

# Approximation Operators, Exponential, $q$ -Exponential, and Free Exponential Families

Włodzimierz Bryc\*  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Cincinnati  
 Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025

Mourad E.H. Ismail  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Central Florida  
 Orlando, FL 32816-1364

December 6, 2005

## Abstract

Using the technique developed in approximation theory, we construct examples of exponential families of infinitely divisible laws which can be viewed as  $\varepsilon$ -deformations of the normal, gamma, and Poisson exponential families. Replacing the differential equation of approximation theory by a  $q$ -differential equation, we define the  $q$ -exponential families, and we identify all  $q$ -exponential families with quadratic variance functions when  $|q| < 1$ . We elaborate on the case of  $q = 0$  which is related to free convolution of measures. We conclude by considering briefly the case  $q > 1$ , and other related generalizations.

*Running Title.* Exponential Families

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 62E10 Secondary: 41A35, 44A10, 33C45

*Key words and phrases.* Variance function, exponential operators,  $q$ -Hermite polynomials, Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials, free exponential families, freely infinitely divisible laws,  $q$ -derivative, Hahn operator

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Exponential Type Approximation Operators

C. P. May [30] introduced exponential type operators as

$$(1.1) \quad S_\lambda(f)(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_\lambda(m, u) f(u) du,$$

\*Research partially supported by NSF grants #INT-03-32062, #DMS-05-04198

where  $W_\lambda$  is a generalized function satisfying the generalized differential equation

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial W_\lambda}{\partial m} = \lambda W_\lambda \frac{u - m}{v(m)}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

and  $v$  is a polynomial of degree at most 2. Moreover May assumed that  $S_\lambda$  is a positive operator and that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_\lambda(m, u) du = 1.$$

May knew the exact form of  $S_\lambda$  in all possible cases except when  $v$  has two non-real zeros. May proved that  $S_\lambda$  and certain linear combinations of it approximate continuous functions in the sense that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_\lambda(f, m) = f(m)$ . Later Ismail and May [23] extended the approximation theoretic study to the case when  $v$  is an analytic and strictly positive function on  $(A, B)$ , a component of  $\{t : v(t) > 0\}$ . They also identified  $W_\lambda$  when  $v(m) = 1 + m^2$ , the general case with two complex roots.

In the above mentioned work, it was observed that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_\lambda(m, u) u du = m, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_\lambda(m, u) (u - m)^2 du = \frac{v(m)}{\lambda}$$

follow from (1.2) and (1.3). Hence  $m$  and  $v(m)/\lambda$  are the mean and variance of  $W_\lambda(m, u)$ , respectively.

The parameter  $\lambda$  is important in approximation theory since as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  the variance tends to zero and  $W_\lambda$  becomes a unit atomic measure concentrated at  $u = m$ . Ismail and May [23] observed that the differential equation (1.2) has at most one solution which satisfies the normalization (1.3) and makes  $S_\lambda$  a positive operator. They used the notation

$$(1.5) \quad q(m) = \int_c^m \frac{d\theta}{v(\theta)}, \quad c \in (A, B), \quad g(q(m)) = q(g(m)) \equiv m.$$

Moreover Ismail and May proved that

$$(1.6) \quad S_\lambda(f, m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_\lambda(u) \exp\left(-\lambda \int_c^m \frac{\theta - u}{v(\theta)} d\theta\right) f(u) du$$

and the function (or generalized function)  $C_\lambda(u)$  is computed by inverting the Laplace transform

$$\exp\left(\lambda \int_c^m \frac{\theta}{v(\theta)} d\theta\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_\lambda(u) \exp\left(\lambda u \int_c^m \frac{d\theta}{v(\theta)}\right) du.$$

The above formula is

$$(1.7) \quad \exp\left(\lambda \int_c^{g(z)} \frac{\theta}{v(\theta)} d\theta\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_\lambda(u) \exp(\lambda uz) du,$$

and is valid for  $\operatorname{Re} z \in \operatorname{Range} \text{ of } q(m), m \in (A, B)$ . (Compare [29, (2.1)].) The theory of bilateral Laplace transform is in [40].

Ismail [20] considered the case when  $v(m)$  has a simple zero at an end point, which without loss of generality is taken as  $m = 0$ . He used the notation

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} h(z) &:= \frac{1}{v(z)} - \frac{1}{z}, \\ \xi = \xi(m) &:= \frac{m}{c} \exp \left\{ \int_c^m h(\theta) d\theta \right\}, \quad \eta(\xi) := m - c + \int_c^m \theta h(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

He further assumed that  $h(z)$  is analytic at  $z = 0$  and  $\eta'(0) \neq 0$ . In his notation  $W_\lambda$  is a discrete probability distribution and takes the form

$$(1.9) \quad W_\lambda(m, du) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda) \exp \left( - \int_c^m \frac{\lambda\theta - n}{v(\theta)} d\theta \right) \delta_{n/\lambda}(du),$$

where  $\{\phi_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  are generated by

$$(1.10) \quad \exp(\lambda\eta(\xi)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda) \xi^n,$$

and  $\delta_a(du)$  is a unit atomic measure concentrated at  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Ismail also showed that  $W_\lambda$  in (1.9) is independent of the choice of  $c \in (A, B)$ .

## 1.2 Exponential Families

Fix a positive non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the property that

$$L(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(\theta u) \mu(du) < \infty$$

for all  $C < \theta < D$ . Denote

$$\kappa(\theta) = \ln L(\theta).$$

The exponential family generated by  $\mu$  is the set of probability measures

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) := \{P_\theta(du) = \exp(\theta u - \kappa(\theta)) \mu(du) : \theta \in (C, D)\}.$$

For a concise introduction, see [24, Chapter 2]. Most authors take  $\theta$  from the largest admissible interval; ref. [29] restricts  $\theta$  to a maximal open interval.

This family can be conveniently re-parameterized by the mean. Since  $\mu$  is non-degenerate,  $\kappa(\cdot)$  is strictly convex so that  $\kappa'(\cdot)$  is strictly increasing on  $(C, D)$ ; it is also clear that  $\kappa$  is analytic on  $(C, D)$ . Let

$$(1.11) \quad A = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow C^+} \kappa'(\theta), \quad B = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow D^-} \kappa'(\theta).$$

Clearly,  $\kappa' : (C, D) \rightarrow (A, B)$  is invertible, and  $m = \kappa'(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u P_\theta(du) \in (A, B)$ . So for  $\theta \in (C, D)$  probability measure  $P_\theta$  is determined uniquely by its

mean  $m \in (A, B)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the inverse function to  $\kappa'$ , i.e.  $\kappa'(\psi(m)) = m$  and  $\psi(\kappa'(\theta)) = \theta$  for all  $m \in (A, B)$ ,  $\theta \in (C, D)$ . Then the probability measures

$$(1.12) \quad W(m, du) := P_{\psi(m)}(du), \quad m \in (A, B)$$

provide another parametrization of  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ . Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} uW(m, du) = m,$$

this is parametrization by the means. The variance function  $V : (A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is now defined as

$$V(m) = \int (u - m)^2 W(m, du),$$

compare (1.4). Notice that  $V(m) = \kappa''(\psi(m))$ . It is known that the variance function  $V$  together with  $(A, B)$  determines  $\mu$  uniquely, see [24, Theorem 2.11], [31, page 67], or [29, Proposition 2.2].

