

Description of Complex Systems in terms of Self-Organization Processes of Prime Integer Relations

Victor Korotkiĥ and Galina Korotkiĥ^y
Faculty of Informatics and Communication
Central Queensland University
Mackay, Queensland 4740, Australia

It is shown that complex systems can be described in terms of self-organization processes of prime integer relations. The prime integer relations are indivisible elements obtained according to a single principle by using the integers as the basic constituents. Prime integer relations characterize correlation structures of complex systems and can be represented as two-dimensional geometric patterns with fractal properties. Controlled by arithmetic only, the self-organization processes of prime integer relations describe complex systems by information not requiring further explanations. This gives the possibility to develop an irreducible theory of complex systems.

PACS numbers: 89.75.-k, 89.75.Fb

I. INTRODUCTION

Complex systems profoundly change human activities of the day. In order to understand and control the developments, it is becoming increasingly important to have confidence in the theory of complex systems. Ultimately, this requires clear explanations why the foundations of the theory are valid in the first place. The ideal situation would be to have an irreducible theory of complex systems as not requiring a deeper explanatory base in principle. But the question arises: where such an irreducible theory may come from at the time when even the concept of space-time is questioned [1] as a fundamental entity?

As an answer to the question, it is suggested that the concept of integers could take responsibility in the search for an irreducible theory of complex systems [2]. The aim of the paper is to present a description of complex systems in terms of self-organization processes of prime integer relations. A prime integer relation is an indivisible element in the sense that none of its components can be considered separately to result in an integer relation. Starting with the integers and controlled by arithmetic only, the self-organization processes characterize complex systems by information not requiring further explanations. This gives the possibility to develop an irreducible theory of complex systems.

II. INVARIANT QUANTITIES OF A COMPLEX SYSTEM AND THE UNDERLYING CORRELATIONS

We approach complex systems from a general perspective. In particular, we consider the dynamics of a com-

plex system in order to understand the correlations between the parts. For this purpose we describe a complex system by its certain quantities and are interested to know how many of them remain invariant as the system evolves from one state to another [2], [3].

Let I be an integer alphabet and

$$I_N = \{x = x_1 \dots x_N; x_i \in I; i = 1; \dots; N\}$$

be the set of sequences of length $N \geq 2$. We consider a complex system of N elementary parts with the state of part P_i specified by a space variable $x_i \in I; i = 1; \dots; N$ and the state of the complex system itself by a sequence $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$.

We use piecewise constant functions for a geometric representation of the sequences. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ be length scales of a two-dimensional lattice. Let $\varphi_m : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a mapping that realizes the geometric representation of a sequence $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$ by associating it with a function $f \in W = [t_m; t_{m+N}]$, denoted $f = \varphi_m(x)$, such that

$$f(t_m) = x_1; f(t) = x_i; t \in (t_{m+i-1}; t_{m+i}); i = 1; \dots; N;$$

$$t_i = i\tau; i = m; \dots; m + N$$

and whose integrals $f^{[k]}$ satisfy $f^{[k]}(t_m) = 0; k = 1; 2; \dots$, where m is an integer. The sequence $x = x_1 \dots x_N$ is called a code of the function f and denoted $c(f)$.

We use the geometric representation to characterize a state $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$ of a complex system in terms of the definite integrals

$$f^{[k]}(t_{m+N}) = \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+N}} f^{[k-1]}(t) dt; f^{[0]} = f; k = 1; 2; \dots \quad (1)$$

of a function $f = \varphi_m(x) \in W = [t_m; t_{m+N}] \subset \mathbb{R}$. The definite integrals specify certain quantities of the complex system.

The integer code series [4] expresses the quantities (1) of a complex system in terms of the state $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$

^Electronic address: v.korotkiĥ@cqu.edu.au

^yElectronic address: g.korotkiĥ@cqu.edu.au




FIG. 1: The figure shows that for states $x = +1 \ 1+1 \ 1 \ 1+1+1+1$ and $x^0 = -1 \ 1+1+1+1+1+1 \ 1$ the first integrals are the same $f^{[1]}(t_8) = g^{[1]}(t_8)$, where $f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x)^m$; $g = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x^0)^m$ and $m = 0; \dots; 1; \dots; 1$. It turns out that the second integrals are also the same $f^{[2]}(t_8) = g^{[2]}(t_8)$, but the third integrals are different $f^{[3]}(t_8) \neq g^{[3]}(t_8)$. Thus $C(x; x^0) = 2$.

