

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Global Stabilization of a Class of Partially Known Positive Systems

Ludovic Mailleret — Jean-Luc Gouzé — Olivier Bernard

Global Stabilization of ^a Class of Partially Known Positive Systems

Ludovi Mailleret∗ , Jean-Lu Gouzé† , Olivier Bernard†

Thème BIO - Systèmes biologiques

Projet Comore

Rapport de recherche n° ???? $-$ Juillet 2006 $-$ [24](#page-23-0) pages

Abstract: In this report we deal with the problem of global output feedback stabilization of ^a lass of n-dimensional nonlinear positive systems possessing a one-dimensional unknown, though measured, part. We first propose our main result, an output feedback control proedure, taking advantage of measurements of the un
ertain part, able to globally stabilize the system towards an adjustable equilibrium point in the interior of the positive orthant. Though quite general, this result is based on hypotheses that might be difficult to check in pra
ti
e. Then in a se
ond step, through a Theorem on a lass of positive systems linking the existence of a strongly positive equillibrium to its global asymptotic stability, we propose other hypotheses for our main result to hold. These new hypotheses are more restri
tive but mu
h simpler to he
k. Some illustrative examples, highlighting both the potential omplex open loop dynamics (multi-stability, limit cycle, chaos) of the considered systems and the interest of the ontrol pro
edure, on
lude this report.

Key-words: Positive systems, Partially know systems, Global stabilization.

[∗] URIH, INRA, ⁴⁰⁰ route des Chappes, BP 167, ⁰⁶ ⁹⁰³ Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, Fran
e

[†] COMORE, INRIA, 2004 route des Lucioles, BP 93, 06 902, Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France

Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis 2004, route des Lucioles, BP 93, 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France) Téléphone : +33 4 92 38 77 77 — Télécopie : +33 4 92 38 77 65

Stabilisation globale ^d'une lasse de systèmes positifs partiellement onnus

Résumé : Nous considérons ici un problème de stabilisation globale par retour de sortie d'une lasse de systèmes non-linéaires positifs de dimension n possédant une partie de forme analytique in
onnue, mais ependant mesurée. Nous proposons tout d'abord notre résultat principal, un contrôle par retour de sortie, qui stabilise globallement le système vers un équilibre réglable appartenant à l'intérieur de l'orthant positif de l'espa
e d'état. Bien qu'assez général, ce résultat est basé sur des hypothèses qui peuvent se révéler difficiles à vérifier sur un exemple concret. Dans un second temps, par l'intermédiaire d'un théorème sur une lasse de systèmes positifs liant existen
e et stabilité globale d'un équilibre fortement positif, nous proposons d'autres hypothèses permettant d'appliquer notre résultat. Ces nouvelles hypothèses, bien que plus restrictives, sont nettement plus faciles à vérifier. Le rapport s'a
hève par des exemples qui illustrent à la fois la potentielle omplexité des omportements en bou
le ouverte qui peuvent être produits par les systèmes onsidérés (multi-stabilité, cycle limite, chaos) et l'intérêt de la procédure de contrôle proposée.

Motslés : Systèmes positifs, systèmes partiellement onnus, stabilisation globale.

¹ Introdu
tion

Positive systems of ordinary differential equations are systems producing trajectories, that, if initiated in the positive orthant of \mathbb{R}^n , remain in this orthant for all positive time. Such systems are being payed mu
h attention sin
e they abound in many applied areas su
h as life sciences, social sciences, chemical sciences, telecommunications, traffic flows *etc.*.. [\[11,](#page-24-0) [4,](#page-23-1) 1].

In this paper we deal with the problem of global output feedback stabilization of a class of n-dimensional nonlinear positive systems possessing a 1-dimensional unknown, though measured, part. Our main result generalizes an earlier one obtained in lower dimensions and for simpler structures in the context of bioprocess control [\[13](#page-24-1)].

This paper is organized as follows. We first introduce some notations and definitions related to positivity for vectors and dynamical systems. We then introduce the class of uncertain positive systems that is concerned with our control problem. We then propose an output feedba
k pro
edure, taking advantage of measurements of the un
ertain part, able to globally stabilize the system towards an adjustable equilibrium point in the interior of the positive orthant. The hypotheses on whi
h our main result is built might however be difficult to check in practice. In order to derive some hypotheses that are much simpler to check, we propose a theorem on a class of positive systems (the strongly positive concave cooperative systems) that links the existen
e of a positive equilibrium to its global asymptoti stability (GAS). Some examples found in the litterature illustrate the potential omplex open loop dynami
s that might be produ
ed by the systems under study (multi-stability, cyclic behavior or even chaos). Simulations on periodic and chaotic behaviors stabilization illustrate the control efficiency.

$\overline{2}$ **Preliminaries**

We consider the following autonomous nonlinear dynamical systems in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$
\dot{x} = f(x). \tag{1}
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the functions encountered throughout the paper are sufficiently smooth.

In the sequel, we will use the following notations:

• $x(t, x_0)$ denotes the forward orbit at time t of system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) initiated at x_0 .

- $Df(x)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) at state x.
- \mathcal{L}_f denotes the Lie derivative operator along the vector field defined by system [\(1\)](#page-4-0).
- $x_{|x_i=0}$ denotes a state vector whose *i*-th component equals zero.

Moreover, a matrix is said to be *Hurwitz* if all of its eigenvalues have negative real parts.

2.2 Positivity for ve
tors and dynami
al systems

As we deal with positivity in \mathbb{R}^n and some related concepts, we first clearly state what we refer to as positivity (*resp.* strong positivity) for vectors and dynamical systems.