### 1.3 Exponential Families and Exponential Operators

The connection between exponential families and exponential operators has been noticed in [12, Section 5], see also [38, Theorem 2]. Here we give a somewhat more precise version of this relation that allows for parameter  $\lambda > 0$  thus connecting exponential operators with dispersion models [24].

Suppose that a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  with exponential moments of order  $\theta \in (C, D)$  generates exponential family with the variance function  $V(m)$ ,  $m \in (A, B)$ . For natural  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots$  denote by  $\mu_\lambda$  the  $\lambda$ -dilation of the convolution power  $\mu^{*\lambda}$ , i.e.  $\mu_\lambda(U) := (\mu * \mu * \dots * \mu)(\lambda U)$ . The natural exponential family generated by  $\mu_\lambda$  is the family of measures

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu_\lambda) := \{P_{\lambda, \theta}(du) = \exp(-\theta u - \kappa_\lambda(\theta))\mu_\lambda(du) : \theta \in (C\lambda, D\lambda)\},$$

where  $\kappa_\lambda(\theta) = \lambda\kappa(\theta/\lambda)$ . In particular,  $\psi_\lambda(m)$  which is the inverse of  $\kappa'_\lambda(\theta)$  is  $\psi_\lambda(m) = \lambda\psi(m)$  and the new variance function is

$$(1.13) \quad V_\lambda(m) = \kappa''_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m)) = \frac{V(m)}{\lambda}.$$

Notice that since  $\kappa'_\lambda(\theta) = \kappa'(\theta/\lambda)$ , the limits in (1.11) do not depend on  $\lambda$ . Parameterized by the mean, the family is

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu_\lambda) = \{W_\lambda(m, du) : m \in (A, B)\}.$$

We now verify that these measures satisfy equation (1.2).

**Proposition 1.1.** *If a positive non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  with exponential moments of order  $\theta \in (C, D)$  generates the natural exponential family with the variance function  $V(m)$  defined for  $m \in (A, B)$ , then for natural  $\lambda$*

measures  $\mu_\lambda$  generate the natural exponential family  $W_\lambda(m, du)$  such that the corresponding integral operators

$$S_\lambda(f)(m) = \int f(u)W_\lambda(m, du)$$

are the exponential type operators which satisfy equation (1.2) with  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $v(m) = V(m)$  for  $m \in (A, B)$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify that (1.2) holds with  $v(m) = V(m)$ ,  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since

$$S_\lambda(f)(m) = \int f(u) \exp(\psi_\lambda(m)u - \kappa_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m))) \mu_\lambda(du),$$

differentiating under the integral sign we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial m} W_{\lambda, m}(du) \\ &= \int f(u) \psi'_\lambda(m) (u - \kappa'_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m))) \exp(\psi_\lambda(m)u - \kappa_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m))) \mu_\lambda(du). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\kappa'_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m)) = m$  and  $\psi'_\lambda(m) = 1/\kappa''_\lambda(\psi_\lambda(m)) = 1/V_\lambda(m) = \lambda/V(m)$ , (1.2) follows.  $\square$

*Remark 1.2.* If equation (1.2) has solution  $S_\lambda(f, m)$  for all  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , and  $m \in (A, B)$  then the exponential family generated by  $\mu$  consists of infinitely divisible probability laws.

*Proof.* To prove infinite divisibility, without loss of generality we may concentrate on fixed  $W_1(m_0, \mu) \in \mathcal{F}(\mu)$ . It is well known that with the range of means  $(A, B)$  kept fixed,  $\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \mathcal{F}(W_1(m_0, \mu))$ , see [24, Exercise 2.12].

For  $\lambda = 1/k$  where  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $W_\lambda(m, du), m \in (A, B)$  be the solution of (1.2). The variance function is  $V(m)/\lambda = kV(m)$ . Denote by  $\nu$  the dilation of measure  $W_\lambda(m_0, du)$  by  $k$ . By (1.13), the exponential family  $\mathcal{F}(\nu^{*k})$  has the same variance function  $V(m)$  as the exponential family  $\mathcal{F}(W_1(m_0, du))$ . By uniqueness of parametrization by the means,  $W_1(m_0, du) = \nu^{*k}(du)$ , so infinite divisibility follows.  $\square$

Proposition 1.1 shows that the celebrated result [31, Section 4] can be derived as a consequence of [23, Theorem 3.3]; the latter paper contains also several cubic variance functions and other interesting examples. Another interesting result [29, Proposition 4.4] is a consequence of [20, Theorem 3.8].

## 1.4 Notation

We shall follow the terminology in [13] for hypergeometric functions, namely that

$$(1.14) \quad (a)_n := 1, \quad (a)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (a+j),$$

$${}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n.$$

The modified Bessel functions are [14]

$$(1.15) \quad I_\nu(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{\nu+2n}}{n! \Gamma(n+\nu+1)}, \quad K_\nu(z) := \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{\sin(\pi\nu)}.$$

The Lagrange expansion theorem [33, (L), page 145] says that if  $f(z)$ ,  $\phi(z)$  are analytic in a neighborhood of  $z = 0$ ,  $\phi(0) \neq 0$  and  $\xi := m/\varphi(m)$  then

$$(1.16) \quad f(m(\xi)) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^{n-1} f'(x) [\phi(x)]^n}{dx^{n-1}} \right]_{x=0}.$$

By  $1_{(a,b)}(u)$  we denote the indicator function of  $(a, b)$ .

Occasionally, we also use the  $q$ -notation

$$(a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k),$$

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k),$$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty := (a_1; q)_\infty (a_2; q)_\infty \dots (a_m; q)_\infty,$$

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1},$$

$$[n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1-q)^n},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q! [k]_q!} = \frac{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_n},$$

with the usual conventions  $[0]_q = 0$ ,  $[0]_q! = 1$ . Most of this notation is taken from [17].

## 2 Examples of Variance Functions

### 2.1 $\varepsilon$ -Deformations of Quadratic Variance Functions

Letac and Mora [29, page 3] raise the question of classifying exponential families with variances functions of the form

$$(2.1) \quad P(m) + Q(m) \sqrt{R(m)},$$

where  $P, Q, R$  are polynomials of degree at most 3, 2, 2 respectively. Letac [28, page 74] initiated the study of variance functions (2.1) when  $P$  is a multiple of  $R$ . The latter class was investigated by Kokonendji [27] who also gave an excellent overview of other known cases. Kokonendji [26] used probabilistic techniques to investigate variance functions in the Seshadri's class  $V(m) = \sqrt{R(m)}P(\sqrt{R(m)})$ . This section further advances the investigation of the variance functions (2.1).