I_N explicitly. Namely, it describes the definite integral

$$f^{[k]}(t_{m+N}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} ((m+N)^i x_1 + \dots + (m+1)^i x_N)^{k-i} \quad (2)$$

of a function $f \in W^{\infty}([t_m; t_{m+N}])$ by using the code $c(f) = x_1 \dots x_N$ of the function f , powers $(m+N)^i; \dots; (m+1)^i$ of integers $(m+N); \dots; (m+1)$ and combinatorial coefficients

$$k_{m,i} = ((-1)^{k-i-1} (m+1)^{k-i} + (-1)^{k-i} m^{k-i}) = (k-i)!;$$

where $k = 1$ and $i = 0; \dots; k-1$ [4].

We are concerned how many quantities (1) remain invariant as a complex system moves from one state $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$ to another $x^0 = x_1^0 \dots x_N^0 \in I_N$ [2]

$$f^{[k]}(t_{m+N}) = g^{[k]}(t_{m+N}); \quad k = 1; \dots; C(x; x^0); \quad (3)$$

$$f^{[C(x; x^0)+1]}(t_{m+N}) \neq g^{[C(x; x^0)+1]}(t_{m+N}); \quad (4)$$

where $f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x)^m$; $g = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x^0)^m$ (Figure 1).

We consider the conservation of the quantities (3) as a consequence of the correlations between the parts of the complex system. In particular, although the complex system moves from one state to another, however the changes of the parts may be correlated to preserve the quantities. It is viewed that the more of the quantities are conserved the stronger the correlations between the changes of the parts.

III. THE CONSERVING CORRELATIONS AS SPECIFIC LINEAR EQUATIONS

It is proved by using (2) that $C(x; x^0) = 1$ of the quantities of a complex system remain invariant, as it

moves from one state $x = x_1 \dots x_N \in I_N$ to another $x^0 = x_1^0 \dots x_N^0 \in I_N$, if the space-time changes $x_i = x_i^0$; $i = 1; \dots; N$ of the elementary parts are correlated to satisfy a system of $C(x; x^0)$ linear equations [2]

$$(m+N)^0 x_1 + \dots + (m+1)^0 x_N = 0$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$(m+N)^{C(x; x^0)-1} x_1 + \dots + (m+1)^{C(x; x^0)-1} x_N = 0 \quad (5)$$

and an inequality

$$(m+N)^{C(x; x^0)} x_1 + \dots + (m+1)^{C(x; x^0)} x_N \neq 0; \quad (6)$$

The coefficients of the linear equations (5) become the entries of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} (m+N)^0 & (m+N-1)^0 & \dots & (m+1)^0 \\ (m+N)^1 & (m+N-1)^1 & \dots & (m+1)^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (m+N)^{N-1} & (m+N-1)^{N-1} & \dots & (m+1)^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

with Vandermonde determinant, when the number of the equations is N . This fact is important in order to prove that the number of the conserved quantities of a complex system actually satisfies the condition $C(x; x^0) \leq N$ [2].

The character of the system of linear equations (5) suggests many possible associations. For example:

– It is shown that the system of equations (5) may be connected with a smooth projective curve over a finite field and the number $C(x; x^0)$ of the conserved quantities with the genus of the curve [2].

The system of equations (5) can be written for $s = 0; 1; \dots; C(x; x^0) + 1$ and $m = 0$ as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{x_{N-n+1}}{n^s} = 0$$

resembling the Dirichlet zeta function

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{x_n}{n^s};$$

where x_n are some coefficients and s defined for proper complex numbers. This points to a possible link of the equations (5) with the zeroes of the Dirichlet zeta function

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{x_n}{n^s} = 0$$

and the zeroes of the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = 0$$

in particular.