Definition 1 (Positivity)

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is positive (resp. strongly positive) and denoted $x \geq 0$ (resp. $x \gg 0$) iff all its omponents are non-negative (resp. positive).

We denote \mathbb{R}^n_+ and $\text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ the sets of positive vectors and strongly positive vectors belonging to \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. Same definitions of the relations \geq and \gg (*i.e.* component by omponent) will be used for matri
es too.

We now state the definition of positive systems of ordinary differential equations as well as the definition of a special class of positive systems, the strongly positive ones.

Definition 2 (Positive Systems)

System (1) is a positive system (resp. strongly positive) iff:

 $\forall i \in [1..n], \ \forall x_{|x_i=0} \geq 0, \ \dot{x}_i(x_{|x_i=0}) = f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0, \ \ (resp. \ f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) > 0).$

It is straightforward that Definition [2](#page-5-0) implies the following:

Proposition 1

Consider a positive system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) (resp. strongly positive). Then:

 $\forall (x_0, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (resp. \ \forall (x_0, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^+), \quad x(t, x_0) \geq 0 \quad (resp. \gg 0).$

Remark [1](#page-5-1) Though we have equivalence between Definition [2](#page-5-0) and Proposition 1 in the case of positive systems (this is the original definition of positive systems by $[11]$ $[11]$), we only have an implication from Definition [2](#page-5-0) to Proposition [1](#page-5-1) for strongly positive systems. Indeed systems with $f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0$ $f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0$ $f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0$ and a strongly connected Jacobian Matrix may produce strongly positive trajectories too [4]. We however keep Definition [2](#page-5-0) as the definition of strongly positive systems since this criterion is much easier to check on dynamical systems.

³ Main result

3.1 The onsidered lass of systems

In the sequel we focus on the (global) asymptotic stabilization problem of the following class of nonlinear positive uncertain systems in \mathbb{R}^n .

$$
\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= u f(x) + c\psi(x), \\ y &= \psi(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

- $u \geq 0$ being the scalar input of system [\(2\)](#page-6-0).
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$
- $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is an output of system [\(2\)](#page-6-0)

Function $\psi(.)$ features the *uncertain/unknown* part of the system. For control purposes, we suppose that, though analytically unknown, $\psi(.)$ might be online measured. We moreover assume the following:

Hypotheses 1 (H1)

- 1. $\forall x \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \ \psi(x) > 0 \ \text{and} \ \forall i, \ c_i \psi(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0$
- 2. $f(.)$ is such that the system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is positive
- 3. $\exists \beta_m \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\forall \beta > \beta_m$, the system:

```
\dot{x} = \beta f(x) + c.
```
is a strongly positive system and possesses an equilibrium $x^*_{\beta} \gg 0$ which is GAS on \mathbb{R}^n_+ .

These hypotheses will be ommented after the statement of our main result. For now, just notice that Hypotheses H1-1 and H1-2 together with $u \geq 0$ ensure the positivity of the onsidered lass of systems [\(2\)](#page-6-0).

 3.2 Main result

Theorem 1

Consider a system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) and let Hypotheses H1 hold. Then for all $\gamma > \beta_m$, the nonlinear

ontrol law:

$$
u = \gamma y = \gamma \psi(x). \tag{3}
$$

globally stabilizes system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) on $\mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ towards the strongly positive equilibrium x^{\star}_{γ} such that:

$$
f(x_{\gamma}^{\star}) = \frac{-1}{\gamma} c.
$$

To prove Theorem [1,](#page-6-1) we need the following Lemma on Lyapunov fun
tions for positive systems possessing a strongly positive GAS equilibrium.

Lemma 1

A positive system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) possesses a strongly positive equilibrium point x^* GAS on $int(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ iff there exists a smooth real valued function $V(x)$ such that:

$$
\forall x \gg 0, x \neq x^*, V(x) > 0,
$$

\n
$$
V(x^*) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\forall x \gg 0, x \neq x^*, \dot{V}(x) < 0,
$$

\n
$$
\bigcup_{\alpha > 0} \{x, V(x) \leq \alpha\} = \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+).
$$

Proof (Lemma [1\)](#page-7-0)

Consider a positive system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) and suppose it possesses a strongly positive equilibrium x^* GAS on $\text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+).$

Now consider the following increasing (in the \gg sense) change of variables:

$$
z = \ln\left(\frac{x}{x^{\star}}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \ln\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^{\star}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \ln\left(\frac{x_n}{x_n^{\star}}\right) \end{array}\right).
$$

that maps the strongly positive orthant on \mathbb{R}^n and x^* to 0. Then we have:

$$
\dot{z} = \frac{f(x^*e^z)}{x^*}e^{-z}.\tag{4}
$$

Since x^* is a GAS equilibrium on $int(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for [\(1\)](#page-4-0), so is 0 on \mathbb{R}^n for system [\(4\)](#page-7-1). Then, from Kurzweil's converse Lyapunov theorem on GAStability (see Theorem 7 in [\[10](#page-24-2)]), there exists a smooth radially unbounded Lyapunov function $W(z)$ for system [\(4\)](#page-7-1). Coming back to the original variables, onsider the fun
tion:

$$
V(x) = W(\ln(x) - \ln(x^*)).
$$

INRIA

Since $W(z)$ is a Lyapunov function for system [\(4\)](#page-7-1), we have:

$$
\forall x \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \ x \neq x^\star, \ V(x) = W(z) > 0,
$$

$$
V(x^\star) = W(0) = 0,
$$

$$
\forall x \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \ x \neq x^\star, \ \dot{V}(x) = \dot{W}(z) < 0.
$$

Moreover, since $W(z)$ is smooth so does $V(x)$ and since $W(z)$ is radially unbounded on \mathbb{R}^n , we have:

$$
\bigcup_{\beta>0} \{z, \ W(z)\leq \beta\} = \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

Thus:

$$
\bigcup_{\alpha>0} \{x, V(x) \le \alpha\} = \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+).
$$

which concludes the first part of the proof.

