

HOLOMORPHIC PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER ELLIPTIC CURVES

ROBERT FRIEDMAN AND JOHN W. MORGAN

Introduction.

This paper, the first in a projected series of three, is concerned with the classification of holomorphic principal G -bundles over an elliptic curve, where G is a reductive linear algebraic group. The motivation for this study comes from physics. The F-theory/heterotic string duality predicts that, given an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau manifold M of dimension n over a base space B , together with a stable $E_8 \times E_8$ -bundle over M and a so-called complexified Kähler class, there should be an associated Calabi-Yau manifold W of dimension $n + 1$, fibered over the same base B , where the fibers are elliptic $K3$ surfaces. In some sense, this paper and its two sequels are an attempt to understand this prediction in purely mathematical terms. The physical approach to this construction has been discussed in [14], as well as in the many references in that paper. For several mathematical reasons it has seemed worthwhile to consider not just $E_8 \times E_8$ but the case of a general reductive group G . In this paper, we shall consider the problem of classifying holomorphic G -bundles over a single smooth elliptic curve E , as well as proving a number of auxiliary results which we shall need later. The methods of this paper are not well-suited to dealing with singular curves of arithmetic genus one or with families. Moreover, they do not work well in defining universal bundles, even locally in the case of a single smooth elliptic curve. In fact, all of these problems are already evident in the case of vector bundles with trivial determinant, i.e. in case $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. In the first sequel to this paper, we will describe another method for constructing bundles, at least when G is simple, by considering deformations of certain minimally unstable G -bundles corresponding to special maximal parabolic subgroups of G . It turns out that this construction overcomes the problems outlined above, and it can be used to give a new proof and generalization of a theorem of Looijenga and Bernshtein-Shvartsman [24, 4] concerning the global structure of the moduli space of G -bundles. In Part III of this series, we specialize to the case motivated by physics and relate the case where the group G is E_6, E_7, E_8 to del Pezzo surfaces and simple elliptic singularities. The study of flat G -bundles over E also leads to a somewhat different set of questions, involving normal forms for two or more commuting elements in a compact Lie group. This question is studied from the point of view of Lie groups in [6].

The study of holomorphic principal bundles over compact Riemann surfaces has a long history, dating back in modern times to Grothendieck's 1956 paper [18] on

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-96-22681. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-94-02988.

the structure of principal G -bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 . As is very well-known, Grothendieck showed there that every holomorphic vector bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles, thereby showing that there is a holomorphic reduction of the structure group to the diagonal subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. What is less well-known is that, in the same paper, he went on to prove the analogous statement for principal G -bundles, for an arbitrary reductive algebraic group G , by showing that in this case the structure group reduces holomorphically to a Cartan subgroup of G . A year later, Atiyah classified all holomorphic vector bundles over an elliptic curve [1], without however considering more general structure groups. In this case, the structure group does not in general reduce to a diagonal subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since the notion of a semistable bundle was not available at that time, Atiyah was not able to discuss the moduli space in a meaningful way and could not attempt to construct universal bundles.

The study of vector bundles over a Riemann surface was greatly clarified by Mumford's introduction in 1961 of the notion of stability or semistability of a vector bundle. Shortly thereafter, Narasimhan and Seshadri [25] showed that a vector bundle of degree zero is stable if and only if it arises as the flat vector bundle associated to an irreducible unitary representation of $\pi_1(C, *)$. A slight modification, using a central extension of $\pi_1(C, *)$, is needed to handle the case of arbitrary degree. The definition of stability and the analogue of the Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem for arbitrary reductive groups G were worked out by Ramanathan [27,28]. Finally, Atiyah-Bott [2] showed that there was a correspondence between flat vector bundles, or more generally flat K -bundles where K is a compact semisimple group, and Yang-Mills theory. There is also a slightly technical generalization to arbitrary compact groups involving representations of the central extension of $\pi_1(C, *)$ discussed above. One basic reason to work with semistable bundles is that the set of all semistable bundles can be parametrized by a coarse moduli space, whereas this is impossible for the set of all bundles. However, in order to get a separated moduli space, one must identify two semistable bundles if they are S -equivalent, which is a weaker equivalence relation than isomorphism in general. It follows easily from the definitions and Atiyah's classification theorem that the coarse moduli space of vector bundles with a fixed determinant over an elliptic curve is in fact simply a projective space.

Atiyah's classification, in the case of rank two and trivial determinant, was analyzed in a relative setting in [11, 12, 13]. The major motivation for this analysis came from Donaldson theory, where it was necessary to understand the moduli space of stable rank two vector bundles over an elliptic surface. In order to do this, one constructs relative moduli spaces of vector bundles over families of elliptic curves and tries to write down relative universal vector bundles over these relative moduli spaces. The point is that a vector bundle whose restriction to every fiber is semistable defines a section of the corresponding relative moduli space. Conversely, given a section of the relative moduli space, one can pull back a universal bundle via the section to obtain a bundle over the elliptic surface, and then see how all bundles can be obtained from this basic construction. These ideas were re-examined for arbitrary rank over elliptic fibrations over an arbitrary base in [16].

Given Grothendieck's theorem and Atiyah's classification of vector bundles, it is natural to try to understand the structure of the moduli space of S -equivalence classes of semistable G -bundles over an elliptic curve for more general groups G . However, this question does not seem to have arisen mathematically in the inter-

vening 40 years since the appearance of Grothendieck's paper, with the exception of the theorem of Looijenga and Bernshtein-Shvartsman, which as stated does not even appear at first glance to involve G -bundles. We will discuss this theorem in more detail in Section 5. By contrast, the case of bundles over curves of higher genus has received a great deal of attention, mainly due to the efforts to prove the Verlinde formula (see for example [3], [10]).

We turn now to a more detailed description of the contents of this paper. Let G be a connected reductive (complex linear algebraic) group, with maximal compact subgroup K . The main result of this paper (Theorem 4.5) generalizes Atiyah's theorem by giving a classification up to isomorphism of holomorphic principal G -bundles ξ over an elliptic curve E . The classification is in terms of three pieces of data. The first is the Levi factor L of a parabolic subgroup P of G , the Harder-Narasimhan parabolic of the bundle ξ . The bundle ξ reduces to a semistable bundle ξ_L over L and L is maximal among Levi factors of parabolic subgroups with this property. The second datum is a representation ρ of a central extension of $\pi_1(E, *)$ by \mathbb{R} into the maximal compact subgroup K_L of L . This representation is required to send the copy of \mathbb{R} into the center of K_L . These representations are considered up to conjugation in K_L . Such a representation is equivalent to a Yang-Mills connection on the principal K_L -bundle whose curvature is central in K_L . The third datum is the conjugacy class of a nilpotent element in the Lie algebra of the centralizer in L of the image of ρ . We show how this classification generalizes Atiyah's theorem for vector bundles. The remainder of the paper is concerned with the local and global structure of the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of semistable G -bundles. We also describe special representatives for the S-equivalence classes and their automorphism groups.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin by reviewing Atiyah's classification of vector bundles in Section 1. Following the statement of his theorem, we recall the definitions of semistability, S-equivalence, and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration for vector bundles. Using these definitions and some of the basic results, we outline another proof of the classification theorem. Then we discuss the relation between semistable bundles and representations of the fundamental group of an elliptic curve (or a central extension of it), or equivalently Yang-Mills connections. (In the case that the image of the representation lies in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the representation factors through the fundamental group of E and the resulting Yang-Mills connection is flat.) Bundles with Yang-Mills connections have maximal dimensional automorphism group in their S-equivalence class. For many applications to moduli questions, it is important to classify semistable vector bundles which are at the opposite extreme from vector bundles with Yang-Mills connections, in the sense that their automorphism groups have minimal possible dimension in their S-equivalence class. Such bundles are called *regular*, and already play an important role in studying vector bundles over elliptic fibrations [11, 12, 13, 16]. The plan then for the rest of the paper will be to use Section 1 as a guide for generalizations to other reductive groups G . Unlike the case of vector bundles, however, we cannot give completely elementary proofs of the main results, but must rely on the machinery of Yang-Mills and deformation theory.

In Section 2, we reduce the case of unstable G -bundles to the semistable case via the analogue of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. For a general reductive group G and a general compact Riemann surface C , this construction says that every unstable G -bundle over C has a canonical reduction to a bundle with structure

group a parabolic subgroup of G , in such a way that the associated bundle over the Levi factor is semistable. In case $g(C) = 0$ or 1 , one can further reduce the structure group to the Levi factor. For the case of genus zero, we use this fact to give a quick proof of Grothendieck's theorem on G -bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 . Over a general curve, there is an invariant which Atiyah and Bott have associated to an unstable G -bundle. We use this invariant, in the case of curves of genus 1, to determine when a reduction of structure from G to a reductive subgroup yields the Harder-Narasimhan reduction described above.

The results of Section 2 enable us to concentrate attention on semistable G -bundles. By general theory, the S-equivalence classes of semistable G -bundles form a coarse moduli space. Thus, the classification of semistable G -bundles divides into two parts: First describe all bundles in a given S-equivalence class, and then describe the set of all S-equivalence classes. Sections 3 and 4 are concerned with the first problem. The first step is to choose a canonical representative for each S-equivalence class. It turns out that there are two possible such representatives, the Yang-Mills representative and the regular representative; for an open dense set of G -bundles, these representatives will coincide. In order to describe the possible S-equivalence classes, we will single out the Yang-Mills representatives as the canonical choice. They are constructed via Yang-Mills connections, and correspond to conjugacy classes of homomorphisms ρ from a central extension of $\pi_1(E, *)$ into the maximal compact subgroup K of G . Using this description, we identify the set of semistable G -bundles S-equivalent to a Yang-Mills bundle arising from the representation ρ with the set of conjugacy classes of nilpotent elements X in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ of the Lie algebra of G which centralizes ρ . To make this identification, we give normal forms for the transition functions of a semistable G -bundle in Section 3, depending only on the pair (ρ, X) , where ρ is the representation corresponding to the Yang-Mills representative and X is a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. In Section 4, we show that two pairs (ρ, X) and (ρ', X') lead to isomorphic bundles exactly when there is an element of G conjugating ρ to ρ' and X to X' . The generalized form of Atiyah's theorem is then a recapitulation of the results in Sections 2, 3, and 4. We also describe the structure of the automorphism group of a semistable bundle.

In Section 5, we turn to the local and global structure of the moduli space. We relate the singularities of the moduli space, viewed as an orbifold, to the components of the centralizer of the corresponding representation ρ from $\pi_1(E, *)$ into K . Quoting results from [6], we are able to identify these singularities explicitly. Next we turn to the general global description of the moduli space. If G is semisimple, it is described as the quotient of a product of copies of the elliptic curve E by a finite group. In case G is simple and simply connected, the theorem of Looijenga and Bernshtein-Shvartsman says that the moduli space is a weighted projective space. Analogous results hold in the general case.

In Section 6, we define the notion of a regular bundle. These are bundles whose automorphism groups have minimal possible dimension. We show that each S-equivalence class contains a unique regular representative. The automorphism groups of regular bundles are studied in detail, and we make the link between the deformation theory of a regular bundle and the local structure of the moduli space. Finally, Section 7 deals with the example of the classical groups and gives elementary constructions for all regular semistable bundles in each case.

Throughout this paper we adopt the following notation: G is a connected, reduc-

tive complex linear algebraic group with K as a maximal compact subgroup. The Lie algebra of G is \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{h} denotes a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Also, C denotes a smooth projective complex curve of arbitrary genus whereas E is always an elliptic curve. We always assume that we have chosen a fixed origin p_0 for the elliptic curve E .

Acknowledgements. Our interest in this circle of problems grew out of our joint work with Ed Witten as described in [14]. Indeed, many of the results in this paper were established jointly with him during the course of that work. It is a pleasure to thank him for many stimulating conversations on these subjects, and especially for his patience in explaining to us the physics behind many of his ideas and questions. We would also like to thank A. Borel, P. Deligne, W. Schmid, and M. Thaddeus for helpful discussions and correspondence on various aspects of this paper.

1. Holomorphic vector bundles over an elliptic curve.

1.1. Statement of Atiyah's theorem.

Let us begin by giving Atiyah's theorem as originally stated in [1]. Recall that a vector bundle V on a projective variety is *indecomposable* if V is not the direct sum of two proper subbundles. An easy argument using the finite-dimensionality of cohomology shows that every vector bundle on a projective variety can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable bundles, where the summands and their multiplicities are uniquely determined up to isomorphism. Thus, to classify all holomorphic vector bundles over E , it suffices to describe the indecomposable ones. To do so, we begin with the following:

Lemma 1.1. *For any $n \geq 1$, there is a unique indecomposable vector bundle I_n of rank n over E all of whose Jordan-Hölder constituents are isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_E . The bundle I_n has a canonical filtration*

$$\{0\} \subset F^1 \subset \cdots \subset F^n = I_n,$$

with $F^i \cong I_i$ and $F^{i+1}/F^i \cong \mathcal{O}_E$. \square

We shall describe explicit transition functions for I_n , in a somewhat more general context, in Section 3 of this paper.

For a line bundle λ of degree zero on E , we let $I_n(\lambda) = I_n \otimes \lambda$. As we shall see, the indecomposable vector bundles of degree zero over E are exactly the bundles $I_n(\lambda)$. To describe bundles of nonzero degree, we have the following, whose proof is also in [1]:

Lemma 1.2. *Let n be a positive integer. For each integer a relatively prime to n and each line bundle λ over E of degree a , there exists up to isomorphism a unique indecomposable bundle $W_n(a; \lambda)$ over E of rank n and such that $\det W_n(a; \lambda) = \lambda$. Moreover, $W_n(a; \lambda)$ is simple. \square*

Note that, if $a = 0$, then $W_n(a; \lambda)$ must have rank one and so is isomorphic to λ . In general, for $\lambda = \mathcal{O}_E(ap_0)$, we let $W_n(a; \mathcal{O}_E(ap_0)) = W_n(a)$ and set $W_n(1) = W_n$. Define $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$ to be $I_d \otimes W_n(a; \lambda)$; it has a filtration, all of whose successive quotients are isomorphic to $W_n(a; \lambda)$, and in fact it is the unique indecomposable bundle of rank dn with this property.

We can now state Atiyah's theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The bundle $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$, where a is relatively prime to n , is indecomposable, and every indecomposable holomorphic bundle is isomorphic to $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$ for a suitable choice of d, n, λ . Every holomorphic vector bundle V over E is a direct sum of vector bundles of the form $I_{d_i}(W_{n_i}(a_i; \lambda_i))$, where the n_i and d_i are positive integers and the λ_i are line bundles of degree a_i , where a_i is relatively prime to n_i . Moreover, the triples (n_i, d_i, λ_i) are uniquely specified up to permutation by the isomorphism type of V . \square*

We shall outline a proof of this theorem below.

1.2. Semistable holomorphic vector bundles.

Let us restate the above results from a more modern perspective, which can then be generalized to the case of groups other than GL_n . We begin by recalling the standard definitions:

Definition 1.4. Let C be a smooth projective curve and V be a nonzero holomorphic vector bundle over C . We define the *slope* $\mu(V)$ by

$$\mu(V) = \deg V / \text{rank } V.$$

The bundle V is *semistable* if, for every holomorphic subbundle W of V with $0 < \text{rank } W < \text{rank } V$, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$. Stability of V is defined similarly, by replacing the above inequality by a strict inequality.

For example, $\mu(I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))) = a/n$. As we shall see below, the bundles $W_n(a; \lambda)$ are stable, and in fact they are exactly the stable bundles over E . It then follows easily by induction that $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$ is semistable for all $d \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle over a smooth projective curve C . Then there is a unique filtration*

$$\{0\} \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_k = V$$

by subbundles F_i of V , with the property that the sequence $\mu(F_i/F_{i-1})$ is strictly decreasing, and such that F_i/F_{i-1} is semistable. \square

The filtration above is called the *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of V . For example, V is semistable if and only if the filtration is trivial, i.e. $F_1 = V$ above.

In the case of an elliptic curve, it follows by an easy direct argument that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is split. As a consequence, we see the first part of the next theorem, which is a variant of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.6. *Let E be an elliptic curve.*

- (i) *Every holomorphic vector bundle V over E is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_i V_i$ of semistable bundles, where $\mu(V_i) > \mu(V_{i+1})$.*
- (ii) *Let V be a semistable bundle over E with slope $\mu(V) = a/n$, where n is a positive integer and a is an integer relatively prime to n . Then V is a direct sum of bundles of the form $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$, where λ is a line bundle of degree a . \square*

The V_i are determined up to isomorphism by the isomorphism type of V , but the splitting is not canonical.

Given (i) of Theorem 1.6, the proof of Theorem 1.3 reduces to the classification of semistable bundles given in (ii). An outline of this classification is as follows: first, one checks that for each $n > 0$, each integer a relatively prime to n , and each line bundle λ of degree a , there exists a stable vector bundle $W_n(a; \lambda)$ as in Lemma 1.2. Next, suppose that V is semistable with slope $\mu(V) = a/n$ for some positive integer n and some integer a relatively prime to n . An argument with the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem shows that there exists a line bundle λ of degree zero such that $\text{Hom}(W_n(a; \lambda), V) \neq 0$. By stability, every nonzero map from $W_n(a; \lambda)$ to V must be an injection onto a subbundle. In particular, V has a filtration whose successive quotients are of the form $W_n(a; \lambda_i)$ for appropriate choices of i , and examining the possibilities leads to the final classification.

We return for a moment to a general curve C . Associated to a holomorphic vector bundle V of rank n over C and its Harder-Narasimhan filtration as in Theorem 1.5 is the decreasing sequence of integers $\{\mu(F_i/F_{i-1})\}$. Following Atiyah-Bott [2], we replace this strictly decreasing sequence of rational numbers by the following: to each i , if n_i is the rank of F_i/F_{i-1} , then we associate to F_i/F_{i-1} the n_i -vector $\nu_i = (\mu(F_i/F_{i-1}), \dots, \mu(F_i/F_{i-1}))$. To V itself we associate the n -vector

$$\mu(V) = \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k).$$

Note that the sequence μ_i is weakly decreasing.

Corollary 1.7. *Let V be a vector bundle of rank n over C and let $\mu(V)$ be the vector defined above. Then:*

- (i) $\sum_i \mu_i = \text{deg } V$, and hence is an integer;
- (ii) If $d(\mu_i)$ is the multiplicity of μ_i in μ , i.e. the number of j such that $\mu_j = \mu_i$, then $\mu_i \cdot d(\mu_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Conversely, given a weakly decreasing sequence μ_1, \dots, μ_n of rational numbers satisfying the above two conditions, if we set $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, then there is a vector bundle V for which $\mu(V) = \mu$. \square

In general, we can view the vector $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ as lying in the standard Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, where \mathfrak{h} is the set of diagonal matrices. The fact that the μ_i are decreasing means that μ lies in the standard Weyl chamber defined by the usual ordering of the roots for \mathfrak{sl}_n . Moreover, V is semistable if and only if μ lies in the center of \mathfrak{gl}_n .

1.3. S-equivalence and Yang-Mills connections on vector bundles.

Let us begin this section by recalling a standard definition.

Definition 1.8. A family of vector bundles over the curve C parametrized by a complex space (or scheme) S is a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{V} over $C \times S$. The family \mathcal{V} is a family of semistable vector bundles over C if $\mathcal{V}|_{C \times \{s\}} = \mathcal{V}_s$ is semistable for all $s \in S$. Finally, let V and V' be two semistable bundles over C . We say that V and V' are *S-equivalent* if there exists a family of semistable bundles \mathcal{V} parametrized by an irreducible S and a point $s \in S$ such that, for $t \neq s$, $\mathcal{V}_t \cong V$ and $\mathcal{V}_s \cong V'$. More generally, we let S-equivalence be the equivalence relation generated by the above relation.

One can describe S-equivalence quite explicitly. Every semistable vector bundle V has a filtration by subbundles V_i such that the quotients V_i/V_{i-1} are stable bundles with $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1}) = \mu(V)$. Such a filtration, which is not in general canonical, is

called a *Jordan-Hölder filtration*. The associated graded bundle $\text{gr } V$ is then a direct sum of stable bundles of the same slope, and its isomorphism class is independent of the choice of Jordan-Hölder filtration. In general, a direct sum of stable bundles of the same slope is called *polystable*. We then have the following characterization of S-equivalence:

Theorem 1.9. *Two semistable bundles V_1 and V_2 are S-equivalent if and only if the associated graded bundles $\text{gr } V_1$ and $\text{gr } V_2$ are isomorphic. \square*

In general, S-equivalence can be coarser than isomorphism. On the other hand, we must factor out by S-equivalence if we are to have a separated moduli space, and indeed it is a standard result that there is a coarse moduli space for the set of semistable vector bundles modulo S-equivalence. One goal in studying vector bundles is to single out a best representative in each S-equivalence class. For simplicity, we shall begin by describing one such choice in the case where V is semistable and has trivial determinant, in other words the case of an $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle. As we have seen, in this case V is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$, where the λ_i are line bundles of degree zero. By induction on d , I_d is S-equivalent to \mathcal{O}_E^d , and hence

$$\bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \text{ is S-equivalent to } \bigoplus_i \underbrace{(\lambda_i \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_i)}_{d_i \text{ times}}.$$

In particular, every semistable holomorphic vector bundle V with trivial determinant is S-equivalent to a direct sum of line bundles of degree zero. It is easy to see that the line bundles and their multiplicities are uniquely determined by V . Conversely, V is determined by the line bundles λ_i and the integers d_i , which can be thought of as Jordan blocks corresponding to the summand $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$.

The above picture can be described in terms of flat $SU(n)$ -connections as well. By the theorem of Narasimhan-Seshadri, a semistable vector bundle V with trivial determinant is S-equivalent to a vector bundle given by a flat $SU(n)$ -connection, or equivalently by a representation $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow SU(n)$. Since $\pi_1(E)$ is abelian and every commuting pair of matrices in $SU(n)$ can be simultaneously diagonalized, a vector bundle given by a flat $SU(n)$ -connection is isomorphic to a direct sum of n line bundles $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on E such that $\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n \cong \mathcal{O}_E$. It is easy to see that the set of the λ_i , together with the multiplicities, is unique up to order.

If V is a semistable vector bundle whose determinant is of non-zero degree we cannot hope to have an S-equivalent bundle with a flat $U(n)$ connection, because the degree of the determinant does not change under S-equivalence and no bundle with non-zero degree can have a flat connection. Thus, the notion of flat connection must be generalized in the case of $U(n)$. This was done by Atiyah-Bott [2]. They describe semistable vector bundles whose determinant is not necessarily trivial via central Yang-Mills connections on $U(n)$ -bundles. Let C be a smooth projective curve, together with a Hermitian metric. Fix a C^∞ vector bundle V of rank n over C , or equivalently a C^∞ $U(n)$ -bundle. A *Yang-Mills connection* A on V is a $U(n)$ -connection A whose curvature F_A is covariantly constant. If moreover $*F_A$ is a constant multiple of the identity matrix in $\text{Hom}(V, V)$, we call A a *central Yang-Mills connection*. A Yang-Mills connection A defines a holomorphic structure on V , by taking the $(0, 1)$ -part of A .

A version of the Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem then says that there is a canonical representative, up to isomorphism, in each S-equivalence class, namely the central curvature Yang-Mills representative.

Theorem 1.10. *Let C be a smooth projective curve.*

- (i) *If V is a holomorphic vector bundle whose holomorphic structure is defined by a central Yang-Mills connection, then V is semistable, and in fact it is polystable, i.e. a direct sum of stable bundles with the same slope.*
- (ii) *Conversely, if V is a holomorphic semistable vector bundle on C , then V is S -equivalent to a holomorphic vector bundle V_0 defined by a central Yang-Mills connection A , unique up to $U(n)$ -gauge equivalence.*
- (iii) *Two holomorphic semistable vector bundles V_1 and V_2 are S -equivalent if and only if the corresponding central Yang-Mills connections are $U(n)$ -gauge equivalent. \square*

There is also a formulation of this result in terms of representations. Let α and β be a pair of oriented generators for $\pi_1(E)$. There is a unique central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pi_1(E) \rightarrow 0,$$

where if A and B are any two lifts of α, β to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$, then the commutator $[A, B] = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Of course, there is a similar construction for a curve of arbitrary genus. In particular, taking the quotient of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{Z} \cong U(1) \times \pi_1(E)$. In any case, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Lie group. A central representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ to a Lie group G is a (continuous) representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow G$ such that $\rho(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in the center of G . With this said, we have the following theorem of Atiyah-Bott:

Theorem 1.11. *Fix once and for all a Yang-Mills connection A_0 on the line bundle L over C with $c_1(L) = 1$. Then the choice of A_0 induces a bijection from the set of central Yang-Mills connections on a C^∞ vector bundle of rank n , modulo gauge equivalence, and the set of isomorphism classes of central representations $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow U(n)$. \square*

Corollary 1.12. *Given the fixed Yang-Mills connection A_0 on the line bundle L over C with $c_1(L) = 1$, the following three sets of data are equivalent:*

- (i) *A holomorphic semistable vector bundle over C of rank n , up to S -equivalence;*
- (ii) *A central Yang-Mills connection on a C^∞ vector bundle of rank n over C , up to gauge equivalence;*
- (iii) *A central representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow U(n)$, up to conjugation. \square*

We note that, in the above correspondence between isomorphism classes of central representations and semistable bundles, irreducible representations correspond to stable bundles.

To make the link between this discussion and the previous classification, note that to classify central representations $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow U(n)$, up to conjugation, we may assume that ρ is irreducible. Given such a representation, let $A \in U(n)$ be the image of a lift of $x \in \pi_1(E)$ to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let B be the image of a lift of $y \in \pi_1(E)$. Then $[A, B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = \lambda \text{Id}$ for some nonzero complex number λ . It follows that $\lambda^n = 1$, and ρ is irreducible if and only if $\lambda = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}a/n)$ for an integer a relatively prime to n . Thus ρ must be given on the central subgroup \mathbb{R} by

$$\rho(t) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}at/n) \cdot \text{Id},$$

where a is a well-defined integer (not just modulo n). It then follows that, up to conjugation, we can assume that B is diagonal with entries $\mu, \lambda\mu, \dots, \lambda^{n-1}\mu$ and

that $Ae_1 = \nu e_2$, $Ae_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i > 1$, $Ae_n = e_1$, for uniquely specified nonzero complex numbers μ, ν of absolute value 1. This leads to another description of the bundles $W_n(a; \lambda)$.

1.4. Regular vector bundles.

In the preceding discussion, we found a best representative for the S-equivalence class of a holomorphic vector bundle by looking at a flat representative, or a bundle with a Yang-Mills connection with central curvature in the case where the determinant was not necessarily trivial. It turns out that such representatives have holomorphic automorphism groups which are as large as possible, and which can be quite complicated. For example, if $V = \mathcal{O}_E^n$ is the trivial bundle, then $\text{Aut } V = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Here we describe a choice whose automorphism group is as small as possible.

