

Observation of discrete gap solitons in binary waveguide arrays

Roberto Morandotti

Universite du Quebec, Institute National de la Recherche Scientifique, Varennes, Quebec, Canada J3X 1S2

Daniel Mandelik and Yaron Silberberg

Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

Stewart Aitchison

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Canada M5S 3G4

Marc Sorel

*Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland*

Demetrios N. Christodoulides

School of Optics/CREOL, University of Central Florida, Florida 32816-2700, USA

Andrey A. Sukhorukov and Yuri S. Kivshar

*Nonlinear Physics Group and Centre for Ultra-high bandwidth Devices for Optical Systems (CUDOS),
RSPHysSE, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia*

We report on the first experimental observation of discrete gap solitons in binary arrays of optical waveguides. We observe the soliton generation when the inclination angle of an input beam is slightly above the Bragg angle, and show that the propagation direction of the emerging gap soliton depends on the input power as a result of an inter-band momentum exchange.

© 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 190.4390, 190.4420

Nonlinear periodic photonic structures such as arrays of optical waveguides attracted a lot of interest due to the unique ways they offer for controlling light. Periodic modulation of the refractive index breaks the translational invariance and produces effective discreteness in a continuous system, opening up many novel possibilities for manipulating light propagation, including light localization in the form of *discrete optical solitons*^{1,2}.

Recently, it was suggested that a novel type of discrete optical solitons, the so-called discrete gap solitons, can be generated in the binary waveguide arrays, by a single inclined beam³ or two input beams⁴. The binary arrays of optical waveguides are specially engineered photonic structures consisting of periodically alternating wide and narrow waveguides [see an example in Fig. 1(a)]. Discrete gap solitons in such structures can be considered as a nontrivial generalization of the spatial gap solitons recently observed in the waveguide arrays⁵ and optically-induced photonic lattices⁶. Gap solitons in binary arrays are associated with the fundamental modes strongly confined in narrow waveguides, which lowers the power threshold for the soliton excitation, and may result in reduced radiation losses compared to the gap solitons based on radiation or higher-order bound modes in the conventional arrays. In this Letter, we report on the first experimental observation of discrete gap solitons in binary arrays of optical waveguides and demonstrate a

novel method of efficient steering of gap solitons based on the inter-band momentum exchange.

We investigate spatial beam self-action and soliton formation in the fabricated etched arrays of the 5 mm long AlGaAs waveguides with the effective refractive index contrast 0.0035 (see Ref. 2). The binary arrays are made of wide (4 μm) and narrow (2.5 μm) waveguides with 4 μm edge-to-edge spacing, and accordingly the full period is $d = 14.5 \mu\text{m}$ as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The beam propagation in this structure can be described by the normalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation, $i \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} + D \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} + \nu(x)E + |E|^2 E = 0$, where $E(x, z)$ is the normalized envelope of the electric field, x and z are the transverse and propagation coordinates normalized to the characteristic values $x_s = 1 \mu\text{m}$ and $z_s = 1 \text{mm}$, respectively, $D = z_s \lambda / (4\pi n_0 x_s^2)$ is the beam diffraction coefficient, $n_0 = 3.3947$ is the average medium refractive index, $\lambda = 1.5 \mu\text{m}$ is the vacuum wavelength, $\nu(x) = 2\Delta n(x)\pi n_0/\lambda$, and $\Delta n(x)$ is the effective modulation of the optical refractive index.

In the waveguide arrays, the wave spectrum possesses a band-gap structure with gaps separating bands of the continuous spectrum associated with the spatially extended Bloch waves of the form, $E(x, z) = \psi(x) \exp(ikx + i\beta z)$, where the propagation constant β is proportional to the wave-vector component along the waveguides. In




Fig. 1. (a) Normalized refractive index in a binary waveguide array (gray) and Bloch-wave profiles at the top of first (solid) and second (dashed) bands. (b,c) Dispersion of Bloch waves and the Bloch-wave excitation coefficients vs. the transverse wave-number, for the first (solid) and second (dashed) bands. Fourier spectrum of an input beams with 20% larger than Bragg incident angle is shown in (c) with shading. (d) Characteristic profile of a discrete gap soliton in the binary array.

Fig. 1(b), we plot the Bloch-wave dispersion curves and mark *two types of spectrum gaps* appearing due to (i) total internal reflection and (ii) Bragg-type scattering.

