

Generalization of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma

A. Stepanov*

Abstract

In the present note a generalization of Borel-Cantelli Lemma is derived.

Key words and phrases: Borel-Cantelli Lemma; Barndorff-Nielsen Lemma; strong limit theorems.

1 Introduction

Suppose in the following A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of events on a common probability space and \bar{A}_i denotes the complement of the event A_i . The Borel-Cantelli Lemma (Lemma 1.1) is very important for producing strong limit theorems.

Lemma 1.1 *If for any sequence A_1, A_2, \dots of events*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{A_n\} < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

then $P\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 0$. If A_1, A_2, \dots is a sequence of independent events and if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{A_n\} = \infty$, then $P\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 1$.

The independence condition in the second part of this lemma have been weakened in some investigations. We mention here the works of Chung and Erdos (1952), Erdos and Renyi (1959), Lamperti (1963), Kochen and Stone (1964), Spitzer (1964), and Petrov (2002).

The first part of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma was generalized in Barndorff-Nielsen (1961) (Lemma 1.2).

*alexei@step.koenig.ru; Department of Mathematics, Kaliningrad State Technical University, Sovietsky Prospect 1, Kaliningrad, 236000 Russia.

Lemma 1.2 Let A_n ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of events satisfying $P\{A_n\} \rightarrow 0$. Let also

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\bar{A}_n A_{n+1}\} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Then $P\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 0$.

The results of Lemma 1.2 holds true if in (1.2) the events $\bar{A}_n A_{n+1}$ are substituted with the events $A_n \bar{A}_{n+1}$. Observe that condition (1.2) in the Barndorff-Nelson Lemma is weaker than condition (1.1) in the Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

In the present note we propose further generalization of Lemma 1.1.

2 Results

Lemma 2.1 Let A_n ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of events satisfying $P\{A_n\} \rightarrow 0$. Let also for some $m \geq 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\bar{A}_n \bar{A}_{n+1} \dots \bar{A}_{n+m-1} A_{n+m}\} < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $P\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 0$.

Observe that condition (2.1) when $m \geq 2$ is weaker than condition (1.2) in Lemma 1.2.

Remark 2.1 It can be formally shown that for any sequence A_1, A_2, \dots of events the equality $P\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \alpha \in [0, 1]$ holds iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\{\bar{A}_n \dots \bar{A}_{n+k-1} A_{n+k}\} = \alpha$.

References

- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. (1961). On the rate of growth of the partial maxima of a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables, *Math. Scand.*, **9**, 383–394.
- Chung, K.L. and Erdos, P. (1952). On the application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**, 179–186.
- Erdos, P. and Renyi, A. (1959). On Cantor's series with convergent $\sum 1/q_n$, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Math.*, **2**, 93–109.
- Kochen, S.B. and Stone, C.J. (1964). A note on the Borel-Cantelli lemma, *Illinois J.Math.*, **8**, 248–251.
- Lamperti, J. (1963). Wiener's test and Markov chains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **6**, 58–66.

Petrov, V.V. (2002). A note on the Borel-Cantelli lemma, *Statistic & Probability Letters*, **58**, 283-286.

Spitzer, F. (1964). *Principles of Random Walk*. - Van Nostrand, Princeton.