We use Proposition 1.1 to identify certain exponential families  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$  with the variance function of the form

$$(2.2) \quad V(m) = (am^2 + bm + c)\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

These are  $\varepsilon$ -deformations of the quadratic variance family  $\mathcal{F}_0$  analyzed in [23] and [31]. From Mora's theorem [24, Theorem 2.12], as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  while  $(A, B)$  is fixed, the corresponding probability laws in  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$  weakly converge to the respective laws in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

We also give two examples of the functions (2.1) which are not the variance functions.

### 2.1.1 Continuous Exponential Families

In this section we consider the following continuous  $\varepsilon$ -deformations:

- (i)  $\varepsilon$ -Gaussian family  $V(m) = (1 + \varepsilon m^2)\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$ ,
- (ii)  $\varepsilon$ -gamma family  $V(m) = m^2\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$ .

The first case (i) gives an infinitely divisible family introduced in [8], see [27, Example 2.5] and [24, Exercise 3.2].

**Theorem 2.1 (Kokonendji [27]).** *For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the exponential family with the variance function*

$$V(m) = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 + \varepsilon m^2)\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{R}$$

*consists of the infinitely divisible probability laws with the densities*

$$(2.3) \quad \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1 + um\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}} - 1\right)\right) \frac{\lambda}{\pi\varepsilon\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon u^2}} K_1\left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon u^2}\right).$$

Before we give a proof of Theorem 2.1 we show how we give a formal argument. In the present case we have

$$c = 0, \quad q(m) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}, \quad g(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon z^2}}, \quad \int_0^m \frac{\theta d\theta}{v(\theta)} = 1/\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}.$$

Now (1.7), after  $z \mapsto \sqrt{\varepsilon}z/\lambda$ ,  $u \mapsto u/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , and  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda\varepsilon$  becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \exp\left(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - z^2}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(uz) C_\lambda(u) du / \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z \in (-\lambda, \lambda).$$

If we know that the left-hand side of the above equation is a bilateral Laplace transform we can use the inversion theorem, Theorem 5a on page 241 of Widder [39, §6.5], and see that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda} C_\lambda(-u)/\sqrt{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp(-\sqrt{\lambda^2 - v^2}) \exp(uv) dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\sqrt{\lambda^2 + v^2}) \cos(uv) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (26), page 16 of [15] implies

$$(2.5) \quad C_\lambda(u) = \frac{\lambda e^\lambda \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\pi \sqrt{1+u^2}} K_1(\lambda \sqrt{1+u^2}).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* We verify (2.4) directly. With the above  $C_\lambda(u)$  the right-hand side of (2.4) is

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\lambda e^\lambda}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cosh(uz) \frac{K_1(\lambda \sqrt{1+u^2})}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} du.$$

In view of [14, (7.2.40)]

$$J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos x, \quad K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x},$$

we apply [14, (7.14.46)] and conclude that the expression in (2.6) equals the left-hand side of (2.4). Substituting back the original values of  $\lambda, z, u$  we get (2.3).  $\square$

We now consider case (ii), which yields the infinitely divisible distributions from [16, page 58].

**Theorem 2.2 (Letac [28, page 46, Example 8.2]).** *For  $\lambda > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ , the natural exponential family with the variance function*

$$V(m) = \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$$

*defined on  $m > 0$ , consists of the absolutely continuous infinitely divisible probability laws*

$$(2.7) \quad \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} m} \right)^\lambda \frac{\lambda}{u} I_\lambda(\sqrt{\varepsilon} u) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda u(\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2})}{m}\right) 1_{(0, \infty)}(u) du.$$

*Proof.* We choose  $c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  and apply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^m \frac{\theta}{v(\theta)} d\theta &= \ln \left( \frac{m \sqrt{\varepsilon} (1 + \sqrt{2})}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}} \right), \\ \int_c^m \frac{d\theta}{v(\theta)} &= \sqrt{2\varepsilon} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}{m}. \end{aligned}$$



Therefore (1.7) gives

$$\left( \frac{m \sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 + \sqrt{2})}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}} \right)^\lambda = \int_0^\infty C_\lambda(u) e^{\lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon} u} \exp\left(-\lambda u(\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2})/m\right) du.$$

To invert the above Laplace we set  $w = (\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2})/m$  so that  $m = 1/\sqrt{w^2 - \varepsilon}$ . Thus for  $w > \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  we need to invert

$$\int_0^\infty C_\lambda(u) e^{\lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon} u} \exp(-\lambda u w) du = \varepsilon^{\lambda/2} (1 + \sqrt{2})^\lambda \left(w + \sqrt{w^2 - \varepsilon}\right)^{-\lambda}.$$

We use (28), page 240 in [15] to invert the above Laplace transform and establish (2.7).  $\square$

### 2.1.2 Discrete Exponential Families

In this section we consider the following cases:

- (i) the  $\varepsilon$ -deformation of the Poisson family  $V(m) = m\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$ ,
- (ii) the discrete  $\varepsilon$ -deformation of the Gaussian family  $V(m) = \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$ .

We first consider case (i). In this case  $B = +\infty$  and we choose  $c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . It is a calculus exercise to derive

$$\int_1^m h(\theta) d\theta = \ln \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + m^2}} \right),$$

$$\int_1^m \theta h(\theta) d\theta = \ln \left( \frac{m + \sqrt{1 + m^2}}{1 + \sqrt{2}} \right) + 1 - m.$$

Hence

$$(2.8) \quad \xi(m) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{\varepsilon}m}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}, \quad \eta(\xi(m)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}m + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}}{1 + \sqrt{2}} \right).$$

With  $\zeta(m) = \xi(m)/(1 + \sqrt{2})$  it follows that  $m = \frac{2\zeta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 - \zeta^2)}$ , so that

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda \eta(\xi(m)) = \ln \left( \frac{1 + \zeta}{1 - \zeta} \right)^{\lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} - \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\left( \frac{1 + \zeta}{1 - \zeta} \right)^\lambda = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, \lambda \\ -\lambda - n + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right) \zeta^n.$$

This proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3 (Letac [28, pg 98, (3)]).** For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the exponential family with the variance function

$$V(m) = \frac{m}{\lambda} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$$

defined on  $m > 0$ , consists of infinitely divisible discrete probability measures

$$(2.10) \quad \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} m + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2} \right)^{-\lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon})_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, & \lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ -\lambda/\sqrt{\varepsilon} - n + 1 & \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right) \\ \times \left( \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon} m}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}} \right)^n \delta_{n/\lambda}(du).$$

We now consider **Case (ii)**. This is again a known case: [24, Exercise 3.15] gives an answer in terms of the compound Poisson law, [27, Example 2.6] writes the answer in terms of  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k(\lambda/\varepsilon) \delta_k(du)$ . We remark that this is an example of a discrete indefinitely divisible natural family to which [29, Proposition 4.4] or [20, Theorem 3.3] cannot be applied.

