

Ray Helicity: a Geometric Invariant for Multi-dimensional Resonant Wave Conversion

Eugene R. Tracy

Department of Physics, College of William and Mary,

Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8795

ertrac@wm.edu

Allan N. Kaufman

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and

Physics Department, UC Berkeley

Berkeley, CA 94720

ankaufman@lbl.gov

(November 19, 2018)

Abstract

For a multicomponent wave field propagating into a multidimensional conversion region, the rays are shown to be helical, in general. For a ray-based quantity to have a fundamental physical meaning it must be invariant under two groups of transformations: congruence transformations (which shuffle components of the multi-component wave field) and canonical transformations (which act on the ray phase space). It is shown that for conversion between two waves there is a new invariant not previously discussed: the *intrinsic helicity* of the ray.

PACS numbers: 03.65 Sq,42.15 Dp,42.25 Bs

For linear propagation of an N -component wave field in a (weakly) non-uniform multi-dimensional medium, the field is usefully represented by a family of *rays*, along which the wave phase, amplitude, and polarization propagate. (The theory is referred to as ray-tracing, WKB, or eikonal.) In a local region where two waves of different polarization have (nearly) the same frequency and wave-vector, resonant *conversion* occurs, and a ray of one wave splits into two rays, one of each type. In a conversion region, the WKB theory breaks down and a local theory must be developed which governs the pairwise interaction of the two resonant waves. Such a theory is represented by a 2×2 local wave equation.

The ray equations are Hamiltonian with the determinant of the full $N \times N$ dispersion matrix playing the role of the Hamiltonian. In a conversion region, the local ray geometry can be used to guide the reduction from the original $N \times N$ theory to the local 2×2 form [1,2], construct the local transformations which recasts the local 2×2 wave equation into the simplest possible form, and find the local coordinates in which the 2×2 wave equation separates. The new results reported here are: 1) In a conversion region, the six-dimensional ray phase space is locally foliated by four-dimensional invariant subspaces. Within these four-dimensional subspaces, the ray dynamics is hyperbolic in one two-dimensional subspace, and elliptic in the other (*i.e.* pure hyperbolic motion is not possible). 2) There is one quantity, not previously identified, that is invariant under all local congruence transformations and canonical transformations: the *ray helicity*.

Linear wave conversion is ubiquitous throughout physics (see, for example, references given in [2,3]). While there is a large literature on conversion in one dimension, there are relatively few studies of the multi-dimensional case (see Refs. [4–14] in physics, and [15–17] in mathematics).

We start with a general N -component field $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N)$ in a weakly non-uniform medium. Assume the medium has three spatial dimensions for concreteness and is time-stationary, but note that the methods described can be extended to include non-stationary media as well [18]. Denote a point in space as $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. The wave equation can

always be cast into the standard form [6]:

$$\int d^3x' dt' D_{mn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t - t') \psi_n(\mathbf{x}', t') = 0, \quad m = 1, 2 \dots N. \quad (1)$$

We assume that the wave kernel \mathbf{D} is an $N \times N$ matrix-valued function of its arguments satisfying $D_{mn}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t - t') = D_{nm}^*(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}, t' - t)$, which gives non-dissipative wave propagation. The goal is to find the multi-component field $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ throughout a given space-time region with fitting to appropriate initial/boundary conditions. A standard tool for this analysis is the eikonal, or WKB, method. An ansatz is used of the form $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{i\theta(\mathbf{x}) - i\omega t} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{x})$, where $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ is a rapidly varying phase, $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x})$ is a slowly varying *scalar* amplitude function (assumed real), and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{x})$ is a slowly varying (complex) polarization vector. The WKB approximation breaks down near caustics and in conversion regions. The theory of caustics is well-developed [19,20], while the conversion problem in multi-dimensions is our present concern.

Starting with the wave kernel and using the Weyl calculus [12,18,21,22], we next construct the *dispersion matrix*, an $N \times N$ matrix-valued function on the ray phase space denoted $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$. From now on we denote a point in the six-dimensional ray phase space by $\mathbf{z} \equiv (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6)$. If (1) is conservative (as we assume), then $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z})$ is Hermitian at each point \mathbf{z} : $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z})$. Ray propagation requires $D(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \det(\mathbf{D}) = 0$. This single scalar condition upon the six coordinates \mathbf{z} defines the *dispersion surface* which is, generically, a smooth five-dimensional surface, though it may have local singularities. Conversion occurs in the vicinity of the spatial point \mathbf{x}_* where two different WKB waves of frequency ω , with distinct polarization and dispersion characteristics, have nearly equal wavevectors [2]. In the ray phase space, this requires that two branches of the dispersion surface are in close proximity near the point $\mathbf{z}_* = (\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{k}_*)$.