The reverse implication is easily obtained through classical Lyapunov theory. \Box

Proof (Theorem [1\)](#page-6-1)

Consider the positive system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) under control law [\(3\)](#page-7-2). We get:

$$
\dot{x} = \psi(x)(\gamma f(x) + c) \triangleq g(x). \tag{5}
$$

Since $\gamma > \beta_m$ we know from H1-3 that the system:

$$
\dot{x} = \gamma f(x) + c \triangleq h(x). \tag{6}
$$

is strongly positive and possesses a (strongly positive) GAS equilibrium x_{γ}^* . Then, from Lemma [1,](#page-7-0) for all $\gamma > \beta_m$, system [\(6\)](#page-8-0) admits a real valued function $V_{\gamma}(x)$ verifying Lemma [1](#page-7-0) properties.

Now we consider system [\(5\)](#page-8-1). From H1-1, it is clear that we have:

$$
\forall x \gg 0, x \neq x_{\gamma}^*, \ V_{\gamma}(x) > 0,
$$

$$
V_{\gamma}(x_{\gamma}^*) = 0.
$$

Moreover:

$$
\mathcal{L}_g V_\gamma(x) = \psi(x) \mathcal{L}_h V_\gamma(x).
$$

so that sin
e H1-1 holds:

$$
\forall x \gg 0, x \neq x_{\gamma}^{\star}, \ \mathcal{L}_g V_{\gamma}(x) < 0.
$$

and the following still holds:

$$
\bigcup_{\alpha>0} \{x, V_{\gamma}(x) \le \alpha\} = \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+).
$$

So that we can apply Lemma [1](#page-7-0) to the controlled system [\(5\)](#page-8-1). Then the equilibrium x_{γ}^{\star} is GAS for the controlled system [\(5\)](#page-8-1) on $int(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. \Box

Remark 2 It is important to notice that the proposed control law does not, to be applied, require any a priori analytical or quantitative knowledge of the function $\psi(x)$. The only two requirements are (i) its positivity (a qualitative property), and (ii) the possibility to measure this quantity on-line. This is particularly important for instance in biological process control, when some parts of the model are only qualitatively known (usually in terms of $sign$) while some other parts are precisely (analytically) known. The special case of bioprocesses presented by $[13]$ $[13]$ does illustrate this point and shows the interest of such control procedures for real life bioprocesses management. We come back to this point later in the examples section.

3.3 Comments on Hypotheses H1

As we have previously noted, Hypotheses H1-1 and H1-2 guarantees the positivity of the systems [\(2\)](#page-6-0).

First part of Hypothesis H1-1 defines a qualitative property of the unknown part of the system, which, though one-dimensional, possibly acts on all state variables dynamics via the ve
tor c. Noti
e that this is a really loose hypothesis sin
e the only required property is $\psi(x)$ positivity as x is strongly positive. No specific analytical form is assumed on $\psi(.)$. The second part of H1-1 is required to ensure system (2) 's positivity as the input u equals 0. H₁-2 is required for the system's positivity as the input u is positive.

Hypothesis H1-3 is a stronger one. It reads that if the uncertain part $\psi(.)$ were positive and constant, then there must exist an input infimum β_m above which system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) would be strongly positive and would possess a (strongly positive) GAS equilibrium. This property might recall "minimum phase" conditions, frequently encountered when dealing with the stabilization of nonlinear and/or un
ertain systems. Minimum phase onditions ensures the GAStability of an equilibrium as the system's outputs are onstant. Minimum phase based ontrol results usually stabilises the output(s) in order to on
lude on the whole system, using results on as
aded nonlinear systems' stability. However un
ertainties for these systems are in general not on the outputs' dynamics, but rather in the intrinsic "stable" part. A "minimum phase" approach can thus not be used in our case since the output $\psi(x)$ and its dynami
s is, to a large extent, unknown.

From the analyti
al expression of the system that is to be stabilized, both Hypotheses H1-1 and H1-2 are easy to he
k. This is however not the ase for H1-3. The existen
e of a unique and strongly positive equilibrium of:

$$
\dot{x} = \beta f(x) + c
$$

might indeed be easily proven, but the demonstration of its global stability is in general a much harder task. Nevertheless, for some special classes of positive systems, one can link the existence of a strongly positive equilibrium to its global asymptotic stability. This is

for instance the case for positive linear systems, see e.g. [\[11](#page-24-0), [4](#page-23-1)]. It is clear that such a property is of great interest: it allows to prove in one step both the existen
e of a strongly positive equilibrium and its GAStability *i.e.* Hypothesis H1-3. Some works can be found in the litterature regarding this problem for nonlinear systems: see $[18, 2]$ $[18, 2]$ $[18, 2]$ $[18, 2]$. These results an indeed be used to he
k H1-3. It is to be noti
ed that these works are all related to cooperative systems (see Definition [4](#page-11-0) in the sequel).

In the next section we also base our approach on cooperative systems. We propose a lass of positive systems for whi
h the existen
e of a strongly positive equilibrium implies its GAStability. We then derive a set of "easy to check" hypotheses that are sufficient for our ontrol pro
edure [\(3\)](#page-7-2) to be applied.

4 Sufficient conditions to verify H1

4.1 Useful known results

We now recall the Metzler matrices definition introduced by [15] in mathematical economy.

