

Is the temperature as a Lorentz scalar?

W.Z.Jiang *

¹ Shanghai Institute of Nuclear Research
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China

² Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics,
National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator, Lanzhou 730000, China

Abstract

The thermodynamic interaction of a free Fermion system is alternatively described by the coupling of particles with the vacuum of a scalar pseudo boson which features a self-interaction. The proper temperature is shown as a Lorentz scalar in a Lorentz invariant framework. At thermodynamic equilibrium, the Lorentz transformation of temperature is investigated based on the conceptions of the relativistic quantum field theory.

Keywords: Temperature, Lorentz scalar, self-interaction

PACS: 05.70.-a, 11.10.Ef, 05.30.Fk

*Email address: jiangwz02@hotmail.com

In thermodynamics, it has been long controversial whether the temperature which represents the averaged kinetic energy is a scalar or a component of the vector[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Particles in a moving system obey laws of the relativistic statistical physics in which the Lorentz factor is introduced. Two years after Einstein's fundamental paper in 1905 on the electrodynamics of moving bodies, Einstein[9] and Planck et.al.[10] deduced the transformation of the temperature of a thermal body observed in an inertial frame S moving with constant velocity v with respect to the center of mass frame (rest frame) S_0

$$T = T_0/\gamma \tag{1}$$

where T_0 is the proper temperature at the center of mass (rest) frame, T is the apparent temperature of the moving body measured in the laboratory frame, and $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2}$ is the Lorentz factor. It implies that a moving body appears to be cool. This appeared to be the standard result[11, 12]. The controversy arose as a different transformation of temperature had been derived by Eidington[13], Ott[14] and Møller[15] based on the respective footings. In their version, the transformation is as follows

$$T = T_0\gamma \tag{2}$$

Landsberg[16] illustrated the temperature as a Lorentz scalar and consequently redefined the first law of thermodynamics for moving bodies. Kampen[17] also illustrated that it is better to take the temperature as a scalar.

The measurement of the cosmic microwave background in specific frames has been drawn great attentions. The Unruh-DeWitt detector[18] that moves in a background thermal bath was proposed to measure the relation of temperature transformation[7]. However, final results would be dependent on the temperature-defining and -measuring procedures[6, 7]. Based on the theoretical investigation of the black body radiation using the Unruh-DeWitt detector, it was concluded[19] that the proper temperature alone is left as the only temperature of universal significance.

One may doubt on whether the proper temperature at the rest frame is a Lorentz scalar, since the temperature definition based on the relation of the heat and entropy may suggest that the temperature be not a Lorentz scalar. The answer may turn out to be affirmative according to the Ott's derivation of temperature transformation[14]. Actually, the mass modified by the heat added is still the proper mass at the rest frame, which is the first Senario (I)

in this work. Due to the indefiniteness of the apparent temperature, it is necessary to investigate what principles, definitions, or relations for the temperature and related thermodynamic quantities are obeyed under the Lorentz boost. In order to serve this purpose, we investigate the thermal system of the free Fermions (except for the thermodynamic interactions) which obey the Lorentz invariant Dirac equation. Before going into details, we give the second Senario (II) of this work: the thermodynamic equilibrium is Lorentz invariant, which was once took by Aldrovandi[6].

The non-linearity due to the bremsstrahlung processes in the thermal environment is beyond the kinetics demonstrated in the Dirac equation. Some dynamical degrees of freedom should be introduced. According to the notion of modern field theory, the interaction is mediated by medium bosons. Based on this notion, one may introduce appropriate medium bosons to describe thermodynamic interactions for the Fermion gas at thermodynamic equilibrium. Note that the emphasis is placed on the thermodynamic equilibrium. The most favorable bosons are the scalar and vector ones. The vector boson couples to the non-zero and conserved thermodynamic current which does not exist in the system at thermodynamic equilibrium. One may argue that the temporal component of the vector boson may exist. However, such an existence of the temporal component contradicts with the Senario II, since the thermal current appears as performing the Lorentz boost. Consequently, only the scalar boson mediates the thermodynamic interaction. The coupling of the scalar boson with the Fermion is equivalent to the heating which increases the Fermion mass. Hence, the scalar boson has to be of the imaginary mass, based on the knowledge of the mean-field treatment. The boson with the imaginary mass is however unphysical. In order to obtain the real boson mass, the self-interaction has to be introduced. This is quite similar to the property of the Higgs field[20]. Such a boson is called as the scalar pseudo boson because it leads to the increase but not the decrease of the Fermion mass like the conventional boson.