**Theorem 2.4 (Letac [28, page 100, (8)]).** For  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the natural exponential family with the variance function

$$V(m) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}$$

defined on  $m > 0$ , consists of infinitely divisible discrete probability measures

$$(2.11) \quad e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{(2\varepsilon)^n n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} m + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2} \right)^{2k-n} \delta_{(2k-n)\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\lambda}(du).$$

*Proof.* We choose  $c = 0$  and apply

$$q(m) = \int_c^m \frac{d\theta}{v(\theta)} = \frac{\ln(\sqrt{\varepsilon} m + \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \\ g(z) = q^{-1}(z) = \frac{\sinh(z\sqrt{\varepsilon})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \\ \int_c^m \frac{\theta}{v(\theta)} d\theta = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon m^2} - 1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore (1.7) gives

$$\int \exp(\lambda u z) C_\lambda(du) = \exp(\lambda(\cosh(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z) - 1)/\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda/\varepsilon} \frac{\lambda^n \cosh^n(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z)}{\varepsilon^n n!}.$$

Thus

$$C_\lambda(du) = e^{-\lambda/\varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{(2\varepsilon)^n n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \delta_{(2k-n)\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\lambda}(du)$$

is just the compound  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\lambda} + \delta_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\lambda})$ -Poisson law. Using the transform equation (1.6) we establish (2.11).  $\square$

## 2.2 A Rational Variance Function

Letac and Mora [29, page 15] indicate that for  $p_j > 0$  the variance function

$$V(m) = \frac{m}{(1 - m/p_1)(1 - m/p_2) \dots (1 - m/p_k)}$$

corresponds to a discrete infinitely divisible exponential family which is difficult to determine explicitly. Here we consider  $v(m) = m/(1 - m)$  which by dilation answers the question for  $k = 1$ .

In this case  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  of (1.8) with  $c = 1/2$  are

$$\xi(m) = 2\sqrt{e}me^{-m}, \quad \exp(\eta(\xi(m))) = \exp\left(m - \frac{1}{2}m^2 - \frac{3}{8}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2 + \frac{1}{8}\right).$$

With  $\phi(z) = e^z/(2\sqrt{e})$ ,  $f(m) = \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{2}(m-1)^2 + \lambda/8)$  in (1.16) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad e^{\lambda\eta(\xi)} &= e^{-3\lambda/8} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda/8}\xi^n}{2^n e^{n/2}n!} \left[ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} e^{nx} \frac{d}{dx} \exp(-\lambda(x-1)^2/2) \right]_{x=0} \\ &= e^{-3\lambda/8} + e^{\lambda/8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{2^n e^{n/2}n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} n^{n-1-k} \left[ \frac{d^{k+1}}{dx^{k+1}} \exp(-\lambda(x-1)^2/2) \right]_{x=0}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $a > 0$  we have

$$\left[ \frac{d^k}{dx^k} e^{-a(x-1)^2} \right]_{x=0} = e^{-a} a^{k/2} H_k(\sqrt{a}),$$

where

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^j n!}{j!(n-2j)!} x^{n-2j}$$

are Hermite polynomials. Therefore (1.10) gives the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For  $\lambda > 0$ , the natural exponential family with the variance function*

$$V(m) = \frac{m}{\lambda(1-m)}$$

*defined on  $0 < m < 1$ , is generated by the infinitely divisible discrete probability law  $\mu_\lambda(du) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda)\delta_n(du)$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad \phi_0(\lambda) &:= \exp(-3\lambda/8), \\ \phi_n(\lambda) &= \frac{e^{-3\lambda/8}}{2^n e^{n/2}n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} n^{n-1-k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{(k+1)/2} H_{k+1}(\sqrt{\lambda/2}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that by [29, Corollary 3.3] applied to the interval  $M_F = (0, 1)$  we have  $\phi_n(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Remark 2.6.* One can also write (2.13) as

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{e^{-3\lambda/8}}{2^{n+1}e^{n/2}n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} n^{n-k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{(k-1)/2} H_{k+1}(\sqrt{\lambda/2}).$$

Similar calculations for  $v(m) = m/(1+m)$  lead to  $\phi_4(1) = -\sqrt{e}/64$ , so this is not a variance function.

### 2.3 Positivity of $W_\lambda(m, du)$

It is important to note that a given  $v(m)$  does not necessarily determine a distribution regardless of the choice of  $\lambda > 0$ . Ismail gave such example in [20]. In this section we elaborate on this example and on another example of the form (2.1).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $v(m) = m\sqrt{1-m}$ ,  $m \in (0, 1)$ . With  $c = 1/2$  we find that

$$\xi(m) = m \left[ \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-m}}{(\sqrt{2}-1)m} \right]^2, \quad \eta(\xi) = \sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{1-m}.$$

With  $C := (\sqrt{2}-1)^2$  we have that

$$\eta(\xi) = \sqrt{2} - 2 + \frac{4C\xi}{1+C\xi}.$$

Therefore (1.10) becomes

$$(2.14) \quad \exp\left(\lambda(\sqrt{2}-2) + 4\lambda C\xi/(1+C\xi)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda)\xi^n.$$

The information recorded so far is from [20]. Comparing (4.1) and (10.2.17), page 189 in [14] we see that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_0(\lambda) &= \exp\left(\lambda(\sqrt{2}-2)\right), \\ \phi_n(\lambda) &= -4\lambda(-C)^n \exp\left(\lambda(\sqrt{2}-2)\right) L_{n-1}^{(-1)}(4\lambda), \quad n > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_n^{(-1)}(x)$  is the Laguerre polynomial. Now (1.9) shows that  $W_\lambda$  is a probability distribution if and only if  $\phi_n(\lambda) \geq 0$  at the special value of  $\lambda$  under consideration. On the other hand Fejér's formula [35, Theorem 8.22.1] shows that  $L_n^{(-1)}(4\lambda)$  is oscillatory at large  $n$  for any fixed positive  $\lambda$ . Thus there is no  $\lambda$  for which  $W_\lambda$  is a probability distribution. This is an instance of the usefulness of having the parameter  $\lambda$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let us now consider the case

$$v(m) = \sqrt{1-m^2}.$$

We take  $c = 0$ . Thus  $q(m) = \arcsin m$ ,  $g(z) = \sin z$ , and  $\int_0^m \frac{tdt}{v(t)} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - m^2}$ . To determine  $C_\lambda(u)$  we need to invert

$$\exp(\lambda(1 - \cos z)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_\lambda(u) e^{\lambda uz} du,$$

for all  $z$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z \in \operatorname{Range} \text{ of } q(t)$ ,  $t \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ . Formula (46) page 55 of [14] is

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^\infty K_{ix}(a) \cos(xy) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-a \cosh y}.$$

For large  $p$  and fixed  $a$ , (19) page 88 in [14] is

$$K_{ip}(a) = \frac{\sqrt{2} \exp(-p\pi/2)}{(p^2 - a^2)^{1/4}} (1 + o(1)).$$

Therefore (2.16) gives

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{ix}(\lambda) e^{ixy} dx = e^{-\lambda \cosh y}.$$

This implies

$$(2.18) \quad W_\lambda(m, u) = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} K_{i\lambda u}(\lambda) \exp\left(\lambda\sqrt{1 - m^2} - \lambda u \arcsin m\right).$$

Note that  $K_{i\lambda u}(\lambda)$  is real since  $K_\nu(x) = K_{-\nu}(x)$  but it fails to be positive for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Indeed, the second derivative  $\frac{d^2}{dy^2}$  of the right hand side of (2.17) at  $y = 0$  fails to be negative as it equals  $\lambda e^{-\lambda}$ .