Definition 3 (Metzler Matrix)

A matrix is Metzler iff all its off-diagonal elements are non-negative.

We now focus on two results on Metzler matrices that are consequences of the original theorem of Perron-Frobenius on positive matrices $[5]$ $[5]$. The first comes from $[11]$:

Theorem 2

Consider a Metzler matrix A and a vector $b \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$; Then, A is Hurwitz iff:

$$
\exists x \ge 0, \ Ax + b = 0.
$$

The second can be found in $[20]$:

Theorem 3

Consider a Metzler matrix A. Then:

- The dominant eigenvalue of A (i.e. of largest real part) is real; its associated eigenvector is positive.
- consider a matrix $B \geq A$. Then the real part of the dominant eigenvalue of B is greater or equal to the dominant eigenvalue of A.

Let us recall the definition of the "cooperative systems", introduced by $[8]$ $[8]$ (see also $[21]$ $[21]$) and that will be payed attention in the sequel.

Definition 4 (Cooperative Systems)

System [\(1\)](#page-4-0) is a cooperative system iff its Jacobian $Df(x)$ is Metzler for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

One of the most interesting result on cooperative systems is to be found in [21] and reads:

Theorem 4

Consider a cooperative system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) and two initial conditions x_0 and y_0 in \mathbb{R}^n such that $x_0 \geq y_0$ (resp. \gg). Then:

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \ x(t, x_0) \geq y(t, y_0) \quad (resp. \gg).
$$

4.2 Preliminary result

Proposition 2 (Positive Cooperative Systems)

Consider a cooperative system (1) . Then, the following are equivalent:

- \bullet system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) is positive (resp. strongly positive)
- $f(0) \ge 0$ (resp. $f(0) \gg 0$)

Proof

Suppose $f(0) \ge 0$ (resp. $f(0) \gg 0$). Let us denote the *i*-th row of $Df(x)$ by $Df_i(x)$. Then we have for all $x_{x_i=0} \geq 0$:

$$
f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) = f_i(0) + \left[\int_0^1 Df_i(sx_{|x_i=0})ds \right]. x_{|x_i=0}.
$$
 (7)

Since $Df(x)$ is Metzler for all x, the only possible negative term in the scalar product in [\(7\)](#page-11-1) is zero: indeed, $Df_{i,i}$ (the sole possible negative term of Df_i) is multiplied by $x_i = 0$. Then:

$$
\forall x_{|x_i=0} \ge 0, \ f_i(x_{|x_i=0}) \ge f_i(0) \ge 0 \ \ (resp. > 0).
$$

Since this holds for all $i \in [1..n]$, we conclude, using Proposition [2,](#page-5-0) that the system is positive (resp. strongly positive).

The reverse implication is obvious. \Box

Remark 3 Notice that the class of positive cooperative systems is a generalization of the class of linear positive systems $[11, 4]$ $[11, 4]$ $[11, 4]$ $[11, 4]$.

We now state a result on global asymptotic stability of an equilibrium point for a special lass of strongly positive ooperative systems.

Theorem 5

Consider a strongly positive concave cooperative $(SPCC)$ system (1) , i.e. that verifies the following condition:

$$
\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \ x \le y \Rightarrow Df(x) \ge Df(y). \tag{8}
$$

Then, if system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) has a positive equilibrium x^* , it is single, strongly positive and GAS on \mathbb{R}^n_+ .

Proof:

We first show the exponential stability of a positive equilibrium x^* (that is necessarily strongly positive) of a SPCC system [\(1\)](#page-4-0).

We obviously have:

$$
f(x^*) = 0 = f(0) + \left[\int_0^1 Df(sx^*) ds \right] x^*.
$$
 (9)

Since $Df(x)$ is Metzler for all positive x, so does the bracketed matrix (denoted $F(x^*)$ in the sequel) in equation [\(9\)](#page-12-0). Sin
e the onsidered system is a strongly positive ooperative system, we have from Proposition [2:](#page-11-2) $f(0) \gg 0$. Applying Theorem [2,](#page-10-0) we conclude that matrix $F(x^*)$ is Hurwitz. On the other hand, equation [\(8\)](#page-12-1) implies:

$$
\forall s \in [0, 1], \ Df(sx^*) \ge Df(x^*).
$$

whi
h yields:

$$
F(x^*) = \left[\int_0^1 Df(sx^*)ds \right] \ge Df(x^*).
$$

Since $F(x^*)$ is a Hurwitz Metzler matrix, we show using Theorem [3](#page-10-1) that its dominant eigenvalue is negative real. From the above inequation and Theorem [3,](#page-10-1) we conclude that $Df(x^*)$'s dominant eigenvalue is negative real too, proving that x^* is exponentially stable.

We now show the unicity of a strongly positive equilibrium x^* .

Suppose that the considered system possesses two strongly positive equilibria x_1^\star and $x_2^\star,$ such that $x_1^* \geq x_2^*$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x_1^*) - f(x_2^*), \\ &= \left[\int_0^1 Df(sx_1^* + (1-s)x_2^*)ds \right] (x_1^* - x_2^*). \end{aligned} \tag{10}
$$

From equation [\(8\)](#page-12-1) and since $x_1^* \geq x_2^*$, we use similar arguments than in the previous part of the proof to show that:

$$
\left[\int_0^1 Df(sx_1^\star + (1-s)x_2^\star)ds\right] \le Df(x_2^\star).
$$

Since x_2^{\star} is a strongly positive equilibrium, $Df(x_2^{\star})$ is Hurwitz. Then, using Theorem [3,](#page-10-1) the bracketed matrix is Hurwitz too. Then it possesses an inverse in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ which, together with equation [\(10\)](#page-12-2), implies that $x_1^* = x_2^*$.