Away from conversion regions (and caustics), the amplitude and polarization vary slowly following a ray. Within conversion regions, however, the polarization and amplitude vary rapidly and the WKB ansatz is no longer valid. Instead, the field locally has the form $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{i\mathbf{k}_* \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*) - i\omega t} [\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha + \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\beta]$, where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\beta$ are the *uncoupled* polariza-

tions evaluated at the conversion point. The algorithm for finding these two constant polarization vectors has been discussed elsewhere (see [6,8] for a proposed algorithm). We assume the uncoupled polarizations are given. The *complex* scalar amplitude functions, ψ_α and ψ_β , include all the effects of the coupling and have rapid variation in both amplitude and phase in the conversion region, but (after multiplication by $\exp[i\mathbf{k}_* \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*) - i\omega t]$) they connect smoothly onto the incoming and outgoing WKB wavefunctions.

The uncoupled polarizations are used to reduce the full $N \times N$ dispersion matrix to the following 2×2 *reduced dispersion matrix*

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{z}) & D_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{z}) \\ D_{\alpha\beta}^*(\mathbf{z}) & D_{\beta\beta}(\mathbf{z}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with $D_{jk}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k$ $j, k = (\alpha, \beta)$. We now consider only the reduced dispersion matrix (which is also Hermitian). Following Littlejohn and Flynn [3,11,23], we use the fact that any 2×2 Hermitian matrix can be expanded using the Pauli matrices as a basis:

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv B_\mu(\mathbf{z})\sigma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} B_0(\mathbf{z}) + B_3(\mathbf{z}) & B_1(\mathbf{z}) + iB_2(\mathbf{z}) \\ B_1(\mathbf{z}) - iB_2(\mathbf{z}) & B_0(\mathbf{z}) - B_3(\mathbf{z}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here, the components of the ‘four-vector’ $B = (B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)$ are *real* scalar functions of \mathbf{z} which are assumed to be independent in the region of interest. Taking the determinant gives $\det(\mathbf{D}) = B_0^2 - B_1^2 - B_2^2 - B_3^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu} B_\mu B_\nu$, with the Minkowski tensor $\eta \equiv \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. Note that $\det(\mathbf{D}) = 0$ implies that B must lie on the ‘light’ cone in ‘ B -space’.

Rays are propagated on the dispersion surface using $D(\mathbf{z}) = B^\mu B_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ as the ray Hamiltonian. Hamilton’s equations are most compactly written in terms of the Poisson bracket. For any two scalar functions, $f(\mathbf{z})$ and $g(\mathbf{z})$, the Poisson bracket is defined to be $\{f, g\} \equiv \nabla_z f \cdot J \cdot \nabla_z g$ where the 6×6 matrix J is defined as

$$J \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

with ‘0’ and ‘1’ the 3×3 null and identity matrices, respectively. The ray Hamiltonian $D(\mathbf{z})$ generates the ray evolution equations *via*:

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}} \equiv \frac{d\mathbf{z}}{d\sigma} = \{D, \mathbf{z}\} = -J \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{z}} D. \quad (5)$$

Thus, $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\nabla_k D$ and $\dot{\mathbf{k}} = \nabla_x D$. Following a ray, any scalar function $f(\mathbf{z})$ changes as $\dot{f} = \{D, f\} = \{\eta^{\nu\rho} B_\nu B_\rho, f\}$. In particular, the coordinates in ‘B-space’ change as

$$\dot{B}_\mu = 2\Omega_\mu^\nu B_\nu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (6)$$