### 3 $q$ -Exponential Families with $|q| < 1$

Recall that for  $-1 < q < 1$  the  $q$ -differentiation operator is

$$(D_{q,x}f)(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x - qx} \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$

The  $q$ -analogue of the differential equation (1.2) is

$$(3.1) \quad D_{q,m}w(m, u) = w(m, u) \frac{u - m}{V(m)}.$$

This equivalent to

$$(3.2) \quad w(m, u) = \frac{w(mq, u)}{1 + m(1 - q)(m - u)/V(m)}.$$

When  $V(0) \neq 0$  we can rescale  $m$  and  $u$  by a dilation to make  $V(0) = 1$ . Now (3.2) has the solution

$$(3.3) \quad w(m, u) = C(u) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{V(q^n m)}{V(m) + m(1 - q)(m - u)},$$

provided that the infinite products converge.

For compactly supported measures, the following extends the notion of exponential family from  $q = 1$  to  $q \in (-1, 1)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A family of probability measures

$$\mathcal{F}(V) = \{w(m, u)\mu(du) : m \in (A, B)\}$$

is a  $q$ -exponential family with the variance function  $V$  if

- (i)  $\mu$  is compactly supported,
- (ii)  $0 \in (A, B)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} w(t, u) = w(0, u) \equiv 1$  for all  $u \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ ,
- (iii)  $V > 0$  on  $(A, B)$  and (3.1) holds for all  $m \neq 0$ .

Applying  $D_{q,m}$  to both sides of  $\int w(m, u)\mu(du) = 1$ , from (3.1) we deduce that

$$(3.4) \quad \int uw(m, u)\mu(du) = m.$$

This shows that family  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  is parameterized by the mean. Applying  $D_{q,m}$  to both sides of (3.4), we deduce that

$$(3.5) \quad \int (u - m)^2 w(m, u)\mu(du) = V(m).$$

Thus  $V$  is the variance function for  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ ; compare (1.4).

We now show that quadratic variance functions determine  $q$ -exponential families uniquely.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  is a  $q$ -exponential family with the variance function*

$$V(m) = 1 + am + bm^2$$

*and  $b > -1 + \max\{q, 0\}$  then*

$$(3.6) \quad w(m, u) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 + amq^k + bm^2q^{2k}}{1 + (a - (1 - q)u)mq^k + (b + 1 - q)m^2q^{2k}}$$

*and  $\mu(du)$  is a uniquely determined probability measure with the absolutely continuous part supported on the interval  $\frac{a}{1-q} - \frac{2\sqrt{b+1-q}}{1-q} < u < \frac{a}{1-q} + \frac{2\sqrt{b+1-q}}{1-q}$  and no discrete part if  $a^2 < 4b$*

We remark that for  $b \geq 0$  the above family of laws  $\mu$  appears in [10] in connection to a quadratic regression problem. When  $q \geq 0$ , one could also allow  $b = -1/[N]_q$  for some integer  $N \geq 1$  yielding a discrete measure  $\mu$  supported on  $N + 1$  points, compare (4.5) when  $b = -1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* We rewrite (3.1) as

$$(3.7) \quad w(m, u) = \frac{V(m)}{V(m) - (1-q)(u-m)m} w(qm, u).$$

Thus

$$w(m, u) = w(q^{n+1}m, u) \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{1 + amq^k + bm^2q^{2k}}{1 + (a - (1-q)u)mq^k + (b+1-q)m^2q^{2k}}$$

from which (3.6) follows by taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now recall that for  $|t|$  small enough,

$$(3.8) \quad w(t, u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} p_n(u),$$

is the generating function of the monic Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials

$$(3.9) \quad up_n(u) = p_{n+1}(u) + a[n]_q p_n(u) + (1 + b[n-1]_q)[n]_q p_{n-1}(u).$$

This holds because the right hand side of (3.8) satisfies (3.1), see [2]. Since  $\mu$  is compactly supported, we can integrate (3.8) term by term for  $|t|$  small enough; we deduce that  $\int p_n(u)\mu(du) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This determines probability measure  $\mu$  as the measure of orthogonality of polynomials  $p_n$ .

Explicit formulas can be read out from [6, Chapter 3], see also [7]. To use these results, we reparameterize (3.9) as follows. Let  $\tilde{p}_n(x) = \alpha^{-n} p_n(\alpha x + \beta)$  with  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{b+1-q}}{\sqrt{1-q}}$ ,  $\beta = a/(1-q)$ . Then  $\tilde{p}_n(x)$  satisfy the three step recurrence

$$(x - \tilde{a}q^n)\tilde{p}_n(x) = \tilde{p}_{n+1}(x) + (1 - \tilde{b}q^{n-1})[n]_q \tilde{p}_{n-1}(x)$$

with  $\tilde{a} = -\frac{a}{\sqrt{1-q}\sqrt{b+1-q}}$ ,  $\tilde{b} = \frac{b}{b+1-q}$ . □

The technique we used in the proof of will not work beyond polynomials of degree at most 2. Al-Salam and Chihara [3] proved that the only orthogonal polynomials  $\{p_n(x)\}$  with the generating function

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n = A(t) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - axH(tq^k)}{1 - bxK(tq^k)}$$

where  $A, H, K$  are formal power series with

$$(3.11) \quad A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad H(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n t^n, \quad K(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n t^n$$

with  $a_0 h_1 k_1 \neq 0$  and  $|a| + |b| \neq 0$  are the Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials if  $ab = 0$  and the  $q$ -Pollaczek polynomials if  $ab \neq 0$ . Theorem 3.2 corresponds to  $a = 0$ . The  $q$ -Pollaczek polynomials are in [11].

(A related result appears also in [5, Theorem 23].)

## 4 Free Exponential Families

The case  $q = 0$  can be analyzed more directly. Since it is related to free convolution of measures, it is of interest to elaborate explicitly on the details.

### 4.1 Free Convolution of measures

We recall the analytic definition of the free convolution of compactly supported probability measures due to Voiculescu [36], see also [37, Section 2.4], [19, Chapter 3]. The Cauchy-Stieltjes transform

$$(4.1) \quad G_\mu(z) := \int \frac{1}{z-u} \mu(du)$$

of a probability measure  $\mu$  is analytic in  $\Re z > 0$ . It is known that its inverse  $G^{-1}(z)$  exists for  $|z|$  large enough. The  $R$ -transform of  $\mu$  defined as  $R_\mu(z) = G^{-1}(z) - 1/z$  plays the role of the cumulant generating function. A probability measure  $\mu$  is the free additive convolution of probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  if

$$R_\mu(z) = R_{\mu_1}(z) + R_{\mu_2}(z).$$

We write  $\mu = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ .

The free cumulants of  $\mu$  are the coefficients of the expansions

$$(4.2) \quad R_\mu(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(\mu) z^{n-1}.$$

### 4.2 Exponential Families with $q = 0$

As previously, we consider  $A < 0 < B$  and assume that  $V > 0$  on  $(A, B)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A free exponential family with the variance function  $V(m) > 0$  in a neighborhood of 0 is a family of probability measures of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(V) := \left\{ \frac{V(m)}{V(m) + m(m-u)} \mu(du) : m \in (A, B) \right\},$$

where  $\mu$  is a compactly supported probability measure.

It is easy to verify that (4.3) defines a family of measures which fulfills all the requirements of Definition 3.1, including equation (3.1) with  $q = 0$ . It is also clear that the interval  $(A, B)$  must be chosen so that the integral (4.3) converges.

For the purpose of determining measure  $\mu$  alone, the role of the interval  $(A, B)$  is insignificant. Namely, if  $V$  is a real analytic function at 0, then  $\mu$  is determined uniquely by  $V$ . Indeed, since  $V(0) \neq 0$ , the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform (4.1) is well defined for all real  $z = m + \frac{V(m)}{m}$  large enough, i.e. for all  $m$  close enough to 0, and is given by

$$(4.4) \quad G_\mu(z) = \frac{m}{V(m)}.$$



This determines  $G_\mu(z)$  uniquely as an analytic function outside of the support of  $\mu$ .

In particular, with  $V(m) = 1 + am + bm^2$ , equation  $z = m + \frac{V(m)}{m}$  can be solved for  $m$ , giving

$$m = \frac{z - a - \sqrt{(a - z)^2 - 4(1 + b)}}{2(1 + b)},$$

and

$$G(z) = \frac{a + z + 2bz - \sqrt{(a - z)^2 - 4(1 + b)}}{2(1 + az + bz^2)}.$$

This Cauchy-Stieltjes transform appears in [9, (2)] in a non-commutative quadratic regression problem. It also appears in [4, Theorem 4], [34], and [10, Theorem 4.3]. The corresponding laws are the free-Meixner laws

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(du) = \frac{\sqrt{4(1 + b) - (u - a)^2}}{2\pi(bu^2 + au + 1)} 1_{(a - 2\sqrt{1 + b}, a + 2\sqrt{1 + b})} du + p_1 \delta_{u_1} + p_2 \delta_{u_2}.$$

The discrete part of  $\mu$  is absent except for the following cases:

- (i) if  $b = 0, a^2 > 1$ , then  $p_1 = 1 - 1/a^2, u_1 = -1/a, p_2 = 0$ .
- (ii) if  $b > 0$  and  $a^2 > 4b$ , then  $p_1 = \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{|a| - \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}{2b\sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}\right\}, p_2 = 0$ , and  $u_1 = \pm \frac{|a| - \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}{2b}$  with the sign opposite to the sign of  $a$ .
- (iii) if  $-1 \leq b < 0$  then there are two atoms at

$$u_{1,2} = \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}{2b}, \quad p_{1,2} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 4b} \mp a}{2b\sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}.$$

This proves the free version of [23, Theorem 3.3], see also [31, Section 4].

**Theorem 4.2.** *The free exponential family with the variance function*

$$V(m) = 1 + am + bm^2$$

and  $b > -1$  consists of probability measures (4.3) with  $\mu$  given by (4.5).

We remark that if  $b \geq 0$  then  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible with respect to free convolution. In particular, up to dilation and convolution with degenerate  $\delta_m$  (i.e. up to "type") measure  $\mu$  is

- (i) the Wigner's semicircle (free Gaussian) law if  $a = b = 0$ ; see [37, Section 2.5];
- (ii) the Marchenko-Pastur (free Poisson) type law if  $b = 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ ; see [37, Section 2.7];

- (iii) the free Pascal (negative binomial) type law if  $b > 0$  and  $a^2 > 4b$ ; see [34, Example 3.6];
- (iv) the free Gamma type law if  $b > 0$  and  $a^2 = 4b$ ; see [9, Proposition 3.6];
- (v) the free analog of hyperbolic type law if  $b > 0$  and  $a^2 < 4b$ ; see [4, Theorem 4];
- (vi) the free binomial type law if  $-1 \leq b < 0$ ; see [34, Example 3.4].

We conclude this section with the free version of Proposition 1.1. Recall that the  $\lambda$ -fold free convolution  $\mu^{\boxplus \lambda}$  is well defined for the continuous range of values  $\lambda \geq 1$ , see [32]. Let  $\mu_\lambda$  be the dilation by  $\lambda \geq 1$  of the free convolution power  $\mu^{\boxplus \lambda}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *If a compactly supported probability measure  $\mu$  generates the free exponential family (4.3) with the real-analytic variance function  $V > 0$  on  $(A, B)$ , then for all  $\lambda \geq 1$  measures  $\mu_\lambda$  generate the free exponential family with the variance function  $V(m)/\lambda$ .*

*Moreover, if for every  $0 < \lambda < 1$  there is a  $\mu_\lambda$  which generates the free exponential family with the variance function  $V(m)/\lambda$ , then  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible with respect to the free convolution.*

*Proof.* From (4.4) we determine

$$(4.6) \quad R_\mu \left( \frac{m}{V(m)} \right) = m,$$

which determines the  $R$ -transform  $R_\mu$  uniquely in a neighborhood of 0. Repeating the same calculation with the  $R$ -transform  $R_{\mu_\lambda}$  of measure  $\mu_\lambda$ , we get

$$R_{\mu_\lambda} \left( \frac{m}{V(m)} \right) = \lambda m.$$

Thus

$$\int \frac{V(m)}{V(m) + \lambda m(m-u)} \mu_\lambda(du) = 1$$

for all  $|m|$  small enough, and  $\mu_\lambda$  generates the corresponding free family (4.3).

The second part follows from the relation

$$R_{\mu_\lambda} \left( \frac{m}{V(m)} \right) = \lambda R_\mu \left( \frac{m}{V(m)} \right),$$

which proves that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible with respect to the free convolution.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* Combining (4.6) with (1.16) we see that the free exponential family with the analytic variance function  $V$  is defined by the unique centered probability measure  $\mu$  with free cumulants

$$k_{n+1}(\mu) = \left[ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} V^n(t) \right]_{t=0}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

## 5 $q$ -Exponential Families for $q > 1$

In this section it is convenient to set  $q = \frac{1}{p}$  with  $0 < p < 1$ , and to use again auxiliary parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . The  $q$ -analogue of the differential equation (1.2) is

$$(5.1) \quad D_{q,m}W_\lambda(m, du) = W_\lambda(m, du) \frac{\lambda(u-m)}{v(m)}.$$

As previously, from  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} W_\lambda(m, du) = 1$  we deduce by  $q$ -differentiation that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} uW_\lambda(m, du) = m$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u-m)^2 W_\lambda(m, du) = v(m)/\lambda$ .  
With

$$\lambda_1 := \lambda(1-p)$$

we find that (5.1) is

$$(5.2) \quad W_\lambda(m, du) = W_\lambda(pm, du) \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda_1(u-pm)m}{v(pm)} \right].$$

We first consider (5.2) when  $q = \infty$ . The general case of  $v(0) \neq 0$  reduces to the case  $v(0) = 1$ . Substituting  $p = 0$  into (5.2) we get

$$W_\lambda(m, du) = (1 + \lambda mu) C_\lambda(du),$$

which is an analog of equation (1.2) corresponding to  $q = \infty$ . From this, it is clear that any probability measure  $C_\lambda(du)$  such that  $\int u C_\lambda(du) = 0$ ,  $\int u^2 C_\lambda(du) = 1/\lambda$  determines its own  $q$ -exponential family as long as  $1 + \lambda um \geq 0$  on the support of  $C_\lambda(du)$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that the only choice of  $v(m)$  is a quadratic polynomial  $v(m) = 1 + bm - \lambda m^2$  where  $b = \lambda a^2 \int u^3 C_\lambda(du)$ . This shows that  $q$ -exponential families for  $q = \infty$  are not determined uniquely by their variance functions.