Suppose now that the considered system possesses two strongly positive equilibria x_1^\star and x_2^* , that are not linked by the relation " \geq ", *i.e.* $(x_1^* - x_2^*)$ is neither positive, nor negative. Let us define the parallelotopes $\mathcal{B}_z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that:

$$
\forall z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \ \mathcal{B}_z = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \ x \le z \right\}
$$

Using Theorem [4](#page-11-3) together with the considered system's cooperativity and strong positivity, it is easy to show that $\mathcal{B}_{x_1^*}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{x_2^*}$ are positively invariant sets. We now define the state x_3 , such that:

$$
x_{3,i} = \min(x_{1,i}^{\star} , x_{2,i}^{\star})
$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_{x_3}=\mathcal{B}_{x_1^*}\cap\mathcal{B}_{x_2^*}$, it is positively invariant by system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) too. Then, using Brouwer fixed point Theorem (see e.g. [23]), there must exist a third equilibrium x_3^{\star} belonging to \mathcal{B}_{x_3} . Note that, from x_3 definition, we necessarily have:

$$
x_3^* \le x_1^*
$$
 and $x_3^* \le x_2^*$

This case has been treated previously and we conclude that $x_1^* = x_3^* = x_2^*$, what yields a ontradi
tion.

We conclude that if a SPCC system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) possesses a positive equilibrium, it is the sole positive equilibrium.

We achieve the proof of Theorem [5](#page-12-3) showing the global attractivity (on \mathbb{R}^n_+) of the positive equilibrum x^* of a SPCC system [\(1\)](#page-4-0).

Consider the following real valued function:

$$
\forall i \in [1..n], \ g_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
k \mapsto f_i(kx^*)
$$

Since $f(0) \gg 0$ and $f(x^*) = 0$, it is clear that $g_i(0) > 0$ and $g_i(1) = 0$. Then, there exists a $k_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$
\frac{dg_i}{dk}(k_0) = g_i(1) - g_i(0) < 0.
$$

INRIA

From equation [\(8\)](#page-12-1), we get:

$$
0 \le k_0 \le k \Rightarrow 0 > \frac{dg_i}{dk}(k_0) \ge \frac{dg_i}{dk}(k).
$$

showing that function g_i strictly decreases from k_0 to $+\infty$. Since $g_i(1) = 0$, $g_i(k) < 0$ for all $k > 1$. This holds for all $i \in [1..n]$, then:

$$
\forall k > 1, \ f(kx^*) \ll 0
$$

Untill the end of the proof, we assume $k > 1$. Notice that, from the cooperativity and strong positivity properties, \mathcal{B}_{kx^*} is a positively invariant set. Now consider \ddot{x} , the time derivative of \dot{x} . We have:

$$
\ddot{x} = Df(x)\dot{x}
$$

Since $Df(x)$ is a Metzler matrix for all x, it is straightforward that if the initial state velocity $\dot{x}(t=0)$ is positive (resp. negative) then it will remain positive (resp. negative) for all positive time.

Remind that $\dot{x}(x=0) \gg 0$ and $\dot{x}(x=kx^*) \ll 0$. Then, the forward trajectory of system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) initiated at $x_0 = 0$ (resp. $x_0 = kx^*$) is, in the " \geq " sense, an increasing (resp. decreasing) function of the time. Moreover, from $\mathcal{B}(kx^*)$ positive invariance, it is lower (resp. upper) bounded by 0 ($resp. kx^*$), thus it converges, necessarily toward an equilibrium.

 x^\star is the sole equilibrium belonging to \mathbb{R}^n_+ , the two considered trajectories converge thus toward x^* . From system [\(1\)](#page-4-0) cooperativity together with Theorem [4,](#page-11-3) we conclude that each trajectory initiated in \mathcal{B}_{kx^*} converges toward x^* . Since this holds for any \mathcal{B}_{kx^*} $(k > 1)$, we have shown that x^* is globally attractive on \mathbb{R}^n_+ , which concludes the proof. \Box

Remark 4 Proof of theorem [5](#page-12-3) uses to a large extent the ideas proposed by [\[20](#page-24-5)]. However, his proof was dedicated to another class of systems: Kolmogoroff-type population dynamics models of cooperating species, which are based on different hypotheses. One can also have a look at $[19]$ for some results related to Theorem [5.](#page-12-3)

Remark [5](#page-12-3) It is clear that Theorem 5 is quite similar to Hirsch's results $[9]$ $[9]$ on cooperative systems, rephrased by $[17]$, and stating that for a bounded strongly monotone systems(e.g. cooperative systems verifying $x_0 \ge y_0 \Rightarrow x(t, x_0) \gg y(t, y_0)$, the trajectories, apart from a set of zero measure (thus at most $n-1$ dimensional) of initial conditions, converge to the set of equilibrium points. Thus if su
h a system were bounded and the equilibrium unique then almost all trajectories converge to it. Notice also that Smith provides a related Theorem (see [\[21](#page-24-7)], theorem 3.1). Here for SPCC systems, both uniqueness of the equilibrium (if it exists) and (real) global convergence are guaranteed, which is of particular interest for the application of our control procedure (3) .

4.3 Sufficient conditions to verify H1

On the basis of SPCC systems and Theorem [5](#page-12-3) we provide sufficient conditions that are much simpler to check and that guarantees that Hypotheses H1 are satisfied.