Here $\Omega_\mu^\nu = \eta^{\nu\rho} \Omega_{\rho\mu}$ is a 4×4 matrix composed of all pairwise Poisson brackets of the components $B_\mu(\mathbf{z})$: $\Omega_{\rho\mu}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \{B_\rho, B_\mu\} = -\{B_\mu, B_\rho\}$. The matrix Ω plays a fundamental role in the theory; since all of its entries are Poisson brackets, the entire matrix is invariant under canonical transformations. Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations are generated by anti-symmetric matrices (meaning that the 4×4 matrix $\Lambda(\sigma) = 1 + \sigma\eta\Omega$ satisfies $\tilde{\Lambda}(\sigma)\eta\Lambda(\sigma) = \eta + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$). Hence, we can associate a one-parameter family of Lorentz transformations with the ray propagation. The key idea is that the equations (6) are simply Hamilton’s equations written in a non-canonical coordinate system. (Recalling that phase space is six-dimensional, and there are only four B_μ , we must supplement (6) by two further evolution equations for another pair of coordinates, as will be shown.) Note also that, when $B \rightarrow B' = AB$ for *any* constant linear transformation A (not just a Lorentz transformation), the matrix of Poisson brackets Ω transforms via $\Omega' = A\Omega\tilde{A}$.

The geometrical picture is as follows (see Figure (1)): the ray phase space is six-dimensional and plays the role of the *base space*. Over each point \mathbf{z} there is a fiber consisting of the space of 2×2 Hermitian matrices (‘ D -space’). Equivalently, the fiber consists of the space of four-vectors B (‘ B -space’). The fiber space is four-dimensional. For each \mathbf{z} , assign a particular $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z})$ and assume that this assignment changes smoothly as we vary the base point \mathbf{z} . This assignment defines a six-dimensional surface, denoted \mathcal{S} . We note that a ray trajectory in the phase space (a solution of (5)) is associated with a well-defined curve in \mathcal{S} and, hence, with a well-defined curve in the fiber space. This curve obeys (6) in B -space.

The mapping between the six-dimensional section \mathcal{S} and the six-dimensional ray phase space is smooth and one-to-one. However, the mapping from \mathcal{S} to the four-dimensional D -

and B -spaces cannot be one-to-one. This can be clarified by an appropriate choice of local coordinates. We have assumed that the four components $B_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ are locally independent functions of \mathbf{z} , hence we can use them as four local (non-canonical) coordinates in the ray phase space. These are supplemented by two further independent coordinates, call them $F_1(\mathbf{z})$ and $F_2(\mathbf{z})$. We can choose F_1 and F_2 to satisfy $\{F_1, B_\mu\} = \{F_2, B_\mu\} = 0$, $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$, but F_1 and F_2 are otherwise arbitrary (note that this implies $\{F_1, F_2\} \neq 0$). This gives us a local six-dimensional (non-canonical) coordinate system $(B_0(\mathbf{z}), B_1(\mathbf{z}), B_2(\mathbf{z}), B_3(\mathbf{z}), F_1(\mathbf{z}), F_2(\mathbf{z}))$. The B -coordinates change *via* (6), while the F_1 and F_2 do not change along the ray since they have zero Poisson bracket with the B_μ 's. This implies that the rays lie in surfaces of constant (F_1, F_2) and, therefore, there is a natural foliation of the six-dimensional phase space, with each four-dimensional leaf labeled by the two invariants F_1 and F_2 . Within each four-dimensional leaf, the three-dimensional image of the light cone $B^\mu B_\mu(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ is a slice of the dispersion surface $D(\mathbf{z}) = 0$. Note that we do not need to assume that we are near the apex of this cone, nor have we linearized the coordinate functions. These properties of the local ray dynamics are purely a consequence of the fact that the ray Hamiltonian is the determinant of a generic 2×2 Hermitian matrix.

We now fix attention upon a particular leaf (*i.e.* fixed values of (F_1, F_2)). After restricting to a fixed leaf, the ray motion can either be viewed in the phase space (where the ray equations (5) are canonical), or in B -space (where the ray equations (6) are non-canonical). Extracting the geometrical invariants, and their physical implications, is more direct using the non-canonical coordinates.

Under a congruence transformation \mathbf{Q} with constant entries, the 2×2 reduced dispersion matrix transforms *via* $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}' \equiv \mathbf{Q}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q}$, and the determinant as $\det(\mathbf{D}') = Q^2 \det(\mathbf{D})$ where $\det(\mathbf{Q}) \equiv Q$. First consider \mathbf{Q} with unit determinant, $Q = 1$. Direct calculation shows that the related four-vector B and matrix Ω transform to $B'_\nu = \Lambda_\nu^\mu B_\mu$ and $\Omega' = \Lambda \Omega \tilde{\Lambda}$, respectively, where Λ is the 4×4 Lorentz matrix with entries [11,21] $(\Lambda^{-1})_\nu^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma_\mu \mathbf{Q}^\dagger \sigma_\nu \mathbf{Q})$. Notice that two \mathbf{Q} 's that differ by an overall minus sign are related to the same Lorentz transformation.