It is plausible that non-uniqueness persists for all  $q > 1$ . For example, the  $q$ -Hermite polynomials  $\{h_n(x|q)\}$  in [22] correspond to probability measures which are not determined uniquely by moments. The  $N$ -extremal solutions of the moment problem, [1], are given by a one-parameter family  $\{\mu(du; a) : a \in (p, 1)\}$  which is completely characterized by Ismail and Masson in [22], see also Chapter 21 in [21]. Unfortunately, the construction of the corresponding exponential family via equation (5.1) led us to the family of measures

$$(5.3) \quad W_\lambda(m, du) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_1 mu/q^k - \lambda_1 m^2/q^{2k}) \mu(du; a)$$

with negative densities.

The non-uniqueness within the class of quadratic variance functions  $v(m)$  is confirmed by the following two examples.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the absolutely continuous family with support in  $(0, \infty)$  with the density

$$(5.4) \quad w_\lambda(m, u) = \frac{(p^{-\lambda} - 1)^\lambda (p; p)_\infty \sin(\pi\lambda)}{\pi m^\lambda (p^{1-\lambda}; p)_\infty} \frac{u^{\lambda-1}}{(-u(p^{-\lambda} - 1)/m; p)_\infty}.$$

This is the case of  $p$ -Laguerre polynomials [25, §3.21]. With  $q = 1/p$ , a calculation verifies that

$$(5.5) \quad D_{q,m} w_\lambda(m, u) = \frac{p(1-p^\lambda)}{m^2(1-p)} w_\lambda(m, u)(u-m).$$

Now (3.21.2), page 108 of [25] shows that

$$(5.6) \quad \int_0^\infty w_\lambda(m, u) du = 1, \quad \int_0^\infty w_\lambda(m, u) u du = m.$$

(The latter integral follows also from the former by  $q$ -differentiation and (5.5).) Thus

$$\mathcal{F} = \{w_\lambda(m, u)1_{u>0} du : m > 0\}$$

is parameterized by the mean. Applying  $D_{q,m}$  again, we get the variance function

$$(5.7) \quad V(m) = \int_0^\infty (u-m)^2 W_\lambda(m, du) = \frac{m^2}{\lambda_q}$$

with  $\lambda_q = \frac{1-p}{p(1-p^\lambda)}$ . This is a continuous  $q$ -analogue of the gamma family with  $v(m) = m^2$ .

**Example 5.2.** For  $m > 0$ , consider the family of discrete measures

$$W_\lambda(m, du) = w_\lambda(m, u)\mu(du)$$

with the density

$$(5.8) \quad w_\lambda(m, u) = u^\lambda \frac{(-c, -p/c; p)}{(-cu, -cp^\lambda, -c^{-1}p^{-\lambda a+1}; p)}, \quad c = (p^{-\lambda} - 1)/m$$

with respect to discrete measure

$$\mu(du) = \frac{(p^\lambda; q)_\infty}{(p; p)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{p^n}(du).$$

This is again related to  $p$ -Laguerre polynomials [25, §3.21]. With  $q = 1/p$ , a calculation verifies that (5.5) holds.

Now (3.21.3), page 108 of [25] shows that

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_\lambda(m, u) \mu(du) = 1.$$

As previously, applying  $D_{q,m}$  to both sides of (5.9) and using (5.5) we get

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u w_\lambda(m, u) \mu(du) = m.$$

Applying  $D_{q,m}$  to both sides of (5.10) and using (5.5) again, we get  $V(m) = m^2/\lambda_q$ , compare (5.7). Thus  $\{W_\lambda(m, du) : m > 0\}$  is a discrete  $q$ -analogue of the gamma exponential family; it shares the variance function and the  $q$ -differential equation with the continuous  $q$ -analogue of the gamma exponential family from the previous example.

## 6 Shifted $q$ -Exponential Families

The special role played by 0 in Definition 3.1 is due to the fact that  $q$ -derivative  $D_{q,x}$  is dilation invariant but not translation invariant. More generally, we consider the  $L$ -operator introduced by Hahn [18]. This is a  $q$ -differentiation operator centered at  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , which we can write as

$$(6.1) \quad ({}_{\theta}\tilde{D}_{q,x}f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx + (1-q)\theta)}{(1-q)(x-\theta)}, \quad x \neq \theta, \quad q \neq 1.$$

The usual  $q$ -derivative  $D_{q,x}$  corresponds to  $\theta = 0$ . For  $\theta \neq 0$  a dilation reduces all such operators to  $\theta = 1$ , in which case we use shorter notation

$$(6.2) \quad \tilde{D}_{q,x} := {}_1\tilde{D}_{q,x}.$$

Note that

$$\tilde{D}_{q,x}1 = 0, \quad \tilde{D}_{q,x}x = 1.$$

With  $A \leq 1 \leq B$  and  $V > 0$  on  $(A, B)$ , the shifted  $q$ -exponential family with variance function  $V$  is the family of probability measures

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta} = \{w(m, u) \mu(du) : m \in (A, B)\}$$

such that

$$\tilde{D}_{q,m}w(m, u) = w(m, u) \frac{u - m}{V(m)}.$$

In this discussion we are less restrictive than in Definition 3.1: in the admissible range of values of  $\theta$  we include the end-points of  $(A, B)$ , and we allow non-compact support for  $\mu$ . Such a generalization is beyond the scope of this paper, so we give only one explicit example for  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $B = 1$  and one for  $q > 1$ ,  $A = 1$ .

**Example 6.1.** Consider the case of the Wall polynomials, see [25, §3.20]. In this case we have a family of discrete probability measures

$$W(m, du) = w(m, u) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(q; q)_n} \delta_{q^n}(du),$$

where the density is

$$(6.3) \quad w(m, u) = a^{\ln u / \ln q} (aq; q)_{\infty}, \quad a = (1 - m)/q.$$

From (3.20.3) in [25] we see that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_1(u; a|q)w(m, u)du = 0$ , which implies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - ap - u)w(m, u)du = 0$ . Hence the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{W(m, du) : 0 < m < 1\}$$

is again parameterized by the mean,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} uW(m, du) = m.$$

From [25, (3.20.3)] we calculate the variance function

$$V(m) = m(1 - m)(1 - q).$$

Now

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{D}_{q,m}w(m, u) = \frac{u - m}{(1 - q)m(1 - m)} w(m, u).$$

Thus (6.3) defines a shifted analog of the  $q$ -Binomial family. Note that although equation (6.4) makes sense also for  $q = 0$ , it then gives a degenerated law  $\delta_1$ , not the translation of a free binomial law.

