

# THERE ARE INFINITELY MANY PAIRS OF TWIN PRIME

ZHANLE DU AND SHOUYU DU

ABSTRACT. We proved that there are infinitely many pairs of twin prime.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_v\} = \{2, 3, \dots, p_v\}$  be the primes not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ , then the number of primes not exceeding  $n$  [1] is,

$$(1.1) \quad \pi(n) = \begin{cases} (\pi(\sqrt{n}) - 1) + n - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_2} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_v} \right\rfloor \right) \\ + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1 p_2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1 p_3} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_{v-1} p_v} \right\rfloor \right) + \dots \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we can write it as,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(n) &= (\pi(\sqrt{n}) - 1) + n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right] \dots \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_v} \right] \\ &= (\pi(\sqrt{n}) - 1) + n \prod_{i=1}^v \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right] = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_i} \right\rfloor$ ,  $n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} \right] = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor$ . The operator  $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right]$  will leave the items which are not multiples of  $p_i$ .

In this paper,  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$  is the floor function,  $\lceil x \rceil \geq x$  the ceiling function of  $x$ . The integral operator  $[\ ]$ , which is not the floor function in this paper, has meanings only operating on (real) number:  $m[\ ] = [m] = \lfloor m \rfloor$ .

If  $p_t$  and  $p_t + 2$  are both primes, then this prime pair is called twin primes. Is there infinitely twin primes? It is a long-term unsolved conjecture[1, 2, 3, 4]. We will proved it as theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There are infinitely many pairs of twin prime.*

## 2. THE NUMBER OF TWIN PRIMES FROM $p_v^2$ TO $p_{v+1}^2$

Let  $Z = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} (n < p_{v+1}^2)$  be a natural arithmetic progression,  $Z' = Z + 2 = \{3, 5, \dots, n + 2\}$  be its accompanying arithmetic progression, so that  $Z'_k = Z_k + 2, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . There are  $n$  such pairs.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} Z &= \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \} \\ Z' &= \{ 3, 5, \dots, n + 2 \}. \end{cases}$$

*Date:* Oct.3, 2005.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A41.

*Key words and phrases.* prime, twin primes, floor function, ceiling function, integral operator.

All rights reserved. This is one of a serial works, and was supported financially by Pro. Wei WANG and on loan in part.

Corresponding author: Zhanle Du.

If we delete all the pairs in which one or both items  $Z_k$  and  $Z'_k$  are composite integers of all primes  $p_i \leq \sqrt{n}$ , then the pairs left are all twin primes.

For a given  $p_i$ , first we delete the multiples of  $p_i$  in set  $Z$ , or the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad y(p_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_i} \right\rfloor \equiv n \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_i} \right\rfloor.$$

Secondly we delete the multiples of  $p_i$  in  $Z'$ , i.e. the items of  $Z'_k \bmod p_i = 0$ , or  $Z_k \bmod p_i = p_i - 2$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad y'(p_i) = \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{p_i} \right\rfloor \equiv n \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right\rfloor.$$

Because  $Z_k \bmod p_i \neq Z'_k \bmod p_i$  when  $p_i \neq 2$ , the items deleted by  $y(p_i)$  and  $y'(p_i)$  are not the same. For  $p_i = 2$ ,  $Z_k \bmod p_i = Z'_k \bmod p_i$ , we need only delete the items in set  $Z$ :

$$(2.4) \quad y'(p_i) = 0, \quad \text{for } p_i = 2.$$

Eq. (2.2) will delete all pairs with  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0$  in set  $Z$ , and Eq. (2.3) will delete all pairs with  $Z_k \bmod p_i = p_i - 2$  in set  $Z$ .

$$(2.5) \quad y'(p_i) = n \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right\rfloor = n \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_i} \right\rfloor + \delta,$$

where  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$  with,

$$(2.6) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 0 & : \quad 0 \leq n \bmod p_i + 2 < p_i \\ 1 & : \quad \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The pairs left, when deleted all the multiples of  $p_i$  in both  $Z$  and  $Z'$ , have,

$$(2.7) \quad M(p_i) = n - y(p_i) - y'(p_i) \equiv n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right].$$

The operator  $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right]$ , when operating on  $n$ , will leaves the items having not those of  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0, p_i - 2$ .