Definition 1.13. A semistable holomorphic vector bundle V is *regular* if, for all vector bundles V' which are S-equivalent to V , $\dim \text{Aut } V \leq \dim \text{Aut } V'$.

We shall see that, given an S-equivalence class of semistable bundles, a regular representative is unique up to isomorphism.

We begin by determining the automorphism groups of the bundles we have described in §1.1. A proof is given in [16], as well as in Lemma 4.3 of this paper.

Lemma 1.14. *If I_d is the bundle of Lemma 1.1, then $\text{Hom}(I_d, I_d) \cong \mathbb{C}[T]/(T^d)$, with $t \in \mathbb{C}$ acting as $t \cdot \text{Id}$, and a choice of T is given by choosing a surjection $I_d \rightarrow I_{d-1}$, followed by an inclusion of I_{d-1} in I_d . In particular $\text{Hom}(I_d, I_d)$ is an abelian \mathbb{C} -algebra of dimension d . \square*

A similar argument handles the case of the bundles $I_d(W_n(a; \lambda))$. In fact, we have the following general result:

Lemma 1.15. *Suppose that W is a stable bundle on E and that I and I' are bundles of the form $\bigoplus_i I_{d_i}$. Then the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \otimes \text{Id}$ defines an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}(I, I') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W).$$

In particular, $\text{Hom}(I_d(W_n(a; \lambda)), I_d(W_n(a; \lambda)))$ is an abelian \mathbb{C} -algebra of dimension d , isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[T]/(T^d)$.

Proof. Clearly the map from $\text{Hom}(I, I')$ to $\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W)$ is an inclusion. To see that it is onto, note that there is an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W) \cong \text{Hom}(I, I') \otimes \text{Hom}(W, W).$$

Using $\frac{1}{n}$ trace: $\text{Hom}(W, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$, there is an induced map

$$\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W) \cong \text{Hom}(I, I') \otimes \text{Hom}(W, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, I'),$$

which leads to the corresponding map on global sections $\tau: \text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, I')$. Note that τ is functorial with respect to morphisms both of I and I' . The composition of τ with the inclusion of $\text{Hom}(I, I')$ in $\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W)$ is the identity. Thus, it suffices to prove that if $\varphi: I \otimes W \rightarrow I' \otimes W$ is such that $\tau(\varphi) = 0$, then $\varphi = 0$. The proof is by double induction on the ranks of I and I' . Note that if I and I' both have rank one, then $\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W) \cong \text{Hom}(W, W) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and

the statement is clear. Suppose that the statement has been shown for all I' of a given rank and bundles I of rank less than k . Given I of degree k , if I_0 is a proper degree zero subbundle of I , then $\tau(\varphi|_{I_0} \otimes W) = 0$ by functoriality. Thus by induction $\varphi|_{I_0} \otimes W = 0$ and φ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ from $(I/I_0) \otimes W$ to $I' \otimes W$ with $\tau(\bar{\varphi}) = 0$, and again by induction $\bar{\varphi} = 0$. Thus $\varphi = 0$. A similar induction handles the case where the rank of I' varies but that of I is held fixed, by looking at degree zero quotients of I' . This completes the inductive step and the proof of the lemma. \square

Corollary 1.16. *Suppose that W and W' are two stable bundles over E with $\mu(W) = \mu(W')$ and that I and I' are as in Lemma 1.15. Then $\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W) \cong \text{Hom}(I, I')$ if $W \cong W'$, and $\text{Hom}(I \otimes W, I' \otimes W') = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 1.15. To see the second, it suffices to show that $\text{Hom}(W, W') = 0$ if W is not isomorphic to W' , since both $I \otimes W$ and $I' \otimes W'$ have filtrations whose associated gradeds are isomorphic to a direct sum of a number of copies of W (resp. W'). But if W and W' are stable and $\mu(W) = \mu(W')$, then every nonzero homomorphism from W to W' is necessarily an isomorphism. Thus either $\text{Hom}(W, W') = 0$ or W is isomorphic to W' . This concludes the proof of Corollary 1.16. \square

We can now give an explicit description of regular bundles.

Theorem 1.17. *Let V be a semistable vector bundle of rank n and degree d . Let $e = \text{gcd}(d, n)$ and set $k = n/e, a = d/e$. Suppose that $V \cong \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(W_k(a; \lambda_i))$ as in Theorem 1.3, so that $e = \sum_i d_i$. Then:*

- (i) *With the above notation, $\dim \text{Aut } V \geq e$, with equality if and only if, for $i \neq j$, then $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$.*
- (ii) *If $\dim \text{Hom}(V, V) = e$, then $\text{Hom}(V, V)$ is abelian and in fact in the above notation $\text{Hom}(V, V) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{d_i})$.*

Proof. If $V \cong \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(W_k(a; \lambda_i))$, then

$$\text{Hom}(V, V) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}(I_{d_i}(W_k(a; \lambda_i)), I_{d_j}(W_k(a; \lambda_j))).$$

But by Corollary 1.16,

$$\text{Hom}(I_{d_i}(W_k(a; \lambda_i)), I_{d_j}(W_k(a; \lambda_j))) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \lambda_j \not\cong \lambda_i; \\ \text{Hom}(I_{d_i}, I_{d_j}) & \text{if } \lambda_j \cong \lambda_i. \end{cases}$$

Thus, fixing a line bundle λ_i , the nonzero factors in $\text{Hom}(V, V)$ are of the form

$$\text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda_j \cong \lambda_i} I_{d_j}, \bigoplus_{\lambda_j \cong \lambda_i} I_{d_j} \right).$$

So it suffices to show that the dimension of such a space is strictly bigger than the sum over all d_j such that $\lambda_j \cong \lambda_i$ unless $\lambda_j \cong \lambda_i$ implies $j = i$, i.e. unless there is just one summand in the direct sum. We leave this straightforward argument to the reader. \square

Corollary 1.18. *A semistable vector bundle is regular if and only if it is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i \left(I_{d_i} \otimes W_k(a; \lambda_i) \right)$$

where, for $i \neq j$ we have $\lambda_i \not\cong \lambda_j$. Thus, each S -equivalence class of semistable vector bundles has a unique regular representative, up to isomorphism. \square

Our goal in this paper is now to generalize the results of this section to principal holomorphic G -bundles over E , where G is now an arbitrary complex reductive group.

2. The Harder-Narasimhan reduction for holomorphic principal bundles.

2.1. The space of all holomorphic structures on a principal bundle.

We begin with some generalities concerning C^∞ and holomorphic principal G -bundles. For the moment, let ξ denote a fixed C^∞ principal G -bundle over the smooth projective curve C . The topological types of such bundles are classified by $H^2(C; \pi_1(G)) \cong \pi_1(G)$.

For a C^∞ principal G -bundle ξ , a holomorphic structure on ξ is given by an integrable $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on ξ , i.e. an integrable $(0, 1)$ -connection on ξ . Note that C^∞ $(0, 1)$ -connections always exist, and that every $(0, 1)$ -connection is integrable since $\dim C = 1$. The set of all $(0, 1)$ -connections is an affine space \mathcal{A} over $\Omega^{0,1}(\text{ad } \xi)$, the space of C^∞ $(0, 1)$ -forms on C with values in the vector bundle $\text{ad } \xi$ associated to the adjoint representation of G . The complex gauge group \mathcal{G}^C acts on \mathcal{A} and the quotient is the set of holomorphic structures on ξ . In particular, for a fixed C^∞ -type of a principal G -bundle, the space of all complex structures is connected and nonempty. For the rest of this paper, we shall denote by ξ a holomorphic principal G -bundle, in other words a C^∞ G -bundle together with some fixed choice of holomorphic structure.

2.2. Semistable principal bundles.

The quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}^C$ of all holomorphic structures on a given C^∞ -principal G -bundle is highly non-separated, and to find a separated space we need to restrict to the set of semistable principal G -bundles. For the purposes of this paper, we shall define semistability as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let G be a connected reductive complex Lie group and let $\xi \rightarrow C$ be a holomorphic principal G -bundle. Then ξ is *semistable* if the associated vector bundle $\text{ad } \xi$ is a semistable vector bundle. The principal G -bundle $\xi \rightarrow C$ is *unstable* if it is not semistable.

The above definition differs from that given in Ramanathan [27], but the two definitions are equivalent. In fact, the following is shown in essentially contained in [27] (see for example Proposition 7.1) and [2] (e.g. Proposition 10.6 and 10.9):

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a connected reductive group and let $\xi \rightarrow C$ be a principal G -bundle. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The bundle ξ is semistable.*

- (ii) *The principal $G/\mathcal{C}(G)$ -bundle associated to ξ is semistable, where $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the identity component of the center of G and the quotient group $G/\mathcal{C}(G)$ is semisimple, possibly trivial.*
- (iii) *For every irreducible representation $\phi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, where V is a finite-dimensional vector space, the vector bundle $V(\xi) = \xi \times_G V$ associated to ξ via ϕ is semistable.*
- (iv) *There exists a finite-dimensional vector space V and an irreducible representation $\phi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ whose kernel is finite modulo the center such that the associated vector bundle $V(\xi)$ is semistable.*
- (v) *For every parabolic subgroup P of G , and every dominant character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, if the structure group of ξ reduces to P , then the associated line bundle over C defined by the character χ has nonpositive degree. \square*

Stability of a G -bundle is defined via (v) above, by requiring that, for every parabolic subgroup P of G , and every dominant character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, if the structure group of ξ reduces to P , then the associated line bundle over C defined by the character χ has strictly negative degree. Even if ξ is stable in this sense, the vector bundle $\text{ad } \xi$ may only be strictly semistable. In case G is simply connected and C is an elliptic curve E , there are essentially no properly stable G -bundles over E .

In case G is semisimple, we have the following variant of Theorem 2.2:

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that G is semisimple. Let $\xi \rightarrow C$ be a principal G -bundle. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *ξ is semistable.*
- (ii) *For every finite-dimensional vector space V and representation $\phi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, the vector bundle $V(\xi)$ associated to ξ via ϕ is semistable. \square*

2.3. Families of principal bundles and S -equivalence.

Recall the following standard terminology:

Definition 2.4. A family of principal G -bundles over the curve C parametrized by a complex space (or scheme) S is a principal G -bundle Ξ over $C \times S$. The family Ξ is a family of *semistable* principal G -bundles over C if $\Xi|_{C \times \{s\}} = \Xi_s$ is semistable for all $s \in S$. Finally, let ξ and ξ' be two semistable bundles over C . We say that ξ and ξ' are *S -equivalent* if there exists a family of semistable bundles Ξ parametrized by an irreducible S and a point $s \in S$ such that, for $t \neq s$, $\Xi|_{C \times \{t\}} \cong \xi$ and $\Xi|_{C \times \{s\}} \cong \xi'$. More generally, we let S -equivalence be the equivalence relation generated by the above relation.

2.4. The Harder-Narasimhan reduction.

Let ξ be an unstable G -bundle over the smooth curve C . In this case, the structure group of ξ reduces canonically to a parabolic subgroup P of G , the *Harder-Narasimhan parabolic* associated to ξ [26] or [2], pp. 589–590. The construction is as follows. On $V = \text{ad } \xi$, there is the nondegenerate skew bilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ induced by Lie bracket. The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of the vector bundle V is self-dual in the obvious sense, under the isomorphism from V to V^\vee induced by the bracket. Thus, if

$$\{0\} \subset V_0 \subset \cdots \subset V_k = V$$

is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, then $V_i = V_{k-i}^\perp$. After re-indexing, we can write the filtration as

$$\{0\} \subset V_{-n} \subset \cdots \subset V_n = V,$$

where if the filtration has odd length we set $V_0 = V_{-1}$ (we will see that the filtration cannot in fact have odd length in a minute). Here $\mu(V_k/V_{k-1}) = \mu_k$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of integers, with $\mu_{-k} = -\mu_k$, and $V_{-i} = V_{i-1}^\perp$. Since, for $i, j \geq 0$,

$$\mu(V_{-i} \otimes V_{-j}) = \mu_{-i} + \mu_{-j} \geq \mu_{-i},$$

it follows that V_0 is a bundle of subalgebras and that V_{-1} is a subbundle of nilpotent ideals of V_0 . Note that if $V_0 = V_{-1}$, then V_0 is a nilpotent subbundle of V of rank $\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$. But every nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} has dimension strictly less than $\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$ (the maximal possible such dimension is the dimension of the nilpotent radical of a Borel subalgebra, which is the number of positive roots). Thus $V_0 \neq V_{-1}$. Moreover $V_{-1}^\perp = V_0$.

Fixing a point x of C , we can choose an identification of ξ_x with G and hence we can identify V_x with \mathfrak{g} . Via this identification, $(V_0)_x$ is identified with a subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} , and $(V_{-1})_x$ with a nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_{-1}$ of \mathfrak{p} . By [7, Chap. 7,8, VIII, §10, Théorème 1], \mathfrak{p} is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and the proof of the theorem shows moreover that \mathfrak{u} is the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{p} . Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . The map $t \mapsto (V_0)_t$ defines a holomorphic section of the bundle associated to ξ via the action of G on the space of all parabolic subalgebras conjugate to \mathfrak{p} , namely $G/N_G(\mathfrak{p})$, and thus a reduction of the structure group of ξ to $N_G(\mathfrak{p})$. The normalizer of \mathfrak{p} is contained in the normalizer $N_G(P)$ of P in G . By [19], $N_G(P) = P$ and thus $N_G(\mathfrak{p}) = P$. This construction thus reduces the structure group of ξ to P (compare [18]). We call P (which is well-defined up to conjugation) the *Harder-Narasimhan parabolic* associated to P and the P -bundle ξ_P the *Harder-Narasimhan reduction* of ξ . In particular, every unstable bundle has a canonical reduction of structure group to a parabolic subgroup of G . In fact, it is easy to check that, in the above notation, for every $i > 0$, $\det(V_{-i}/V_{-i-1})$ is a line bundle of positive degree over E associated to a dominant character χ of P , and thus ξ is unstable by (v) of Theorem 2.2. In case ξ is a semistable bundle over G , we set $P = G$ and $\xi_P = \xi$.

Let \mathfrak{u}_{-i} correspond to $(V_{-i})_x$. For $i \geq 0$, \mathfrak{u}_{-i} is an ideal in \mathfrak{u} and in \mathfrak{p} . Furthermore, \mathfrak{u}_{-n} lies in the center of \mathfrak{u} . Likewise $\mathfrak{u}_{-i}/\mathfrak{u}_{-i-1}$ lies in the center of $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{u}_{-i-1}$. Let U_{-i} be the unipotent subgroup of P corresponding to \mathfrak{u}_{-i} . Following our earlier notation, we let $U = U_{-1}$; it is the unipotent radical of P . Let $L = P/U$. Then P is isomorphic to a semidirect product of L and U . A subgroup of P isomorphic to L under the projection (well-defined up to conjugation) is called a *Levi factor* and also denoted by L . The group L acts by conjugation on U and U_{-i} . Thus there is an induced action of L on U_{-i}/U_{-i-1} . Let η be the principal L -bundle induced from ξ_P by taking the projection from P to L . We can summarize the above as follows:

Theorem 2.5. *Let ξ be an unstable G -bundle. Then associated to ξ is a parabolic subgroup P of G , canonically defined up to conjugation, and a principal P -bundle ξ_P such that $\xi_P \times_P G \cong \xi$. The bundle ξ_P is uniquely determined up to isomorphism of P -bundles. If U is the unipotent radical of P , $L = P/U$ is a Levi factor of P , and $\xi_L = \xi_P/U$ is the associated L -bundle, then ξ_L is a semistable principal L -bundle*

for the reductive group L , uniquely determined up to isomorphism of L -bundles. Finally, the identity component of $\text{Aut}_G \xi$ is equal to the identity component of $\text{Aut}_P \xi_P$.

Proof. We have already discussed the construction of ξ_P and hence of ξ_L . To see that ξ_L is semistable, note that $\text{ad } \xi_L$ is the L -bundle associated to the representation of L on $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{u}$, which is the vector bundle V_0/V_{-1} . By construction, V_0/V_{-1} is semistable, and hence ξ_L is semistable as well.

To see the final statement, since the bundles V_k/V_{k-1} are semistable with negative slope for $k > 0$, $h^0(E; \text{ad } \xi) = h^0(E; V_0) = h^0(E; \text{ad}_P \xi_P)$, and this implies the statement about the identity components. \square

2.5. The case of genus zero and one.

In case C has genus 0 or 1, we can further reduce the structure group of the Harder-Narasimhan reduction ξ_P to that of a Levi factor L , viewed as a subgroup of P . This statement for genus 1 is then a preliminary analogue of (i) of Theorem 1.6.

Proposition 2.6. *Let ξ be an unstable G -bundle over a smooth projective curve C and let P be the Harder-Narasimhan parabolic subgroup of G associated to ξ . Suppose that the genus of C is 0 or 1. Then the structure group of ξ reduces from P to a Levi factor L . In fact, there exists a maximal parabolic subgroup P_0 of G such that the structure group of ξ reduces to a Levi factor L_0 of P_0 , and such that, for every nonzero dominant character $\chi: P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, the line bundle associated to the L_0 -bundle ξ and the character χ has positive degree.*

Proof. Let ξ_P be the Harder-Narasimhan reduction, and let η be the L -bundle induced by ξ_P . To prove the first part of the proposition, it suffices to show that the set of isomorphism classes of lifts of the L -bundle η to a P -bundle consists of a single element, which then must be the bundle induced by the inclusion of L as a subgroup of P . Such lifts are classified by the nonabelian cohomology set $H^1(C; U(\eta))$, where $U(\eta)$ is the sheaf of groups associated to the principal bundle η via the action of L on U . Likewise, the set of isomorphism classes of lifts of η to a LU_{-i} -bundle is classified by the nonabelian cohomology set $H^1(C; U_{-i}(\eta))$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U_{-i-1} \rightarrow U_{-i} \rightarrow U_{-i}/U_{-i-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where U_{-i}/U_{-i-1} is an abelian unipotent group, and thus a vector space. By taking the associated long exact sequence of pointed sets (see [17]), it suffices to show that $H^1(C; (U_{-i}/U_{-i-1})(\eta)) = \{0\}$ for all $i \geq 1$, where $(U_{-i}/U_{-i-1})(\eta)$ is the vector bundle $\eta \times_L (U_{-i}/U_{-i-1})$ associated to η and to the representation of L on the vector group U_{-i}/U_{-i-1} . But it is easy to see that the vector bundle $(U_{-i}/U_{-i-1})(\eta)$ is simply the vector bundle V_{-i}/V_{-i-1} , which is a semistable bundle with slope $\mu_i > 0$. By Serre duality,

$$h^1(C; V_{-i}/V_{-i-1}) = h^0(C; (V_{-i}/V_{-i-1})^\vee \otimes K_C).$$

Since $g(C) \leq 1$, $\deg K_C \leq 0$, and thus $(V_{-i}/V_{-i-1})^\vee \otimes K_C$ is a semistable bundle of negative degree. Hence $h^0(C; (V_{-i}/V_{-i-1})^\vee \otimes K_C) = 0$, and so $h^1(C; V_{-i}/V_{-i-1}) =$

0 as well. It follows that the unique lift of η to a P -bundle is given by the bundle induced by the inclusion of L in P . This proves that the structure group of ξ reduces to L .

Let ξ_P be the Harder-Narasimhan reduction of ξ . By the construction of ξ_P , there exists a dominant character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that the degree of the line bundle corresponding to ξ_P is positive. Suppose that P contains a Cartan subgroup H and a Borel subgroup B corresponding to a choice of positive roots, or equivalently a basis Δ of simple roots. Then the parabolic subgroups containing B are in 1-1 correspondence with proper subsets Σ of Δ , and, if P corresponds to Σ , then the character group of P is the group generated by the fundamental weights ϖ_α for $\alpha \in \Delta - \Sigma$. Since χ is a positive combination of the fundamental weights ϖ_α with $\alpha \in \Delta - \Sigma$, there exists a fundamental weight ϖ_α , $\alpha \in \Delta - \Sigma$, such that the line bundle associated to the character ϖ_α of P has positive degree. Let P_0 be the maximal parabolic corresponding to the subset $\Delta - \{\alpha\}$. Then P_0 contains P , and there exists a Levi factor L_0 of P_0 containing L . Every dominant character of P_0 is a positive multiple of ϖ_α , and thus the extension of ξ_P to a P_0 -bundle is as claimed. \square

We remark that the genus zero case of Proposition 2.6 leads to a quick proof of Grothendieck's theorem that, for G a connected reductive group, every holomorphic G -bundle ξ over \mathbb{P}^1 has a holomorphic reduction of structure group to a Cartan subgroup. The proof is by induction on the rank of the derived subgroup of G . If this rank is zero, then G is an algebraic torus and there is nothing to prove. If the rank is positive and ξ is not semistable, then we can reduce to the case of smaller rank by Proposition 2.6. Thus we may assume that ξ is semistable. Furthermore, it suffices to show that the induced bundle on the quotient of G by the identity component of the center is trivial, for then by the long exact cohomology sequence the structure group of ξ reduces to the identity component of the center. Thus we may assume that ξ is semistable and that G is semisimple, and will show that ξ is the product bundle. If $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the result is immediate from Riemann-Roch, which guarantees that the rank n vector bundle associated to ξ has a section, and induction on n . In general, there is a faithful representation of G in $SL_N(\mathbb{C})$ for some N , and by (ii) of Theorem 2.3 the associated bundle $\xi \times_G SL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is trivial. By the long exact cohomology sequence, ξ is in the image of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1; \underline{SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G})$, where $\underline{SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G}$ denotes the sheaf of sets on \mathbb{P}^1 whose sections over an open set U are the holomorphic maps from U to the quotient variety $SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G$. But since $SL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is affine and G is reductive, the quotient $SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G$ is affine and hence every map from \mathbb{P}^1 to $SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G$ is constant. It then follows easily that the image of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1; \underline{SL_N(\mathbb{C})/G})$ in $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1; \underline{G})$ is trivial, so that ξ is the trivial bundle.

Let us summarize what we showed so far:

Theorem 2.7. *Let ξ be a holomorphic G -bundle over an elliptic curve. If ξ is not semistable, then there is a holomorphic reduction of ξ to a semistable L -bundle ξ_L , where L is a Levi factor of the Harder-Narasimhan parabolic subgroup associated to ξ . The parabolic subgroup P and hence L are determined up to conjugation in G , and the bundle ξ_L is determined up to isomorphism of L -bundles. Finally, the identity component of $\text{Aut}_G \xi$ is equal to the identity component of $\text{Aut}_P \xi_P$, where ξ_P is the Harder-Narasimhan reduction of ξ . \square*

In case $G = GL_n$, the reduction of the bundle ξ to an L -bundle corresponds

to the direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$, where each V_i is semistable and $\mu(V_i) > \mu(V_{i+1})$. In this case $L = GL_{d_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{d_k}$, where d_i is the rank of V_i .

2.6. Some comments on the Jordan-Hölder filtration.

If V is a semistable vector bundle over the smooth algebraic curve C , then V also has a canonical filtration. Recall that a semistable vector bundle is *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable bundles (necessarily all of the same slope). It is easy to check that, if V is semistable, then there is a largest polystable subbundle F^0 of V . (If W_1 is a polystable subbundle of V and W_2 is a stable subbundle of V with $\mu(W_1) = \mu(W_2) = \mu(V) = \mu$, then $\mu(W_1 + W_2) \leq \mu$ and thus $\mu(W_1 \cap W_2) \geq \mu(W_i)$. By stability, either $W_1 \cap W_2 = W_2$ or $W_1 \cap W_2 = 0$. Thus, if W_2 is not contained in W_1 , the map $W_1 \oplus W_2 \rightarrow V$ is injective, and its image is a subbundle.) Applying the same construction to V/F^0 and using induction on the rank produces a canonical filtration F^i of V with F^{i+1}/F^i polystable. Moreover V is S-equivalent to $\text{gr } F^i$, which is polystable. Of course, if V was polystable to begin with, the filtration is trivial. This construction is generalized to an arbitrary reductive group G in [28].

In case $C = E$ is an elliptic curve, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that every polystable vector bundle of degree zero is a direct sum of line bundles. Thus the above construction reduces the structure group of a semistable SL_n -bundle to the Borel subgroup. In fact, the structure group can be reduced to a much smaller subgroup. We will see in the next section how to do this for a general simply connected group.

2.7. The Atiyah-Bott point.

In this subsection, we introduce an invariant of a G -bundle ξ , due to Atiyah-Bott. Then we apply this invariant to solve the following problem: suppose that L is a Levi factor of a parabolic subgroup of G , and that ξ_L is a holomorphic reduction of ξ to L which is semistable as an L -bundle. When is ξ_L the L -bundle arising from the Harder-Narasimhan reduction of ξ ? Throughout this subsection, we work over an elliptic curve E , although much of the discussion generalizes to curves of higher genus.

Let L be a reductive group and let \mathcal{C} be the identity component of the center of L . Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the quotient of L by its derived subgroup DL . Then $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a finite covering. Let \mathfrak{c} be the Lie algebra of \mathcal{C} , which we can view as the universal cover of \mathcal{C} and of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ and let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ be the lattices $\pi_1(\mathcal{C})$ and $\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$ respectively. Let ξ be a semistable L -bundle. We define the *Atiyah-Bott point* $\mu(\xi) \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} \subset \mathfrak{c}$ as follows. We have the $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ -bundle $\det \xi = \xi/DL$. We define

$$\mu(\xi) = c_1(\det \xi) \in H^2(E; \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}) \cong \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} \subset \mathfrak{c},$$

where c_1 is the analogue of the first Chern class arising from the coboundary map in the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Notice that $\mu(\xi)$ is an element of the Lie algebra of L whose image under the exponential map is of finite order.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $L \subseteq L'$ is an inclusion of reductive groups, that ξ is a semistable L -bundle and that $\xi' = \xi \times_L L'$ is also semistable. Then under the inclusion $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathfrak{l}'$ the image of $\mu(\xi) \in \mathfrak{l}$ lies in the Lie algebra of the center of L' and is the Atiyah-Bott point $\mu(\xi')$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} , resp. \mathcal{C}' , be the center of L , resp. L' , and let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, resp. $\overline{\mathcal{C}'}$ be the quotient of L , resp. L' , by its derived subgroup DL , resp. DL' . We decompose \mathfrak{l}' under the action of the semisimple element $\mu(\xi) \in \mathfrak{l}$:

$$\mathfrak{l}' = \bigoplus_q (\mathfrak{l}')^q,$$

where $(\mathfrak{l}')^q$ is the q -eigenspace for the adjoint action of $\mu(\xi)$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ (since $\mu(\xi)$ is a rational point of the Lie algebra of $\overline{\mathcal{C}'}$). We have the associated vector bundle $(\mathfrak{l}')^q(\xi)$, and its degree is given by $\dim(\mathfrak{l}')^q \cdot q$. By definition, since ξ' is semistable, so is $\text{ad}(\xi') = \xi \times_L \mathfrak{l}'$. This means that all of the nonzero summands $(\mathfrak{l}')^q(\xi)$ must have the same slope, but since $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq (\mathfrak{l}')^0$, there is a nonzero summand with slope zero. Thus the only possibility is that $(\mathfrak{l}')^0 = \mathfrak{l}'$. Hence, $\mu(\xi)$ is contained in the center of \mathfrak{l}' , proving the first statement.