The Lorentz transformations leave the Minkowski tensor η invariant $\tilde{\Lambda}\eta\Lambda = \eta$. The Minkowski tensor satisfies $\eta^2 = 1$, implying that $\Lambda^{-1} = \eta\tilde{\Lambda}\eta$. Therefore, $\eta\Omega$ transforms via the similarity transformation $\eta\Omega' = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(\eta\Omega)\tilde{\Lambda}$, and its characteristic polynomial is invariant under Lorentz transformations. Some algebra shows that $P(\lambda) = \det(\eta\Omega - \lambda) = \lambda^4 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}((\eta\Omega)^2)\lambda^2 + \det(\eta\Omega)$. If we use the standard parametrization for generators of the Lorentz group [24]:

$$\Omega \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \\ -\gamma_1 & 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ -\gamma_2 & \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\gamma_3 & -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

then we find $P(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (\omega^2 - \gamma^2)\lambda^2 - (\gamma \cdot \omega)^2$. Notice that $P(\lambda)$ depends only upon λ^2 , hence the roots of $P(\lambda) = 0$ come in plus-minus pairs. Generically, $P(0)$ is strictly negative, while $P(\lambda^2)$ is concave upward as a function of λ^2 . Therefore, as a function of λ^2 , $P = 0$ will have one negative root and one positive root. This implies, in turn, that as a function of λ , $P = 0$ will have a pair of \pm pure imaginary roots, and a pair of \pm real roots. This implies that the ray motion will be a combination of elliptic and hyperbolic behaviors.

This parametrization (7) provides a useful physical interpretation for the meaning of Ω . Fix a point $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}_0$ on the ray where $\Omega_0 \equiv \Omega(\mathbf{z}_0)$ is evaluated. Now use Ω_0 to generate a one-parameter family of Lorentz transformations in B -space (σ is the ray orbit parameter) $B(\sigma) = \Lambda(\sigma)B(0) \equiv \exp(\sigma\eta\Omega_0)B(0)$. This provides a local approximation to the ray orbit in B -space. In that space, the ray evolution will be a combination of a rotation (generated by the ω -subspace) and a ‘boost’, generated by the γ -subspace [24]. Hence, the orbit in B -space will generically be a combination of elliptic and hyperbolic motions. But, a ray orbit in B -space is the smooth image of a ray orbit in the original phase space, hence ray orbits generated by generic 2×2 dispersion matrices will have a combination of elliptic and hyperbolic motions. Purely hyperbolic motion is not possible.

The above discussion demonstrates that ray dynamics in a multi-dimensional conversion

is a combination of elliptic and hyperbolic motions (and degenerate versions of these motions such as occurs, for example, when $\omega = 0$). However, we have not yet exhausted all possible congruence transformations. Now consider congruence transformations that are pure scale transformations (*i.e.* diagonal matrices with $|\det(\mathbf{Q})| \equiv Q \neq 1$). From $\det(\mathbf{D}') = Q^2 \det(\mathbf{D})$ we have $\eta^{\mu\nu} B'_\mu B'_\nu = Q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} B_\mu B_\nu$. Thus the light-cone is invariant, but the numerical value of the determinant off the light-cone can change. Using $B' = \Lambda B$ we find that Λ now preserves the Minkowski tensor only to an overall scale factor: $\tilde{\Lambda} \eta \Lambda = Q^2 \eta$, implying Λ is not a Lorentz transformation, but a *conformal* one. We still have $\Omega' = \Lambda \Omega \tilde{\Lambda}$, and therefore $\eta \Omega' = Q^2 \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \eta \Omega \tilde{\Lambda}$. This implies that the characteristic polynomial of $\eta \Omega'$ is $P'(\lambda) \equiv \det(\eta \Omega' - \lambda) = \det(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} (Q^2 \eta \Omega - \lambda) \tilde{\Lambda}) = Q^8 P(\lambda/Q^2)$. Therefore $P'(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (\omega^2 - \gamma^2) \lambda^2 Q^4 - (\gamma \cdot \omega)^2 Q^8$, and we arrive, finally, at the result that the ratio

$$K \equiv \frac{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}{\gamma \cdot \omega} \quad (8)$$

is the sole quantity that is invariant under all (constant) congruence transformations of the 2×2 reduced dispersion matrix. As mentioned earlier, the entries of Ω are Poisson brackets, hence the entire matrix is invariant under canonical transformations, and we have uncovered a new quantity that is invariant under both sets of transformations.