IV. SELF-ORGANIZATION PROCESSES OF PRIME INTEGER RELATIONS AND CORRELATION STRUCTURES OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS

The analysis of linear equations (5) and inequality (6) can reveal that a complex system is actually described in terms of hierarchical structures of prime integer relations. A proper interpretation of such hierarchical structures identifies self-organization processes of prime integer relations [2], [3]. In particular, a consistent picture of the hierarchical structures emerges when it is realized that the self-organization processes, starting with the integers as the elementary building blocks and following a single principle, make the prime integer relations from one level to the next.

We illustrate the results by considering two states of a complex system

$$x = 1+1+1 \quad 1+1 \quad 1 \quad 1+1+1 \quad 1 \quad 1+1 \quad 1+1+1 \quad 1;$$

$$x^0 = +1 \quad 1 \quad 1+1 \quad 1+1+1 \quad 1 \quad 1+1+1 \quad 1+1 \quad 1 \quad 1+1$$

specified by the Prouhet-Thue-Morse (PTM) sequences of length $N = 16$. In this case the equations (5) become four integer relations $k = 0; 1; 2; 3$ as $C(x; x^0) = 4$ and $m = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &+16^0 \quad 15^0 \quad 14^0 + 13^0 \quad 12^0 + 11^0 + 10^0 \quad 9^0 \\ &8^0 + 7^0 + 6^0 \quad 5^0 + 4^0 \quad 3^0 \quad 2^0 + 1^0 = 0; \\ &+16^1 \quad 15^1 \quad 14^1 + 13^1 \quad 12^1 + 11^1 + 10^1 \quad 9^1 \\ &8^1 + 7^1 + 6^1 \quad 5^1 + 4^1 \quad 3^1 \quad 2^1 + 1^1 = 0; \\ &+16^2 \quad 15^2 \quad 14^2 + 13^2 \quad 12^2 + 11^2 + 10^2 \quad 9^2 \\ &8^2 + 7^2 + 6^2 \quad 5^2 + 4^2 \quad 3^2 \quad 2^2 + 1^2 = 0; \\ &+16^3 \quad 15^3 \quad 14^3 + 13^3 \quad 12^3 + 11^3 + 10^3 \quad 9^3 \\ &8^3 + 7^3 + 6^3 \quad 5^3 + 4^3 \quad 3^3 \quad 2^3 + 1^3 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and the inequality (6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &+16^4 \quad 15^4 \quad 14^4 + 13^4 \quad 12^4 + 11^4 + 10^4 \quad 9^4 \\ &8^4 + 7^4 + 6^4 \quad 5^4 + 4^4 \quad 3^4 \quad 2^4 + 1^4 \notin 0; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a common factor 2 originated from space-time variables $x_i; i = 1; \dots; 16$ is not shown for clarity. It is worth




FIG. 2: One of the hierarchical structures associated with the integer relations (7) and inequality (8). The structure may be interpreted as a result of a self-organization process of prime integer relations. It starts with integers 16;13;11;10;7;6;4;1 generated in the positive state and integers 15;14;12;9;8;5;3;2 generated in the negative state on the zero level. The self-organization process makes the prime integer relations from the first level to the fourth guided by a single principle. Arithmetic forbids the process from reaching level 5, as the left side of (8) cannot be zero.

noting that calculations in (7) and (8) and their results are completely determined by arithmetic.

There is a number of hierarchical structures of prime integer relations associated with the system of integer relations (7) and integer inequality (8). One of the hierarchical structures is shown in Figure 2. In the structure the relationships between the elements of neighboring levels may be interpreted as a consequence of a self-organization process of prime integer relations. The process starts with integers 16; ...; 1 and proceeds level by level following the same organizing principle:

on each level the powers of the integers in the prime integer relations are increased by 1, so that through emerging interdependencies the prime integer relations could self-organize to form prime integer relations of the next level.

We can see that the formation of prime integer relations is more than their simple sum.

It is useful to give another hierarchical structure to indicate where the variety of the hierarchical structures of prime integer relations connected with (7) and (8) comes from.