Clearly, the inclusion $L \subseteq L'$ induces an inclusion $DL \subseteq DL'$ and hence there is an induced mapping $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}'}$. Under this mapping the bundle $\det \xi$ maps to $\det(\xi')$. This proves that the Atiyah-Bott points for ξ and ξ' correspond under this mapping. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let ξ be a holomorphic G -bundle. Suppose that ξ reduces to a semistable bundle ξ_L , where L is a reductive subgroup of G . Then there exists a Harder-Narasimhan parabolic subgroup P for ξ and a Levi factor L' of P which contains L , and such that the Harder-Narasimhan reduction $\xi_{L'}$ of ξ is $\xi_L \times_L L'$.*

Proof. Consider the adjoint action of $\mu(\xi_L)$ on \mathfrak{g} . It is a diagonalizable action all of whose eigenvalues are rational numbers. We define $\mathfrak{g}_0(\mu(\xi_L)) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ to be the subspace which is the direct sum of the eigenspaces corresponding to nonnegative eigenvalues of this action. It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.8 that $\mathfrak{g}_0(\mu(\xi_L))$ is the Lie algebra of the Harder-Narasimhan parabolic for $\xi_L \times_L G$ and that the subalgebra of 0-eigenspaces is the Lie algebra of the Levi factor L' of this parabolic. (This also includes the case where $P = G$.) Clearly, $L \subseteq L'$. It follows from the Harder-Narasimhan construction that $\xi_P = \xi_L \times_L P$ and hence that $\xi_{L'} = \xi_L \times_L L'$. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let ξ be a holomorphic G -bundle. Suppose that there are two reductions of ξ to semistable bundles ξ_L and $\xi_{L'}$ over reductive subgroups L, L' of G . Then the Atiyah-Bott points $\mu(\xi_L) \in \mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mu(\xi_{L'}) \in \mathfrak{l}' \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ are conjugate points of \mathfrak{g} under the adjoint action of G .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, there exists a Harder-Narasimhan parabolic P and a Levi factor L'' of P which contains L . By Lemma 2.8, $\mu(\xi_L) = \mu(\xi_{L''})$, where $\xi_{L''} = \xi \times_L L''$. The corollary now follows from the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan reduction up to conjugation in G . \square

Thus, we define the Atiyah-Bott point of any holomorphic G -bundle for any reductive group G , by choosing a reductive subgroup $L \subseteq G$ and a semistable L -bundle ξ_L reducing ξ and taking the image of the Atiyah-Bott point of ξ_L under the inclusion $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. For example, we could always choose the reduction of ξ to the

Levi factor of a Harder-Narasimhan parabolic. By the above corollary the result is well-defined up to conjugation by an element of G , and the conjugacy class will be denoted $\mu(\xi)$. Of course, fixing a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} for G and a Weyl chamber C_0 of \mathfrak{h} , we can identify $\mu(\xi)$ once and for all with a point of C_0 . Note that the point $\mu(\xi)$ satisfies an integrality condition similar to that of Corollary 1.7 (ii), namely that $\mu(\xi) \in \Lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} \subseteq \Lambda_C \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Quite generally, suppose that μ is a semisimple element of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , all of whose eigenvalues are rational. We can break \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum $\bigoplus_q \mathfrak{g}^q$ of eigenspaces for $\text{ad } \mu$. Let $P(\mu)$ be the connected group whose Lie algebra corresponds to the direct sum of the eigenspaces for nonnegative eigenvalues q , and let $L(\mu)$ be the subgroup of $P(\mu)$ corresponding to the eigenspace for $q = 0$. It is easy to see that $P(\mu)$ is a (closed) parabolic subgroup of G and that $L(\mu)$ is a Levi factor of $P(\mu)$. Clearly μ is contained in the center of $L(\mu)$.

We may then characterize the L -bundles arising from the Harder-Narasimhan reduction as follows:

Corollary 2.11. *Let ξ be a holomorphic G -bundle and let $\mu(\xi)$ be the associated Atiyah-Bott point. Let L be a reductive subgroup of G . Suppose that there is a holomorphic reduction of ξ to a semistable L -bundle ξ_L . Then ξ_L is the L -bundle arising from the Harder-Narasimhan reduction of ξ if and only if $L = L(\mu(\xi))$, if and only if the roots of G vanishing on $\mu(\xi)$ are exactly the roots of L . \square*

Definition 2.12. Let L be a reductive subgroup of G , let \mathfrak{c} be the Lie algebra of the center of L , and let $\mu \in \mathfrak{c}$. We say that the pair (L, μ) is *saturated* if the roots of G vanishing on μ are exactly the roots of L . With L as above, let ξ_L be a semistable L -bundle. We say that the pair (L, ξ_L) is *saturated* if the pair $(L, \mu(\xi_L))$ is saturated. In this case, by Corollary 2.11, L is a Levi factor of the Harder-Narasimhan parabolic associated to $\xi_L \times_L G = \xi$, and ξ_L is the L -bundle associated to the Harder-Narasimhan reduction of ξ .

For example, for $G = GL_n$ and $L = GL_{d_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{d_k}$, a reduction of a vector bundle V to an L -bundle is a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$ with $\text{rank } V_i = d_i$. The L -bundle ξ_L is semistable if and only if each V_i is semistable. Finally, in this case the pair (L, ξ_L) is saturated if and only if $\mu(V_i) \neq \mu(V_j)$ for $i \neq j$.

3. A normal form for the transition functions of a semistable bundle.

This section is concerned with the description of all semistable bundles within a fixed S -equivalence class. Let ξ be a fixed semistable G -bundle. It is a well-known result that, for every smooth projective curve C , there is a unique central Yang-Mills representative ξ_0 S -equivalent to ξ . This representative is associated to a representation ρ from a central extension of $\pi_1(C)$ to K . In case $C = E$, to find a normal form for the transition functions of ξ , we begin by finding a canonical form for the transition functions of the corresponding Yang-Mills bundle ξ_0 (which we call normalized transition functions). The canonical form for the transition functions of ξ is then obtained by multiplying the normalized transition functions for $t\xi_0$ by elements of the form $\{\exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ where $\{f_{ij}\}$ is a \mathcal{O}_E -valued 1-cocycle giving the standard generator for $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$ and X is a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of elements which commute with the representation ρ .

We shall use the following notation throughout the rest of this paper. For any subset $S \subseteq G$ we denote by $Z_G(S)$ the centralizer of S in G and by $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ its Lie algebra. Clearly $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(S)$ is the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} invariant under $\text{Ad } S$. Given a representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow G$, we define $Z_G(\rho)$ to be $Z_G(\text{Im } \rho)$, and similarly for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$.

3.1. Flat and Yang-Mills connections.

Let C be a smooth projective curve and let K be a compact, semisimple Lie group. Given a representation $\rho: \pi_1(C) \rightarrow K$, we can form the associated principal K -bundle $\xi_0 = (\tilde{C} \times K)/\pi_1(C) \rightarrow C$, where $\pi_1(C)$ acts on \tilde{C} , the universal cover of C , in the usual way, and on K via ρ . We shall call such a K -bundle a *flat* K -bundle. The inclusion of K in G then yields a holomorphic G -bundle $\xi_0 \times_K G$. We shall refer to a G -bundle which arises in this way as a flat K -bundle or, in the case where $C = E$ is an elliptic curve and G is simply connected, as a *split* bundle. (We shall also blur the distinction between the K -bundle ξ_0 and the G -bundle $\xi_0 \times_K G$.) The flat structure on a flat K -bundle ξ_0 is equivalent to a K -connection A on ξ_0 with curvature $F_A = 0$, modulo gauge equivalence. There is an induced connection on $\xi_0 \times_K G$, which we shall also denote by A , and the holomorphic structure on $\xi_0 \times_K G$ is induced by the $(0, 1)$ -connection which is the $(0, 1)$ part of A .

More generally, suppose that K is compact but not necessarily semisimple. In general we will let $K = \mathcal{C} \times_F D$, where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}K$ is the identity component of the center of K and D is the derived group. Let G be the complexification of K ; it is a reductive algebraic group, and conversely every reductive group arises in this way. Let $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$ be the central extension of $\pi_1(C, *)$ defined in §6 of Atiyah-Bott [2], generalizing the construction we have given in Section 1 for $C = E$. Thus there is a central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \rightarrow \pi_1(C, *) \rightarrow \{1\},$$

and it is unique specified by the condition that, if α_i, β_i are the standard generators of $\pi_1(C, *)$ and A_i, B_i are any lifts of the α_i, β_i to elements of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$, then

$$\prod_i [A_i, B_i] = 1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the class of this central extension is the element $1 \in H^2(C; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Let $\nu \rightarrow C$ be the unique C^∞ $U(1)$ -bundle with Chern class 1 and let $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the universal cover of C . Then $\nu \times_C \tilde{C}$ is a $\pi_1(C) \times U(1)$ -bundle, and one can check that there is a unique lift of this bundle to a bundle $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow C$ with structure group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)$. Fix a Yang-Mills (i.e. harmonic) connection A_ν on ν . Then A_ν lifts to a connection on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow C$, which we denote by A_0 .

Given the compact Lie group K , a representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ will be called *central* if $\rho(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in the center of K . Recall that a Yang-Mills connection on a K -bundle is a K -connection A whose curvature F_A is covariant constant. Given a central representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \rightarrow K$, there is the induced K -bundle $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)} K$, and it has a Yang-Mills connection $\rho_*(A_0) = A$. Note that $*F_A$ has values in the Lie algebra of the center of K , not just the Lie algebra of K . We will refer to such a connection as a *central Yang-Mills connection*. Thus, the image of the fixed Yang-Mills connection A_0 on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}}$ under a central representation is a central Yang-Mills connection, and conversely, up to gauge equivalence, every central Yang-Mills

connection arises in this way. Given a central Yang-Mills connection A , we shall somewhat inaccurately refer to the corresponding representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \rightarrow K$ as the *holonomy representation*. Note that the identification of central representations with central Yang-Mills connections depends on the choice of the connection A_0 .

The inclusion $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ defines an inclusion of groups $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \subset \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)$. Clearly there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times \pi_1(C) \rightarrow 0,$$

compatible with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \rightarrow U(1) \times \pi_1(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

The fixed Yang-Mills connection A_0 on $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces a holomorphic structure on $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)$, and we shall denote this bundle, together with its holomorphic structure, by $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow C$, with structure group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)$. A central representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C) \rightarrow K$ induces a holomorphic representation $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}: \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C) \rightarrow G$. Hence there is an associated holomorphic G -bundle $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)} G$. Clearly

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)} G \cong (\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)} K) \times_K G,$$

and the holomorphic structure on $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(C)} G$ is easily seen to be the same as the one on $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(C)} K) \times_K G$ induced by taking the $(0, 1)$ -component of the Yang-Mills connection $\rho_*(A_0) = A$. We shall refer to a holomorphic G -bundle $\xi = \xi_K \times_K G$ whose holomorphic structure arises from the $(0, 1)$ -part of a central Yang-Mills connection on the K -bundle ξ_K as a *Yang-Mills bundle* and we shall also refer to the associated central representation ρ as the holonomy of ξ . We emphasize that, in our definition, a Yang-Mills bundle arises from a *central* Yang-Mills connection, since we shall never need to consider non-central Yang-Mills connections.

We will need the following version of the theorem of Narasimhan-Seshadri [25] and Ramanathan [27] (see also Atiyah-Bott [2] and Donaldson [8]).

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that G is reductive and that K is a maximal compact subgroup of G .*

- (i) *Let ξ_0 be a Yang-Mills K -bundle. Then the induced G -bundle $\xi_0 \times_K G$ is semistable.*
- (ii) *Let $\xi \rightarrow C$ be a semistable principal G -bundle. Then there is a Yang-Mills K -bundle ξ_0 whose induced G -bundle is S -equivalent to ξ . More precisely, there is a family of semistable principal G -bundles Ξ over $C \times \mathbb{C}$, such that, for $t \neq 0$, $\Xi_t \cong \xi$, and such that Ξ_0 is the G -bundle induced by the Yang-Mills K -bundle ξ_0 .*
- (iii) *The bundle ξ_0 is unique up to isomorphism of Yang-Mills K -bundles. More precisely, suppose that ξ and ξ' are two semistable principal G -bundles associated to Yang-Mills K -bundles ξ_0, ξ'_0 . Then ξ and ξ' are S -equivalent if and only if ξ_0 and ξ'_0 are isomorphic as Yang-Mills K -bundles, if and only if their associated holonomy representations $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ are conjugate in K . \square*

The proof of the result, in the form stated here, is essentially contained in Ramanathan's thesis [28, Prop. 3.12 and 3.15] as well as in Atiyah-Bott [2, Lemma

10.12]. (Although the result is stated for curves of genus at least 2 in [28], the proof goes through with minor modifications in the case of genus 1.)

Suppose that K is compact, with \mathcal{C} the identity component of the center of K , and let \mathfrak{c} be the Lie algebra of \mathcal{C} . If ξ_0 is a Yang-Mills bundle corresponding to a central representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$, then we have defined $\mu(\xi) \in \mathfrak{c}$ in §2.5. The following is a straightforward calculation:

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notation, let $d\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ be the differential of the homomorphism ρ . Then $\mu(\xi) = d\rho(1)$. \square*

3.2. Semistable G -bundles with Yang-Mills connections over an elliptic curve.

From now on, we restrict attention to an elliptic curve E , and set $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(E)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$. We have the C^∞ $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow E$ and its complexification $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow E$. The choice of a fixed harmonic connection on the $U(1)$ -bundle $\nu \rightarrow E$ with Chern class 1 defines a holomorphic structure on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which we also fix once and for all. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open Stein cover of E such that each U_i is simply connected and such that $U_i \cap U_j$ is either connected or empty for all $i \neq j$. Then we can find a holomorphic trivialization of the bundle $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{C}}$ over the open cover $\{U_i\}$. Let $\gamma_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the holomorphic transition functions for this choice of trivialization.

Now suppose that $K = \mathcal{C} \times_F D$ is a compact group, where as usual D is the derived group and \mathcal{C} is the identity component of the center. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the algebraic torus which is the complexification of \mathcal{C} . Suppose that ξ_0 is a holomorphic bundle corresponding to a Yang-Mills connection with holonomy $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$. Then there is the associated holomorphic representation $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}: \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G$. Let $h_{ij} = \rho_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{ij})$. Clearly, the h_{ij} are holomorphic transition functions for the bundle ξ_0 , contained in the image of ρ . We shall call such transition functions *normalized* (with respect to the fixed cover $\{U_i\}$ and the choice of trivializations $\{\gamma_{ij}\}$). Since the choice of the open cover and trivializations will be made once and for all, we will suppress this choice from the notation. Note that the construction of normalized transition functions is functorial with respect to central homomorphisms of compact Lie groups, i.e. homomorphisms $\pi: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{C}K_1) \subseteq \mathcal{C}K_2$, where $\mathcal{C}K_i$ is the identity component of the center of K_i . We then have the following lemma on normalized transition functions:

Lemma 3.3. *With notation as above, if ξ_0 is a holomorphic bundle corresponding to a Yang-Mills connection with holonomy $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$, then the structure group of ξ_0 reduces to $\text{Im } \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover:*

- (i) *The elements h_{ij} generate $\text{Im } \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ modulo $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and thus generate $\text{Im } \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ modulo $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$.*
- (ii) *If $\{h_{ij}\}$ and $\{h'_{ij}\}$ are two normalized transition functions (with respect to the cover $\{U_i\}$) defining isomorphic bundles, then there exists $g \in K$ such that $h'_{ij} = gh_{ij}g^{-1}$ for all i, j .*
- (iii) *An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $\text{Ad}(h_{ij})(X) = X$ for all i, j if and only if $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$.*
- (iv) *There is a natural inclusion of $Z_G(\rho)$ in $\text{Aut}_G(\xi_0)$, whose image is the set of automorphisms of ξ_0 defined by functions which are constant with respect to the given local trivializations over $\{U_i\}$.*

Proof. Clearly the structure group of ξ_0 reduces to $\text{Im}(\rho_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Next we show (i). Using the projection $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$, we can take the image $\bar{\gamma}_{ij} \in \pi_1(E)$ of the transition functions γ_{ij} , and the functions $\bar{\gamma}_{ij}$ are transition functions for the $\pi_1(E)$ -bundle $\tilde{E} \rightarrow E$. Thus, the $\bar{\gamma}_{ij}$ generate $\pi_1(E)$. It follows that the images \bar{h}_{ij} of the h_{ij} generate the image of the homomorphism $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow DG/F$ induced by ρ . From this, (i) is clear.

To see (ii), suppose that $\{h_{ij}\}$ and $\{h'_{ij}\}$ are two normalized transition functions defining isomorphic bundles. Let ρ and ρ' be the respective holonomy maps. Then ρ and ρ' are conjugate under K : say $\rho' = g\rho g^{-1}$ for some $g \in K$. The same will be true for $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\rho'_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus $h'_{ij} = \rho'_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{ij}) = g\rho_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{ij})g^{-1} = gh_{ij}g^{-1}$.

To see (iii), by (i), $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is fixed by $\text{Ad}(h_{ij})$ for every i, j if and only if X is fixed by $\text{Ad}(\text{Im } \rho)$, if and only if $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$.

As for (iv), for $h \in Z_G(\rho)$, define an automorphism $U_i \times G \rightarrow U_i \times G$ by sending (u, g) to (u, hg) . Since $h \in Z_G(\rho)$, h commutes with h_{ij} for all i, j . This means these local automorphism fit together to define an automorphism of the G -bundle ξ_0 which clearly is constant with respect to the given trivialization over $\{U_i\}$. The converse is also easy to see, namely that any constant automorphism of ξ_0 lies in the image of $Z_G(\rho) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_G(\xi_0)$. \square

We have not shown that $Z_G(\rho) = \text{Aut}_G(\xi_0)$, since *a priori* there might exist nonconstant holomorphic functions $g_i: U_i \rightarrow G$ with $h_{ij}g_i h_{ij}^{-1} = g_j$. We will return to this point in the next section and verify that indeed $Z_G(\rho) = \text{Aut}_G(\xi_0)$.

If K is semisimple, then ρ factors through a homomorphism $\pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$. Since $\pi_1(E)$ is abelian, $\text{Im } \rho_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{Im } \rho$ is contained in $Z_G(\rho)$. In general, however, $\text{Im } \rho$ need not be contained in $Z_G(\rho)$.

3.3. Construction of all semistable G -bundles.

Next we give a general method for constructing G -bundles. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and f is a holomorphic function on some complex manifold M , then $\exp(fX)$ is a holomorphic map from M to G , and, fixing f , $X \mapsto \exp(fX)$ is a holomorphic map from \mathfrak{g} to the space of holomorphic mappings from M to G . We will apply this as follows: for the given Stein cover $\{U_i\}$, let $\{f_{ij}\}$ be a 1-cocycle for \mathcal{O}_E for the cover $\{U_i\}$ such that $\{f_{ij}\}$ represents the same element as $d\bar{z}$ in the 1-dimensional vector space $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$. For a fixed $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the function $\exp(f_{ij}X)$ defines an element of $H^1(E; \underline{G})$ and thus a holomorphic principal bundle over E . Doing this for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define a holomorphic family of bundles over $E \times \mathfrak{g}$, such that at $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding G -bundle is the trivial G bundle $E \times G$.

More generally, suppose that ξ_0 has a Yang-Mills connection with holonomy $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ and in fact is defined by normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij}\}$ with values in $Z_G(\rho)$. For $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, we define transition functions $h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X): U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$. Since the $\{h_{ij}\}$ form a 1-cocycle for $K \subset G$, since each h_{ij} centralizes X , and since the $\{f_{ij}\}$ are a 1-cocycle, it is easy to see that $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ is indeed a 1-cocycle with respect to the open cover $\{U_i\}$. Performing the above construction for all $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, we obtain a holomorphic family of bundles Ξ_0 over $E \times \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, such that the bundle corresponding to $0 \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is ξ_0 .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Xi_0 \rightarrow E \times \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ be the family of G -bundles constructed above.*

- (i) *The inclusion $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \subseteq H^0(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$ of covariant constant sections is an isomorphism. Likewise, there is a homomorphism $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$ defined by cup product and it is also an isomorphism.*

(ii) *The Kodaira-Spencer map of the family Ξ_0 at the origin is given by*

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) = H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0),$$

where the first map is defined by tensoring with the element in $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$ represented by $\{f_{ij}\}$ and the second isomorphism is that of (i). In particular, the Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism, and hence Ξ_0 is a semiuniversal deformation of ξ_0 at the origin.

Proof. To see (i), note that $\text{ad } \xi_0$ is a Yang-Mills vector bundle for the representation of the compact group K acting on \mathfrak{g} . Thus, using the maximum principle, a standard argument due to Narasimhan and Seshadri [25] shows that the holomorphic sections are the covariant constant sections with respect to the connection, and these are given by constant elements of \mathfrak{g} commuting with the holonomy representation ρ . In particular, $H^0(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$ is identified with $H^0(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. A similar argument via the Dolbeault isomorphism $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) \cong H^{0,1}(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$ and using the existence of the nowhere vanishing $(0, 1)$ -form $d\bar{z}$ on E shows that $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$ is identified with $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ via cup product.

To see (ii), we first note that, if η is an arbitrary G -bundle with transition functions $\{g_{ij}\}$ and if $\{g_{ij} \exp(tX_{ij})\}$ is a first order deformation of η , then the X_{ij} satisfy

$$\text{Ad}(g_{kj})X_{ij} + X_{jk} = X_{ik},$$

in other words $\{X_{ij}\}$ is a 1-cocycle for $\text{ad } \eta$, and by definition it is the Kodaira-Spencer class. Applying this remark to ξ_0 and the bundle Ξ_0 above, it follows that the first order term for the transition functions of Ξ_0 along the tangent direction $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is the 1-cocycle $\{f_{ij}X\}$, and clearly this element projects to $[\{f_{ij}\}] \otimes X \in H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, where $[\{f_{ij}\}]$ is the image of $\{f_{ij}\}$ in $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$. Thus the identification of $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$ with \mathbb{C} via $[\{f_{ij}\}]$ shows that the Kodaira-Spencer map is the map $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ given by tensoring with the class $[\{f_{ij}\}]$ followed by the natural inclusion of this tensor product into $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$. By (i), this map is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ to $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)$.

Thus, the Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism, which means that Ξ_0 is a semiuniversal deformation of ξ_0 at the origin. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let ξ be a semistable principal G -bundle. Let ξ_0 be the unique bundle with a Yang-Mills K -connection S -equivalent to ξ , and let $\{h_{ij}\}$ be normalized transition functions for ξ_0 . Then there exists an $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, such that ξ is trivialized on the open cover $\{U_i\}$ with transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$.*

Proof. Let S be a semiuniversal deformation of the bundle ξ_0 , with $0 \in S$ corresponding to ξ_0 . By the theorem of Narasimhan-Seshadri, Ramanathan, Donaldson et al (Theorem 3.1), in every neighborhood of $0 \in S$ there exists a bundle isomorphic to ξ . Taking S to be a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and the family to be the family Ξ_0 constructed above, the result now follows immediately from Lemma 3.4. \square

The main result of this subsection is that we can further assume that $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ given above is *nilpotent*.

Theorem 3.6. *Let ξ be a semistable principal G -bundle, let ξ_0 be the unique bundle with a Yang-Mills K -connection S -equivalent to ξ , and let $\{h_{ij}\}$ be the normalized transition functions for ξ_0 with respect to the open covering $\{U_i\}$. Then there exists a holomorphic trivialization of ξ over the cover $\{U_i\}$ with holomorphic transition functions of the form $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$, where $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. Begin with transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ for ξ as in Corollary 3.5, where X is an arbitrary element of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Note that modifying the 1-cocycle $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ by the 1-coboundary which is given by a constant element g of $Z_G(\rho)$ has the effect of leaving the h_{ij} invariant and replacing X by $(\text{Ad } g)(X)$. Write $X = X_s + X_n$, where $X_s, X_n \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, $\text{ad } X_s$ is semisimple, $\text{ad } X_n$ is nilpotent, and $[X_s, X_n] = 0$. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, which we assume to be the complexification of the Lie algebra of a maximal torus $T(\rho)$ in the centralizer of ρ in K . After conjugating by an element of $Z_G(\rho)$, we may assume that X_s lies in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Let $H(\rho)$ be the corresponding maximal torus of $Z_G(\rho)$. Its unique maximal compact subgroup is $T(\rho) = K \cap H(\rho)$. Let $H'(\rho)$ be the subgroup of the complex torus $H(\rho)$ consisting of all elements which commute with X_n . Although $H'(\rho)$ is not necessarily connected, its identity component is a subtorus of $H(\rho)$. Let $T'(\rho)$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $H'(\rho)$. Then $T'(\rho)$ is contained in the unique maximal compact subgroup $T(\rho) = K \cap H(\rho)$ of $H(\rho)$, and in particular $T'(\rho)$ is contained in K .

Consider the principal bundle η over E with structure group $H'(\rho)$ and transition functions $\{\exp(f_{ij}X_s)\}$. This bundle is topologically trivial (since $t \mapsto \{\exp(tf_{ij}X_s)\}$ defines a path from its transition functions to those of the trivial bundle) and hence the complex structure on η is defined by a flat connection with values in the maximal compact subgroup $T'(\rho)$ of $H'(\rho)$. Hence, with respect to the given open covering $\{U_i\}$, there exist normalized transition functions $\{h'_{ij}\}$ with values in $T'(\rho)$ corresponding to a flat $H'(\rho)$ -bundle η_0 , where η_0 is isomorphic as a holomorphic $H'(\rho)$ -bundle to η . Let $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow H'(\rho)$ be local holomorphic functions such that $\varphi_i \exp(f_{ij}X_s) = h'_{ij} \varphi_j$. Consider now the bundle $\hat{\xi}$ over E whose transition functions relative to the open covering $\{U_i\}$ are $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X_s)\}$. (Since $\text{Ad}(h_{ij})X_s = X_s$ for all i, j , these functions satisfy the cocycle condition.) Changing the local trivializations of $\hat{\xi}$ over the U_i by the φ_i changes the transition functions for $\hat{\xi}$ to transition functions $\{h_{ij}h'_{ij}\}$. Since the h'_{ij} are normalized transition functions which commute with the h_{kl} , it is easy to see that the functions $h_{ij}h'_{ij}$ are in fact normalized transition functions for a Yang-Mills bundle. In fact, if $\rho': \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ is the representation corresponding to the h'_{ij} , then ρ and ρ' commute, and thus $\rho\rho'$ is again a homomorphism from $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ to K whose normalized transition functions are $\{h_{ij}h'_{ij}\}$. In particular, the bundle $\hat{\xi}$ is a Yang-Mills bundle.

