difference between the two approaches arises not because of quantization, but instead from a nonessential heuristic tendency to describe macroscopic systems by instantaneous values for position, speed, and acceleration, and microscopic systems by time-averaged position probability densities.

The reasons for this are clear, since a macroscopic trajectory is disturbed only slightly when successively interrogated with visible light, whereas a microscopic system may be destroyed by interrogation with a single short-wavelength photon. Thus the description of the microscopic system requires the superposition of many similarly interrogated systems. Unfortunately, this dichotomy produces a serious disconnect between physics as it is taught to non-major students in service courses and physics as it is practiced. Despite efforts to inject modern topics into a Newtonian presentation, this discontinuity further widens the gap between physics and society.

In a recent essay, Wilczek ${ }^{2}$ has described the force concept as an insubstantial "culture" that provides a common language, but not an algorithm for constructing the mechanics of the world. Similarly, Taylor ${ }^{3}$ has suggested an alternative approach that uses the least action principle in place of Newtonian forces. Both essays provide persuasive historical quotes from respected authorities who have urged that the force approach to the teaching of elementary physics be replaced. Unfortunately, the Newtonian model offers practical advantages, particularly in the testing and evaluation of student performance, and is thus very firmly entrenched.

It is sometimes argued that initial use of the Newtonian approach is necessary, because a quantum mechanical formulation would be too demanding mathematically. However, the problems attacked in elementary textbooks tend to be simpler than those treated in quantum mechanical textbooks. If one examines problems of similar complexity, a Newtonian formulation is often much more complex mathematically than the corresponding quantum
mechanical solution. For example, elementary textbooks describe the two-dimensional Kepler orbit problem, but it is invariably restricted to the special case of a circular orbit (or, in the flat earth approximation, to a parabolic trajectory). When the classical problem is formulated in terms of position probability densities, three-dimensional elliptic orbits are automatically included. Moreover, deviations from a pure inverse square law can be included as perturbations ${ }^{\frac{4}{}}$, all in a purely classical framework. It is also possible to add semiclassical quantization directly to the classical solution when desired.

A formulation is presented here in which the periodic three-dimensional motion of a particle in a central potential is treated in terms of classical position probability densities. The method is applied to the problems most frequently encountered in an introductory quantum mechanics course, namely the Kepler-Coulomb and isotropic harmonic oscillator potentials. While these two potentials lead to solutions that possess certain symmetries, they also have interesting differences. For example, the Kepler-Coulomb exemplifies an interaction that decreases with increasing separation, whereas the isotropic harmonic oscillator exemplifies an interaction that increases with increasing separation.

In this presentation the position probability densities are evaluated, closed form expressions for the average values for powers of the radial coordinate are obtained, calculations are made for sample perturbations of the systems, and the connection to the EBK semiclassical quantization is prescribed.

## II. POSITION PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR CENTRAL POTENTIALS

Consider a particle of mass $m$ moving in a central potential $V(r)$ described by the standard spherical polar coordinates $r, \vartheta, \varphi$. For periodic motion with period $T$, the dwell time, or position probability density, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r) d r=\frac{d t}{T}=\frac{1}{T} \frac{d r}{d r / d t}=\frac{m}{T} \frac{d r}{p_{r}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r}$ is the radial component of the momentum of the particle, which can be described using conservation of energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{L^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+V(r) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L$ is the angular momentum. For a prescribed potential, the radial momentum can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r}=\sqrt{2 m} \sqrt{E-V(r)-L^{2} / 2 m r^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With periodic orbital motion, the radial coordinate will undergo librations between turning points that are specified by the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E r^{2}-V(r) r^{2}-L^{2} / 2 m=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the potentials considered here there will be two roots to the equation, denoted as $A_{ \pm}$. Since the potential involves only $r$, the angular momentum will be constant over the orbit. In the case of the Kepler-Coulomb and isotropic harmonic oscillator potentials, the orbits are both ellipses, so Kepler's second law of equal areas swept out in equal times is valid for both. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \frac{d \varphi}{d t}=\frac{\pi a b}{T}=\frac{L}{2 m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the semimajor and semiminor axes of the ellipse, and $\pi a b$ is its cross sectional area. This equation permits the specification of the period, which provides the normalization of the distribution. If $N$ denotes the number of librations in a period ( $N=2$ for the Kepler-Coulomb, $N=4$ for the harmonic oscillator), then the average values of powers of $r$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{N}{T} \int_{A_{-}}^{A_{+}} d r P(r) r^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. Kepler-Coulomb Potential

The potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=-k / r \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives rise to a negative (binding) energy, which we denote as $E_{B}=-E$ so as to explicitly display the sign within square roots. The momentum thus becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r}=\sqrt{2 m} \sqrt{E+k / r-L^{2} / 2 m r^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with turning points given by the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E_{B} r^{2}+k r-L^{2} / 2 m=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{ \pm}=\frac{k}{2 E_{B}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{k}{2 E_{B}}\right)^{2}-\frac{L^{2}}{2 m E_{B}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the coordinate system is centered on one of the foci of the ellipse, for which the semimajor and semiminor axes are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =k / 2 E_{B} \\
b & =L / \sqrt{2 m E_{B}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

An example of such an orbit with $a=1$ unit and $b=a / 2$ is shown in Fig. 1a.
The period can be computed from the definition of $b$ using Eq. 5in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{2 m \pi a b}{L}=\pi a \sqrt{\frac{2 m}{E_{B}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these relationships into Eq. (with $N=2$ since here the periapsis and apoapsis are separated by $180^{\circ}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r) d r=\frac{1}{\pi a} \frac{r d r}{\sqrt{\left(A_{+}-r\right)\left(r-A_{-}\right)}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position probability density corresponding to the orbit in Fig. 1a is shown in Fig. 2a.