The first level of the structure includes the prime integer relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &+16^0 \quad 14^0 = 0; \quad 15^0 + 13^0 = 0; \quad 12^0 + 10^0 = 0; \\ &+11^0 \quad 9^0 = 0; \quad 8^0 + 6^0 = 0; \quad +7^0 \quad 5^0 = 0; \\ &+4^0 \quad 2^0 = 0; \quad 3^0 + 1^0 = 0; \end{aligned}$$

The second level of the structure – the prime integer relations

$$(+16^1 \quad 14^1) + (-15^1 + 13^1) = 0;$$

$$(-12^1 + 10^1) + (+11^1 \quad 9^1) = 0;$$

$$(+8^1 + 6^1) + (+7^1 \quad 5^1) = 0; \quad (+4^1 \quad 2^1) + (-3^1 + 1^1) = 0:$$

The third level of the structure – the prime integer relations

$$((+16^2 \quad 14^2) + (-15^2 + 13^2))$$

$$+ ((-12^2 + 10^2) + (+11^2 \quad 9^2)) = 0;$$

$$((-8^2 + 6^2) + (+7^2 \quad 5^2)) + ((+4^2 \quad 2^2) + (-3^2 + 1^2)) = 0:$$

The fourth level of the structure – the prime integer relation

$$(((+16^3 \quad 14^3) + (-15^3 + 13^3) + ((-12^3 + 10^3) + (+11^3 \quad 9^3)))$$

$$+ (((-8^3 + 6^3) + (+7^3 \quad 5^3)) + ((+4^3 \quad 2^3) + (-3^3 + 1^3))) = 0:$$

Let us briefly explain the notion of prime integer relation. A prime integer relation of the first level is the sum of integers from the zero level (Figure 2). Following the organizing principle a prime integer relation of one level is made from prime integer relations of the previous level. Notably, a prime integer relation is an indivisible element in the sense that none of its components can be considered separately to result in an integer relation. For example, integer relation

$$+7^2 \quad 6^2 \quad 5^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 \quad 1^2 = 0$$

is a prime integer relation, because none of its components can separately make a prime integer relation. At the same time integer relation

$$+7^0 \quad 6^0 \quad 5^0 + 3^0 = 0$$

is not prime, because it consists of two prime integer relations $+7^0 \quad 6^0 = 0$; $5^0 + 3^0 = 0$.

The space-time dynamics x_i of elementary part $P_i; i = 1; \dots; N$ has the following interpretation. The absolute value of x_i is the number of integers $(m + N - i + 1)$ involved in a self-organization process associated with the linear equations (5) and inequality (6), while sign (x_i) determines the state, i.e., positive or negative, of the integers, provided that $x_i \neq 0; i = 1; \dots; N$.

The self-organization processes describe complex systems by hierarchical structures of prime integer relations. The prime integer relations are true statements that cannot be further reduced. In particular, there exist no




FIG. 3: A correlation structure of the complex system is built in accordance with the hierarchical structure of prime integer relations (Figure 2). The elementary parts $P_{16}; \dots; P_1$ are depicted as integers 16; \dots ; 1 to show the correspondence between the composite parts of the complex system and the prime integer relations. The horizontal edge denotes that the parts of the complex system are correlated through a prime integer relation.

deeper principles to explain why the hierarchical structures exist the way they do and not otherwise. For example, it is not possible to explain further a structure, where prime integer relations

$$+7^0 \quad 6^0 = 0; \quad 5^0 + 3^0 = 0; \quad +2^0 \quad 1^0 = 0$$

of level 1 form a prime integer relation

$$+7^1 \quad 6^1 \quad 5^1 + 3^1 + 2^1 \quad 1^1 = 0$$

of level 2, which can self-organize itself into a prime integer relation

$$+7^2 \quad 6^2 \quad 5^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 \quad 1^2 = 0$$

of level 3. However, this prime integer relation cannot progress further to level 4, because

$$+7^3 \quad 6^3 \quad 5^3 + 3^3 + 2^3 \quad 1^3 \neq 0:$$

It is shown that the correlation structures underlying the conservation of the quantities (3) and (4) are built in accordance with the hierarchical structures of prime integer relations associated with the linear equations (5) and inequality (6) [2], [5], [6].