Since by definition the holomorphic functions φ_i commute with X_n , changing the local trivializations of $\hat{\xi}$ by the φ_i produces new transition functions

$$\{h_{ij}h'_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X_n)\}$$

for $\hat{\xi}$. Here X_n is nilpotent and is centralized by the h_{ij} and the h'_{ij} and hence by the products $h_{ij}h'_{ij}$.

A standard argument shows that, since X_n is nilpotent, the bundle defined by transition functions $\{h_{ij}h'_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X_n)\}$ is S -equivalent to that defined by transition functions $\{h_{ij}h'_{ij}\}$, which is $\hat{\xi}$. (It suffices to note that there is a 1-parameter

subgroup $\{h_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ of H such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \text{Ad}(h_\lambda)(X) = 0$.) Thus the bundle G -bundle ξ_0 with normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij}\}$ is S -equivalent to the G -bundle $\hat{\xi}$ with normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij}h'_{ij}\}$. By Theorem 3.1, this is only possible if the two bundles are isomorphic as Yang-Mills K -bundles. By (ii) of Lemma 3.3, there is an element $g \in K$ such that $h_{ij} = g(h_{ij}h'_{ij})g^{-1}$ for all i, j . Let $X = \text{Ad}(g)X_n$. After conjugating the local trivializations by g , the bundle ξ has transition functions of the form $h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)$, where X is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} . Since X_n is centralized by the $h_{ij}h'_{ij}$, the element X is centralized by h_{ij} . By Lemma 3.3, this implies that $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Of course, $X = \text{Ad}(g)X_n$ is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} , and hence of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. We have thus found transition functions for ξ as claimed in Theorem 3.6. \square

We shall also refer to transition functions of the form $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$, where the $\{h_{ij}\}$ are normalized transition functions for the S -equivalent Yang-Mills bundle with holonomy ρ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is nilpotent, as *normalized transition functions* for the semistable bundle ξ .

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that K is semisimple. Let ξ be a semistable principal G -bundle. Then the structure group of ξ reduces to an abelian subgroup of G . More precisely, let ξ_0 be the bundle with flat K -connection S -equivalent to ξ and let $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$ be the holonomy representation of this connection. Then there exists a 1-parameter unipotent subgroup U of $Z_G(\rho)$ such that the structure group of ξ reduces to $\text{Im}(\rho) \times U$.*

Proof. In the notation of the statement of Theorem 3.7, let U be the 1-parameter unipotent subgroup of $Z_G(\rho)$ defined by $\exp(tX_n)$. Then we have defined a holomorphic reduction of structure to the abelian subgroup $\text{Im}(\rho) \times U$ of G . \square

Remark. Note however that we cannot necessarily reduce the structure group to a connected abelian subgroup, even when G is simply connected.

3.4. Defining holomorphic structures via $(0, 1)$ -connections.

We can also give a description of the holomorphic structure on ξ in terms of a $(0, 1)$ -connection. Fix once and for all a nonzero holomorphic 1-form dz on E , corresponding to a choice of coordinate z on the universal cover \mathbb{C} , and let $d\bar{z}$ be the corresponding $(0, 1)$ -form. We fix a good open cover $\{U_i\}$ of E and normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij}\}$ for the S -equivalent Yang-Mills K -bundle. Let $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Over each U_i , we can define a $(0, 1)$ -connection $\bar{\partial}_i$ by the formula

$$\bar{\partial}_i = \bar{\partial} + X d\bar{z},$$

where $\bar{\partial}$ denotes the trivial connection on the product bundle over U_i . Since X is centralized by the h_{ij} , we can glue these connections together via the transition functions $\{h_{ij}\}$ to obtain a well-defined $(0, 1)$ -connection $\bar{\partial}_{\xi_0, X}$ on ξ_0 . Our result is then:

Proposition 3.8. *In the above notation, the holomorphic structure defined on ξ_0 by the $(0, 1)$ -connection $\bar{\partial}_{\xi_0, X}$ has transition functions of the form $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$, where $\{f_{ij}\}$ is a 1-cocycle for \mathcal{O}_E so that the induced element of $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$ corresponds to $-d\bar{z}$ under the Dolbeault isomorphism.*

Proof. We need to find local holomorphic cross sections s_i over U_i and compare them over $U_i \cap U_j$. First, on U_i there exists a C^∞ function $\psi_i: U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$\bar{\partial}\psi_i = d\bar{z}|U_i$. On $U_i \cap U_j$, $\psi_i - \psi_j = -f_{ij}$ is holomorphic, and, via the Dolbeault isomorphism, $-f_{ij}$ is a generator for $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$, i.e. the 1-cocycle $\{f_{ij}\}$ and $-d\bar{z}$ give the same element of $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$.

Choose a faithful representation $G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the vector space of $n \times n$ -matrices. Given a C^∞ section $s_i: U_i \rightarrow G$, we identify s_i with the corresponding function to $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and likewise we view the connection $\bar{\partial}_{\xi_0, X}$ as a connection on the corresponding vector bundle, defined by the same formula. In this case, s_i is holomorphic if and only if

$$\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial \bar{z}} + X \cdot s_i = 0.$$

In particular, we can choose s_i to be of the form

$$s_i = \exp(-\psi_i(z)X).$$

Comparing on overlaps, we have

$$s_i s_j^{-1} = h_{ij} \exp((-\psi_i + \psi_j)X) = h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X),$$

as claimed. \square

Remark. (1) If ξ_0 is a topologically trivial bundle over E with a flat K -connection, then one can show that the holomorphic structure on ξ_0 is given by a $(0, 1)$ -connection of the form $\bar{\partial} + h d\bar{z}$, where h is a constant element of a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . However, in this description, $Z_G(\rho)$ does not always act via constant elements of G .

(2) Ideally, it would be nice to have a version of (3.8) which said, for example, that every holomorphic structure on the trivial bundle arose from a connection on the trivial bundle with constant coefficients, in other words of the form $\bar{\partial} + Y d\bar{z}$, where we could write $Y = h + X$ where $\text{ad } h$ is semisimple, $\text{ad } X$ is nilpotent, and $[h, X] = 0$. While this is possible for $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $Sp(2n)$, one can show that, for every other simple and simply connected group G , there exist semistable holomorphic structures on the C^∞ -trivial bundle which do not admit such a description.

4. Isomorphism classification of semistable bundles.

In the previous section, we gave a normal form for the transition functions of a semistable bundle in terms of the transition functions $\{h_{ij}\}$ of the associated Yang-Mills bundle and the choice of a nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Our goal in this section will be to decide when two such normalized transition functions determine isomorphic bundles. Related to this problem is that of determining the group of holomorphic automorphisms of a semistable bundle. We give a description in terms of $\{h_{ij}\}$ and X . At the end of this section, we describe the Zariski tangent space of the deformations of a semistable bundle in terms of the data ρ and X .

4.1. Isomorphisms and automorphisms of semistable bundles.

Fix a good Stein cover $\{U_i\}$ of E as in §3.2, and fix holomorphic functions f_{ij} on $U_i \cap U_j$ such that $\{f_{ij}\}$ is a 1-cocycle generating $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$. A semistable G -bundle ξ is given by normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ and is thus determined by the representation $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ and the nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Thus, a pair (ρ, X) as above determines a semistable G -bundle.

Theorem 4.1.

- (i) *Let ξ be the semistable G -bundle determined by the pair (ρ, X) , where $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ is a representation and X is a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Then every automorphism of ξ is given by a constant function g with respect to the given local trivializations of ξ for the cover $\{U_i\}$, such that $g \in Z_G(\rho)$ and $\text{Ad } g(X) = X$. Thus, the group of automorphisms of ξ is identified with the subgroup of $Z_G(\rho)$ which centralizes X .*
- (ii) *Two pairs (ρ, X) and (ρ', X') determine isomorphic holomorphic G -bundles if and only if ρ and ρ' are conjugate in G by an element sending X to X' . In this case, ρ and ρ' are also conjugate by an element of K .*

Proof. If $g \in G$ commutes with the image of ρ and is such that $\text{Ad } g(X) = X$, then the automorphism of ξ defined by the constant function g commutes with the transition functions of ξ and hence defines a holomorphic automorphism of ξ . Likewise, if g conjugates ρ to ρ' and X to X' , then g defines a holomorphic isomorphism from ξ to ξ' . Thus we need to prove the converse of these statements. To prove (i), suppose that φ is a holomorphic automorphism of ξ . We shall first show that φ is given by a constant element g of G and then argue that $g \in Z_G(\rho)$ and that $\text{Ad } g(X) = X$. The proof of (ii) will run along similar lines. It will suffice to show that, for every irreducible representation $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, where V is a finite-dimensional vector space, $\pi(\varphi)$ is constant and commutes with the image of $\pi \circ \rho$ and with $\pi_*(X)$.

Thus, fix a finite-dimensional representation $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$. We may assume that there is a Hermitian inner product on V such that the image of K is contained in the corresponding unitary group $U(V)$. Let $\hat{\rho}: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow U(V)$ be the representation induced from ρ by the homomorphism $K \rightarrow U(V)$. Then there is a decomposition of V as a $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ -module:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi} \chi \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}),$$

where the χ are distinct irreducible representations of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ on the summand $\chi \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho})$ is the tensor product of the representation on χ with the trivial representation on $V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho})$. We shall always assume that $V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}) \neq 0$, i.e. that the χ in the direct sum actually appear in V . Letting ξ_0 be the Yang-Mills bundle determined by ρ , there is then a decomposition of $V(\xi_0) = \xi_0 \times_G V$ as a direct sum of subbundles

$$V(\xi_0) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi} \left(\lambda_{\chi} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}_0) \right),$$

where λ_{χ} is the vector bundle determined by the representation χ . Since χ is irreducible, λ_{χ} is stable.

Next we must deal with the nilpotent element X . Let $Y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be the image of X under π . It is a nilpotent transformation commuting with the action of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, the action of Y preserves the above decomposition of V and acts as $\text{Id} \otimes Y_{\chi}$ on the summand $\chi \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho})$, where Y_{χ} is a nilpotent endomorphism of $V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho})$. Correspondingly, then, it is easy to check that Y acts as a holomorphic automorphism of $V(\xi_0)$, preserving the above decomposition of $V(\xi_0)$, and it acts on each summand $\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho})$ as $\text{Id} \otimes Y_{\chi}$.

Quite generally, suppose that V is a finite-dimensional vector space and that Y is a nilpotent endomorphism of V . Define a holomorphic vector bundle $V(Y)$ via the transition functions $\{\exp(f_{ij}Y)\}$. Note that the transition functions for $V(Y)$ are in fact normalized transition functions for the group $SL(V)$, where $h_{ij} = 1 \in SU(V)$. In the notation of Lemma 1.1, if Y has k Jordan blocks of size $d_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$V(Y) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_{d_i}.$$

In particular, applying the above construction to the nilpotent endomorphism of $V_\chi(\hat{\rho})$ defined by the image Y of X , we obtain a vector bundle $V_\chi(\hat{\rho}, Y)$ which is isomorphic to a direct sum of bundles of the form I_{d_i} for some positive integers d_i . Clearly, as a vector bundle,

$$V(\xi) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \left(\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y) \right).$$

Now suppose that ξ, ξ' are two holomorphic G -bundles determined by two pairs (ρ, X) and (ρ', X') respectively. Let $\varphi: \xi \rightarrow \xi'$ be a holomorphic isomorphism. Let Y and Y' be the nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ determined by X and X' , respectively. There is an induced isomorphism

$$\hat{\varphi}: V(\xi) \rightarrow V(\xi').$$

Claim 4.2. *In the above notation, the isomorphism*

$$\hat{\varphi}: V(\xi) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \left(\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y) \right) \rightarrow V(\xi') = \bigoplus_{\chi} \left(\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}', Y') \right)$$

is compatible with the direct sum decompositions. Moreover, the restriction of $\hat{\varphi}$ to a homomorphism $\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y) \rightarrow \lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}', Y')$ is of the form $\text{Id} \otimes M_{\chi}$, where $M_{\chi}: V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}) \rightarrow V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}')$ is a vector space isomorphism such that $M_{\chi}Y = Y'M_{\chi}$.

Proof. The vector bundle $V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y)$ is filtered by subbundles such that each successive quotient is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_E . Thus, $\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y)$ is filtered by subbundles such that each successive quotient is isomorphic to λ_{χ} . Hence, the slope of $\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y)$ is equal to the slope of λ_{χ} . It follows by the semistability of $V(\xi)$ that all of the vector bundles λ_{χ} which appear in the above direct sum have the same slope, and similarly for the bundles appearing in the direct sum decomposition for $V(\xi')$. Since $V(\xi) \cong V(\xi')$, all of these common slopes are equal. But if λ_{χ} and $\lambda_{\chi'}$ are two such stable bundles with the same slope, every holomorphic map from λ_{χ} to $\lambda_{\chi'}$ is zero if χ and χ' are distinct irreducible representations of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$, and it is multiplication by a scalar if $\chi = \chi'$. In particular, we see that $\hat{\varphi}$ preserves the direct sum decomposition.

Since, by Lemma 1.15, the map $M \mapsto \text{Id} \otimes M$ is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y), V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}', Y')) \cong \text{Hom}(\lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}, Y), \lambda_{\chi} \otimes V_{\chi}(\hat{\rho}', Y')),$$

we see that Claim 4.2 follows from:

Lemma 4.3. *For $i = 1, 2$, let V_i be a finite-dimensional vector space and let $Y_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ be a nilpotent endomorphism. Let $\varphi: V_1(Y_1) \rightarrow V_2(Y_2)$ be a holomorphic map. Then, in the given local trivializations of the bundles $V_i(Y_i)$, φ is given by a constant element M of $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$ such that $MY_1 = Y_2M$.*

Proof. We begin with the following special case:

Lemma 4.4. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space with a nilpotent endomorphism Y , and let s be a holomorphic section of $V(Y)$. Then, in the given local trivializations of the bundle $V(Y)$, s is given by a constant element s of V such that $Y(s) = 0$.*

Proof. It is clear from the description of the transition functions that every element $s \in V$ such that $Ys = 0$ defines a holomorphic section of $V(Y)$. Conversely, let s be a holomorphic section of $V(Y)$. We must show that s is a constant section in $\text{Ker } Y$. As we have seen in §3.4, the holomorphic structure on $V(Y)$ is defined by the $(0, 1)$ -connection $\bar{\partial} + Yd\bar{z}$ on the C^∞ trivial bundle $E \times V$. Putting Y into Jordan blocks decomposes $V(Y)$ as a direct sum, and it suffices to work on each summand. On each such summand of dimension k , there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_k such that $Ye_i = e_{i-1}$ if $i > 1$, and $Ye_1 = 0$. A holomorphic section of $V(Y)$ corresponds to a vector of C^∞ -functions on E , (s_1, \dots, s_k) such that

$$\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial \bar{z}} + s_{i+1} = 0, \quad \text{if } i < k, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

First it follows that s_k is a holomorphic function on E and is thus a constant c_k . Next, we can view s_{k-1} as a doubly periodic C^∞ function on the universal cover \mathbb{C} of E of the form $-c_k\bar{z} + f_{k-1}(z)$, where f_{k-1} is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} . In this case $\partial s_{k-1} = df_{k-1}$ is a holomorphic differential on E , and thus $f_{k-1}(z) = b_{k-1}z + c_{k-1}$, where b_{k-1} and c_{k-1} are constant. An easy argument shows that a function of the form $-c_k\bar{z} + b_{k-1}z + c_{k-1}$ is doubly periodic if and only if $b_{k-1} = c_k = 0$. Thus $s_k = 0$ and s_{k-1} is a constant c_{k-1} . Continuing in this way, we see by induction that $s_i = 0, i > 1$ and that s_1 is a constant. Thus the space of holomorphic sections of $V(Y)$ is exactly the set of constant sections with values in $\text{Ker } Y$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

To prove Lemma 4.3, first note that it follows by comparing transition functions that the vector bundle $\text{Hom}(V_1(Y_1), V_2(Y_2))$ is given by $V(Y)$, where $V = \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$, and Y is the nilpotent endomorphism of V defined by $Y(M) = Y_2M - MY_1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.4, the space of holomorphic maps from $V_1(Y_1)$ to $V_2(Y_2)$, or equivalently the space of global holomorphic sections of $\text{Hom}(V_1(Y_1), V_2(Y_2))$, is the same as the space of $M \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$ such that $MY_1 = Y_2M$. \square

Returning to the proof of Theorem 4.1, suppose that $\varphi: \xi \rightarrow \xi'$ is an isomorphism of G -bundles. Then φ induces an isomorphism $\hat{\varphi}: V(\xi) \rightarrow V(\xi')$ of the associated vector bundles, which by Claim 4.2 is given by a constant linear isomorphism M from V to itself (with respect to the given local trivializations) such that $MYM^{-1} = Y'$. Since this is true for all irreducible representations π , we must have $\varphi = g$ for some constant element $g \in G$, and $\text{Ad } g(X) = X'$. In particular, $g \exp(f_{ij}X) = \exp(f_{ij}X')g$. But g satisfies

$$gh_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X) = h'_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X')g$$

for all i, j , and thus $gh_{ij} = h'_{ij}g$. Hence g conjugates ρ to ρ' . In particular, if $\xi = \xi'$, then g commutes with the h_{ij} and hence with $\text{Im } \rho$, and furthermore $\text{Ad } g(X) = X$. This proves (i), as well as the first statement of (ii).

To see the last statement of (ii), it will suffice to prove that two representations ρ and ρ' of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ into K are conjugate by an element of G if and only if they are conjugate by an element of K . Following the argument of Ramanathan [27], this is an easy consequence of the Cartan decomposition: there exists a subset $Q \subseteq G$, invariant under conjugation by K , such that every element g of G can be written uniquely as $g = kq$, where $k \in K$ and $q \in Q$. Using this, it is a straightforward argument to show that, if ρ and ρ' are conjugate via $g = kq$, then they are already conjugate via k . This completes the proof of (ii). \square

We are now in a position to give the analogue for semistable G -bundles of Atiyah's classification of vector bundles over an elliptic curve.

Theorem 4.5. *Let ξ be a holomorphic principal G -bundle. Then there exists a triple (L, ρ_L, X) , where*

- (i) L is a reductive subgroup of G with a maximal compact subgroup K_L ;
- (ii) $\rho_L: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K_L$ is a central homomorphism and X is a nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{z}(\rho_L)$, where \mathfrak{l} is the Lie algebra of L ;
- (iii) L is saturated with respect to $\mu = d\rho_L(1)$ in the sense of (2.12);

such that, if ξ_L is the semistable L -bundle associated to the pair (ρ_L, X) , then $\xi \cong \xi_L \times_L G$. Two triples (L, ρ_L, X) and $(L', \rho_{L'}, X')$ with the above properties lead to isomorphic G -bundles if and only if there is an element $g \in G$ which conjugates L to L' , ρ_L to $\rho_{L'}$ (viewing these as homomorphisms to L and L' respectively), and X to X' . \square

4.2. Deformations of semistable bundles.

Let ξ be a semistable bundle. Methods very similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 show the following:

Theorem 4.6. *Let ξ be a semistable bundle corresponding to the representation ρ and the nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(E; \text{ad } \xi) &\cong \text{Ker}\{\text{ad } X : \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)\}; \\ H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi) &\cong \text{Coker}\{\text{ad } X : \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the first isomorphism is just a less precise version of Theorem 4.1(i). The second isomorphism, in case $X = 0$, was given in Lemma 3.4(ii). As in the remarks before the statement of Lemma 3.4, if we begin with normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}X)\}$ for ξ , given a $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, we can form a new bundle with (not necessarily normalized) transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}(X + Y))\}$. By the proof of Lemma 3.4(ii), the Kodaira-Spencer class of this family in the tangent direction corresponding to Y is $\{f_{ij}Y\}$, viewed as a section of $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$. The Kodaira-Spencer map then defines a homomorphism $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$, and under the identification of Theorem 4.6 this becomes the obvious surjection $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)/\text{Im ad } X$. In particular, a complement to the image of $\text{ad } X$ in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ defines a locally semiuniversal deformation of ξ by the above construction.

5. Local and global properties of the moduli space.

5.1. The moduli space as a complex variety.

We begin by recalling the general properties of the moduli space of semistable G -bundles in the case of a smooth curve C of arbitrary genus. We have the following theorem [28]:

Theorem 5.1. *For each reductive group G and each smooth projective curve C , there exists a coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$ of S -equivalence classes of semistable principal G -bundles over C . If G is simply connected, $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$ is irreducible. In general, the connected components of $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the topological types of G -bundles over C , in other words, with $\pi_1(G)$. The components of $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$ are normal projective varieties. \square*

Given an element $c \in \pi_1(G)$, we let $\mathcal{M}_C(G, c)$ be the corresponding component of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C(G)$. Of course, if G is simply connected, then necessarily $\mathcal{M}_C(G, c) = \mathcal{M}_C(G)$.

Strictly speaking, Theorem 5.1 is proved in [28] in case C has genus at least 2, but the proof applies in the special cases $g = 0, 1$ with minor modifications. The analogue of Theorem 5.1 for the open subset of stable bundles is proved in [27]. Faltings [9] constructed a compactification of this space where the boundary points were S -equivalence classes of strictly semistable bundles. This result also does not apply in case $C = E$ has genus one and G is simply connected, since there are no properly stable G -bundles over an elliptic curve. However, the method of [9] suffices to handle this case as well. See also LePotier [23].

From now on, we restrict to the case $C = E$, and abbreviate $\mathcal{M}_E(G)$ by $\mathcal{M}(G)$, and similarly for $\mathcal{M}_E(G, c)$.

5.2. The local structure of the moduli space.

Theorem 5.2. *Let ξ_0 be a Yang-Mills bundle, corresponding to the representation ρ . Let $[\xi_0]$ be the corresponding S -equivalence class, viewed as a point of the coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$. Then there is a neighborhood of $[\xi_0]$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ which is biholomorphic to a neighborhood of the origin in the GIT quotient $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G(\rho)$, where $Z_G(\rho)$ acts on $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ via the adjoint action. Finally, the universal bundle Ξ_0 over $E \times \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ constructed in Lemma 3.4 defines a $Z_G(\rho)$ -invariant map from a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G(\rho)$ to $\mathcal{M}(G)$ which induces the above isomorphism.*

Proof. The proof will, for the most part, consist in recalling various standard results. First, the construction of [28] realizes $\mathcal{M}(G)$ as the GIT quotient of a smooth quasiprojective variety R by a reductive group $G = GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ for some N . Furthermore, if $x \in R$ is some point lying over $[\xi_0] \in \mathcal{M}(G) = R//G$, then the normal space N to the orbit $G \cdot x$ in R is identified with the Zariski tangent space to the deformation space of ξ_0 , and this identification is equivariant with respect to the action of the isotropy group $G_x = \text{Aut } \xi_0$. Now the assumption that ξ_0 is a Yang-Mills bundle means, by (ii) of Theorem 3.1, that $G \cdot x$ is a closed orbit. A standard application of Luna's étale slice theorem implies that there is an étale neighborhood of $[\xi_0]$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ which is isomorphic to an étale neighborhood of the origin in the GIT quotient $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0)//\text{Aut } \xi_0$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.4

that $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) \cong \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, and it is easy to see that this isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the identification of $\text{Aut } \xi_0$ with $Z_G(\rho)$ given in Theorem 4.1 (for the case $X = 0$). Thus we have identified $\mathcal{M}(G)$, locally at $[\xi_0]$, with $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) // Z_G(\rho)$. The final statement follows by Lemma 3.4(ii). \square

To further analyze the local structure of the moduli space, we introduce some notation. Let $Z_G^0(\rho)$ be the identity component of $Z_G(\rho)$, and let $I = \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ be the (finite) component group of $Z_G(\rho)$. Let $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $Z_G^0(\rho)$. The adjoint quotient $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) // Z_G^0(\rho)$ can be identified with the finite quotient $\mathfrak{h}(\rho) / W(\rho)$, which is an affine space. Furthermore, there is an action of I on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ for which the quotient $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) // Z_G(\rho)$ is identified with $(\mathfrak{h}(\rho) / W(\rho)) / I$. Thus we see:

Corollary 5.3. *In the above notation, a neighborhood of $[\xi_0] \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is biholomorphic to a neighborhood of the origin in $(\mathfrak{h}(\rho) / W(\rho)) / I$. \square*

To be able to describe this action explicitly, we shall use the following result about adjoint quotients:

Lemma 5.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra and let I be a finite group of outer automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} .*

- (i) *There exists a realization of I as a group of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} , and a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} invariant under I . Thus, if W is the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} , then the group $W \rtimes I$ acts on \mathfrak{h} .*
- (ii) *The quotient \mathfrak{h} / W is an affine space on which I acts. Moreover, the action of I on \mathfrak{h} / W may be linearized in such a way that the corresponding representation of I on \mathfrak{h} / W is isomorphic to the representation of I on \mathfrak{h} .*

Proof. The proof of (i) is standard; see for example [7], Chap. 7. The first statement of (ii) is also standard; see for example [7], Chap. 5. To see the final statement, first consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$ of Weyl invariant polynomials on \mathfrak{h} . This is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra, on which I acts, and the action of I preserves the grading. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W = \mathbb{C}[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ is a polynomial algebra, where the p_i are W -invariant polynomials of degree d_i . But now an elementary argument using the complete reducibility of the action of I on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$ shows that there exist polynomials q_1, \dots, q_r , with $\deg q_i = \deg p_i$, such that I acts linearly on the span on the q_i and for which $\mathbb{C}[q_1, \dots, q_r] = \mathbb{C}[p_1, \dots, p_r]$. In particular, this shows that the action of I on \mathfrak{h} / W can be linearized.