**Example 6.2.** For  $0 < p < 1$ , let  $q = 1/p$  and consider the Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials  $\{V_n^{(a)}(x; p)\}$ , [25, §3.25]. Let

$$(6.5) \quad W(m, du) = w(m, u)\mu(du) = a^{-\ln u / \ln p}(aq/u; p)_\infty \mu(du),$$

where  $\mu(du) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n^2} / (p; p)_n \delta_{p^{-n}}(du)$  and  $m = a + 1$ . Now with  $q = 1/p$  we have

$$\tilde{D}_{q,m}w(m, u) = \frac{w(m, u)}{(m - 1)(1 - 1/p)} \{1 - u(1 - (m - 1)/u)\},$$

which simplifies to

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{D}_{q,m}w(m, u) = \frac{p}{1 - p} w(m, u) \frac{u - m}{m - 1}.$$

Since [25, formula (3.25.2)] implies  $\int_{[0, \infty)} W(m, du) = 1$ , therefore applying  $\tilde{D}_{q,m}$  and taking (6.6) into account we deduce  $\int_{[0, \infty)} uW(m, du) = m$ . Similarly  $V(m) = (1 - p)(m - 1)/p$ . Thus (6.5) defines the family of measures

$$\mathcal{F} = \{W(m, du) : m > 1\},$$

which is a shifted  $q$ -analogue of the Poisson exponential family with  $q = 1/p > 1$ .

## Acknowledgements

The first named author (WB) thanks J. Wesolowski for suggesting the study of  $q$ -generalized exponential families, and additional references. He also thanks A. Vandal for a copy of his unpublished work and reference [38]. and J. Chachulska for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer. *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*. Translated by N. Kemmer. Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965.

- [2] W. A. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara. Convolutions of orthonormal polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 7(1):16–28, 1976.
- [3] W. A. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara.  $q$ -Pollaczek polynomials and a conjecture of Andrews and Askey. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 18(1):228–242, 1987.
- [4] M. Anshelevich. Free martingale polynomials. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 201:228–261, 2003. arXiv:math.CO/0112194.
- [5] M. Anshelevich. Appell polynomials and their relatives. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 65:3469–3531, 2004. arXiv:math.CO/0311043.
- [6] R. Askey and M. Ismail. Recurrence relations, continued fractions, and orthogonal polynomials. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49(300):iv+108, 1984.
- [7] R. Askey and J. Wilson. Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 54(319):iv+55, 1985.
- [8] O. Barndorff-Nielsen. Hyperbolic distributions and distributions on hyperbolae. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 5(3):151–157, 1978.
- [9] M. Bożejko and W. Bryc. On a class of free Lévy laws related to a regression problem. *Journ. Function. Analysis*, 2005. (to appear), arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0410601.
- [10] W. Bryc and J. Wołowski. Conditional moments of  $q$ -Meixner processes. *Probability Theory Related Fields*, 131:415–441, 2005. arxiv.org/abs/math.PR/0403016.
- [11] J. A. Charris and M. E. H. Ismail. On sieved orthogonal polynomials. V. Sieved Pollaczek polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 18(4):1177–1218, 1987.
- [12] A. Di Bucchianico and D. E. Loeb. Natural exponential families and umbral calculus. In *Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota (Cambridge, MA, 1996)*, volume 161 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 195–211. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [13] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi. *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.
- [14] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi. *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. II.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.

- [15] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi. *Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.
- [16] W. Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II.* Second edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1971.
- [17] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic hypergeometric series*, volume 96 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2004. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- [18] W. Hahn. Über Orthogonalpolynome, die  $q$ -Differenzgleichungen genügen. *Math. Nachr.*, 2:4–34, 1949.
- [19] F. Hiai and D. Petz. *The semicircle law, free random variables and entropy*, volume 77 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [20] M. E. H. Ismail. Polynomials of binomial type and approximation theory. *J. Approx. Theory*, 23(3):177–186, 1978.
- [21] M. E. H. Ismail. *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in one Variable*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [22] M. E. H. Ismail and D. R. Masson.  $q$ -Hermite polynomials, biorthogonal rational functions, and  $q$ -beta integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 346(1):63–116, 1994.
- [23] M. E. H. Ismail and C. P. May. On a family of approximation operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 63(2):446–462, 1978.
- [24] B. Jørgensen. *The theory of dispersion models*, volume 76 of *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1997.
- [25] R. Koekoek and R. Swarttouw. The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its  $q$ -analogues. Technical Report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology and Systems, Department of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, 1998. <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/askey/>.
- [26] C. C. Kokonendji. Exponential families with variance functions in  $\sqrt{\Delta}P(\sqrt{\Delta})$ : Seshadri's class. *Test*, 3(2):123–172, 1994.
- [27] C. C. Kokonendji. Sur les familles exponentielles naturelles réelles de grand-Babel. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 4(4):763–800, 1995.
- [28] G. Letac. *Lectures on natural exponential families and their variance functions*, volume 50 of *Monografías de Matemática [Mathematical Monographs]*. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 1992.



- [29] G. Letac and M. Mora. Natural real exponential families with cubic variance functions. *Ann. Statist.*, 18(1):1–37, 1990.
- [30] C. P. May. Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential-type operators. *Canad. J. Math.*, 28(6):1224–1250, 1976.
- [31] C. N. Morris. Natural exponential families with quadratic variance functions. *Ann. Statist.*, 10(1):65–80, 1982.
- [32] A. Nica and R. Speicher. On the multiplication of free  $N$ -tuples of non-commutative random variables. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118(4):799–837, 1996.
- [33] G. Pólya and G. Szegő. *Problems and theorems in analysis. I*, volume 193 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978. Series, integral calculus, theory of functions, Translated from the German by D. Aeppli, Corrected printing of the revised translation of the fourth German edition.
- [34] N. Saitoh and H. Yoshida. The infinite divisibility and orthogonal polynomials with a constant recursion formula in free probability theory. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 21(1):159–170, 2001.
- [35] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., fourth edition, 1975. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII.
- [36] D. Voiculescu. Addition of certain noncommuting random variables. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 66(3):323–346, 1986.
- [37] D. Voiculescu. Lectures on free probability theory. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1998)*, volume 1738 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 279–349. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [38] R. W. M. Wedderburn. Quasi-likelihood functions, generalized linear models, and the Gauss-Newton method. *Biometrika*, 61:439–447, 1974.
- [39] D. V. Widder. *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 6. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.
- [40] A. H. Zemanian. *Distribution theory and transform analysis. An introduction to generalized functions, with applications*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1965.