## B. Isotropic harmonic oscillator

The potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=k r^{2} / 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields the momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r}=\sqrt{2 m} \sqrt{E-k r^{2} / 2-L^{2} / 2 m r^{2}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with turning points specified by the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E r^{2}-k r^{4} / 2-L^{2} / 2 m=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{E}{k} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{E}{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{L^{2}}{m k}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This orbit is also elliptical, and is comparable to that of the Kepler-Coulomb system, except for the fact that the coordinate system is at the center of the ellipse rather than at one of
the foci. Here the turning points are at the semimajor and semiminor axes

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =A_{+} \\
b & =A_{-} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and the period corresponds to four of these turning points. An example of such an orbit, also with $a=1$ unit and $b=a / 2$, is shown in Fig. 1b.

The area of this ellipse is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi a b=\sqrt{A_{+}^{2} A_{-}^{2}}=\frac{L}{\sqrt{m k}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. 5 , this gives a value for the period

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{2 m \pi a b}{L}=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these relationships into Eq. 6 (with $N=4$ since here the closest approach and furthest recession are along the semiaxes, and thus separated by $90^{\circ}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r) d r=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{r d r}{\sqrt{\left(A_{+}^{2}-r^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}-A_{-}^{2}\right)}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position probability density corresponding to the orbit in Fig. 1b is shown in Fig. 2b.

## III. EXPECTATION VALUES

Average values of quantities weighted by these distributions can be obtained by directly integrating these expressions. However, they can also transformed into the form of the standard integral ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \varphi} d \varphi(1+\varepsilon \cos \varphi)^{n}=\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{n / 2} P_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{n}(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial (in an unusual application where the argument $x>1)$. Negative powers can be handled using the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-n}(x)=P_{n-1}(x) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the radial integral formulation of Eq. the expectation value can alternatively be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} d t r^{k}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \varphi}{d \varphi / d t} r^{k} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conservation of angular momentum relates $r$ and $\varphi$ through Eq. 5. which can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
T d \varphi / d t=2 \pi a b / r^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into Eq. 24

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi a b} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi r^{k+2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains only to choose the equation of the orbit, and to use Eq. 22 to evaluate this expectation value.

## A. Kepler-Coulomb problem

Here the coordinate system is centered on one of the foci of the ellipse, which has the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}=\frac{a}{b^{2}}(1+\varepsilon \cos \varphi) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \equiv \sqrt{1-b^{2} / a^{2}}$ is the eccentricity of the ellipse. Inserting this relationship for $r$ into Eq. 26

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{a b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{2}}\right)^{-k-2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi(1+\varepsilon \cos \varphi)^{-k-2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, using Eq. 22, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=b^{k}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) P_{-k-2}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A few examples are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle r\rangle & =a\left[3-(b / a)^{2}\right] / 2 \\
\left\langle r^{-1}\right\rangle & =1 / a \\
\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle & =1 / a b \\
\left\langle r^{-3}\right\rangle & =1 / b^{3} \\
\left\langle r^{-4}\right\rangle & =\langle r\rangle / b^{5} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Isotropic harmonic oscillator problem

In this case the center of the coordinate is at the center of the ellipse, and has the equation ${ }^{\underline{6}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right) \cos 2 \varphi \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}}=\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{4 a^{2} b^{2}}\right)\left[1+\left(\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\right) \cos 2 \varphi\right] . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \equiv \frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

the quantity occurring in Eq. 22 simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}=\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\right)^{2}}=\left(\frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expectation value is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{a b}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a^{2} b^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{k+2}{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi(1+\varepsilon \cos 2 \varphi)^{-\frac{k+2}{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which integrates to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=(a b)^{k / 2} P_{-\frac{k+2}{2}}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is valid for both odd and even powers. For odd powers, the Legendre function can be evaluated numerically as a hypergeometric series, as shown in the Appendix.

A few examples are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle & =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2 \\
\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle & =\left[3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)-4 a^{2} b^{2}\right] / 8 \\
\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle & =1 / a b \\
\left\langle r^{-4}\right\rangle & =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2 a^{3} b^{3} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. PERTURBATION CALCULATIONS

One of the strengths of this method is the ease with which perturbations to the energy of the system can be computed. The total energy can be deduced from the potential using the virial theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\langle V(r)\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle r \frac{d V}{d r}\right\rangle \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

so a perturbation of the form $\Delta V(r)$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}=E+\langle\Delta V(r)\rangle \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. Example 1: Kepler-Coulomb with a $1 / r^{3}$ perturbation

This can occur, for example, in an atom with a spin-orbit magnetic interaction, or in a gravitational system with a Schwarzschild general relativistic correction ${ }^{7}$.