By the proof of Théorème 3.4 in [5] (see also [7], Chap. 9, Corollaire on p. 48), there exists a regular element x in \mathfrak{h}^I , the fixed set of the action of I on \mathfrak{h} . By definition, the differential of the quotient map $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / W$ is then an I -equivariant isomorphism at x . But the differential of the action of I , acting on the tangent space of \mathfrak{h} at x , gives a representation of I which is isomorphic to the representation of I acting on \mathfrak{h} . A similar statement holds for the differential of the action of I on the tangent space to \mathfrak{h} / W at the image of x . Since these actions are identified, we see that representation of I on \mathfrak{h} / W is isomorphic to the representation of I on \mathfrak{h} . \square

Applying the lemma above to the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and using Corollary 5.3, we have:

Corollary 5.5. *With notation as in Corollary 5.3, a neighborhood of $[\xi_0] \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is biholomorphic to a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathfrak{h}(\rho) / I$. \square*

5.3. *The component group and its action on a Cartan subalgebra.*

Thanks to Corollary 5.5, to describe the local structure of the moduli space at a point $[\xi_0]$, it will suffice to understand the component group $I = \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ and its action on a Cartan subalgebra. Here we shall just recall the results of [6]. We shall only consider the case where G is simple of rank r . Let us introduce some basic notation. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are the simple roots (with respect to some ordering) of G , and let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the highest root. Write the coroot $\tilde{\alpha}^\vee$ dual to $\tilde{\alpha}$ as a positive linear combination of the simple coroots:

$$\tilde{\alpha}^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i \alpha_i^\vee.$$

Thus the g_i are positive integers. By convention we set $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$ and $g_0 = 1$.

Next assume that G is in addition simply connected. We then have the following, which is proved in [6]:

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that G is simply connected. For a given Yang-Mills bundle, let ρ denote the corresponding representation.*

- (i) *A Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .*
- (ii) *The group $I = \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ is cyclic, and its order divides g_i for some i .*
- (iii) *The locus of points $[\xi_0]$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ such that I is cyclic of order d is a locally closed, irreducible analytic subvariety of $\mathcal{M}(G)$, and its complex dimension is equal to one less than the number of i such that $d|g_i$.*
- (iv) *If I has order d , then the action of a generator of I on \mathfrak{h} has eigenvalues $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g_i/d)$ for each i , $0 \leq i \leq r$, such that d does not divide g_i . The multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is one less than the number of i such that $d|g_i$. \square*

Direct inspection of the numbers g_i shows that, for $d > 1$, the isotropy for the action of I on \mathfrak{h} has codimension at least two, and hence the locus where I has order d for some $d > 1$ is the singular locus of $\mathcal{M}(G)$.

The corresponding results in case G is not simply connected are analogous, although a little more difficult to state. For the moment, let us just assume that G is semisimple. Let \tilde{G} be the universal cover of G . Let $F \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\tilde{G}$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$, where $\mathcal{Z}\tilde{G}$ is the center of \tilde{G} . Then from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

there is a first Chern class map which associates to every G -bundle ξ an element $c \in H^2(E; F) \cong F$. Moreover, every G -bundle with first Chern class c lifts to a bundle over the group $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$. Finally, the moduli space of semistable G -bundles which lift to a $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$ -bundle is a quotient of the moduli space of semistable $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$ -bundles, which do not lift to any further cover of $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$, by the finite abelian group $F/\langle c \rangle$. Thus, we shall assume that G is of the form $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$, where \tilde{G} is the universal cover of G , and shall only consider bundles ξ which do not lift to any quotient of \tilde{G} by a proper subgroup of $\langle c \rangle$, or equivalently such that the first Chern class of ξ is a generator for $\langle c \rangle$. The moduli space in the general case will then be a quotient of such a moduli space by the action of the finite abelian group $F/\langle c \rangle$.

In terms of representations, since G is semisimple, a semistable bundle ξ is S -equivalent to a flat K -bundle ξ_0 , in other words to a homomorphism $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$,

well-defined up to conjugation. To say that ξ , or equivalently ξ_0 , does not lift to a cover of G , in the case where $G = \tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$, says that the images of a pair of generators of $\pi_1(E)$ lift to elements $x, y \in \tilde{K}$, the universal cover of K , such that $[x, y] = c'$ for some generator c' of $\langle c \rangle$. The condition that the first Chern class of the bundle ξ_0 is c easily implies that $c = c'$. Thus, we make the following definition:

Definition 5.7. Let \tilde{K} be a compact, simply connected group and let c be an element of the center of \tilde{K} . A c -pair $(x, y) \in \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K}$ is a pair (x, y) such that $[x, y] = c$.

We begin by showing that the classification of flat representations ρ and their centralizers in K is essentially the same as the classification of c -pairs (x, y) and their centralizers in \tilde{K} .

Lemma 5.8. *Let \tilde{K} be a compact, simply connected group and let c be an element of the center of \tilde{K} . Let $K = \tilde{K}/\langle c \rangle$.*

- (i) *Suppose that $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$ and $\rho': \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$ are two homomorphisms, and that (x, y) and (x', y') are two c -pairs in \tilde{K} lifting the images of a pair of generators of $\pi_1(E)$ under ρ, ρ' respectively. Then ρ and ρ' are conjugate if and only if the pairs (x, y) and (x', y') are conjugate by an element of \tilde{K} .*
- (ii) *If $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$ is a homomorphism, and (x, y) is a c -pair in \tilde{K} lifting ρ as above, then there is an exact sequence*

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \langle c \rangle \rightarrow Z_{\tilde{K}}(x, y) \rightarrow Z_K(\rho) \rightarrow \langle c \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$\langle c \rangle \rightarrow \pi_0(Z_{\tilde{K}}(x, y)) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z_K(\rho)) \rightarrow \langle c \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

Similar statements hold when we replace K and \tilde{K} by G and \tilde{G} .

- (iii) *Suppose that $\tilde{K} \cong \prod_{i=1}^k K_i$ is a product of simple and simply connected groups and that, under this isomorphism, $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k)$. Then the moduli space of c -pairs in \tilde{K} modulo conjugation is isomorphic to the product of the moduli spaces of c_i -pairs in the groups K_i , modulo conjugation in K_i .*

Proof. To see (i), note that the statement that ρ and ρ' are conjugate means that we can conjugate (x, y) to $(c^a x', c^b y')$ for some choice of a, b . Since (x', y') is a c -pair, it is easy to check that the element $(x')^{-b}(y')^a$ further conjugates $(c^a x', c^b y')$ to (x', y') . Conversely, if (x, y) and (x', y') are conjugate, then trivially ρ and ρ' are conjugate.

To see (ii), let \bar{x} and \bar{y} be the images of x, y in K . An element \bar{g} of K lies in $Z_K(\rho)$ if and only if $[\bar{g}, \bar{x}] = 1 = [\bar{g}, \bar{y}]$. Lift \bar{g} to an element g of \tilde{K} . Then $[g, x] = c^a$ and $[g, y] = c^b$, where the elements c^a, c^b are independent of the choice of lift of \bar{g} . This gives a well-defined homomorphism $\phi: Z_K(\rho) \rightarrow \langle c \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$. An argument using powers $\bar{x}^{-b}\bar{y}^a$ as in the proof of (i) shows that ϕ is surjective. Its kernel is the set of elements \bar{g} which lift to an element of $Z_{\tilde{K}}(x, y)$, yielding the first exact sequence in (ii). From this, the exactness of the second follows. Finally, (iii) is clear. \square

In the second exact sequence in (ii), the element c may or may not be in the identity component of $Z_{\tilde{K}}(x, y)$, and hence the map $\pi_0(Z_{\tilde{K}}(x, y)) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z_K(\rho))$ may or may not be injective. However, one can show that the answer only depends

on \tilde{K} and c , not on x and y . In fact, in the notation introduced below, the answer only depends on whether c lies in the identity component of T^{w_c} .

We return to the problem of describing the GIT quotient $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G(\rho)$, or equivalently $(\mathfrak{h}(\rho)/W(\rho))/I$, in the non-simply connected case, and shall assume that G is in fact simple. Note that the center of \tilde{G} acts trivially on $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ under the adjoint representation, as do the elements x and y . Hence

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G(\rho) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_{\tilde{G}}(x, y).$$

To analyze the action of I , we introduce some more notation. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and a Weyl chamber in \mathfrak{h} . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the corresponding set of simple roots. There is a well-defined homomorphism from the center of \tilde{K} , or equivalently the center of \tilde{G} , to the Weyl group W [6]. We denote the image of c under this homomorphism by w_c . If \tilde{K} is simple, the Weyl element w_c permutes the set $\tilde{\Delta} = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, where as usual $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$. Let T^{w_c} be the fixed subgroup of T under the action of w_c . Thus T^{w_c} is an extension of a finite group by a torus. We define H^{w_c} and \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} similarly.

The action of w_c on $\tilde{\Delta}$ divides $\tilde{\Delta}$ into orbits \mathfrak{o} . The number g_i is independent of the choice of $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{o}$, and depends only on \mathfrak{o} . We write this number as $g_{\mathfrak{o}}$. For each orbit \mathfrak{o} , let $n_{\mathfrak{o}}$ be the number of elements of \mathfrak{o} . Finally, let d_0 be the gcd of the numbers $n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$. We then have the following generalization of Theorem 5.6, which is proved in [6]:

Theorem 5.9. *Suppose that G is simple. For a given Yang-Mills bundle, let ρ denote the corresponding representation.*

- (i) *There exists a Cartan subalgebra for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ of the form \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} , where \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .*
- (ii) *The group $\tilde{I} = \pi_0(Z_{\tilde{G}}(x, y))$ is cyclic, and its order divides $n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$ for some \mathfrak{o} . Moreover, d_0 divides the order of \tilde{I} for every ρ .*
- (iii) *Suppose that $d_0|d$ and that d divides $n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$ for some \mathfrak{o} . The locus of points $[\xi_0]$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ such that \tilde{I} is cyclic of order d is a locally closed, irreducible analytic subvariety of $\mathcal{M}(G)$, and its complex dimension is equal to one less than the number of \mathfrak{o} such that $d|n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$.*
- (iv) *If \tilde{I} has order d , then the action of a generator of \tilde{I} on \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} has eigenvalues $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}})/d)$ for each orbit \mathfrak{o} such that d does not divide $n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$. The multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is one less than the number of \mathfrak{o} such that $d|n_{\mathfrak{o}}g_{\mathfrak{o}}$. \square*

Unlike the simply connected case, it is possible for \tilde{I} to be nontrivial for every ρ . This happens exactly when $d_0 > 1$. Moreover, the action of \tilde{I} on \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} is not faithful in this case. Another difference between the simply connected and the non-simply connected case is that it is possible for there to be isotropy in codimension one. Thus the locus where \tilde{I} has more than d_0 elements need not be equal to the singular locus of $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$.

5.4. The global structure of the moduli space for a semisimple group.

We begin with the case where G is semisimple and simply connected. Of course, the same will be true for the maximal compact subgroup K . In this case, the moduli space is described as follows:

Theorem 5.10. *Let K be a compact, simply connected group and let $T \subset K$ be a maximal torus. Let $W = W(T, K)$ be the Weyl group of the pair (K, T) . Then the moduli space of isomorphism classes of representations $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow K$ is isomorphic to $(T \times T)/W$ where the action of W is the diagonal action.*

Proof. Choosing an isomorphism $\pi_1(E) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, let $x, y \in K$ be the images under ρ of the two generators. Clearly, ρ is specified by x and y and the isomorphism class of ρ is determined by the pair (x, y) modulo simultaneous conjugation by K . Furthermore x and y commute. We then have the following, which is a theorem of Borel [5] or [9, p. 48, Cor. 1(b)]:

Lemma 5.11. *Let K be a compact, simply connected Lie group, and let x and y be two commuting elements of K . Then there exists a maximal torus T in K with $x, y \in T$.*

Proof. Given $y \in K$, let T_1 be a maximal torus in K containing y and let $K(y)$ be the centralizer of y in K . Then by [5] or [9, p. 48, Théorème 1 (c)], $K(y)$ is connected. Since $y \in T_1$, $T_1 \subseteq K(y)$ is clearly a maximal torus of $K(y)$. It follows that every maximal torus for $K(y)$ is also a maximal torus for K . Since $x \in K(y)$, there is a maximal torus T of $K(y)$ containing x . Since y is in the center of $K(y)$, y is contained in every maximal torus of $K(y)$ and in particular in T . Thus T is a maximal torus of K which contains both x and y . \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 5.10, after conjugation we may assume that x and y lie in a fixed maximal torus T of K , and must consider the equivalence relation induced by conjugation by elements of K on $T \times T$. But an elementary argument [7, Chap. 9, Corollaire 7 on p. 10] shows that, if two ordered pairs (x, y) and (x', y') in $T \times T$ are conjugate by an element of K , then they are conjugate by an element of the Weyl group W of K with respect to T . Thus the moduli space of all commuting pairs up to conjugation is identified with $(T \times T)/W$. \square

There is another way to formulate Theorem 5.10. Writing T invariantly as $U(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$, where Λ is the coroot lattice of G , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(E), T) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(E), U(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda.$$

But $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(E), U(1))$ is the space of flat connections on E and so is canonically $\mathrm{Pic}^0 E$, which we can identify with E by the choice of the base point p_0 . Under this identification, given $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow T$, a character $\alpha: T \rightarrow U(1)$ defines a homomorphism $\chi: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow U(1)$, namely $\alpha \circ \rho$. The flat $U(1)$ -bundle corresponding to χ then determines a complex line bundle λ_χ of degree zero, which is an element of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(E) \cong E$. Thus, given ρ , each $\alpha: T \rightarrow U(1)$ determines a point $e_{\alpha(\rho)} \in E$. Of course, α also induces a map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly the element of E obtained by identifying ρ with a point of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ and evaluating the map $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow E$ defined by α at the point ρ is just $e_{\alpha(\rho)}$. Note that $\alpha(\rho) = 1$ is the trivial character, if and only if $\lambda_{\alpha(\rho)} = \mathcal{O}_E$, if and only if $e_{\alpha(\rho)} = 0$.

Clearly, the natural action of the Weyl group on $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(E), T)$ goes over the natural action of W on Λ in the tensor product $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. Thus, we see:

Corollary 5.12. *Let E be an elliptic curve and let K be a compact simply connected group with complexification G . Let Λ denote the coroot lattice for K , i.e., the fundamental group of a maximal torus of K . Then the moduli space of S -equivalence classes of semistable G -bundles is identified with the moduli space of*

flat K -connections on E which in turn is identified with $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$, where the action of W on $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is via the natural action of W on Λ . Thus it is a normal complex projective variety of complex dimension equal to the rank of G . \square

A different proof of Corollary 5.12 has been given by Laszlo [22]. We shall compare the complex structure of $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$ with that of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ in §5.6 below.

We turn now to the non-simply connected case, i.e. to the description of the moduli space of flat K -bundles corresponding to first Chern class c . By (i) of Lemma 5.8, this is the same as the moduli space of c -pairs (x, y) up to conjugation. In order to describe this moduli space, we first introduce some notation. Fix a set of simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ for \tilde{K} . Then there is the element w_c of W defined above. As before, let T^{w_c} be the fixed set of w_c acting on T . Let T_{w_c} be the group of coinvariants of T under the action of w_c : $T_{w_c} = T/(\text{Id} - w_c)T$. Thus T_{w_c} is a torus, and in particular it is connected.

Fix an element $x_0 \in T$ and a representative v for w_c such that $[x_0, v] = c$; it is not difficult, using the construction of w_c , to see that such x_0 exist. Then there is a map from $T^{w_c} \times T$ to the space of c -pairs defined by

$$(t, s) \mapsto (x, y) = (tx_0, sv).$$

An easy calculation shows that the assumptions $s \in T^{w_c}$, $t \in T$ imply that the (x, y) above is a c -pair. Here the projection of the image to the first factor is clearly the set of $r \in T$ such that $w_c(r) = r + c$ (writing the group law on T additively). We write this set as T^c . Conjugation of (x, y) by $u \in T$ corresponds to replacing (t, s) by $(t, s + u - w_c(u))$, if we write the group law on T additively. Thus the map from $T^{w_c} \times T$ to the space of c -pairs defined above factors through the quotient map $T^{w_c} \times T \rightarrow T^{w_c} \times T_{w_c}$.

We then have the following, whose proof is due to Schweigert [29] and is also given in [6]:

Theorem 5.13. *Let $Z_W(w_c)$ be the centralizer of w_c in the Weyl group W . Then the moduli space of c -pairs in \tilde{K} modulo conjugation is equal to $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$. Here the action of $Z_W(w_c)$ on the first factor T^c is by an affine action, and it is the natural linear action on the second factor. \square*

Corollary 5.14. *The moduli space of c -pairs has real dimension equal to twice the dimension of T^{w_c} , or equivalently twice the dimension of the vector space \mathfrak{t}^{w_c} . \square*

Since T^c need not be connected, it is not at all apparent from Theorem 5.13 that the moduli space is connected. In fact, there are other descriptions of the moduli space. One can show that the quotient $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$ is identified with the quotient $(T_{w_c} \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$, where the group $Z_W(w_c)$ does not act faithfully, but acts via group homomorphisms on T_{w_c} , see [29] and [6]. If $\Lambda_{w_c} = \pi_1(T_{w_c})$, then we can identify this quotient with $(E \otimes \Lambda_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$. Direct computation for the classical groups identifies Λ_{w_c} with the coroot lattice of a simply connected group and the action of $Z_W(w_c)$ with the action of the corresponding Weyl group, and a similar statement holds for the exceptional groups as well. The correspondence, which is worked out in [29], is as follows:

- (1) $\tilde{G} = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and c of order f dividing $n + 1$: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $SL_{(n+1)/f}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles.

- (2) $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n + 1)$ and c of order 2: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Sp(2n - 2)$ -bundles.
- (3) $\tilde{G} = Sp(2n)$, n even and c of order 2: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Sp(n)$ -bundles (which has dimension $n/2$).
- (4) $\tilde{G} = Sp(2n)$, n odd and c of order 2: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Sp(n - 1)$ -bundles (which has dimension $(n - 1)/2$).
- (5) $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n)$, c the element of order 2 such that the quotient $Spin(2n)/\langle c \rangle$ is $SO(2n)$: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Sp(2n - 4)$ -bundles.
- (6) $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n)$, n odd and c of order 4: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Sp(n - 3)$ -bundles.
- (7) $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n)$, n even and c one of the exotic elements of order 2: the moduli space of G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of $Spin(n + 1)$ -bundles.
- (8) $\tilde{G} = E_6$ and c of order 3: the moduli space G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of G_2 -bundles.
- (9) $\tilde{G} = E_7$ and c of order 2: the moduli space G -bundles is the same as the moduli space of F_4 -bundles.

In spite of the fact that the moduli spaces are the same as the moduli spaces of the corresponding simply connected groups, they can be given by different weighted projective spaces, as we shall see below and in Part II. This difference is reflected in the structure of the component groups of the automorphism groups of the corresponding flat bundles.

5.5. The adjoint bundle.

We begin with the case of a simply connected group G , and identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$.

Definition 5.15. For a representation $\rho: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow T$, define

$$R(\rho) = \{ \alpha \in R : \alpha(\rho) = 1 \}.$$

We then clearly have the following:

Lemma 5.16. *Let ρ be a representation from $\pi_1(E)$ to T .*

- (i) *If \mathfrak{g}^α is the root space corresponding to the root α , then*

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R(\rho)} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a reductive Lie algebra of rank r .

- (ii) *If ξ_0 is the bundle corresponding to ρ , then*

$$\text{ad } \xi_0 \cong (\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_E) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \lambda_{\alpha(\rho)} \cong \mathcal{O}_E^r \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \lambda_{\alpha(\rho)}.$$

Hence $h^0(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) \geq r$, and $h^0(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) = r$ if and only if, for every $\alpha \in R$, $\alpha(\rho) \neq 1$. \square

Thus there is an open and Zariski dense subset of flat bundles ξ_0 for which $h^0(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) = r$, corresponding to the ρ such that $e_{\alpha(\rho)} \neq 0$ for every root α .

Next we turn to a description of the adjoint bundle in the more general case of c -pairs, corresponding to the case where the group K is not necessarily simply connected. For a flat K -bundle with holonomy ρ corresponding to the c -pair (x, y) , let ξ be the corresponding G -bundle. Then $\text{ad } \xi$ is the flat vector bundle with fiber \mathfrak{g} associated to the holonomy action of ρ on \mathfrak{g} . We suppose that x and y are chosen so that $x \in T^{w_c}x_0$ and $y \in N_G(T)$ corresponds to the Weyl element w_c . As usual, let \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebra of the Cartan subgroup of G and let \mathfrak{g}^α be the root space corresponding to the root α . We can decompose the set of roots R into orbits \mathfrak{o} for the action of w_c . Since $w_c(x) = xc$, the value of a root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ on x is independent of the choice of α . Fix the basis e_1, e_2 of $\pi_1(E)$ such that $\rho(e_1) = \bar{x}$ and $\rho(e_2) = \bar{y}$. Let $L_{x, \mathfrak{o}}$ be the flat complex line bundle over E with holonomy given as follows: e_1 acts as $\alpha(x)$ for any choice of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ and e_2 acts trivially. Define the flat vector bundle $V_{y, \mathfrak{o}}$ as follows: the fiber of $V_{y, \mathfrak{o}}$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$. The holonomy is as follows: e_1 acts trivially, and e_2 acts in the natural way, via $\text{Ad}(y)$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{o} = \{\alpha, w_c(\alpha), \dots, w_c^{d-1}(\alpha)\}$, then there are generators X_i of $\mathfrak{g}^{w_c^i(\alpha)}$ such that $\text{Ad}(y)X_i = X_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$, and $\text{Ad}(y)X_{d-1} = c_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)X_0$ for some $c_{\mathfrak{o}}(y) \in U(1)$, which in fact only depends on \mathfrak{o} and not on α . Note that $V_{y, \mathfrak{o}} \otimes L_{x, \mathfrak{o}} = V_{\mathfrak{o}}$ is the flat vector bundle associated to the action of $\pi_1(E)$ on $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$. Finally, let V_0 be the corresponding flat vector bundle with fiber \mathfrak{h} : here x acts trivially, and y acts according to the representation of w_c on \mathfrak{h} . In particular, as holomorphic vector bundles, we have

$$V_0 \cong (\mathfrak{h}^{w_c} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E) \oplus V'_0,$$

where V'_0 is a direct sum of nontrivial torsion line bundles on E and depends only on w_c , not on x and y .

Define $\mathbf{O}(\rho)$ to be the set of w_c -orbits \mathfrak{o} for the action of w_c on R , such that, for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$, $\alpha(x) = 1$ and such that, in the above notation, $c_{\mathfrak{o}}(y) = 1$. For each $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathbf{O}(\rho)$, choose an $\alpha \in \rho$ and a nonzero element

$$X_{\mathfrak{o}} = X_0 + \dots + \text{Ad}(y^{d-1})X_0 \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{g}^{w_c^i(\alpha)},$$

where d is the number of elements of \mathfrak{o} .

We then have:

Lemma 5.17. *In the above notation, we have*

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) = \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{o} \in \mathbf{O}(\rho)} \mathbb{C} \cdot X_{\mathfrak{o}}.$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a reductive Lie algebra of rank $r_c = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}^{w_c}$ and \mathfrak{h}^{w_c} is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Finally,

$$\text{ad } \xi \cong (\mathfrak{h}^{w_c} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E) \oplus V'_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{o}} (V_{y, \mathfrak{o}} \otimes L_{x, \mathfrak{o}}).$$

Proof. All of these statements follow from the definition, except the fact that $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is reductive with rank r_c . For the proof of this fact, we refer to [6]. \square

Corollary 5.18. *Suppose that x is regular, in other words, that no root annihilates x . Then $H^0(E; \text{ad } \xi) \cong \mathfrak{h}^{w_c}$. Thus the connected component of $\text{Aut } \xi$ is an algebraic torus of dimension $r_c = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}^{w_c}$. \square*

Of course, it is possible for the conclusions of Corollary 5.18 to hold without x being a regular element.

5.6. Comparison of complex structures.

In this subsection, we relate the global description of the moduli space given by Lie theory to its complex-analytic structure. For example, in the simply connected case, we have identified the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$ as a set with the normal complex variety $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$. Our goal now is to show that they are isomorphic as complex varieties:

Theorem 5.19. *Suppose that G is simply connected, and let Λ be the coroot lattice of G . Then, as normal projective varieties, $\mathcal{M}(G) \cong (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$. More precisely, there is a holomorphic map $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ and it is an isomorphism of normal projective varieties. More generally, suppose that G is semisimple and let c be an element of the center of the universal cover \tilde{G} of G , such that $G = \tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$. Then, in the notation of Theorem 5.13, there exists a complex structure on $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$ for which it is an irreducible, normal projective variety, and a holomorphic isomorphism from $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$ to $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$.*

Proof. We begin with the simply connected version. The space $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$ has a holomorphic structure, coming from the holomorphic structure on $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \cong E^r$. Indeed, $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$ is a normal projective variety. Let us show that this holomorphic structure agrees with that of every coarse moduli space of semistable G -bundles modulo S -equivalence. First we claim that there is a universal tautological holomorphic bundle over $E \times (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)$:

Lemma 5.20. *There is a universal holomorphic H -bundle Ξ_H over $E \times (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)$, such that the restriction of Ξ_H to the slice $E \times \{p\}$ is induced from the flat T -bundle corresponding to the point $p \in E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$.*

Proof. One way to describe Ξ_H is as follows: fix a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ of simple roots, and use the corresponding basis $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \dots, \alpha_r^{\vee}\}$ of coroots to identify Λ with \mathbb{Z}^r . Let \mathcal{P}_i be the Poincaré bundle over $E \times E$, pulled back to $E \times (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)$ via the projection $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \cong E^r \rightarrow E$ defined by the basis $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \dots, \alpha_r^{\vee}\}$ of Λ . We then define Ξ_H as an H -bundle by the ordered r -tuple $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r)$. It is routine to check that Ξ_H has the desired property. \square

Another way to think of the bundle Ξ_H defined above is as follows: there is a universal holomorphic $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ -bundle over $E \times E^r$, defined by taking r copies of the Poincaré bundle. The identification of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ with H given by the coroot basis $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \dots, \alpha_r^{\vee}\}$ defines a homomorphism $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r \rightarrow G$ of algebraic groups with image H , and thus a universal H -bundle.

The universal bundle Ξ_H above defines a holomorphic, W -invariant morphism $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$. By Theorem 5.10 and Corollary 5.12, the morphism $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ is a bijection on the set of points. It follows from Zariski's main theorem that the map $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ is an isomorphism.