Consider a system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) under the following hypotheses:

Hypotheses 2 (H2)

- 1. $\forall x \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \ \psi(x) > 0 \ \text{and} \ \forall i, \ c_i \psi(x_{|x_i=0}) \geq 0$
- 2. $f(0) \geq 0$
- 3. $f(.)$ is such that system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is cooperative
- 4. $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow Df(x_1) \geq Df(x_2)$
- 5. $\exists \beta_m \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\forall \beta > \beta_m$, $\beta f(0) + c \gg 0$
- 6. $\forall \beta > \beta_m$, $\exists x^*_{\beta} \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ such that $\beta f(x^*_{\beta}) + c = 0$

One can show that H2 implies H1, thus that a system [\(2\)](#page-6-0) under H2 is a candidate for Theorem [1.](#page-6-1)

Indeed, H2-1 and H1-1 are the same. H2-2 together with H2-3 implies through Propo-sition [2](#page-11-2) that $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is a positive system *i.e.* H1-2 holds. H2-3 together with H2-5 and Proposition [2](#page-11-2) implies that for all $\beta > \beta_m$, the system:

$$
\dot{x} = \beta f(x) + c. \tag{11}
$$

is a strongly positive cooperative system. This fact together with H2-4 implies that [\(11\)](#page-15-0) is a SPCC system. H2-6 ensures system [11](#page-15-0) has, for all $\beta > \beta_m$, an equilibrium x^*_{β} that is, through Theorem [5,](#page-12-3) GAS on \mathbb{R}^n_+ so that H1-3 holds. \Box

In the next se
tion we provide numeri
al simulations, based on examples fullling hy-potheses H2, that illustrates Theorem [1](#page-6-1) interest and efficiency.

⁵ Illustrative Examples

We show on three examples that the possible open loop dynamics of systems (2) under H2 might be very omplex. Proofs of the omplex dynami
s are not detailed as they do not fall beyond the scope of this paper, but they can easily be performed with the help of the proposed referen
es.

Example 1 (Bi-stability) This system models a simple bioreaction occuring in a continuous stirred tank reactor with substrate inhibitory effects (see e.g. [22]). This is a simple model of an anaerobic digester, an apparatus used for waste water treatment [\[13](#page-24-1)]. Both variables represent concentrations inside the reactor: x_1 denotes the substrate (pollutant) consumed by the biomass (anaerobic microorganisms) x_2 to grow at a per capita rate $\mu(x_2)$. u denotes the "dilution rate" (*i.e.* passing flow per volume unit) feeding the reactor with substrate at a concentration $x_{1,in}$ and withdrawing a blend of x_1 and x_2 from it. We get the following system that will be refered to as (S_1) in the sequel.

$$
\dot{x} = u \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,in} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \begin{pmatrix} -k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mu(x_1)x_2,
$$

\n
$$
\stackrel{\Delta}{=} uf_1(x) + c_1 \psi_1(x).
$$

with: $\mu(x_1) = \frac{\mu_m x_1}{K_m + x_1 + x_1^2/K_i}$ $x_{1,in}, k, \mu_m, K_m$ and K_i are positive constants.

It is lear that Hypotheses H2-1, H2-2, H2-3 and H2-4 hold. Moreoever H2-5 holds with $\beta_m = k/x_{1,in}$. Now pick a $\beta > \beta_m$, then $\beta f_1(x) + c_1 \psi_1(x) = 0$ possesses a solution x^*_{β} such that:

$$
\forall \beta > \beta_m, \ x_{\beta}^{\star} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,in} - \frac{k}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \gg 0.
$$

so that H2-6 holds. Then we have through Theorem [1:](#page-6-1)

Proposition 3 For all $\gamma > k/x_{1,in}$ the control law

$$
u(.) = \gamma \psi_1(x) \tag{12}
$$

globally stabilizes, on $\text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, system (\mathcal{S}_1) towards x^*_{γ} , the (sole) solution of $\gamma f_1(x)+c_1=0$.

Now let us consider the open loop behavior that might be produced by S_1 . Suppose that $x_{1,in} > \text{argmax } \mu$ and $u \in (\mu(x_{1,in}), \max(\mu))$ is constant. Then the considered system possesses three equilibria, two of which being stable on their respective basins of attraction separated by the stable manifold of the third equilibrium that is a saddle point. This behavior is depicted on figure [1;](#page-17-0) see e.g. [\[12](#page-24-11)] for a rigorous analysis.

The two stable equilibria correspond for the strongly positive one to the "operating point" (the biomass survives inside the rea
tor and the pollutant on
entration is redu
ed ompared to its in the inflow) while the other do not (the biomass disappears and the waste water is no more treated). It is then necessary to ensure that no trajectory may be driven to this latter equilibrium. In this real life example, the quantity $\psi_1(x)$ can be easily measured since

Bi-stability behavior in open loop $X₂$

Figure 1: State space representation of various open loop forward trajectories of (S_1) with \circ representing equilibria and u belonging to $(\mu(x_{1,in}), \max(\mu))$: existence of two locally stable equilibria.

it corresponds to the outflow of biogaz that is produced by the anaerobic digester. Taking adavantage on this measurement, ontrol pro
edure [\(3\)](#page-7-2) has been applied to a real life pilot scale anaerobic digester. It has shown its interest and efficiency for the global stabilization of such multistable devices. It thus avoids the crash of the system, see [13] for more details.