For example, the prime integer relation $+4^0 \quad 3^0 = 0$ (Figure 2) corresponds to

$$+2 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 3 = x_4 4^0 + x_3 3^0 = 0;$$

which shows that space-time changes x_4 ; x_3 of the elementary parts P_4 and P_3 are correlated as

$$x_4 4^0 = x_3 3^0$$

and thus the parts make a composite part ($P_4 \& P_3$).

The correlation through the prime integer relation does not seem to involve a physical signal between the parts P_4 and P_3 . But, if the dynamics x_4 of the elementary part P_4 is specified, then the dynamics x_3 of the elementary part P_3 is instantaneously determined and vice versa. The correlation is nonlocal, because the prime integer relation does not have any reference to the distance between the parts.

Similarly, the prime integer relation $2^0 + 1^0 = 0$ specifies the correlation

$$x_2 2^0 = x_1 1^0$$

between the elementary parts P_2 and P_1 and a composite part

$$(P_2 \S P_1):$$

In its turn the prime integer relation

$$+ 4^1 - 3^1 - 2^1 + 1^1 = 0;$$

made from prime integer relations $+ 4^0 - 3^0 = 0$ and $2^0 + 1^0 = 0$, corresponds to

$$(x_4 4^1 + x_3 3^1) + (x_2 2^1 + x_1 1^1) = 0;$$

which shows that the composite parts $(P_4 \S P_3)$ and $(P_2 \S P_1)$ are correlated as

$$(x_4 4^1 + x_3 3^1) = -(x_2 2^1 + x_1 1^1)$$

and form a larger composite part

$$((P_4 \S P_3) \S (P_2 \S P_1)):$$

In general, the self-organization process of prime integer relations can be associated with the formation of a correlation structure of the complex system (Figures 2 and 3). The formation process starts with the elementary parts $P_{16}; \dots; P_1$ and combine them into composite parts in order to make larger composite parts and so on until the whole correlation structure is built (Figure 3).

By using the self-organization processes of prime integer relations a concept of complexity is introduced [2] and its applications may be found in [7].

V. GEOMETRIZATION OF THE SELF-ORGANIZATION PROCESSES OF PRIME INTEGER RELATIONS AND THEIR FRACTAL PROPERTIES

In the above considerations it was convenient to think about prime integer relations as objects of formation rather than as objects of calculation. However, integers and integer relations are abstract entities in the first place and do not give pictures we usually have when physical objects form into each other. Moreover, integer relations are not even familiar as geometric objects suitable for




FIG. 4: A hierarchical structure of prime integer relations and an isomorphic hierarchical structure of geometric patterns. Under the integration of the function, the geometric patterns one level form the geometric patterns of the next level. Due to the isomorphism the self-organization process of prime integer relations can be described geometrically and quantitatively. For example, we can see that geometric patterns on different levels are self-similar. A prime integer relation can be measured by the area of a corresponding geometric pattern.

observation and measurement. Therefore, the prime integer relations would be better recognized as objects of formation, if they could be observed and measured as geometric patterns.

Remarkably, by using the integer code series [3], it is shown that the prime integer relations can be geometrized as two-dimensional patterns and the self-organization processes can be described in terms of their transformations [2]. These characterize the self-organization processes of prime integer relations geometrically and quantitatively. As a result self-organization processes of prime integer relations can be visualized and their properties investigated accordingly.

As an illustration a hierarchical structure of prime integer relations and an isomorphic hierarchical structure of geometric patterns are presented in Figure 4. In this case we have

$$x = 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0;$$

$$x^0 = +1 \ 1 \ 1 + 1 \ 1 + 1 + 1 \ 1$$

$n = 8$ and $m = 3$. The isomorphism allows us to see that the self-organization process of prime integer relations has a fractal character and investigate its scaling properties. Importantly, a prime integer relation can be measured by the area of a corresponding geometric pattern.