When the medium nonlinearity is self-focusing, the discrete gap solitons may appear near the upper edge of the second band⁴. One of the distinguishing features of such solitons is their localization at narrow waveguides, as shown in Fig. 1(d). As was suggested in Ref. 3, the gap solitons can be excited by an input Gaussian beam which is inclined slightly above the Bragg angle. Each Fourier component of an incident beam excites a superposition of Bloch waves belonging to different bands, according to the values of excitation coefficients C_n plotted in Fig. 1 (see details in Ref. 7). If the input beam spans several periods of the underlying lattice (we choose the $45 \mu\text{m}$ FWHM beam) and its spectrum is narrow, then the excitation of the second band becomes dominant [see Fig. 1(c)], facilitating the formation of a gap soliton. Although fully controlled generation of gap solitons can be achieved only in a two-beam excitation scheme^{4-6,8}, the single-beam approach is simpler to implement. Addition-




Fig. 2. Experimentally measured output intensity distribution vs. the inclination angle of the input Gaussian beam.

ally, the simultaneously excited Bloch waves of the first band move in the opposite direction, resulting in “self-clearing” of the gap soliton, and allowing to control the soliton velocity by changing the input beam intensity³. We note that all Bloch-wave components tend to trap together into a multi-band breather if a normally incident narrow beam is used⁹, and complex Bloch-wave interaction occurs for the inclined narrow beams⁷.

In our experiments, first we study the linear beam propagation (see Ref. 2 for description of experimental set-up). The dependence of the output field distribution on the input angle is shown in Fig. 2. We note that, in a binary waveguide array, the Bloch waves corresponding to the first and second bands can be easily distinguished, since they are primarily localized at wide and narrow waveguides, respectively. We find that the band-2 excitation becomes dominant above the Bragg angle, in full agreement with the theoretical predictions (higher bands become excited as the angle is further increased).

Next, we analyze nonlinear self-action of beams with the inclination angles below and above the Bragg angle, as marked in Fig. 2. In the former case, the Bloch waves associated with the bottom of the first band and experiencing *anomalous diffraction* are primarily excited. This results in beam self-defocusing as nonlinearity grows¹⁰. Indeed, we register broadening of the output beam with the increase of the laser power, see Fig. 3(a).

Strong beam self-focusing and gap-soliton formation are observed when the input inclination angle is above the Bragg angle [see Fig. 3(b)], and the gap solitons are localized at narrow waveguides. Additionally, the output soliton position depends on the input power. Numerical results for the beam propagation [Fig. 4, top] agree well with the experimental data. In Figs. 4(a-d) we show the beam dynamics inside the waveguide array structure for various input intensities. These simulations demonstrate




Fig. 3. (a,b) Experimentally measured intensity distribution at the output vs. the average input power for incident angles marked 1 and 2 in Fig. 2, respectively.

that the soliton velocity and, accordingly, the soliton output position are defined largely at the initial stage, where the counter-propagating Bloch waves of the first and second bands interact strongly with each other. This inter-band momentum exchange is predominantly responsible for the soliton steering, which may find applications for a simple realization of all-optical switching.

In conclusion, we have generated discrete gap solitons in binary waveguide arrays and presented an experimental evidence of the inter-band momentum exchange observed in the form of a power-dependent soliton steering.

References

1. D. N. Christodoulides and R. I. Joseph, *Opt. Lett.* **13**, 794 (1988).
2. H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morandotti, A. R. Boyd, and J. S. Aitchison, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3383 (1998).
3. A. A. Sukhorukov and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Opt. Lett.* **27**, 2112 (2002).
4. A. A. Sukhorukov and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Opt. Lett.* **28**, 2345 (2003).
5. D. Mandelik, R. Morandotti, J. S. Aitchison, and Y. Silberberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 093904 (2004).
6. D. Neshev, A. A. Sukhorukov, B. Hanna, W. Krolikowski, and Yu. S. Kivshar, *arXiv nlin.PS/0311059*




Fig. 4. Top: Numerically calculated output field intensity vs. the peak input intensity (I_0) for the beam inclination 20% above the Bragg angle, corresponding to Fig. 3(b). (a-d): Beam propagation along the binary waveguide array for different input intensities: (a) diffraction in linear regime ($I_0 = 0$); (b) formation of a moving discrete gap soliton at $I_0 = 0.1$; (c) excitation of slow or stationary gap solitons at $I_0 = 0.5$; (d) soliton destabilization above a critical power threshold ($I_0 = 1$). Dashed line marks the boundary of experimental sample.

- (2003).
7. A. A. Sukhorukov, D. Neshev, W. Krolikowski, and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 093901 (2004).
8. J. Feng, *Opt. Lett.* **18**, 1302 (1993).
9. D. Mandelik, H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morandotti, and J. S. Aitchison, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 253902 (2003).
10. R. Morandotti, H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, M. Sorel, and J. S. Aitchison, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3296 (2001).