The free scalar pseudo boson field, understood as the thermodynamic field, obeys the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi_\tau \partial^\mu \phi_\tau - \mu_\tau^2 \phi_\tau^2) - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi_\tau^4 \quad (3)$$

where μ_τ and λ are the constants with $\mu_\tau^2 < 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. The Hamiltonian is thus given as

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\phi}_\tau^2 + (\nabla \phi_\tau)^2 + \mu_\tau^2 \phi_\tau^2) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi_\tau^4 \quad (4)$$

Yet, we need to obtain the real mass of ϕ_τ . The constant vacuum field quantity is determined by minimizing the Hamiltonian,

$$\phi_\tau^0 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_\tau^2}{\lambda}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_\tau^0 = -\frac{\mu_\tau^4}{4\lambda} \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that the constant vacuum field is symmetry-breaking under the reflection of internal field space. The free thermodynamic field has the negative minimum energy. Performing the substitution

$$\phi_\tau = \phi_\tau^0 + \phi = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_\tau^2}{\lambda}} + \phi \quad (6)$$

into Eq. 3, it has the following form

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - m_\tau^2 \phi^2) - \lambda \phi_\tau^0 \phi^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \quad (7)$$

where the real mass of ϕ is obtained to be $m_\tau = \sqrt{-2\mu_\tau^2}$. As seen in Eq.6, the minimum of the thermodynamic field (i.e. the vacuum) is actually redefined and it is now symmetric under field reflection. However, the symmetry of the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_τ in Eq.7 is broken due to the term $-\lambda \phi_\tau^0 \phi^3$.

The total Lagrangian which contains the Fermion, the scalar pseudo boson and the Yukawa coupling between them is in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m - g_\tau \phi_\tau)\psi \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - m_\tau^2 \phi^2) - \lambda \phi_\tau^0 \phi^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where ψ is the Dirac spinor of Fermion with the mass m . Based on the viewpoint that thermodynamic equilibrium comes from energy exchanges by the arbitrarily multiple collisions and multiple radiation, let's see whether such a scalar coupling is enough to contain all possible processes. The interaction part of the above Lagrangian is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_I = -\bar{\psi} g_s S_\tau \psi = -\bar{\psi} g_s e^{\sigma_\tau} \psi = -\bar{\psi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_s \frac{\sigma_\tau^n}{n!} \psi \quad (9)$$

where $S_\tau = \phi_\tau \mathcal{T}$ and $g_s = g_\tau / \mathcal{T}$ with \mathcal{T} the constant parameter in unit of space-time. The auxiliary quantum σ_τ is dimensionless. Assuming the expansion power n may represent the multiplicity of the interaction processes, the interaction potential $g_\tau \phi_\tau$ can be understood as an averaged quantity over all multiple processes with the same probability within a certain characteristic spacing of space or time.

The scalar field ϕ satisfies following equation

$$(\partial^2 + m_\tau^2)\phi = -g_\tau\bar{\psi}\psi - 3\lambda\phi_\tau^0\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^3 \quad (10)$$

In homogeneous thermal matter, it has

$$\phi = \frac{1}{m_\tau^2}(-g_\tau\bar{\psi}\psi - 3\lambda\phi_\tau^0\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^3) \quad (11)$$

In an alternative description for the thermodynamic interaction, the real-mass boson does not always suggest the definite existence of the boson. Actually, a scalar boson which serves the role to like the Higgs boson is not found yet. It may be hence reasonable to set the boson mass as $m_\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in this study, which will be justified below. This means that it has $\phi \rightarrow 0$. The thermal heat is hence added to the system just by the term $g_\tau\bar{\psi}\phi_\tau^0\psi$ in Eq.8. The coupling with the isotropic field vacuum ϕ_τ^0 can nicely be used to elaborate the homogeneous thermodynamic equilibrium of the Fermion system. It is actually the broken vacuum of the scalar field that plays the very role, and that is quite similar to a precedent, the Higgs boson in the gauge theory.

The coupling potential $g_\tau\phi_\tau^0$ can be evaluated from the Senario (I). According to the equipartition theorem, the Fermion mass measured at the center of mass frame at the low temperature limit is in the following

$$m^* = m + g_\tau\phi_\tau^0 = m + \frac{3}{2}T_0 \quad (12)$$

The Dirac equation is then given as

$$[i\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu - (m + 3T_0/2)]\psi = 0 \quad (13)$$

which indicates that the proper temperature T_0 modifying the proper mass of Fermions is a Lorentz scalar. The derivation of Eq.12 contains two implications. First, there is the additivity of the thermal energy for the particle and anti-particle, which is consistent with that the scalar density is obtained from the sum, other than the difference, of the particle and anti-particle distributions. Second, it is reasonable to take $m_\tau \rightarrow \infty$ above. Otherwise, part of the thermal energy, which is from the nonlinear term of the field ϕ in Eq.8, will not be carried by the Fermions, contradicting with the relation given by Eq.12 based on the equipartition theorem. Even if the nonlinear term gives an infinitesimal contribution, it will lead to the inequality $m^* \neq m + 3/2T_0$ for finite m_τ .