In general, the geometric patterns of prime integer relations exhibit a variety of numbers with important properties. In particular, the PTM sequence is connected

with a self-organization process of prime integer relations progressing well through the hierarchical levels and demonstrating critical point [8], [9] features [2] (Figure 4). This resonates properly with the fact that the PTM sequence gives a symbolic description of chaos resulting from period-doubling [10] in physical systems [11].

Although the PTM geometric patterns at levels $N > 1$ are bounded by intricate curves, they have, nevertheless, a concise and universal description. For example, the area of a PTM geometric pattern at level $N = 1$ can be simply specified as $S = LH/2$, where L and H are the length and height of the geometric pattern (Figure 4). As a result the PTM geometric patterns have scale invariant properties dividing the hierarchical levels into similar groups of 3 successive levels.

Namely, the lengths and heights of the PTM geometric patterns at levels $N = 1;2;3$ and $N = 4;5;6$ are given in terms of l and h as

$$(2l; h); (4l; h^2); (8l; 2h^3)$$

and

$$(16l; 8h^4); (32l; 64h^5); (64l; 1024h^6);$$

By using the renormalization group transformation

$$l^0 = 2^3 l; h^0 = h^3;$$

the lengths and heights at levels $N = 4;5;6$ can be expressed in terms of l^0 and h^0 in the same way

$$(2l^0; h^0); (4l^0; h^{02}); (8l^0; 2h^{03})$$

as the lengths and heights at levels $N = 1;2;3$ are given in terms of l and h . The situation repeats for levels $N = 7;8;9$ and so on.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that complex systems can be described in terms of self-organization processes of prime integer relations. The processes have the integers as the basic building blocks and controlled by arithmetic only make the prime integer relations from one level to the next. In the description of complex systems prime integer relations demonstrate important properties:

- May self-organize into another prime integer relations according to a single principle. A prime integer relation may participate in various self-organization processes and as a result be a component of different prime integer relations at higher levels;

- Do not stand alone, but through the self-organization processes are all interconnected and inseparable in one hierarchical network. It seems that not a minor change can be made to any element of the network;

- Appear to provide nonlocal and instantaneous correlations between the parts of a complex system;

- Can be described as two-dimensional geometric patterns and may be quantified in renormalizable numbers;

- Provide a complexity order. The self-organization processes start with different integers and as a result progress to different levels producing the complexity order. It seems like a self-organization process aims to progress as high as possible in the direction of the order.

Finally, we have presented self-organization processes of prime integer relations as a new way to describe complex systems. The processes characterize complex systems by information not requiring further explanations. This gives the possibility to develop an irreducible theory of complex systems.

[1] L. Smolin, *Three Roads to Quantum Gravity* (Basic Books, 2001).
 [2] V. Koroťkikh, *A Mathematical Structure for Emergent Computation* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999).
 [3] V. Koroťkikh, *Integers: Irreducible Guides in the Search for a Unified Theory*, Braz. J. Phys., Special Issue on Decoherence, Information, Complexity and Entropy, 35 (2B), 509 (2005).
 [4] V. Koroťkikh, *Integer Code Series with Some Applications in Dynamical Systems and Complexity* (Computing Centre of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 1993).
 [5] G. Koroťkikh and V. Koroťkikh, in *Optimization and Industry: New Frontiers*, ed. by P. Pardalos and V. Koroťkikh (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003).

[6] V. Koroťkikh, in *Fuzzy Partial Differential Equations and Relational Equations*, ed. by M. Nikraves, L. Zadeh and V. Koroťkikh (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
 [7] V. Koroťkikh, G. Koroťkikh and D. Bond, *On Optimality Condition of Complex Systems: Computational Evidence*, arXiv:cs/0504092, April, 2005.
 [8] L. Kadano, W. Gotze, D. Hamblen, R. Hecht, E. A. S. Lewis, V. V. Palciauskas, M. Rayland J. Swift, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 39 (2), 395 (1967).
 [9] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* C12, 75 (1974).
 [10] M. Feigenbaum, *Los Alamos Sci.* 1, 4 (1980).
 [11] J. Alouche and M. Cosnard, in *Dynamical Systems and Cellular Automata* (Academic Press, 1985).