The energy of the system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\langle-k r^{-1}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle k r^{-1}\right\rangle \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the perturbation is $\Delta V(r)=\lambda / r^{3}$, the perturbed energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime} & =-\frac{k}{2}\left\langle r^{-1}\right\rangle+\lambda\left\langle r^{-3}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{k}{2 a}+\frac{\lambda}{b^{3}} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

which results in a precession of the ellipse.

## B. Example 2: Anharmonic oscillator with an $r^{4}$ perturbation

The energy of the system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\langle\frac{1}{2} k r^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{1}{2} k r^{2}\right\rangle \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the perturbation is $\Delta V(r)=\lambda r^{4}$, the perturbed energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime} & =k\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle+\lambda\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{k}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left[3\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{2}-2 a^{2} b^{2}\right] \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

which also results in a precession of the ellipse.

## V. THE SEMICLASSICAL EBK QUANTIZATION

The semiclassical Einstein-Brillouin-Keller quantization is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{i}+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)=\oint d q_{i} p_{i} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ the Maslov index, which is the number of turning points. This formalism was
 yield a value for the angular momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=(\ell+1 / 2) \hbar \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square of this result

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}=[\ell(\ell+1)+1 / 4] \hbar^{2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

agrees with the quantum mechanical result in the correspondence limit.
Our earlier calculations ${ }^{\frac{8}{-}}$ for the radial phase integral permit the specification of the semimajor and semiminor axes of the ellipses.

## A. Kepler-Coulomb

For the Coulombic atomic problem $\left(k=Z \mathrm{e}^{2} / 4 \pi \epsilon_{0}\right.$ for a hydrogenlike atom $)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m k}\left(n_{r}+\ell+1\right)^{2} \\
b & =\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m k}\left(n_{r}+\ell+1\right)\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The radial quantum number $n_{r}$ is displayed here so that the two potentials can be compared under conditions whereby $n_{r}$ and $\ell$ have the same range of values $0,1,2, \ldots$ The expression is usually written in terms of the principal quantum number $n \equiv n_{r}+\ell+1$.

With this quantization the perturbed energy of Eq. 41 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}=-\frac{k^{2} m}{2 \hbar^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{2 \lambda}{k} \frac{1}{n^{3}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees with the quantum mechanical result with the correspondence $\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3} \rightarrow$ $\ell\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)(\ell+1)$.

## B. Isotropic harmonic oscillator

In this case the quantization yields value for the semiaxes (denoting $\omega \equiv \sqrt{k / m}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2} & =\frac{\hbar}{m \omega}\left(2 n_{r}+\ell+\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
a b & =\frac{\hbar}{m \omega}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Here again the radial quantum number $n_{r}$ is displayed for comparison with $n_{r}$ and $\ell$ having the same range of values $0,1,2, \ldots$ The expression is usually written in terms of the quantum number $n \equiv 2 n_{r}+\ell$.

With this quantization the perturbed energy of Eq. 43 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}=\hbar \omega\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda \hbar^{2}}{2 m^{2} \omega^{2}}\left[3\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees with the quantum mechanical result ${ }^{\underline{9}}$ with the correspondence $\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \rightarrow$ $\left(\ell-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\ell+\frac{3}{2}\right)$.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This formulation in terms of the classical position probability density provides a mathematically simple exposition of the difference in frameworks between classical and quantum mechanical physics. Although this one exercise does not provide a comprehensive alternative to the standard presentation, it can clearly illustrate at the introductory level the limitations of the Newtonian approach.

## APPENDIX A: LEGENDRE FUNCTIONS OF HALF-ODD-INTEGER ORDER

Legendre functions of half-odd-integer order can be evaluated using the hypergeometric series

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-\nu-1}(z)=P_{\nu}(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{\nu} F\left(-\nu,-\nu ; 1 ; \frac{z-1}{z+1}\right) . \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{k} F\left(-\frac{k}{2},-\frac{k}{2} ; 1 ;\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case shown in the figures, $b=a / 2$, this gives for the first moment,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle r\rangle=\frac{3 a}{4} F\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; \frac{1}{9}\right)=0.77098 a . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ we can use the fact that $F(a, a, ; c ; 1)=\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c-2 a) / \Gamma(c-a)^{2}$ to write the moments $(k \geq 0)$ for a linear oscillator in one dimension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle_{1 D}=\frac{k!a^{k}}{2^{k} \Gamma(1+k / 2)^{2}} . \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results check against the elementary results, for example

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle r\rangle_{1 D} & =2 a / \pi \\
\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle_{1 D} & =a^{2} / 2 \\
\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle_{1 D} & =3 a^{4} / 8 . \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$
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## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Comparison of the elliptic orbits with $a=1$ unit and $b=0.5$ for the two examples.

Figure 2. Classical position probability distributions for the two elliptic orbits shown in Fig. 1.
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