Example 2 (Attractive limit cycle)

The following system is analogous to Goodwin metabolic models [\[6](#page-23-5)]. It will be refered to as

system (S_2) in the sequel.

$$
\dot{x} = u \begin{pmatrix} -lx_1 \\ \frac{\mu_1 x_1}{k_1 + x_1} - x_2 + \alpha_1 \\ \frac{\mu_2 x_2}{k_2 + x_2} - x_3 + \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1 + x_3^n},
$$

$$
\triangleq u f_2(x) + c_2 \psi_2(x).
$$

with $l = 2.1, \mu_1 = 2/2.1, \mu_2 = 4 * (0.01 + 1/2.1), k_1 = 1/4.2, k_2 = 0.01 + 1/2.1, \alpha_1 = 0.01,$ $\alpha_2 = 1 - 2(0.01 + 1/2.1)$ and $n = 80$. It is easily proven that H2-1, H2-2, H2-3 and H2-4 hold true. Moreover H2-5 holds with $\beta_m = 0$. Now pick a $\beta > \beta_m$, then consider the equation $\beta f_2(x) + c_2 \psi_2(x) = 0$. We get that x_3 must be a solution of:

$$
x_3 = \alpha_2 + \frac{\mu_2(\mu_1 + \alpha_1(k_1 \beta l(1 + x_3^n) + 1))}{\mu_1 + (\alpha_1 + k_2)(k_1 \beta l(1 + x_3^n) + 1)}
$$

evaluating both sides of this equation at $x_3 = 0$ and $+\infty$, we show that there exist a solution $x^*_{\beta,3}$ which is positive for all $\beta > \beta_m$. $x^*_{\beta,2}$ and $x^*_{\beta,1}$ are then computed and shown to be positive too, so that H2-6 is verified.

Suppose that the onsidered system operates in open loop with the non-negative input $u = 1$. Then, as depicted on figure [2,](#page-19-0) (S_2) possesses an attractive limit cycle around an unstable equilibrium point. The existence of a nontrivial periodic orbit can be proven with Theorem 1 from [7].

As illustrated on Figure [3,](#page-20-0) we get from Theorem [1:](#page-6-1)

Proposition 4 For all $\gamma > 0$ the control law

$$
u(.) = \gamma \psi_2(x) \tag{13}
$$

globally stabilizes, on $\text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, system (\mathcal{S}_2) towards x^*_{γ} , the (sole) solution of $\gamma f_2(x)+c_2=0$.

Example 3 (Chaos) The following system is a state spa
e translation of the model of a chemical system so called "auto-catalator", see [\[16](#page-24-13)]. It reads:

$$
\dot{x} = u \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & k_2 \\ \frac{1}{k_1} & \frac{-1}{k_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} k_2(k_3 - k_4) \\ 0 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \frac{1}{k_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x_1 x_2^2,
$$

\n
$$
\triangleq u f_3(x) + c_3 \psi_3(x).
$$

 k_1 is positive, $k_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $k_3 > k_4 > 0$.

Figure 2: State space representation of a forward trajectory of (S_2) with $u = 1$: existence of an attractive limit cycle.

It is clear that H2-1, H2-2, H2-3 and H2-4 hold. Moreover H2-5 holds with β_m = $1/(k_2(k_3 - k_4))$. Now pick a $\beta > \beta_m$, then $\beta f_3(x) + c_3\psi_3(x) = 0$ possesses a solution x^*_{β} such that:

$$
\forall \beta > \beta_m, x_{\beta}^{\star} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\beta k_2 k_3 + k_2 - 1}{\beta (1 - k_2)} \\ \frac{k_2 k_3}{1 - k_2} \\ \frac{k_2 (k_3 - k_4) + k_4}{1 - k_2} \end{array} \right) \gg 0.
$$

since $k_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $k_3 > k_4 > 0$. Then H2-6 holds true.

INRIA

Figure 3: Time varying representation of a forward trajectory of (S_2) with $u = 1$ before $t = 40$ and under control law [\(13\)](#page-18-0) from $t = 40$ to the end, with $\gamma = 2 > \beta_m (= 0)$ computed s.t. asymptotically $u(.) = \gamma \psi_2(x)$ equals its open loop value.

[16] prove that Example [5](#page-20-0) exhibits a chaotic behavior for the parameter values $k_1 =$ 0.015, $k_2 = 0.301$, $k_3 = 2.5$ and $u = 1$ for all k_4 . As a special case, we choose $k_4 = 0.56$. An example of a forward chaotic trajectory is shown on figure [4.](#page-21-0)

As illustrated on figure [5,](#page-22-0) we get the following result from Theorem [1:](#page-6-1)

Proposition 5 For all $\gamma > 0$ the control law

$$
u(.) = \gamma \psi_3(x) \tag{14}
$$

globally stabilizes, on $\text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, system (\mathcal{S}_3) towards x^*_{γ} , the (sole) solution of $\gamma f_3(x)+c_3=0$.

Figure 4: State space representation of a forward chaotic trajectory of the system (S_3) with $u=1$.

$\boldsymbol{6}$ **Discussion**

In this paper we have considered a rather broad class of dynamical systems encompassing several possible dynamical behaviours. These models can especially represent biological systems exhibiting multistability, periodic solutions or even chaotic behaviour. In practice, the key point for stabilising these systems is that the adequate scalar function $\psi(x)$, whose exa
t analyti
al expression does not need to be known, is measured. In the example of the anaerobic digester that can have 3 steady states, the biogaz outflow rate $\psi(x)$, is easily on-line measured though its exa
t analyti
al expression depending on ba
terial a
tivity is rather uncertain.

The proposed control law, although very simple, has proven its efficiency to globally asymptotically stabilize even chaotic systems which is known to be a rather tricky task

INRIA

Figure 5: Time varying representation of a forward trajectory of the chaotic system (S_3) with $u = 1$ before $t = 20$ and under control law [\(14\)](#page-20-1) from $t = 20$ to the end, with $\gamma \approx 1.73$ $\beta_m(\approx 1.71)$ computed so that asymptotically $u(.) = \gamma \psi_3(x)$ equals its open loop value.

when a part of the model (*i.e.* $\psi(x)$) is not perfectly known. Moreover the control law naturally fulfils the input non negativity constraints which is classical $e.g.$ for biological systems.