For another prime  $p_j$ , we should also delete its multiples in both  $Z$  and  $Z'$ , but if there is an item which is composite integer of both  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  then this item should be deleted only once. Thus  $n \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right]$  will delete the multiples of  $p_j$  in  $Z$ , which have not been deleted by  $p_i$ .  $n \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right]$  will delete the multiples of  $p_j$  in  $Z'$ , which have not been deleted by  $p_i$ . The pairs will leave,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} M(p_i, p_j) &= n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - n \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - n \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \\ &\equiv n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \\ &= n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{p_i p_j} + \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} + \frac{1}{p_i} \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} + \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right], \end{aligned}$$

the meaning is as follows,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{n}{p_i} \right] \\ n \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{n+2}{p_i} \right] \\ n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{p_j} \right] = n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{n}{p_i p_j} \right] \\ n \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] = n \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{n+2}{p_i p_j} \right] \\ n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] = n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i} \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{n+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{n+p_i p_j - \lambda_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right], \end{cases}$$

where  $1 \leq \lambda_{i,j} \leq p_i p_j$  is the first (number) position in  $Z$  with  $p_i | Z_{\lambda_{i,j}}$  and  $p_j | Z'_{\lambda_{i,j}}$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} Z_{\lambda_{i,j}} \bmod p_i = 0 \\ Z_{\lambda_{i,j}} \bmod p_j = p_j - 2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $X = \{\lambda p_i, \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, \left[ \frac{n}{p_i} \right]\}$ ,  $\lambda_j$  be the first item with  $\lambda_j p_i \bmod p_j = p_j - 2$ , then  $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_j p_i$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad n \left[ \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{n+p_i p_j - \lambda_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right] = \left[ \frac{\left[ \frac{n}{p_i} \right] + p_j - \lambda_j}{p_j} \right].$$

If there is no such  $\lambda_{i,j}$  in  $Z$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_{i,j} \geq (n+1)$ , then the last item in Eq. (2.9) will equal zero. Because  $1 \leq \lambda_j \leq (p_j - 1)$ , so  $p_i \leq \theta_{i,j} = p_i p_j - \lambda_{i,j} \leq p_i (p_j - 1)$ .

When the multiples of all primes  $p_i \leq p_v < \sqrt{n}$  in both  $Z$  and  $Z'$  have been deleted, the pairs left will be prime pairs and have,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D_0(n) &= n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_2} \right] \cdots \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_v} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_v} \right] \\ &= n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right] \prod_{i=2}^v \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right], \end{aligned}$$

The total number of twin primes in  $n$  is,

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} D(n) &= D_0(n) + D(\sqrt{n}) - D_1 \\ &= n \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right] \prod_{i=2}^v \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] + D(\sqrt{n}) - D_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D(\sqrt{n}) \geq 0$  is the number of twin primes  $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$  when  $p_i \leq p_v < \sqrt{n}$ , where  $p_{i+1} = p_i + 2$  and,

$$(2.14) \quad D_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n+2 = p_{v+1}^2 \text{ and } n = \text{prime} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Because  $n < p_{v+1}^2$ , if  $n = p_{v+1}^2 - 1$  then  $n \bmod 2 = 0$ ; if  $n = p_{v+1}^2 - 2$  and  $n$  is prime then  $[n, n+2]$  is not twin prime.

Besides, the pair  $[1, 3]$  has been already deleted since  $1 \bmod p_2 = p_2 - 2$  for  $p_2 = 3$ .

**Example 2.1.**  $n = 41$ ,  $Z = [1, 2, \dots, 41]$ ,  $p_i = [2, 3, 5]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Z_k \bmod 2 \neq 0} &\rightarrow [1, 3, \dots, 41] \\ \overline{Z_k \bmod 3 \neq 0} &\rightarrow [1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, 31, 35, 37, 41] \\ \overline{Z_k \bmod 5 \neq 0} &\rightarrow [1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41] \\ \overline{Z_k \bmod 3 \neq 1} &\rightarrow [11, 17, 23, 29, 41] \\ \overline{Z_k \bmod 5 \neq 3} &\rightarrow [11, 17, 29, 41]. \end{aligned}$$

So  $D_0(41) = 4$ .

We can check it from Eq. (2.12) directly.