The non-simply connected case is similar, but slightly more involved. First let us define a natural complex structure on $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$, depending of course on

the complex structure on E . To do so, let $T_0 = (T^{w_c})^0$ be the identity component of T^{w_c} . There is the natural quotient homomorphism $T_0 \rightarrow T_{w_c}$, and it is a surjection. Thus there is a finite surjective homomorphism of real tori from $T_0 \times T_0$ to $T_0 \times T_{w_c}$. If $\Lambda_0 = \pi_1(T_0)$, then the identification $T_0 \cong \Lambda_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} U(1)$ and the isomorphism

$$T_0 \times T_0 \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(E), T_0) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(E), U(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0 \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0$$

show that $T_0 \times T_0$ is naturally the abelian variety $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0$. Since $T_0 \times T_{w_c}$ is the quotient of $T_0 \times T_0$ by a finite subgroup, it is also an abelian variety. The identity component of $T^{w_c} \times T_{w_c}$ has the structure of an abelian variety, and thus $T^{w_c} \times T_{w_c}$ is a finite union of abelian varieties. Finally, $T^c \times T_{w_c}$ is isomorphic to $T^{w_c} \times T_{w_c}$ and so inherits a complex structure via this isomorphism. We claim that the action of $Z_W(w_c)$ defined above, which is by affine maps of the real torus to itself, is holomorphic with respect to this complex structure. It is enough to check the differential of the action, which corresponds to the linear action of $Z_W(w_c)$ on $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0$ via its action on Λ_0 . Thus $Z_W(w_c)$ acts holomorphically, and the quotient variety $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c)$ is an irreducible normal projective variety.

Next we have the following analogue of Lemma 5.20, which constructs a universal holomorphic G -bundle parametrized by $T^c \times T_{w_c}$:

Lemma 5.21. *There exists a universal holomorphic G -bundle Ξ_1 over the space $E \times (T^c \times T_{w_c})$, and hence the induced map*

$$(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G, c)$$

is an isomorphism of complex spaces.

Proof. We begin by describing a universal holomorphic bundle on the connected set $(T_0 \cdot x_0) \times (T_0 \cdot v)$. Recall that we chose a fixed c -pair (x_0, v) in the discussion prior to the proof of Theorem 5.13, and then were able to write an arbitrary c -pair, up to conjugation, as (tx_0, sv) , with $t \in T^{w_c}$ and $s \in T$. Let ξ_1 be the flat G -bundle corresponding to the choice of holonomy \bar{x}_0, \bar{v} , where \bar{x}_0, \bar{v} are the images of x_0, v in G . Thus the transition functions of ξ_1 live in the subgroup of G generated by \bar{x}_0 and \bar{v} . Let r_c be the rank of Λ_0 , or in other words the dimension of T^{w_c} . Let H_0 be the subtorus of H corresponding to T_0 , in other words the connected component of the identity of the fixed set of $v \in N_G(H)$ acting on H , and let \bar{H}_0 be its image in G . Choosing an integral basis for Λ_0 identifies H_0 with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_c}$ and $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0$ with E^{r_c} . For $i = 1, \dots, r_c$, let \mathcal{P}_i be the pullback to $E \times (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0)$ of the Poincaré bundle on the i^{th} factor and let \mathcal{P} be the H_0 -bundle corresponding to $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{r_c})$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.20, we can think of \mathcal{P} as corresponding to the image of the universal topologically trivial $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_c}$ -bundle via the inclusion $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r_c} \cong H_0 \subset G$. There is an induced \bar{H}_0 -bundle $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ over $E \times (E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_0)$.

The images \bar{x}_0, \bar{v} of x_0, v in G commute with \bar{H}_0 and with each other. Thus the structure group of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ centralizes the transition functions for $\pi_1^* \xi_1$. It follows that there is a holomorphic G -bundle Ξ_1 defined by taking the product of the transition functions, and it is easily seen to be a universal bundle in the appropriate sense. A similar construction handles the other components.

By the universal property of the moduli space, there is then an induced holomorphic map from $T^{w_c} \times T_0$ to $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ which is holomorphic. Clearly, it factors through the quotient map to $T^{w_c} \times T_{w_c}$ and through the action of $Z_W(w_c)$, since

these actions do not change the isomorphism class of a flat bundle. By Theorem 5.13, the induced morphism $(T^c \times T_{w_c})/Z_W(w_c) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is a holomorphic bijection between two normal varieties and hence it is an isomorphism. Thus we have proved Lemma 5.21 and with it Theorem 5.19. \square

Of course, the description of the moduli space above gives us another way to describe its singularities. Let us just work out the case where G is simply connected for simplicity. Let ρ correspond to a point of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. Then by [6], the isotropy group of ρ is a semidirect product $W(\rho) \rtimes I$. The local structure of the quotient is given by looking at the action of the isotropy group on the tangent space to $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$, which is canonically identified with \mathfrak{h} . Thus, as in Corollary 5.3, we see again that the moduli space is locally given as $(\mathfrak{h}/W(\rho))/I$.

5.7. Looijenga's theorem and its generalizations.

In the case where G is simply connected, we have described the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$ as the complex variety $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$. The precise nature of this variety has been determined in a theorem due to Looijenga [24] and Bernshtein-Shvartsman [4]:

Theorem 5.22. *Let E be an elliptic curve and let Λ be the coroot lattice of a simple root system R with Weyl group W . Then $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$ is the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(g_0, \dots, g_r)$, in other words it is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{r+1} - \{0\}$ by the diagonal action of \mathbb{C}^* given by*

$$\lambda \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_r) = (\lambda^{g_0} z_0, \dots, \lambda^{g_r} z_r). \quad \square$$

The proof of [24] and [4] makes use of formal theta functions for a complexified affine Weyl group. We shall give a different proof of Theorem 5.22 in Part II, based on a study of the deformations of certain unstable bundles over special maximal parabolic subgroups of G . Note that the holomorphic structure of the moduli space is independent of the complex structure on E . Furthermore, the local information concerning the singular points of the moduli space follows immediately from Theorem 5.22.

In the non-simply connected case, we have the following generalization of Theorem 5.22, which will also be proved in Part II:

Theorem 5.23. *Let G be a simple group and let c be an element of the center of the universal cover \tilde{G} of G , such that $G = \tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is isomorphic to a weighted projective space with weights $n_{\mathfrak{o}} g_{\mathfrak{o}}$. \square*

Note that, as opposed to the simply connected case, the knowledge of the integers $n_{\mathfrak{o}} g_{\mathfrak{o}}$ is more information than the isomorphism class of the weighted projective space. For example, for the unliftable $SO(2n)$ -bundles, the integers $n_{\mathfrak{o}} g_{\mathfrak{o}}$ are all equal to 2, indicating that the weighted projective space is an ordinary projective space but that the isotropy group, which acts trivially on the Zariski tangent space $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$, has order 2. A more interesting example is given by the unliftable $SO(2n+1)$ -bundles or the unliftable $Sp(4n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ -bundles. In this case, the weighted projective space is a $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, \dots, 2)$, which is isomorphic as a projective variety to \mathbb{P}^n . However, the isotropy behaves quite differently. In these examples, as we have noted in the discussion after Theorem 5.13 and Corollary 5.14, we can identify the moduli space with $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Lambda})/\bar{W}$, where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is the coroot lattice for a

simply connected group of smaller rank and \bar{W} is the corresponding Weyl group. However, the weighted projective space for the smaller group (which is of type C_n) is a $\mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1)$.

5.8. *The case where G is not simple.*

We have given an explicit description of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$ in case G is simple and simply connected; it is a weighted projective space. In case G is simply connected but only semisimple, G is a product of simple and simply connected groups G_i , and the moduli space is a product of the corresponding moduli spaces. Of course, this is also clear from the description of the moduli space as $(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)/W$, which did not need G to be simple, since in this case $\Lambda = \bigoplus_i \Lambda_i$ and $W = \prod_i W_i$.

The next case to consider is the case where G is semisimple. As in the discussion prior to Definition 5.7, we begin by assuming that G is of the form $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$, where \tilde{G} is simply connected and c is an element of the center of \tilde{G} , and we want to describe the component $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is the same as the set of conjugacy classes of c -pairs in \tilde{K} . Suppose that $\tilde{K} = \prod_i K_i$, where the K_i are the simple factors of \tilde{K} , and that $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$, where c_i is an element of the center of K_i . Let \bar{G}_i be the complex form of the compact and simply connected group K_i and let $\bar{G}_i = G_i/\langle c_i \rangle$. By (iii) of Lemma 5.8, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is a product of the corresponding moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\bar{G}_i, c_i)$. By Theorem 5.23, each space $\mathcal{M}(\bar{G}_i, c_i)$ is a weighted projective space. Thus $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is a product of weighted projective spaces.

In the case where G is semisimple but not necessarily of the form $\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle$, the discussion prior to Definition 5.7 shows that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is a quotient of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{G}/\langle c \rangle, c)$ by a finite abelian group. Thus, $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ is a quotient of a product of weighted projective spaces by a finite abelian group. Of course, the action of this group can in principle be made explicit. Examples show that, even if G is simple, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ need not be a weighted projective space.

Next suppose that $G = \mathcal{C}$ is abelian, and is the complexification of the compact torus T . Let $\Lambda(T) = \pi_1(T) = \pi_1(\mathcal{C})$. After choosing an isomorphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, a holomorphic \mathcal{C} -bundle over E is the same thing as a collection of d line bundles over E . Thus there is a discrete invariant, the set of d degrees, corresponding to the choice of $c \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C})$. All components are isomorphic to the identity component, which is isomorphic to $(\text{Pic}^0 E)^d$. More invariantly, the identity component is given as $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. Of course, we can see this from the point of view of Yang-Mills connections as well. Since T is abelian, a homomorphism $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow T$ factors into a homomorphism $U(1) \times \pi_1(E) \rightarrow T$, or equivalently a pair of homomorphisms $\rho_1: U(1) \rightarrow T$ and $\rho_2: \pi_1(E) \rightarrow T$. The first homomorphism ρ_1 records the multidegree, and a fixed choice of a Yang-Mills connection for $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a fixed holomorphic \mathcal{C} -bundle of any given multidegree. As for ρ_2 , writing T invariantly as $U(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$, we have

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1(E), T) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(E), U(1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(\mathcal{C}).$$

Now $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(E), U(1))$ is the group of flat $U(1)$ -connections on E , and is thus identified with $\text{Pic}^0 E$. A choice of base point of E identifies $\text{Pic}^0 E$, as an algebraic group, with E . Thus, from the viewpoint of Yang-Mills connections, we have again identified the identity component of the moduli space with $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$.

Finally suppose that G is written as $\mathcal{C} \times_F D$, where \mathcal{C} is the identity component of the center of G , $D = DG$ is the derived group and is semisimple, and F is a finite central subgroup of D . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of \mathcal{C} . There is an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \bar{D} \rightarrow \{1\},$$

where $\bar{D} = D/F$. A G -bundle ξ then produces a \bar{D} -bundle $\bar{\xi}$, and ξ is semistable if and only if $\bar{\xi}$ is semistable. Moreover, since $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \cong (\mathcal{O}_E^*)^c$, $H^2(E; \underline{\mathcal{C}}) = 0$, and so every \bar{D} -bundle lifts to a G -bundle. The choice of lift is well-defined up to an element in $H^1(E; \underline{\mathcal{C}})$, which, as we have seen above, is a complex Lie group whose identity component is the complex torus $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. In general, the action of $H^1(E; \underline{\mathcal{C}})$ on the moduli space is not faithful, but has a finite kernel. To see this, we again look at the problem from the point of view of central representations of the group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose that ξ is S-equivalent to the Yang-Mills bundle with holonomy ρ , where $\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ is a central representation. Then $\bar{\xi}$ is S-equivalent to a flat DK/F -bundle, where DK is the derived group of K and is the maximal compact subgroup of D , corresponding to the homomorphism $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow DK/F$. The fiber of the natural map from the set of isomorphism classes of central representations $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow K$ to the set of isomorphism classes of representations $\pi_1(E) \rightarrow DK/F$ is acted on transitively by the group of homomorphisms $\chi: \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$, which is just $H^1(E; \underline{\mathcal{C}})$. To determine the kernel of this action, let $c = c_1(\bar{\xi}) \in H^2(E; F) \cong F$. Then the images under $\bar{\rho}$ of two generators for $\pi_1(E)$ lift to elements $x, y \in DK$ with $[x, y] = c$. Hence ρ itself lifts to a representation from $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \times_{\langle c \rangle} DK$, and ξ lifts to a $\mathcal{C} \times_{\langle c \rangle} D$ -bundle. As in the discussion of the semisimple case, we assume that $F = \langle c \rangle$, in other words that we have lifted ξ as far as possible, since the moduli space in the general case will be finitely covered by the moduli space of such bundles. It is then an easy exercise to check that $\rho\chi$ is conjugate to ρ if and only if $\text{Im } \chi \subseteq \langle c \rangle$. In this way, the moduli space for G -bundles becomes an étale fiber bundle over the moduli space for \bar{D} -bundles, where the fibers are finite quotients of copies of the complex torus $H^1(E; \underline{\mathcal{C}})$.

We can summarize the results above as follows:

Corollary 5.24. *Let G be a reductive group and let $c \in \pi_1(G)$. Then there is a finite cover of $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ which is an étale fiber bundle over a product of weighted projective spaces, and where the fiber is isogenous to a product of copies of E and in particular is a complex torus. \square*

6. Regular bundles.

Our goal now will be to describe the bundles whose automorphism groups have minimal possible dimension. We call such a bundle *regular*. It turns out that there is a unique regular bundle in each S-equivalence class. For a Zariski open and dense subset of the moduli space, the Yang-Mills representative is also regular, but they will be different at special points. It is natural to study regular bundles for many reasons. For example, in moduli questions, their deformation theory is much closer to reflecting the local structure of the moduli space than the Yang-Mills representative in general. Also, it is not possible to fit together the Yang-Mills bundles to form a universal bundle, even locally, but, as we shall see in Part II, local and in many cases global universal bundles can be constructed using the regular representatives. Finally, because they are more complicated, regular bundles are

interesting to study in their own right. To illustrate this point, we work out the automorphism groups of regular bundles in this section. In the final section, we shall determine the regular bundles for the classical groups.

6.1. Definition of a regular bundle.

We begin by recalling some standard facts about nilpotent elements in reductive Lie algebras [7, Chap. 8, VIII, §11] or [21]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semisimple Lie algebra of rank r and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\text{ad } X$ is nilpotent. Every such element can be completed to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, in other words, a representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on \mathfrak{g} for which X is the image of a nonzero nilpotent element. For such X , $\dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } X) \geq r$. If $\dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } X) = r$, then X is called a *principal* nilpotent element. All principal X are conjugate under G . If X is principal, as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{Sym}^{2d_i-2} V(2) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V(2d_i - 1),$$

where $V(2)$ denotes the standard representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , and, for an integer $k > 1$, $V(k) = \text{Sym}^{k-1} V(2)$ is the unique irreducible representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 of dimension k . Here r is the rank of \mathfrak{g} and the d_i are the Casimir weights; $d_i = m_i + 1$, where the m_i are the exponents of \mathfrak{g} . For an element X of \mathfrak{g} which is not principal, the corresponding representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on \mathfrak{g} breaks up as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V(k_i),$$

where $s \geq r + 2$ [20, Prop. 4.11]. Equivalently, if X is not principal, then

$$\dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } X) \geq r + 2.$$

If X is principal, the centralizer \mathfrak{a} of X in \mathfrak{g} is an abelian nilpotent Lie algebra. Conversely, if the centralizer of X is abelian, then X is principal. Clearly, all of the above extends to the case where \mathfrak{g} is only assumed to be reductive, and \mathfrak{c} is its center. In this case, we have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V(2d_i - 1),$$

where the d_i are the Casimir weights of the semisimple part of \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{c} is the center of \mathfrak{g} , viewed as a trivial \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.

Definition 6.1. A semistable G -bundle is said to be *regular* if it is given by normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij} X)\}$ where X is a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. (Here, ρ is the holonomy representation of the S-equivalent Yang-Mills K -bundle.)

Corollary 6.2. *Each S-equivalence class of semistable G -bundles has a unique (up to isomorphism) regular representative. The regular representative is also the Yang-Mills representative if and only if $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is abelian. Finally, there is a Zariski open*

and dense subset of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$ where the regular representative is also the Yang-Mills representative.

Proof. The principal nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ are all conjugate. Thus, the first statement follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. As for the second statement, a regular representative is Yang-Mills if and only if the principal nilpotent element X of the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is zero, which is the case if and only if $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is abelian. The final statement follows from Lemma 5.16 (ii) and Corollary 5.18. \square

It follows from Lemma 5.17 that the rank r_c of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ depends only on the topological type of the bundle ξ . For example, if G is simply connected, then r_c is always equal to the rank of G . In general, if ξ lies in $\mathcal{M}(G, c)$, and w_c is the Weyl group element corresponding to the element c , then r_c is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}^{w_c}$. With this said, we have:

Corollary 6.3. *Let ξ be a semistable G -bundle associated to the pair (ρ, X) , where ρ is the holonomy representation of the Yang-Mills K -bundle S -equivalent to ξ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a nilpotent element used to define the normalized transition functions of ξ . Let r_c be the rank of the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Then $\dim \text{Aut}_G \xi = r_c$ if X is regular, and if X is not regular, then $\dim \text{Aut}_G \xi \geq r_c + 2$. \square*

In principle, we can describe the vector bundle $\text{ad} \xi$ when ξ is regular. For example, we have the following description of the adjoint bundle associated to the trivial bundle:

Proposition 6.4. *Let ξ be the unique regular bundle which is S -equivalent to the trivial bundle. Then, as vector bundles over E ,*

$$\text{ad} \xi \cong \mathcal{O}_E^c \oplus \bigoplus_i I_{2d_i-1},$$

where c is the dimension of the center of G and the d_i are the Casimir weights of G . \square

Similar but more involved statements can describe in principle the bundle $\text{ad} \xi$ for an arbitrary regular bundle ξ . To give one such statement along these lines, let ρ be the holonomy representation of the Yang-Mills bundle S -equivalent to ξ . Then $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a direct sum of its center $\mathfrak{c}(\rho)$ together with N simple factors \mathfrak{g}_i . Let r_i be the rank of \mathfrak{g}_i , and let $d_{ij}, 1 \leq j \leq r_i$ be the Casimir weights of \mathfrak{g}_i . Then the maximal subbundle of $\text{ad} \xi$ which is filtered by subbundles whose successive quotients are \mathcal{O}_E is

$$(\text{ad} \xi)_{\mathcal{O}_E} = (\mathfrak{c}(\rho) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} I_{2d_{ij}-1}.$$

From this, it is possible in principle to give a complete description of the vector bundle $\text{ad} \xi$.

6.2. Automorphisms of regular bundles.

We study in more detail the structure of the automorphism group of a regular semistable holomorphic bundle ξ . Let ρ be the holonomy representation corresponding to the unique Yang-Mills bundle S -equivalent to ξ . Define $A(\xi) = \text{Aut}_G(\xi)$,

which according to Theorem 4.1 we can view as a subgroup of $Z_G(\rho)$. Let $A^0(\xi)$ be the component of the identity in $A(\xi)$. Let us analyze the Lie algebra of $A^0(\xi)$. Let $D(\rho)$ be the derived subgroup of the identity component $Z_G^0(\rho)$ of $Z_G(\rho)$ and let $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ be its Lie algebra, so that $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ is the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\mathfrak{c}(\rho)$ be the center of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and let $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ be its derived subalgebra, so that*

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \cong \mathfrak{c}(\rho) \oplus \mathfrak{d}(\rho).$$

Then $X \in \mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ and its centralizer in $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ is an abelian nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{n} . Thus, the centralizer of X in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is the product $\mathfrak{c}(\rho) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. In particular, the Lie algebra of $A^0(\xi)$ is the product of a central semisimple subalgebra and an abelian nilpotent algebra, and hence it is abelian.

Proof. Everything in this statement is clear except the description of the centralizer of X in $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$, which follows from the remarks above concerning the centralizers of principal nilpotent elements. \square

Corollary 6.6. *$A^0(\xi)$ is a connected abelian complex linear algebraic group of the form $\mathcal{C} \times U$, where \mathcal{C} is an algebraic torus and U is an abelian unipotent group. In fact, \mathcal{C} is the identity component of the center of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, U is a unipotent subgroup of the derived subgroup $D(\rho)$ of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, and the Lie algebra of U is the centralizer of the principal nilpotent element X in $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$. \square*

The next step in understanding $A(\xi)$ is to describe $A(\xi) \cap Z_G^0(\rho) = A^1(\xi)$. Since $Z_G^0(\rho)$ is a connected, reductive group, it decomposes as

$$Z_G^0(\rho) = \mathcal{C}(\rho) \times_F D(\rho)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\rho)$, the identity component of the center of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, is an algebraic torus, $D(\rho)$, the derived subgroup of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, is a complex semisimple group, and F is a finite group which embeds into the center of $D(\rho)$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let ξ be a regular semistable G -bundle, let $A(\xi) = \text{Aut}_G(\xi)$, which we view as a subgroup of $Z_G(\rho)$, and let $A^1(\xi) = A(\xi) \cap Z_G^0(\rho)$. Then:*

- (i) $A^1(\xi) = \mathcal{C}(\rho) \times_F (\mathcal{Z}D(\rho) \times U)$, where $\mathcal{Z}D(\rho)$ is the center of $D(\rho)$. In particular, $A^1(\xi)$ is abelian and $\pi_0(A^1(\xi)) = \mathcal{Z}D(\rho)/F$.
- (ii) The inclusion $A(\xi) \subseteq Z_G(\rho)$ induces an isomorphism

$$A(\xi)/A^1(\xi) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(Z_G(\rho)).$$

Proof. The group $A^1(\xi)$, which is the centralizer of X in $Z_G^0(\rho)$, is of the form $\mathcal{C}(\rho) \times_F D(\rho)(X)$, where $D(\rho)(X)$ is the subgroup of $D(\rho)$ centralizing X . By standard results [20, §4.7], if X is a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$, then $D(\rho)(X) = \mathcal{Z}D(\rho) \times U$, where $\mathcal{Z}D(\rho)$ is the (finite) center of $D(\rho)$ and U is the abelian unipotent subgroup of $D(\rho)$ corresponding to the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ centralizing X . Thus $A^1(\xi) = \mathcal{C}(\rho) \times_F (\mathcal{Z}D(\rho) \times U)$. Clearly, $A^1(\xi)$ is abelian, but need not be connected.

To see (ii), let $g \in Z_G(\rho)$ be given. Then g normalizes $Z_G^0(\rho)$, and clearly $(\text{Ad } g)(X)$ is again a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. By [21], every two principal nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ are conjugate under $Z_G^0(\rho)$. Let $g' \in Z_G^0(\rho)$ be

such that $(\text{Ad}(g'g))(X) = X$. Then $g'g \in A(\xi)$, and clearly $g'g$ and g have the same image in $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$. Thus, the induced homomorphism $A(\xi) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ is surjective. Since its kernel is clearly $A^1(\xi)$, this completes the proof. \square

Let us give two simple examples of the structure of $A(\xi)$. If ξ is the trivial G -bundle, then $Z_G(\rho) = G$ and $A(\xi) = A^1(\xi)$ is equal to $ZG \times U$, where ZG is the center of G and U is a connected, unipotent abelian group. For another example, let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are pairwise distinct line bundles on E of degree zero, and let ξ correspond to the regular vector bundle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$. Set $d = \gcd\{d_i\}$. Then $A^1(\xi) = A(\xi)$, and it is easy to check that $A(\xi)/A^0(\xi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

6.3. The action of the component group of $A(\xi)$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$.

We fix a regular bundle ξ and denote by $A(\xi)$ is group of automorphisms. Let ρ be the holonomy representation of the Yang-Mills K -bundle S -equivalent to ξ . As we have seen, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ of $A^0(\xi)$ is abelian. Thus, the adjoint action of $A(\xi)$ on $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ factors through an action of the component group $A(\xi)/A^0(\xi)$. The purpose of this subsection is to determine the action of the component group $A(\xi)/A^0(\xi)$ on $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$. First notice that since $A^1(\xi)$ is abelian, $A^1(\xi)/A^0(\xi)$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ and there is an induced action of $A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$ on $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$.

In Lemma 6.5 we showed that $\mathfrak{a}(\xi) \cong \mathfrak{c}(\rho) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{c}(\rho)$ consists of semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and \mathfrak{n} is a nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Clearly, the action of the group $A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$ on the Lie algebra must preserve this splitting. The inclusion of $A(\xi) \subseteq Z_G(\rho)$ induces an isomorphism of $A(\xi)/A^1(\xi) \cong \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$. Thus, we have a realization of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ as a group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{a}(\xi) = \mathfrak{c}(\rho) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. The action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{c}(\rho)$ is simply the conjugation action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on the center $\mathfrak{c}(\rho)$ of the Lie algebra of $Z_G^0(\rho)$.

Next we claim that there is also an action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. This is a general statement about outer automorphisms:

Claim 6.8. *Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$, with $H(\rho)$ the corresponding subgroup, and a Weyl chamber $C_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Then for an outer automorphism γ of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, there is a lift of γ to an automorphism y_γ which normalizes $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and C_0 . Moreover, the element y_γ is unique up to multiplication by some $h \in H(\rho)$.*

Proof. Given an outer automorphism γ , let y be some lift of γ to an automorphism of $Z_G(\rho)$. Conjugation by y sends $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ to another Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}' for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$. Since $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and \mathfrak{h}' are conjugate in $Z_G^0(\rho)$, after multiplying y by some element of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, we can assume conjugation by y normalizes $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Then conjugation by y sends the Weyl chamber C_0 in $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ to some other Weyl chamber C_1 . But C_0 and C_1 are conjugate by an element of the Weyl group of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, so after a further conjugation we can assume that conjugation by y sends C_0 to itself. This produces the desired element y_γ . Finally, two different choices for y_γ differ by an element of $Z_G(\rho)$ which normalizes $H(\rho)$ and fixes a Weyl chamber, and hence lies in $H(\rho)$. \square

Thus, we now assume that we have chosen the lift y_γ of the outer automorphism γ to normalize $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and C_0 . This means that y_γ acts as a linear automorphism of $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ which normalizes the set of simple roots $\Delta(\rho)$ associated to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and C_0 . Thus, each outer automorphism γ acts as a diagram automorphism σ_γ of the Dynkin diagram of $Z_G^0(\rho)$. It is easy to see that this in fact defines an action of the group of outer automorphisms as a group of diagram automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of $Z_G^0(\rho)$. (The point here is that, by the uniqueness part of Claim 6.8, $y_{\gamma_1}y_{\gamma_2}$ is

equal to $y_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ up to an element of $H(\rho)$. Hence conjugation by $y_{\gamma_1}y_{\gamma_2}$ and by $y_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ agree on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and on the set of roots.) Note that the action of the group of outer automorphisms on the Dynkin diagram then defines a linear action on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$, since we can identify the vertices of the Dynkin diagram with a basis of $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$, and this linear action is the same as the action of y_γ defined above. Applying this discussion in particular to the group $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$, which acts as a group of outer automorphisms of $Z_G^0(\rho)$, we see that there is an action of $Z_G^0(\rho)$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$.