The controller relevance was demonstrated in a real case for an anaerobic wastewater treatment plant (Mailleret et al., 2004). Despite its omplexity (this e
osystem is based on more than 140 interacting bacterial species [3]) and the great uncertainties that characterize the biological models, the controller proved to efficiently stabilize the system. This approach

was also applied to a photobioreactor where microalgae consuming nitrate and light were growing $[14]$ $[14]$.

Another important issue when dealing with complex system is the difficulty to on-line measure the variable that must be regulated. The proposed control approach has thus the advantage of proposing a regulation s
heme that does not require this measurement, whi
h can be very difficult to carry out for biological systems. Moreover, and as it has been demonstrated with the real implementation, it is rather robust and stabilizes the system even if the model parameters are not perfectly known. The price to pay is however the possible lack of accuracy, since the solution of $\gamma f(x) + c = 0$ can differ from the expected set point in case of parametric uncertainty. In such a case the idea consists in adding an integrator to orre
t the bias. This adaptive approa
h was proposed in (Mailleret et al., 2004) for the specific case of anaerobic wastewater treatment plant and the convergence of the ontroller was demonstrated. Of ourse it requires more measurement apability on the system, but we assume that the adaptation can be slower and thus needs a lower sampling frequency. The convergence proof of the adaptive controller in the general case is now the next challenge that we will tackle.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank V. Lemesle (COM - University of Marseille, Fran
e) and F. Grognard (COMORE - INRIA, Fran
e) for fruitful dis
ussions on the sub je
t.

Referen
es

- [1] L. Benvenuti, A. De Santis, and L. Farina, editors. *Positive Systems: Theory and Ap*plications, volume 294 of Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences. Springer, 2003.
- [2] P. De Leenheer and D. Aeyels. Stability properties of equilibria of classes of cooperative systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, $46(12):1996-2001$, December 2001.
- [3] C. Delbes, R. Moletta, and J. J. Godon. Bacterial and archaeal 16s rdna and 16s rrna dynami
s during an a
etate risis in an anaerobi digestor e
osystem. FEMS $Microbiology~Ecology, 35(1):19-26, March~2001.$
- [4] L. Farina and S. Rinaldi. *Positive linear systems, theory and applications*. John Wiley and Sons, 2000.
- [5] G. Frobenius. Uber Matrizen aus positiven Elementen. Sitzungsberichte, Konigl. Preussichen Akad. Wiss., 8:471–476, 1908.
- [6] B. C. Goodwin. Oscillatory behavior in enzymatic control processes. Advances in Enzyme Regulation, $3:425-438, 1965$.
- [7] S. Hastings, J. Tyson, and D. Webster. Existence of periodic solutions for negative feedback cellular control systems. Journal of Differential Equations, 25:39-64, 1977.
- [8] M. W. Hirsch. Systems of ordinary differential equations which are competitive or cooperative I: Limit sets. $SIAM$ J. Math. Anal., 13:167-179, 1982.
- [9] M. W. Hirsch. Systems of ordinary differential equations which are competitive or cooperative II: convergence almost everywhere. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 16:423-439. 1985.
- [10] J. Kurzweil. On the inversion of Ljapunov's second theorem on stability of motion. American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2, 24:19-77, 1956.
- [11] D. G. Luenberger. Introduction to Dynamic Systems. Theory, Models and Applications. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.
- [12] L. Mailleret. Stabilisation globale de systèmes dynamiques positifs mal connus. Appliations en biologie. PhD thesis, Université de Ni
e Sophia Antipolis, 2004.
- [13] L. Mailleret, O. Bernard, and J. P. Steyer. Nonlinear adaptive control for bioreactors with unknown kinetics. $Automatica$, $40(8):1379-1385$, August 2004.
- [14] L. Mailleret, J. L. Gouzé, and O. Bernard. Nonlinear control for algae growth models in the chemostat. Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, 27(5):319-327, October 2005.
- [15] L.A. Metzler. Stability of muliple markets: the Hicks conditions. *Econometrica*, $13:277-$ 292, 1945.
- [16] V. Petrov, S.K. Scott, and K. Showalter. Mixed-mode oscillations in chemical systems. Journal of Chemical Physics, 97-9:6191-6198, 1992.
- [17] C. Piccardi and S. Rinaldi. Remarks on excitability, stability and sign of equilibria in cooperative systems. Systems & Control Letters, $46(3):153-163$, July 2002.
- [18] G. Silva-Navarro and J. Alvarez-Gallegos. Sign and stability of equilibria in quasimonotone positive nonlinear systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 42(3):403-407, March 1997.
- [19] H. L. Smith. Cooperative systems of differential equations with concave nonlinearities. Nonlinear analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 10:1037-1052, 1986.
- [20] H. L. Smith. On the asymptotic behavior of a class of deterministic models of cooperating species. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 46:368-375, 1986.
- [21] H. L. Smith. Monotone dynamical systems, an introduction to the theory of competitive and cooperative systems. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American mathemati
al so
iety, 1995.
- [22] H. L. Smith and P. Waltman. The theory of the chemostat: dynamics of microbial ompetition. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [23] E. Zeidler. Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications. I: Fixed-Point Theorems. Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes 4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique 615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France) Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

> Éditeur INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France) http://www.inria.fr ISSN 0249-6399