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0(41) &= n \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{5} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{5}\right] \\
&= 41 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{15} - \frac{1}{30} + \frac{1}{5} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3} - \frac{1}{10} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\tilde{1}}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5} + \frac{\tilde{1}}{15} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{15}\right] \\
&= 41 - \left[\frac{41}{2}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{3}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{6}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{3}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{41}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{10}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{15}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{30}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{5} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{10} \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{41}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{3} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{6} \frac{\tilde{1}}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41}{15}\right] - \left[\frac{41}{2} \frac{\tilde{1}}{15}\right] \\
&= 41 - 20 - 13 + 6 - \left[\frac{41+2}{3}\right] + \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{2} \rfloor + 3 - 2}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - 8 + 4 + 2 - 1 + \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{5} \rfloor + 3 - 2}{3}\right] - \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{10} \rfloor + 3 - 1}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{41+2}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{2} \rfloor + 5 - 3}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{3} \rfloor + 5 - 1}{5}\right] - \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{6} \rfloor + 5 - 3}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41+2}{15}\right] - \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{41}{2} \rfloor + 15 - 14}{15}\right] \\
&= 14 - 14 + \left[\frac{21}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - 3 + \left[\frac{9}{3}\right] - \left[\frac{6}{3}\right] \\
&\quad - 8 + \left[\frac{32}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{17}{5}\right] - \left[\frac{8}{5}\right] + \left[\frac{41+2}{15}\right] - \left[\frac{21}{15}\right] \\
&= 7 + (-3 + 3 - 2) + (-8 + 4 + 3 - 1 + 2 - 1) \\
&= 4.
\end{aligned}$$

It is the same as before. From  $D(\sqrt{41}) = 2$  for  $p_i \leq 5$ : (3,5) and (5,7),  $D_1(n) = 0(n+2 = 43 < p_4^2 = 49)$ . So  $D(41) = D_0(41) + D(\sqrt{41}) - D_1 = 4 + 2 - 0 = 6$ .

The set:  $Z = \{3, 5, 11, 17, 29, 41\}$ ,  $Z' = Z + 2 = \{5, 7, 13, 19, 31, 43\}$ . The six twin primes are (3, 5), (5, 7), (11, 13), (17, 19), (29, 31), (41, 43).  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** The items of  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0$ , or the multiples of  $p_i$ , have,

$$(2.15) \quad S(m, p_i \parallel 0) := \sum_{Z_k \bmod p_i = 0} 1 = m \left[\frac{1}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{m}{p_i}\right].$$

So the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_j \neq 0, p_j - 2$  have,

$$(2.16) \quad S(m, p_j \nparallel 0, p_j - 2) := \sum_{Z_k \bmod p_i \neq 0, p_j - 2} 1 = m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right].$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t\}$  be an (any) integer set, then

$$(2.17) \quad t \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_i} - \frac{1''}{p_i}\right] := \sum_{X_k \bmod p_i \neq 0, p_i - 2} 1 = t - \left[\frac{t+\phi'_i}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{t+\phi''_i}{p_i}\right] \geq 0$$

is the number of items left after deleted the items of  $X_k \bmod p_i = 0, p_i - 2$ .

Because  $m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \neq \left(m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right]$ , We can express it as

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} S(m, p_i \nparallel 0, p_i - 2; p_j \nparallel 0, p_j - 2) &= m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \\ &= \left(m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]\right) \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_j} - \frac{1''}{p_j}\right], \end{aligned}$$

is the number of items left when we first delete those  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0, p_i - 2$  from set  $Z$ , and then delete those  $X_{k'} \bmod p_j = 0, p_j - 2$  from set  $X = \{Z, X_{k'} \bmod p_i \neq$

$0, p_i - 2, k' = 1, 2, \dots, m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]$ , where set  $X$  is no longer an arithmetic sequence. In general,

$$(2.19) \quad m \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = \left(m \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]\right) \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_j} - \frac{1''}{p_j}\right].$$

### 3. SOME PROPERTY

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right] = \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right] \\ \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left[\frac{m}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{m_1+m_2}{p_i}\right] = \left[\frac{m_1}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{m_2}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{m_1 \bmod p_i + m_2 \bmod p_i}{p_i}\right].$$

$$(3.3) \quad m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] = ap_i \left(1 - \frac{2}{p_i}\right) + b \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \quad \text{for } m = ap_i + b.$$

$$(3.4) \quad -2 \leq (m_1 + m_2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] - m_1 \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] - m_2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = m_1 \bmod p_i, \beta = m_2 \bmod p_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{12} &= (m_1 + m_2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] - m_1 \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] - m_2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ &= m_1 + m_2 - \left[\frac{m_1+m_2}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1+m_2+2}{p_i}\right] - m_1 + \left[\frac{m_1}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{m_1+2}{p_i}\right] \\ &\quad - m_2 + \left[\frac{m_2}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{m_2+2}{p_i}\right] \\ &= - \left[\frac{\alpha+\beta}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{\alpha+\beta+2}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{\alpha+2}{p_i}\right] + \left[\frac{\beta+2}{p_i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The minimum:  $\min(\delta_{12}) = -1 - 1 = -2$ , when  $\alpha + \beta \geq p_i, \alpha + 2 < p_i, \beta + 2 < p_i$ , and  $\alpha + \beta + 2 \geq p_i$ .