In a separate paper we shall discuss how to exploit the connection with the Lorentz group to construct the *normal form* of the reduced dispersion matrix. If γ and ω are not already parallel, it is possible to make them so by performing a ‘boost’ in the direction $\omega \times \gamma$ with an appropriate choice of boost parameter. Hence, there is a set of frames where ω and γ are parallel. In such a frame, the invariant we have uncovered reduces to a simple function $K = \kappa - \kappa^{-1}$ with $\kappa \equiv \omega'/\gamma'$. The primes indicate that these are measured in these special frames. This reveals that the invariant κ has a natural interpretation as the helicity of a ray and measures the rate at which it ‘rotates’ relative to the rate of exponentiation. The sign of κ (the ‘handedness’ of the ray helix, as defined in the four-dimensional B -space) is also invariant. We shall also discuss how to solve the related 2×2 wave equation using generalizations of the Fourier transform, and how to incorporate these new results into

numerical ray tracing codes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the US Dept. of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences. We would like to thank Robert Littlejohn for helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. R. Tracy, A. N. Kaufman, and A. Jaun, Phys. Lett. **290A** (2001) 309.
- [2] E. R. Tracy, A. N. Kaufman, and A. J. Brizard, “Ray-based methods in multi-dimensional linear wave conversion”, to appear in Phys. Plasmas.
- [3] W. G. Flynn and R. G. Littlejohn, Annals of Physics **234** (1994) 334.
- [4] I. B. Bernstein and L. Friedland, in *Handbook of Plasma Physics*, edited by M. N. Rosenbluth and R. Z. Sagdeev (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), Vol. 1.
- [5] L. Friedland, L. Goldner and A. N. Kaufman, PRL **58** (1985) 1392.
- [6] L. Friedland and A. N. Kaufman, Phys. Fl. **30** (1987) 3050.
- [7] A. N. Kaufman and L. Friedland, Phys. Lett. **123A** (1987) 387.
- [8] L. Friedland and L. Goldner, Phys. Fl. **29** (1986) 4073.
- [9] A. N. Kaufman and L. Friedland, Phys. Lett. **123A** (1987) 387.
- [10] R. G. Littlejohn, “Landau-Zener transitions in two dimensions”, in *Path Integrals from meV to MeV*, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference, Tutzing, Bavaria, May 18-21 (1992), edited by H. Grabert, *et al.* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993).
- [11] R. G. Littlejohn and W. G. Flynn, Chaos **2** (1992) 149.
- [12] E. R. Tracy and A. N. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. E **48** (1993) 2196.
- [13] E. R. Tracy, A. N. Kaufman and Y.-M. Liang, Phys. Plasmas **2** (1995) 4413.
- [14] Yu. Krasniak and E. R. Tracy, Phys. Lett. **248A** (1998) 235.
- [15] P. J. Braam and J. J. Duistermaat, Indag. Mathem., N.S. 4(4) (1993) 407.
- [16] P. J. Braam and J. J. Duistermaat, in *Panoramas of Mathematics*, Banach Center Publications, Volume 34, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa

- (1995).
- [17] Y. C. de Verdière, “The level crossing problem in semi-classical analysis I: the symmetric case”, (to appear in the *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, Special Issue: Proceedings of Frédéric Pham’s Congress).
- [18] S. W. McDonald, *Phys. Reports* **158** (1988) 337.
- [19] R. G. Littlejohn, *J. Stat. Phys.* **68** (1992) 7.
- [20] R. G. Littlejohn and J. M. Robbins, *Phys. Rev.* **36A** (1987) 2953.
- [21] H. Weyl, *The theory of groups and quantum mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1950).
- [22] R. G. Littlejohn, *Phys. Rep.* **138** (1986) 193.
- [23] R. G. Littlejohn and W. G. Flynn, *PRL* **66** (1991) 2839.
- [24] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998).




FIG. 1. A conceptual figure showing the relationship between the six-dimensional ray phase (represented by the plane at the bottom of the figure), the four-dimensional fiber spaces (represented by the ‘ D ’ and ‘ B ’ planes at the left), and the six-dimensional surface $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \mathcal{S}$. Note that a ray in the phase space defines a smooth curve in \mathcal{S} and in the fiber spaces.