We recall that we have excluded the existence of the vector boson by the field theory at thermodynamic equilibrium, which is necessary to obtain a proper temperature as a Lorentz scalar other than the component of a vector in a field language. With the above formalism, the thermodynamic interaction is alternatively described by the dynamic scalar boson coupling for a thermal but free Fermion system. It is worthwhile to note that the single Fermion property in the thermal environment is treated in the sense of the statistical average or normalization in the total phase space involved.

Now it is convenient to discuss the transformation properties for the apparent temperature based on the Lorentz structure of Eqs.8 and 13. It is able to obtain various apparent temperature without violating the relativity principle and the structure of the Dirac equation. There is the following relation for the total energy E of one particle in a co-moving system

$$E = \sqrt{p^2 + m^{*2}} = \gamma m^* = \gamma m + \frac{3}{2}T_0\gamma \quad (14)$$

where the apparent temperature is given as $T = T_0\gamma$, which is the result of Eq.2[13, 14, 15]. On the other hand, we note that the density of thermal heat $\rho_\tau = g_\tau \bar{\psi} \phi_\tau^0 \psi$ is a Lorentz scalar, while the total thermal heat $Q = \int d^3x \rho_\tau$ is not a Lorentz scalar due to the volume element d^3x . According to the definition $T = \partial Q / \partial S$ with S the entropy, it has the relation $T = T_0/\gamma$ which is the result of Eq.1[9, 10]. The distinct difference arises from the different measurement. The former is by means of the mass measurement, while the latter is through measuring the volume. The different measurement actually corresponds to different definitions or deduction of the apparent temperature. It is important to make clear what definitions and their relations of thermodynamic quantities are used for measurement and deduction. If one performs a coincident mass and volume measurement for the quantity $(m^* \cdot Q)$, the apparent temperature is then $T = T_0$. More coincident measurements can be performed under various combinations.

In summary, the thermodynamic motion of a free Fermion gas is illustrated in a dynamic framework where the thermodynamic interaction is alternatively described by a scalar boson exchange based on notions of the relativistic field theory and the scenario for thermodynamic equilibrium. The boson field has the self-interaction which gives rise to its real mass. The homogeneous coupling of the Fermion with the broken vacuum of the scalar field modifies the Fermion mass. The coupling potential is worked out according to two scenarios, justifying the proper temperature in the free Fermion gas as a Lorentz scalar. The measurement dependence

of the apparent temperature have been also investigated based on the relativity principle and the Lorentz structure of the formalism. The controversy for different apparent temperature seems to come from the different definition and measurement.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Dr. X.B.Ai and Dr. F.Kleefeld for useful communications. This work is partially supported by CAS knowledge Innovation Project No.KJ CX2-N11 and the Major State Basic Research Development Program Under Contract No. G200077400.

References

- [1] D.ter Haar, H.Wergeland, Phys. Rept. 1(1971)31
- [2] R.Balescu, Physica 40(1968)309
- [3] J.E.Kraizan, Phys. Lett. A 71(1979)174
- [4] F.L.Li, Prog. Phys.(in Chinese)8(1989)362
- [5] V.N.Strel'tsov, JINR-D2-91-367, (1992) (KEK scanned version)
- [6] R.Aldrovandi, J.Gariel, Phys. Lett. A 170(1992)5
- [7] S.S.Costa, G.E.A.Matsas, Phys. Lett. A 209(1995)155
- [8] Ch.Fenech, J.P.Vigier, Phys. Lett. A 215(1996)247
- [9] A.Einstein, Jahrbuch d. Radioaktivitat und Elektronik, 4(1907)411
- [10] M.Planck, S.B.Preuss, Akad. Wiss (1907)542
- [11] W.Pauli, Theory of Relativity (Pergamon Press, London,1958)
- [12] R.C.Tolman, Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology (Oxford University Press,1934)
- [13] A.S.Eidington, The Mathematical theory of relativity, (Cambridge Press, 1957)
- [14] H.Ott, Z. Phys. 70(1963)175

- [15] G.Møller, Relativistic thermodynamics, (København, 1967)
- [16] P.T.Landsberg, Nature, 212 (1966)571; *ibid*, 214 (1967)903
- [17] N.G.Van Kampen, Phys. Rev. 173(1968)295
- [18] W.G.Unruh, Phys.Rev. D14(1976)870; B.S. DeWitt, General relativity, ed. S.W.Hawking and W.Israel (Cambridge University press, 1979)
- [19] P.T.Landsberg, G.E.A. Matsas, Phys. Lett. A 223(1996)401
- [20] P.W.Higgs, Phys. Rev. 145(1966)1156