The maximum:  $\max(\delta_{12}) = -0 - 1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ , when  $\alpha + \beta < p_i, \alpha + 2 \geq p_i, \beta + 2 \geq p_i$ .  $\square$

We can represent it as

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(m_1 + m_2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] = m_1 + m_2 - \left[\frac{m_1+m_2}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1+m_2+2}{p_i}\right] \\ &= m_1 - \left[\frac{m_1}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1+2}{p_i}\right] + m_2 - \left[\frac{m_1 \bmod p_i + m_2}{p_i}\right] - \left[\frac{m_2 + (m_1+2) \bmod p_i}{p_i}\right] \\ &= m_1 \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] + m_2 \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_i} - \frac{1''}{p_i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

$m_2 \left[\frac{1'}{p_i}\right]$  will delete the items of  $X_k \bmod p_i = 0$  in the sequence of  $X = \{m_1 + 1, m_1 + 2, \dots, m_1 + m_2\}$ , and  $m_2 \left[\frac{1''}{p_i}\right]$  will delete the items of  $X'_k \bmod p_i = 0$  in the sequence of  $X' = \{X + 2\}$  or the items of  $X_k \bmod p_i = p_i - 2 = p_i - 2$  in set  $X$ .

For any  $m_2, 0 \leq m_2 \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_i} - \frac{1''}{p_i}\right] \leq m_2$ , so,

$$(3.6) \quad m' \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \geq m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \quad \text{for } m' \geq m.$$

## 4. SOME LEMMA

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $m \geq p_i$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \geq \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_i} \right) \right].$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{left} &= \left[ m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m+\theta_i}{p_i} \right\rfloor \right] \geq \left[ m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i} \right\rfloor - 1 \right] \\ &\geq \left[ m - \frac{2m}{p_i} - \frac{m}{p_i} \right] = \text{right}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.**

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} -1 \leq m \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \leq 1 \\ -1 \leq m \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= m \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor + 2}{p_i} \right\rfloor \\ &= - \left\lfloor \frac{m+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m+2p_j}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\ &= - \left\lfloor \frac{m \bmod p_i p_j + \theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m \bmod p_i p_j + 2p_j}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $-1 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_2 &= m \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] - \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{p_i} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + 2}{p_i} \right\rfloor \\ &= - \left\lfloor \frac{m \bmod p_i p_j + \theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m \bmod p_i p_j + 2 + 2p_j}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $-1 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq 1$ .

□

**Lemma 4.3.** For  $m \geq p_j^2$ ,  $p_j > p_i \geq 3$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \geq \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right].$$

$$(4.4) \quad m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \geq \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right] \quad \text{for } p_i = 2.$$

*Proof of (4.3).* Let  $m = sp_i p_j + t$ ,  $t = ap_j + b$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq (p_i - 1)$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq (p_j - 1)$ , Because  $m \geq p_j^2$ ,  $p_j > p_i$ , so  $s \geq 1$ . From Eq. (3.2), (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon &= m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] - \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \\
&= sp_i p_j \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p_i} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p_j} \right) - sp_i p_j \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p_i} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \\
&\quad + t - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\
&\quad - \left( t - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) + \left\lfloor \frac{t - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+2 - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor \\
&= s(p_i - 2) \\
&\quad + \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\
&\quad - \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_2 \\
&= s(p_i - 2) \\
&\quad + 3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - a - a - \left\lfloor \frac{b+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + 0 + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\
&\quad - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \\
&= s(p_i - 2) + a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - 2\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \bmod p_i + \left( t - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) \bmod p_i}{p_i} \right\rfloor \leq 1 \\ \varepsilon_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \bmod p_i + \left( t+2 - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) \bmod p_i}{p_i} \right\rfloor \leq 1 \\ \varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor \leq 2 \\ \varepsilon_4 = \left\lfloor \frac{b+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor \leq 1, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 \leq 7.$$