Our goal for the remainder of this subsection is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6.9. *There is a linear isomorphism from $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ which is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that there is a good choice of the principal nilpotent element X used to define ξ , namely one which is equivariant for the action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ in an appropriate sense. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and a Weyl chamber $C_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Let $H(\rho)$ be the Cartan subgroup of $Z_G^0(\rho)$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Fix lifts $y_\gamma \in Z_G(\rho)$ for $\gamma \in \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ as in the statement of Claim 6.8. Let $\Delta(\rho)$ be the set of simple roots associated to the Weyl chamber C_0 . Then the action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ induces an action on $\Delta(\rho)$.

Claim 6.10. *There exist elements $h_\gamma \in H(\rho)$ and a principal nilpotent element X_+ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ such that, for every $\gamma \in \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$, if we set $y'_\gamma = y_\gamma h_\gamma^{-1}$, then X_+ is invariant under $\text{Ad } y'_\gamma$.*

Proof. For each root $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$, choose a non-zero element X^α in the root space \mathfrak{z}^α associated to α . For each γ and each $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $\mu(\alpha, \gamma)$ be the non-zero complex number with the property that

$$\text{Ad}(y_\gamma)(X^\alpha) = \mu(\alpha, \gamma)X^{\sigma_\gamma(\alpha)}.$$

Since the $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$ are a linearly independent set of characters on $H(\rho)$, it follows that there is an element $h_\gamma \in H(\rho)$ such that for each $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$ we have $\alpha(h_\gamma) = \mu(\alpha, \gamma)$. Now replace y_γ with the element $y'_\gamma = y_\gamma h_\gamma^{-1}$. Conjugation by the elements y_γ and y'_γ agree on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. But in addition for each $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$ we have

$$\text{Ad}(y'_\gamma)X^\alpha = \text{Ad}(y_\gamma)(\alpha(h_\gamma^{-1})X^\alpha) = \text{Ad}(y_\gamma)(\mu(\alpha, \gamma)^{-1}X^\alpha) = X^{\sigma_\gamma(\alpha)}.$$

Thus, we see that the element

$$X_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)} X^\alpha$$

is invariant under each of the y'_γ . Since, for each $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$, $X^\alpha \neq 0$, it follows from [7, Chap. 8] that X_+ is a principal nilpotent element. \square

Replacing the y_γ by the y'_γ , let us recap what we have managed to establish so far.

Corollary 6.11. *Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and a Weyl chamber $C_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Then for each element $\gamma \in \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ there is a lift y_γ in $Z_G(\rho)$ such that:*

- (i) *For each γ the element y_γ normalizes $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and C_0 .*

- (ii) *Associating to each $\gamma \in \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ the automorphism of $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ given by conjugation by y_γ yields a linear action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ preserving C_0 and hence preserving the set of simple roots $\Delta(\rho)$ associated to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and C_0 .*
- (iii) *Viewing the simple roots as a basis for $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$, the linear action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ is compatible with an action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ by diagram automorphisms on the Dynkin diagram for $Z_G^0(\rho)$.*
- (iv) *There is a principal nilpotent element X_+ which is invariant under $\text{Ad}(y_\gamma)$ for each γ . \square*

We return now to the proof of Theorem 6.9. Let ξ be the regular semisimple G -bundle determined by the holonomy representation ρ and the nilpotent element X_+ given in Claim 6.10. Then the automorphism group $A(\xi) \subseteq Z_G(\rho)$ of ξ is the subgroup of elements in $Z_G(\rho)$ which centralize X_+ . In particular, the elements y_γ lie in $A(\xi)$. Conjugation by the elements y_γ normalizes the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ of $A(\xi)$ and determines the action of $A(\xi)/A^1(\xi) = \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$. Thus, it will suffice to exhibit an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ which is equivariant with respect to conjugation by the y_γ for all $\gamma \in \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$.

Let $h^0 \in \mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ be the unique element contained in the derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}(\rho)$ which has the property that $\langle \alpha, h^0 \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho)$. Clearly, h^0 is invariant by the action of $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ and hence by conjugation by each of the y_γ . Further note that $[h^0, X_+] = 2X_+$ (and in fact h^0 is the unique element of $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ with this property). By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem, we can complete h^0 and the principal nilpotent element of X_+ of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (X_+, h^0, X_-) . Since X_+ is principal, it is easy to see that X_- is uniquely determined by X_+ and h^0 . Thus, since X_+ and h^0 are invariant under conjugation by the y_γ for all γ , the same is true for X_- . Hence the \mathfrak{sl}_2 triple (X_+, h^0, X_-) is invariant under conjugation by the y_γ for all γ . Break the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ into irreducible summands for this action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Let the rank of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ be s . Then since X_+ is principal there are exactly s irreducible summands in this decomposition. On the other hand, by the classification of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , $\dim \text{Ker ad } h^0$ is equal to the number of irreducible summands $V(k)$ which have odd dimension. Since $\mathfrak{h}(\rho) \subseteq \text{Ker ad } h^0$ and $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ is of dimension at least as large as $\text{Ker ad } h^0$, we see that every irreducible summand must have odd dimension and so be of the form $V(2d-1)$ for some integer d , and that $\text{Ker ad } h^0 = \mathfrak{h}(\rho)$. Now $(\text{ad } X_-)^d: V(2d-1) \rightarrow V(2d-1)$ defines an isomorphism from $\text{Ker ad } X_+$ on $V(2d-1)$ to $\text{Ker ad } h^0$ on $V(2d-1)$. Thus, given the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (X_+, h^0, X_-) , there is a canonical linear isomorphism from the centralizer $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ of X_+ to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$, and since the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (X_+, h^0, X_-) is invariant under conjugation by the y_γ for all γ , it is easy to check that this isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the conjugations by the y_γ for all γ . Thus we have constructed a $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ -equivariant isomorphism from $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)$ to $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$, as claimed in the statement of Theorem 6.9. \square

6.4. The deformation space of a regular bundle.

Our goal now will be to relate the deformation theory of a regular bundle ξ to the local structure of the moduli space at $[\xi]$. There is a universal family of semistable G -bundles over a small neighborhood U of the origin in $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$. Note that the finite group $I = A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$ acts on $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$ and, choosing U to be I -invariant, the action lifts to an action on the total space of this family. The family induces an I -invariant map from U to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$. Our aim now is to show

that, under this map, U/I is identified with a neighborhood of the S-equivalence class $[\xi]$ of ξ , viewed as a point of $\mathcal{M}(G)$. This result does not follow in general from the étale slice theorem, since the stabilizer of a point corresponding to ξ in a GIT space may fail to be reductive.

Theorem 6.12. *Let ξ be a regular semistable bundle and let $I = A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$. Let U be a sufficiently small I -invariant neighborhood of the origin in $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$ with a universal family as constructed above. Then the induced map $U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ defines an analytic isomorphism of U/I onto a neighborhood of $[\xi]$ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$.*

Proof. Let ξ_0 be the Yang-Mills bundle S-equivalent to ξ and let ρ be the corresponding holonomy representation. We choose normalized transition functions $\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij} X)\}$ for ξ , where $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is a regular nilpotent element. The fixed 1-cocycle $\{f_{ij}\}$ gives us an identification of $H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E)$ with \mathbb{C} . As we have seen in Lemma 3.4, $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi_0) = H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \cong \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ parametrizes a locally semiuniversal family Ξ_0 of semistable bundles whose central member is ξ_0 . The parametrization is given in terms of transition functions (not necessarily normalized) by

$$Z \mapsto \{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij} Z)\}.$$

By Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3, a local model for the moduli space near $[\xi] = [\xi_0]$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) // Z_G(\rho).$$

This GIT quotient is identified with $(\mathfrak{h}(\rho)/W(\rho))/I$, where $\mathfrak{h}(\rho)$ is a Cartan subalgebra for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and $W(\rho)$ is the Weyl group.

By Theorem 4.6,

$$H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi) \cong H^1(E; \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) / \text{Im ad } X) \cong \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) / \text{Im ad } X.$$

The tangent space at X to the orbit of X in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ under the adjoint action is the image of $\text{ad } X$. Consider a linear slice N in $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ normal to the tangent space at X to the orbit of X . Then N parametrizes a family Ξ of bundles: the point $Y \in N$ determines the bundle with (not necessarily normalized) transition functions

$$\{h_{ij} \exp(f_{ij}(X + Y))\}.$$

Note that the origin $Y = 0$ of N corresponds to the bundle ξ . The Kodaira-Spencer map of Ξ at the central point ξ defines a linear isomorphism from N onto $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$, and thus Ξ is a locally semiuniversal deformation of ξ .

As we saw in Theorem 4.1, the group $A(\xi)$ is identified with the subgroup of $Z_G(\rho)$ which fixes the point X . Since $A(\xi)$ is an extension of a finite group by a commutative algebraic group, it has a Levi factor $R(\xi)$ which is a reductive subgroup, in fact an extension of a finite group by an algebraic torus. The subgroup $R(\xi)$ maps onto the component group of $A(\xi)$. We can choose the linear slice N to be invariant under $R(\xi)$. Clearly, this action lifts to an action on the universal family Ξ constructed above. By Lemma 6.7(ii), the intersection of $R(\xi)$ with $A^1(\xi)$ is contained in the center of $Z_G^0(\rho)$ and hence acts trivially on $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ and on Ξ . Thus, the action of $R(\xi)$ on the slice N factors through the finite quotient $I = A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$. For this choice of slice N , the differential of the Kodaira-Spencer map from N to

$H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$ is a linear isomorphism which is equivariant with respect to the actions of $A(\xi)/A^1(\xi)$.

Now let us consider the map from the family N to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G)$. By the remarks at the beginning of the proof, the restriction of the universal family Ξ_0 for $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ to the affine slice $\{X\} + N$ is the universal family Ξ for ξ . The induced map from N to $\mathcal{M}(G)$ defined by Ξ is then induced by the inclusion of $\{X\} + N$ into $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ followed first by the natural map to the quotient $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G^0(\rho)$, and then by the induced map to $\mathcal{M}(G)$.

Claim 6.13. *The differential at $0 \in N$ of the composition*

$$N \rightarrow \{X\} + N \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G^0(\rho)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Quite generally, let G be a connected, complex reductive group with maximal torus H . Then the GIT adjoint quotient of G is identified with H/W , the quotient of H by the Weyl group. This quotient is a smooth variety of dimension equal to the rank of G . According to a theorem of Steinberg (see Section 4.20 of [20]), the differential of the adjoint quotient map $G \rightarrow H/W$ at any regular element $x \in G$ is surjective. Now let X be a regular nilpotent element in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Then $x = \exp X$ is a regular unipotent element in G . Furthermore, since X is conjugate to an element in a small neighborhood of the origin, we see that the differential of the exponential mapping at X is an isomorphism. Let \mathfrak{h} be the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} tangent to H . The map from the adjoint quotient of \mathfrak{g} to the adjoint quotient of G is identified with the natural map $\mathfrak{h}/W \rightarrow H/W$ and hence it is a local diffeomorphism at the origin. Thus, it follows that the differential of the adjoint quotient mapping $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}/W$ is surjective at X . Of course, the kernel of this differential contains the tangent to the orbit space through X . Since X is regular, the normal to the orbit is the same dimension as \mathfrak{h}/W , and hence we conclude that the restriction of this differential to the normal space is an isomorphism. Applying the above to the case $G = Z_G^0(\rho)$ establishes the claim. \square

The inclusion $\{X\} + N \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)$ is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism

$$I = A(\xi)/A^1(\xi) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$$

induced by the inclusion of $A(\xi) \subseteq Z_G(\rho)$. There is thus an equivariant isomorphism from $H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)$ with its I -action to $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G^0(\rho) = \mathfrak{h}(\rho)/W(\rho)$ with its $\pi_0(Z_G(\rho))$ -action. We furthermore have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(E; \text{ad } \xi)/I & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\rho)//Z_G^0(\rho))/I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}(G) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}(G). \end{array}$$

Theorem 6.12 now follows from Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3. \square

7. The description of regular bundles in the classical cases.

In this section, we shall explicitly describe the regular G -bundles when G is one of the classical groups, by elementary methods. The cases $G = GL_n$ and $G = SL_n$

were described in detail in Section 1. In this section, we describe the regular bundles corresponding to the groups $Sp(2n)$ and $SO(n)$. Here, the study of $SO(n)$ -bundles divides into two cases, depending on whether or not the bundle is liftable to $Spin(n)$. However, in case the bundle is liftable, we do not explicitly analyze the set of liftings. Finally, we also consider unliftable bundles on the non-simply connected groups $SO(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ and $Sp(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$. In this case, it is better to work with conformal bundles, i.e. with vector bundles together with a nondegenerate form with values in a line bundle. The set of such bundles corresponding to regular bundles is described at the end of the section.

7.1. Existence of nondegenerate pairings.

We are interested in the case where V is a semistable rank n bundle with a nondegenerate form (with values in \mathcal{O}_E), which is either symmetric or alternating. Such a form defines an isomorphism from V to V^\vee . We have the following straightforward result for regular vector bundles V :

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that $V = \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ is a regular rank n semistable vector bundle with $\det V = \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $V \cong V^\vee$. Then the λ_i appearing as the Jordan-Hölder constituents of V have the following property. If $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$, then there is a unique j such that $\lambda_j = \lambda_i^{-1}$, and in this case $d_i = d_j$. If $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$, so that λ_i is a line bundle of order 2, then every isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^\vee$ restricts to an isomorphism $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \rightarrow I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^\vee = I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$. Finally, suppose that λ_i is a line bundle of order 2 and that d_i is odd, so that in particular $d_i \neq 0$. Then for every j such that λ_j is a nontrivial line bundle of order 2, d_j is odd as well. \square*

Next we turn to existence of nondegenerate symmetric or alternating forms on vector bundles, and to the corresponding question of when such forms give regular symplectic or orthogonal bundles. Suppose that V is either an orthogonal or a symplectic bundle. Writing $V = \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$, we see that if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$ we can pair up $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ with a dual space $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1})$. Moreover, the only symmetric or alternating forms on $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^{-1}$ are given as follows: take an isomorphism $\varphi: I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^{-1} \rightarrow I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^\vee$ and define

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \varphi(s_2)s_1 \pm {}^t\varphi(s_1)(s_2),$$

with the sign chosen according to whether we want the form to be symmetric or alternating. Note that every two such forms are then conjugate under the action of $\text{Aut}(I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^{-1})$, and the group of orthogonal or symplectic automorphisms is just a copy of $\text{Aut } I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \cong \text{Aut } I_{d_i}$. In particular it is a connected abelian group of dimension d_i . Thus the crucial case to work out is the case of $I_d(\lambda)$ where λ is a line bundle of order two, and in fact it is clearly enough to work out the case of I_n itself:

Theorem 7.2.

- (i) *There exists a nondegenerate alternating form on I_n , i.e. a nondegenerate skew symmetric pairing $I_n \otimes I_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$, if and only if n is even. Every two such pairings are conjugate under the action of $\text{Aut } I_n$.*
- (ii) *There exists a nondegenerate symmetric form on I_n , i.e. a nondegenerate symmetric pairing $I_n \otimes I_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$, if and only if n is odd. In this case every two such pairings are conjugate under the action of $\text{Aut } I_n$.*

Proof. Fix a homomorphism $\varphi: I_d \rightarrow I_d^\vee \cong I_d$. Given the canonical filtration F^i on I_d , we have the dual decreasing filtration $(F^i)^\perp$ of I_d^\vee , as well as the canonical increasing filtration $(F^i)^\vee$ of I_d^\vee . Since both filtrations are canonical, we must have $(F^i)^\perp = (F^{d-i})^\vee$. Now φ must preserve the filtrations, and so $\varphi(F_i) \subseteq (F^{d-i})^\perp$. It follows that φ induces a well-defined pairing

$$\bar{\varphi}_i: (F^i/F^{i-1}) \otimes (F^{d-i+1}/F^{d-i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E.$$

Either φ is an isomorphism or it factors through some homomorphism $I_d \rightarrow I_{d-k} \cong (F^{d-k})^\vee = (F^k)^\perp$ for some $k > 0$. In the second case, $\varphi(F^i) \subseteq (F^{i-k})^\vee = (F^{d-i+k})^\perp$, and hence, for the induced pairing on I_d , F^i is annihilated by F^{d-i+1} . But then $\bar{\varphi}_i = 0$ for every i . Conversely, if φ is an isomorphism, then so is $\bar{\varphi}_i$ for every i . We see that φ is an isomorphism if and only if $\bar{\varphi}_i$ is an isomorphism for every i , if and only if there exists an i such that $\bar{\varphi}_i \neq 0$.

Now given $\varphi: I_d \rightarrow I_d^\vee$, we can take the transpose ${}^t\varphi: I_d \rightarrow I_d^\vee$. Then φ is symmetric if $\varphi = {}^t\varphi$, i.e. if $\varphi - {}^t\varphi = 0$, and it is alternating if $\varphi + {}^t\varphi = 0$. Note that, given φ , we can consider ${}^t\bar{\varphi}_i = {}^t\bar{\varphi}_{d-i+1}$. First consider the case where $d = 2n + 1$ is odd. In this case taking $i = n + 1$ we have

$$\overline{(\varphi + {}^t\varphi)}_{n+1} = \bar{\varphi}_{n+1} + {}^t\bar{\varphi}_{n+1}.$$

But $\bar{\varphi}_{n+1}$ is a pairing $(F^{n+1}/F^n) \otimes (F^{n+1}/F^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$, and is thus equal to its transpose. Thus, if φ is an arbitrary isomorphism, then $\varphi + {}^t\varphi$ is an isomorphism as well, since $\overline{(\varphi + {}^t\varphi)}_{n+1} = 2\bar{\varphi}_{n+1} \neq 0$. It follows that $\varphi + {}^t\varphi$ is a symmetric isomorphism. Moreover, if we begin with a symmetric φ , then $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi + {}^t\varphi) = \varphi$, and so every symmetric isomorphism arises from this construction. Since every two φ are conjugate under the multiplication action of $\text{Aut } I_{2n+1}$, every two symmetric forms φ are conjugate under the corresponding action of $\text{Aut } I_{2n+1}$. Clearly there are no nondegenerate alternating forms in this case, since $d = 2n + 1$ is odd. We could also see this as follows: if φ is alternating and nondegenerate, then so is $\varphi - {}^t\varphi = 2\varphi$. But restricting to F^{n+1}/F^n and using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}_{n+1} = {}^t\bar{\varphi}_{n+1}$, we find instead that $\overline{(\varphi - {}^t\varphi)}_{n+1} = 0$, so that φ must in fact be degenerate.

Now assume that $d = 2n$ is even. We claim that in this case there are no symmetric nondegenerate forms. Indeed, suppose that $\varphi: I_{2n} \rightarrow I_{2n}^\vee$ is a symmetric homomorphism. Then φ induces a pairing

$$\tilde{\varphi}: (F^{n+1}/F^{n-1}) \otimes (F^{n+1}/F^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E,$$

whose associated diagonal components are just $\bar{\varphi}_{n+1}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_n$. We claim that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is degenerate, or in other words that every symmetric form on I_2 is degenerate. Now on \mathbb{C}^2 with the standard nondegenerate form, there are exactly two isotropic lines. Thus if there were a nondegenerate form on I_2 , the isotropic subbundle F^1 would have a unique isotropic complement, and thus I_2 would be a direct sum of line bundles. This is a contradiction. Thus φ is degenerate.

Now begin with an arbitrary isomorphism $\varphi: I_{2n} \rightarrow I_{2n}^\vee$. We claim that $\varphi - {}^t\varphi$ is an isomorphism as well, thus giving a nondegenerate alternating form on I_{2n} . Indeed, we must have $\varphi + {}^t\varphi$ degenerate, and thus $\overline{(\varphi + {}^t\varphi)}_i = 0$ for every i . It follows that $\bar{\varphi}_i = -{}^t\bar{\varphi}_{2n-i}$ for every i , and thus that $\overline{(\varphi - {}^t\varphi)}_i$ is nonzero for every i . We conclude that $\varphi - {}^t\varphi$ defines a nondegenerate alternating form on I_{2n} . As

in the symmetric case, if φ is alternating to start with, then $\varphi - {}^t\varphi = 2\varphi$, so that all alternating forms arise in this way, and every two such are conjugate under the automorphisms of I_{2n} . This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

If V is a vector bundle with an alternating form Q , we denote by $\text{Aut}^Q V$ the automorphisms of V which preserve the form; these automatically have determinant 1. If instead Q is symmetric, we define $\text{Aut}^Q V$ similarly, but also impose the requirement that the determinant be 1.

Proposition 7.3.

- (i) *Let I_{2n} be given a nondegenerate alternating form, and equip F^{2n-1}/F^1 with the induced nondegenerate form. Then the map*

$$\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n-1}/F^1)$$

is surjective, and its kernel is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . Moreover $\dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} = n$.

- (ii) *Let I_{2n+1} be given a nondegenerate symmetric form, and give F^{2n}/F^1 the induced nondegenerate form. Then the map*

$$\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1)$$

is surjective, and its kernel is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . Moreover $\dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} = n$.

- (iii) *For every $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0\left(\bigwedge^2 I_{2n}\right) &= \dim H^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n}) = \dim H^0\left(\bigwedge^2 I_{2n+1}\right) = n; \\ \dim H^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n+1}) &= n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider first the symplectic case. We argue by induction on n . In case $n = 1$, $\text{Aut}^Q I_2$ is the same as the elements of determinant one in $\mathbb{C}[T]/(T^2)$, which is isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{C}$. In general, we always have an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow T^{2n-2}\mathbb{C}[T]/(T^{2n}) \rightarrow \text{Aut} I_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F^{2n-1}/F^1) \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

The image of $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n}$ in $\text{Aut}(F^{2n-1}/F^1)$ clearly lies in $\text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n-1}/F^1)$. If we denote the alternating form on I_{2n} by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let e_1 be a nowhere vanishing section of F^1 , then the Picard-Lefschetz reflection

$$s \mapsto s + t\langle s, e_0 \rangle e_0$$

is a symplectic automorphism of I_{2d} , and a calculation with a local basis shows that these are the unique symplectic elements in $\text{Ker}(\text{Aut} I_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F^{2n-1}/F^1))$. More intrinsically, $\text{Ker}(\text{Aut} I_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F^{2n-1}/F^1))$ is identified with $\text{Hom}(F^{2n}, F^1)$ via

$$\alpha \in \text{Hom}(F^{2n}, F^1) \mapsto (s \mapsto s + \alpha(s)).$$

Thus $\dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} \leq n$, and equality holds only if the map

$$\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n-1}/F^1)$$

is surjective. (Note that by induction $\text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n-1}/F^1)$ is a vector group times $\{\pm 1\}$ and $-\text{Id} \in \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n}$ maps onto the $-1 \in \{\pm 1\}$.)

The set of nondegenerate symplectic forms in $H^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n})$ is an open dense subset of $H^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n})$, and $\text{Aut} I_{2n}$ acts transitively on this set, with stabilizer $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n}$. Since $\dim \text{Aut} I_{2n} = 2n$, we see that $h^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n}) = 2n - \dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} \geq n$, with equality if and only if $\dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n} = n$. We further claim that, if A is a form in $H^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n+1})$, then F_1 is in the radical of A , and so there is an induced map $H^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n+1}) \rightarrow H^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n})$ which is clearly an isomorphism. Indeed, given any homomorphism $\varphi: I_d \rightarrow I_d^\vee$, $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is a subbundle of I_d and so has degree ≤ 0 . On the other hand $\text{Im } \varphi$ has degree $= -\deg \text{Ker } \varphi$, and also has degree zero since it injects into the semistable bundle I_d^\vee . It follows that $\deg \text{Ker } \varphi = 0$ and thus that $\text{Ker } \varphi = F^i$ for some i . In particular, if $\text{Ker } \varphi \neq 0$, then it contains F^1 . Applying this remark to the necessarily degenerate form A , we see that the radical of A contains F^1 . In conclusion, then, we can at least say that $h^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n+1}) = h^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n}) \geq n$.

Next we consider the orthogonal case. For $n = 0$, the orthogonal automorphisms of \mathcal{O}_E of determinant one are just the identity. For $n > 0$, we can again consider the kernel of the natural map $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1)$. We claim that again this kernel is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . In the orthogonal case, the analogue of the Picard-Lefschetz reflections giving unipotent orthogonal automorphisms are the Eichler-Siegel transformations. In our case, suppose that $\psi \in \text{Ker}(\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1))$. Then $(\psi - \text{Id})(F^{2n}) \subseteq F^1$, and we can write $\psi(v) = v + \alpha(v)e_0$ for a well-defined homomorphism $\alpha: F^{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$. A local calculation shows that, given α , there is a unique way to define an orthogonal ψ with the given restriction to F^{2n} . In this way, we have identified $\text{Ker}(\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1))$ with $\text{Hom}(F^{2n}, F^1) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Note that all such have determinant one. It follows that $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1}$ is a vector group of dimension at most n , with equality only if $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1)$ is surjective.

Arguing as in the symplectic case, we see that $h^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n+1}) \geq n + 1$, with equality if and only if $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1)$ is surjective, and that there is a natural isomorphism $H^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n+2}) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n+1})$. Thus for all $n \geq 0$, $h^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n+2}) \geq n + 1$, with equality if and only if $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n}/F^1)$ is surjective. On the other hand,

$$2n = h^0(I_{2n} \otimes I_{2n}) = h^0(\wedge^2 I_{2n}) + h^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{2n}) \geq n + n = 2n,$$

so that equality must hold for all n . We have thus proved all of the statements of (7.3). \square

To handle orthogonal bundles in the even rank case whose Jordan-Hölder constituents are all \mathcal{O}_E , we must use the bundle $I_{2d-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$. The next lemma shows that this bundle has the right number of automorphisms:

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose that $n > 1$. Let $I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ have a nondegenerate symmetric form given by choosing a nondegenerate symmetric form on I_{2n-1} and taking the orthogonal direct sum with a nondegenerate form on \mathcal{O}_E . Then $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E)$ is abelian of dimension n . In fact, the identity component of $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E)$ is $(\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}) \times \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. There is a natural inclusion of $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}$ in $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E)$. Now let $\psi \in \text{Aut}^Q(I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E)$. we can write

$$\psi(s, \lambda) = (a\lambda e_0 + Ts, t\alpha(s) + c\lambda),$$

where $T \in \text{Aut} I_{2n-1}$ and $\alpha: I_{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ is a homomorphism, well-defined up to scalars, which we may as well take to be $\langle s, e_0 \rangle$. The condition

$$s^2 + \lambda^2 = \psi(s, \lambda)^2$$

for all s, λ implies that $c = \pm 1$ (taking $s = 0$). We have (setting $\lambda = 0$)

$$(Ts)^2 + t^2\alpha(s)^2 = s^2,$$

and the remaining condition is

$$2a\alpha(Ts) + 2tc\alpha(s) = 0.$$

If $s \in F^{2n-2}$, then $\alpha(s) = 0$ and thus $(Ts)^2 = s^2$. Now $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}$ maps onto $\text{Aut}^Q(F^{2n-2}/F^1)$, and so after modifying by an element of $\text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}$ we can assume that $\pm Ts = s + b\alpha(s)$ for all sections s of I_{2n-1} and thus that $\alpha(Ts) = \alpha(s)$. A calculation shows that, if we require that the determinant be one, the unique choices are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t^+(s, \lambda) &= (s - (t\lambda + \frac{t^2}{2}\langle s, e_0 \rangle)e_0, t\langle s, e_0 \rangle + \lambda); \\ \psi_t^-(s, \lambda) &= (-s + (t\lambda + \frac{t^2}{2}\langle s, e_0 \rangle)e_0, -t\langle s, e_0 \rangle - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi_t^- = \psi_t^+ \circ (-\text{Id}) = (-\text{Id}) \circ \psi_t^+$. Finally, to see that $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E)$ is abelian, it suffices to show, for all $A \in \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}$, that $A \circ \psi_t^+ = \psi_t^+ \circ A$ and likewise for ψ_t^- . A calculation shows that it is equivalent to show that, for all $A \in \text{Aut}^Q I_{2n-1}$ and all sections s of I_{2n-1} , $Ae_0 = e_0$ and $\langle As, e_0 \rangle = \langle s, e_0 \rangle$. Since A is orthogonal it will suffice to check that $Ae_0 = e_0$. If $Ae_0 = ce_0$, then c^{-1} is also an eigenvalue of A , and since I_{2n-1} is regular the only possibility is $c = \pm 1$ and that $A - c\text{Id}$ is nilpotent. Taking determinants we see that $c = 1$. \square

Of course, in case $n = 1$, the bundle $\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ with the induced diagonal form has automorphism group $SO(2)$, which has dimension one and is abelian again.

7.2. Description of regular symplectic and orthogonal bundles.

We can now describe the bundles with minimal automorphism group.

Proposition 7.5. *Let V be a symplectic bundle of rank $2n$ which is a semistable bundle with trivial determinant. Then $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V \geq n$. Moreover equality holds if and only if V is regular as a vector bundle, and in this case the symplectic form on V is unique up to conjugation by $\text{Aut} V$.*

Proof. We can write $V = \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$, where if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$ the summand $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ must be paired with a summand $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1})$, whereas if $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$ this is not necessarily the case. Note that, for $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$, if there are two summands $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ and $I_{d_j}(\lambda_i)$, then

$$\text{Aut}^Q(I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_j}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1}) \oplus I_{d_j}(\lambda_i^{-1}))$$

at least includes the group $\text{Aut}(I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_j}(\lambda_i))$, which has dimension $\geq d_i + d_j$, with equality only if one of d_i, d_j is zero. Now consider the case of a λ_i of order 2. If $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ is paired with another direct summand isomorphic to $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$, then $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i))$ contains $\text{Aut} I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ acting on the factors by $(\varphi, {}^t\varphi)$. But it also contains an upper triangular group of the form

$$(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1 + \varphi(s_2), s_2),$$

as long as φ is symmetric. As we have seen, $h^0(\text{Sym}^2 I_{d_i}) \neq 0$ as long as $d_i > 0$. Thus in this case $\dim \text{Aut}^Q(I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)) > d_i$.

In case the form pairs $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)$ with itself, we must have $d_i = 2d'_i$ even and $\dim \text{Aut}^Q I_{2d'_i}(\lambda_i) = d'_i$. Suppose now that V contains two summands $I_{2d'_i}(\lambda_i)$ and $I_{2d'_j}(\lambda_i)$, each paired with itself by the symplectic form. Then $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2d'_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{2d'_j}(\lambda_i))$ contains $\text{Aut}^Q(I_{2d'_i}(\lambda_i)) \times \text{Aut}^Q(I_{2d'_j}(\lambda_i))$ and so has dimension at least $d'_i + d'_j$. However, if both d'_i and d'_j are positive the dimension of the automorphism group must be larger. It suffices to consider the case $\lambda_i = \mathcal{O}_E$. Choose a section e_0 of $I_{2d'_i}$ and a section f_0 of $I_{2d'_j}$. Then for all t we have the symplectic automorphism

$$(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1 + t\langle s_2, f_0 \rangle e_0, s_2 + t\langle s_1, e_0 \rangle f_0).$$

So $\dim \text{Aut}^Q(I_{2d'_i}(\lambda_i)) \times \text{Aut}^Q(I_{2d'_j}(\lambda_i)) > d'_i + d'_j$ unless there is just one factor. We see that we have shown that $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V \geq n$, with equality holding if and only if V is regular. In this case, it follows from the discussion of the cases $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i)^{-1}$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$ prior to (7.2) and (7.2) (i) that a nondegenerate symplectic form on V exists and is unique up to conjugation by $\text{Aut} V$. \square

Definition 7.6. A semistable symplectic bundle V of rank $2n$ with trivial determinant such that $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V = n$ will be called a *regular symplectic bundle*. Note that V is regular if and only if the underlying vector bundle is regular.

In the orthogonal case, we have similar but more complicated results. Let η_1, η_2, η_3 denote the three distinct line bundles of order 2 on E .

Proposition 7.7. *Let V be a semistable vector bundle with trivial determinant and a nondegenerate symmetric form.*

- (i) *If no Jordan-Hölder constituent of V is a line bundle of order 2, then necessarily V has even rank $2n$. In this case, $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V \geq n$. Moreover equality holds if and only if V is regular, and in this case the orthogonal form on V is unique up to conjugation by $\text{Aut} V$.*
- (ii) *Suppose given $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, positive integers d_i , and nonnegative integers a_1, a_2, a_3 . Consider the bundle*

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1}) \right) \oplus I_{2a_1+1}(\eta_1) \oplus I_{2a_2+1}(\eta_2) \oplus I_{2a_3+1}(\eta_3).$$

Then V is a regular vector bundle of rank $2n+1$, where $n = \sum_i d_i + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1$, and V carries a nondegenerate orthogonal form. Moreover every two such forms on V are conjugate under $\text{Aut} V$. Finally, $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V = n - 1$. If conversely V is an $SO(2n+1)$ -bundle, then $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V \geq n - 1$, and

equality holds if and only if either V is as described above or V is of the form $V' \oplus I_{2a+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$, where V' is as described above and $I_{2a+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ has a nondegenerate diagonal form as in Lemma 7.4.

- (iii) Suppose given $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, positive integers d_i , and nonnegative integers a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 . Consider the bundle

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1}) \right) \oplus I_{2a_0+1} \oplus I_{2a_1+1}(\eta_1) \oplus I_{2a_2+1}(\eta_2) \oplus I_{2a_3+1}(\eta_3).$$

Then V is a regular vector bundle of rank $2n$, where $n = \sum_i d_i + a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2$, and V carries a nondegenerate orthogonal form. Moreover every two such forms on V are conjugate under $\text{Aut } V$. Finally, $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V = n - 2$. If conversely V is an $SO(2n)$ -bundle, then $\dim \text{Aut}^Q V \geq n - 2$, and equality holds if and only if V is regular, if and only if V is as described above.

Proof. The proofs are very similar to the symplectic case. If V contains a summand $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1})$ with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i^{-1}$, the argument in the symplectic case shows that such a summand always contributes a group of dimension d_i to $\text{Aut}^Q V$, and two summands $I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1})$ and $I_{d_j}(\lambda_j) \oplus I_{d_j}(\lambda_j^{-1})$ with the same λ_i will contribute a group of dimension greater than $d_i + d_j$ unless one of d_i, d_j is zero. If $I_d(\eta)$ is not paired with itself (here η is a line bundle of order 2), then $\text{Aut}^Q(I_d(\eta) \oplus I_d(\eta))$ contains $\text{Aut}^Q I_d(\eta)$ as well as the maps $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1 + \varphi(s_2), s_2)$, as long as φ is alternating. This will force $\text{Aut}^Q(I_d(\eta) \oplus I_d(\eta))$ to have dimension greater than e , except in case $d = 1$ in which case it has dimension exactly 1. Thus $I_d(\eta)$ must pair with itself, and hence $d = 2e + 1$ is odd. Keeping track of the parity of the rank gives the statement of the theorem. \square

Cases (ii) and (iii) above describe the regular unliftable $SO(k)$ -bundles. One difference between the two cases is as follows. In Case (iii), the automorphism group of V as an $SO(2n)$ -bundle is always abelian. In case (ii), if the bundle V has a factor isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_E , then the automorphism group is nonabelian, and conversely. For example, in the simplest case V has a summand of the form $\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ as well as the summand $\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2 \oplus \eta_3$. In this case, we can embed the automorphism group $O(2)$ of the factor $\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ into $\text{Aut}^Q V$, using an automorphism of the form ± 1 on one of the η_i factors to make the determinant 1. The fact that the automorphism group is not abelian in this case is reflected in the fact that, as a weighted projective space, the moduli space is of the form $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, \dots, 2)$, as we shall see in Part II.

In Cases (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 7.7, the $SO(2n+1)$ or $SO(2n)$ bundles have nonzero w_2 , and so do not lift to $Spin$ bundles. The statement for liftable bundles is as follows:

Theorem 7.8. *Let V be a vector bundle of rank $2n$ over E with a nondegenerate symmetric form, and suppose that V can be lifted to a principal $Spin(2n)$ -bundle. Then the dimension of the group of orthogonal automorphisms of V is always at least n . If this dimension is exactly n , then V is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(\lambda_i) \oplus I_{d_i}(\lambda_i^{-1})) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in S} (I_{2a_j+1}(\eta_j) \oplus \eta_j),$$

where the λ_i are line bundles of degree zero, not of order two, such that, for all $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j^{\pm 1}$, $\eta_0 = \mathcal{O}_E, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ are the four distinct line bundles of order two on E , and the second sum is over some subset S (possibly empty) of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Conversely, every such vector bundle V has a nondegenerate symmetric form, all such forms have a group of orthogonal automorphisms of dimension exactly n , and every two nondegenerate symmetric forms on V are equivalent under the action of $\text{Aut } V$.

The case of $SO(2n + 1)$ is similar, except that the summand $I_{2a_0+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ is replaced by the odd rank summand I_{2a_0+1} , which must always be present. \square

Here the symmetric form on $I_{2a_0+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ consists of the orthogonal direct sum of the nondegenerate form on the factor I_{2a_0+1} given by (7.2)(ii), together with the obvious form on \mathcal{O}_E , and similarly for the summands $I_{2a_i+1}(\eta_i) \oplus \eta_i$. Moreover, not all of the summands $I_{2a_j+1}(\eta_j) \oplus \eta_j$ need be present in V .

Remark 7.9. We have shown that, if ξ is a regular bundle for $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ or $Sp(2n)$, then $\text{Aut}_G \xi$ is abelian. If ξ is a liftable $SO(2n)$ -bundle and ξ contains at least two factors of the form $\eta_i \oplus \eta_i$, then there is a copy of $O(2)$ inside the $SO(2n)$ -automorphisms of ξ and thus this group is not abelian. In general, however, the component group of the $SO(2n)$ -automorphism group acts nontrivially on the set of the four *Spin*-liftings of ξ . If however ξ contains a summand of the form

$$(\eta_1 \oplus \eta_1) \oplus (\eta_2 \oplus \eta_2) \oplus (\eta_3 \oplus \eta_3) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E),$$

then inside the component group, which is $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$, there is a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ where the product is trivial, corresponding to the subgroup contained in $SO(2n)$. There is a subgroup $\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of this $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ which fixes a given *Spin*-lifting and thus acts on the lift. Hence the *Spin*-automorphism group is nonabelian in this case.

7.3. Regular conformal bundles.

In this subsection, we consider models for the regular bundles associated to the groups $Sp(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ and $SO(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$. For example, given the symplectic group $Sp(2n)$, we have the conformal symplectic group $GSp(2n) = \mathbb{C}^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} Sp(2n)$, with center \mathbb{C}^* , and the quotient $PSp(2n) = GSp(2n)/\mathbb{C}^* = Sp(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$. The group $GSp(2n)$ is the set of all $A \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that, if Q is the standard symplectic form, then for all $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $Q(Av, Aw) = \delta(A)Q(v, w)$ for some fixed $\delta(A) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (depending on A). The map $A \mapsto \delta(A)$ is a homomorphism $\delta: GSp(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ with kernel $Sp(2n)$, and the restriction of δ to the center is raising to the power 2. Thus, the restriction of the determinant to $GSp(2n)$ is equal to δ^n .

Let ξ be a $PSp(2n)$ -bundle. Since $H^2(E; \mathcal{O}_E^*) = 0$, ξ lifts to a $GSp(2n)$ -bundle, giving a vector bundle V of rank $2n$, a line bundle λ on E corresponding to the character δ , and a nondegenerate skew-symmetric form $Q: V \otimes V \rightarrow \lambda$. Twisting V by a line bundle μ on E has the effect of replacing λ by $\lambda \otimes \mu^{\otimes 2}$. Thus λ is determined up to $2 \text{Pic } E$, and so we can assume that λ is either trivial or $\mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$. The bundle ξ lifts to $Sp(2n)$ if and only if λ is trivial, and so we will assume henceforth that $\lambda = \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$. In this case, the $GSp(2n)$ -bundle V lifting ξ is determined up to twisting by 2-torsion in $\text{Pic } E$. (In fact, for the semistable bundles, one can see directly that the 2-torsion line bundles act trivially on the set of lifts.) It also follows that $\det V = \mathcal{O}_E(np_0)$ and that $V \cong V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$.

If V is a semistable vector bundle of rank $2n$ and determinant $\mathcal{O}_E(np_0)$, then it follows from (ii) of Proposition 1.6 that V is a direct sum of bundles of the form $I_d(W_2(1, \lambda))$, where λ is a line bundle on E of degree 1 and the product of the λ^d is $\mathcal{O}_E(np_0)$. For brevity we set $W_2(1, \lambda) = W_2(q)$, where q is the unique point of E such that $\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_E(q)$. Thus in particular $W_2 = W_2(p_0)$. Clearly $W_2(q)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0) \cong W_2(-q)$, where $-q$ is the inverse of q in the group law for E where p_0 is the origin. Similarly $(I_d(W_2(q)))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0) \cong I_d(W_2(-q))$. We then clearly have the following:

Lemma 7.10. *Let $V = \bigoplus_i I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i))$ be a regular vector bundle, and suppose that $\Phi: V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0) \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. If $q_i \neq -q_i$, then there exists a unique j such Φ restricts to an isomorphism from $I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ to $I_{d_j}(W_2(q_j))$. Necessarily $d_i = d_j$ and $q_i = -q_j$. \square*

If $q_i = -q_i$ in the above notation, then it is possible for Φ to define an isomorphism from the summand $I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ to $I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i))$. The next lemma determines when such an isomorphism can correspond to an alternating or symmetric form. Note the parity change in the cases depending on whether $q = p_0$ or $q \neq p_0$, as well as the contrast in parity with Theorem 7.2:

Lemma 7.11. *Let $q \in E$.*

- (i) *If $q \neq -q$, $H^0(E; \text{Hom}(I_d(W_2(q))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0), I_d(W_2(q)))) = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $q = p_0$ and d is odd, there exists a nondegenerate alternating form $I_d(W_2(q)) \otimes I_d(W_2(q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, but no such nondegenerate symmetric form.*
- (iii) *If $q = p_0$ and d is even, there exists a nondegenerate symmetric form $I_d(W_2(q)) \otimes I_d(W_2(q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, but no such nondegenerate alternating form.*
- (iv) *If $q = -q, q \neq p_0$ and d is odd, there exists a nondegenerate symmetric form $I_d(W_2(q)) \otimes I_d(W_2(q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, but no such nondegenerate alternating form.*
- (v) *If $q = -q, q \neq p_0$ and d is even, there exists a nondegenerate alternating form $I_d(W_2(q)) \otimes I_d(W_2(q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, but no such nondegenerate symmetric form.*

In all cases where a nondegenerate form exists, every two such forms are conjugate under the action of $\text{Aut } I_d(W_2(q))$. \square

Proof. First consider the case of $W_2(q)$ itself. If $q \neq -q$, then there is no nondegenerate form $W_2(q) \otimes W_2(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$. In case $q = p_0$, so that $W_2(q) = W_2$, then there is a unique map $W_2 \otimes W_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ up to a nonzero scalar, the determinant, and it is alternating. If $q = -q$ but $q \neq p_0$, then the isomorphism $W_2(q) \cong W_2(q)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ defines a map $Q: W_2(q) \otimes W_2(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, unique up to scalars since $W_2(q)$ is simple. Hence $Q(v, w) = tQ(w, v)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, necessarily ± 1 , so that Q is either alternating or symmetric. But if Q were alternating, it would induce a nonzero homomorphism $\bigwedge^2 W_2(q) = \mathcal{O}_E(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$. This is impossible for $q \neq p_0$. Thus Q is symmetric.

Now in general, every form $Q: I_d(W_2(q)) \otimes I_d(W_2(q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ defines a homomorphism from $I_d(W_2(q))$ to $I_d(W_2(q))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$, and conversely. Fixing a nondegenerate form $R_0: W_2(q) \otimes W_2(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ defines an isomorphism from $I_d(W_2(q))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ to $I_d^\vee \otimes W_2(q)$. By Lemma 1.15, the inclusion $\text{Hom}(I_d, I_d^\vee) \rightarrow$

$\text{Hom}(I_d(W_2(q)), I_d(W_2(q))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0))$ is an isomorphism. Hence every form Q is induced by a corresponding form Q_0 on I_d ; more precisely, $Q = Q_0 \otimes R_0$. Clearly Q is nondegenerate if and only if Q_0 is nondegenerate. Moreover Q is alternating if and only if either Q_0 is alternating and R_0 is symmetric or Q_0 is symmetric and R_0 is alternating. Thus, a nondegenerate alternating form exists if and only if $q = p_0$ and d is odd or $q = -q, q \neq p_0$, and d is even. The existence of nondegenerate symmetric forms is similar. Finally, the last statement follows from the corresponding statement in Theorem 7.2. \square

Using the above, we can give a description of regular bundles in the conformally symplectic case. Before stating the general result, let us work out the case of $GSp(4)$ as a concrete example. For $q \neq -q$, there is a unique alternating form on $W_2(q) \oplus W_2(-q)$, unique up to automorphisms of the bundle. Its group of conformally symplectic automorphisms, modulo the image of \mathbb{C}^* acting by scalars, is $\mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts via t on the first factor and by t^{-1} on the second. For $q = p_0$, since $I_2(W_2)$ does not have an alternating form, we are forced to use $W_2 \oplus W_2$ with its natural symplectic form. A calculation shows that the conformally symplectic automorphisms of this bundle, modulo \mathbb{C}^* , is given by $\mathbb{C}^* \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, where the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is by $t \mapsto t^{-1}$. In particular, the automorphism group is not abelian (reflecting the fact that $O(2)$ is not abelian). For bundles containing a factor of the form $W_2(q)$, where $q = -q$ but $q \neq p_0$, there is a symplectic form on $I_2(W_2(q))$, and its automorphism group is abelian. (On the other hand, for $q = -q$ but $q \neq p_0$, the conformal automorphism group of the bundle $W_2(q) \oplus W_2(q)$ with the natural symplectic form is $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, and so such bundles are not regular.)

More generally, we have the following:

Theorem 7.12. *Let V be a vector bundle of rank $2n$, and suppose that there is an alternating nondegenerate form $Q: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$.*

- (i) *If n is odd, then the minimal possible dimension for the automorphisms of V as a $PSp(2n)$ -bundle is $(n-1)/2$. In this case, necessarily V is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i)) \oplus I_{d_i}(W_2(-q_i))) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 I_{2e_j}(W_2(r_j)) \oplus I_{2a+1}(W_2),$$

where d_i are positive integers, $q_i \neq -q_i$, the e_j and a are nonnegative integers, and the r_j are the three points such that $r_j = -r_j, r_j \neq p_0$. The automorphism group of the associated $PSp(2n)$ -bundle is always abelian.

- (ii) *If n is even, then the minimal possible dimension for the automorphisms of V as a $PSp(2n)$ -bundle is $n/2$. In this case, necessarily V is isomorphic either to*

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i)) \oplus I_{d_i}(W_2(-q_i))) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 I_{2e_j}(W_2(r_j))$$

or to

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i)) \oplus I_{d_i}(W_2(-q_i))) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 I_{2e_j}(W_2(r_j)) \oplus (I_{2a+1}(W_2) \oplus W_2),$$

where d_i are positive integers, $q_i \neq -q_i$, the e_j and a are nonnegative integers, and the r_j are the three points such that $r_j = -r_j, r_j \neq p_0$. The automorphism group of the associated $PSp(2n)$ -bundle is abelian if and only if the summand $I_{2a+1}(W_2) \oplus W_2$ is not present. \square

Proof. Let I be a vector bundle of the form $\bigoplus_i I_{k_i}$. If V has a summand of the form $I \otimes W_2(q)$ with $q \neq -q$, the the argument is straightforward. Consider summands of V of the form $I \otimes W_2(q)$ with $q = -q$. By Lemma 1.15, the inclusion $\text{Hom}(I, I') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I \otimes W_2(q), I' \otimes W_2(q))$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\text{Aut}(I \otimes W_2(q)) \cong \text{Aut } I$ under the natural map. Moreover, viewing a form Q on $I \otimes W_2(q)$ with values in $\mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ as a homomorphism from $I \otimes W_2(q)$ to $I^\vee \otimes W_2(q)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ and using the fixed form R_0 to identify $W_2(q)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$ with $W_2(q)$, we see that Q determines a homomorphism from I to I^\vee and thus a form Q_0 on I . Hence Q is of the form $Q_0 \otimes R_0$ for some form Q_0 on I . It follows that, if $\text{Aut}^{\mathbb{C}^*Q}$ denotes the group of conformal automorphisms of the form Q , and similarly for Q_0 , then $\text{Aut}^{\mathbb{C}^*Q}(I \otimes W_2(q)) \cong \text{Aut}^{\mathbb{C}^*Q_0}(I) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \text{Aut}^{Q_0}(I)$. The theorem then follows easily from Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 7.4. \square

In Case (i) above, we see that the moduli space is given by $(n-1)/2$ points of E moduli sign change and permutation, which is a $\mathbb{P}^{(n-1)/2}$. In Case (ii), the moduli space is likewise given by $n/2$ points of E modulo sign change and permutation, and is a $\mathbb{P}^{n/2}$.

Very similar results hold for the symmetric case. In this case, we consider $SO(2n)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ -bundles ξ which do not lift to $SO(2n)$ -bundles. Note that if n is odd, such bundles lift to no quotient of $Spin(2n)$ by a proper subgroup of the center, whereas if n is even such bundles will always lift to the quotient of $Spin(2n)$ by an exotic central subgroup of order 2.

Theorem 7.13. *Let V be a vector bundle of rank $2n$, and suppose that there is an symmetric nondegenerate form $Q: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(p_0)$.*

- (i) *If n is odd, then the minimal possible dimension for the automorphisms of V as a $PSO(2n)$ -bundle is $(n-3)/2$. In this case, necessarily V is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i)) \oplus I_{d_i}(W_2(-q_i))) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 I_{2e_j+1}(W_2(r_j)) \oplus I_{2a}(W_2),$$

where d_i are positive integers, $q_i \neq -q_i$, the e_j and a are nonnegative integers, and the r_j are the three points such that $r_j = -r_j, r_j \neq p_0$. The automorphism group of the associated $PSO(2n)$ -bundle is always abelian.

- (ii) *If n is even, then the minimal possible dimension for the automorphisms of V as a $PSO(2n)$ -bundle is $n/2$. In this case, necessarily V is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_i (I_{d_i}(W_2(q_i)) \oplus I_{d_i}(W_2(-q_i))) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in S} (I_{2e_j}(W_2(r_j)) \oplus W_2(r_j)) \oplus I_{2a}(W_2),$$

where d_i are positive integers, $q_i \neq -q_i$, the e_j and a are nonnegative integers, the r_j are the three points such that $r_j = -r_j, r_j \neq p_0$, and S is a subset of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, possibly empty. \square

In both cases, the moduli space is a projective space. However, in Case (ii) the bundles lift to $Spin(2n)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, where the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the exotic subgroup of order 2. As we shall see in Part II, the corresponding moduli space for $Spin(2n)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -bundles is in fact a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, 2)$. Likewise, the automorphism group as a $Spin(2n)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -bundle is not always abelian.

REFERENCES

1. M. Atiyah, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **7** (1957), 414–452.
2. M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **308** (1982), 523–615.
3. A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo, *Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), 385–419.
4. I.N. Bernshtein and O.V. Shvartsman, *Chevalley’s theorem for complex crystallographic Coxeter groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **12** (1978), 308–310.
5. A. Borel, *Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compactes*, Tôhoku Math. Jour. **13** (1961), 216–240.
6. A. Borel, R. Friedman, and J. W. Morgan (to appear).
7. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4, 5, et 6, Masson, Paris, 1981; Chap. 7 et 8, Hermann, Paris, 1975; Chap. 9, Masson, Paris, 1982.
8. S.K. Donaldson, *A new proof of a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri*, J. Differential Geometry **18** (1983), 269–277.
9. G. Faltings, *Stable G-bundles and projective connections*, J. Algebraic Geometry **2** (1993), 507–568.
10. ———, *A proof for the Verlinde formula*, J. Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 347–374.
11. R. Friedman, *Rank two vector bundles over regular elliptic surfaces*, Inventiones Math. **96** (1989), 283–332.
12. ———, *Vector bundles and $SO(3)$ -invariants for elliptic surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), 29–139.
13. R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan, *Smooth Four-Manifolds and Complex Surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge, vol. 27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1994.
14. R. Friedman, J.W. Morgan and E. Witten, *Vector bundles and F theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), 679–743.
15. ———, *Principal G-bundles over elliptic curves*, Math. Research Letters **5** (1998), 97–118.
16. ———, *Vector bundles over elliptic fibrations*, J. Algebraic Geometry (to appear).
17. A. Grothendieck, *A general theory of fiber spaces with structure sheaf*, University of Kansas Report Number 4 (1955).
18. ———, *Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1956), 121–138.
19. J. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1975.
20. ———, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995.
21. B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 973–1032.
22. Y. Laszlo, *About G-bundles over elliptic curves*, Annales Inst. Fourier **48** (1998), 413–424.
23. J. Le Potier, *Lectures on Vector Bundles*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
24. E. Looijenga, *Root systems and elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 17–32.
25. M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Annals of Math. **82** (1965), 540–567.
26. S. Ramanan and A. Ramanathan, *Some remarks on the instability flag*, Tôhoku Math. Jour. **36** (1984), 269–291.
27. A. Ramanathan, *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math. Ann. **213** (1975), 129–152.
28. ———, *Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves I,II*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. **106** (1996), 301–328, 421–449.

29. C. Schweigert, *On moduli spaces of flat connections with non-simply connected structure group*, Nucl. Phys. B **492** (1997), 743–755.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA
E-mail address: rf@math.columbia.edu, jm@math.columbia.edu