- (1) If  $p_i \geq 11$ , then  $\varepsilon \geq s(11 - 2) - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 9 - 7 > 0$ .
- (2) If  $p_i = 7$ , then
  - (a) If  $a \geq 2$ , then  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 5 + 2 - 7 = 0$ .
  - (b) If  $a \leq 1$ , then  $\varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{3+2}{7} \right\rfloor = 0$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 3$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 5 - 3 > 0$ .
- (3) If  $p_i = 5$ , then
  - (a) If  $a \geq 4$ , then  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 4 - 7 = 0$ .
  - (b) If  $a = 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 3$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 - 3 = 0$ .
  - (c) If  $a = 1$ : if  $\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor \geq 1$  then  $\varepsilon_3 \leq 1$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 5$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 1 + 1 - 5 = 0$ ; else for  $\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 0$  then  $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 3$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 1 - 3 > 0$ .
  - (d) If  $a = 2$ , then  $\varepsilon_3 = 1$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 5$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 2 - 5 = 0$ .

- (e) If  $a = 3$ : if  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor \geq 1$  then  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a + \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 3 + 1 - 7 = 0$ ; else for  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor = 0$  then  $\varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor}{p_i} \right\rfloor = 1$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon \leq 5$ , so  $\varepsilon \geq s(p_i - 2) + a - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 3 + 3 - 5 > 0$ .

(4) If  $p_i = 3$ , then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a - 2\varepsilon_3 = a - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor}{3} \right\rfloor = a - 2a = -a \\ \varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{(3a + \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3 + (ap_j + b - 3a - \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor \\ = \left\lfloor \frac{(\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3 + (ap_j + b - \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor \\ \varepsilon_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{(3a + \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3 + (ap_j + b + 2 - 3a - \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor \\ = \left\lfloor \frac{(\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3 + (ap_j + b + 2 - \lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor) \bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\varepsilon = s - a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + 2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4.$$

- (a) If  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor = 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$ ,  $b < p_j/3$ ,  $b+2 < p_j/3+2 = (p_j+6)/3 < p_j$ , so  $\varepsilon_4 = 0$ . If  $a \leq 1$  then  $\varepsilon \geq s - a \geq 0$ . Else for  $a = 2 (< p_i)$ , because  $\theta_{j,i} = p_i p_j - \lambda p_j \geq p_j (1 \leq \lambda \leq p_i - 1)$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{2p_j + p_j}{3p_j} \right\rfloor = 1$ . So  $\varepsilon \geq s - a + 1 \geq 0$ .
- (b) If  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor \geq 1$ , then  $\varepsilon' = s + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{3p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_4 \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* • If  $s \geq 2$  then  $\varepsilon' \geq 1$ .

- If  $s = 1$ , i.e.,  $s = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{p_j^2}{3p_j} \right\rfloor = 1$ , so  $p_j = 5$ . From  $5^2 = 3 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 5$ , we have  $a = 2$ . Let us consider  $\theta_{i,j} = p_i p_j - \lambda_{i,j}$ ,  $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda p_i = 3\lambda$ , with the condition  $(3\lambda + 2) \bmod 5 = 0$  i.e.  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\theta_{i,j} = p_i p_j - 3\lambda = 15 - 3 = 12$ .  $\left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{2 \cdot 5 + 12}{3 \cdot 5} \right\rfloor = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\varepsilon' = s + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{3p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_4 \geq 1 \quad \text{if } s = 1.$$

□

Besides,  $\varepsilon'' = \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* • if  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor = 2$ , then  $\varepsilon'' \geq 0$ .

- if  $\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \rfloor = 1$ , because,



$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 &= \left\lfloor \frac{1+(ap_j+b-1)\bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{1+(ap_j+b+1)\bmod 3}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &= \begin{cases} 1+0=1 & \text{if } (ap_j+b)\bmod 3 = 0 \\ 0+1=1 & \text{if } (ap_j+b)\bmod 3 = 1 \\ 0+0=0 & \text{if } (ap_j+b)\bmod 3 = 2. \end{cases} \\ \text{So } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 &\leq 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon'' = \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \varepsilon' - a + \varepsilon'' + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+2}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq 1 - a + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a \leq 1$  then  $\varepsilon \geq 1 - a \geq 0$ .Else for  $a = 2 (< p_i)$ , because  $\theta_{j,i} = p_i p_j - \lambda p_j \geq p_j$  ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq p_i - 1$ ),

$$\left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{j,i}}{p_i p_j} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{2p_j+p_j}{3p_j} \right\rfloor = 1. \text{ So } \varepsilon \geq 1 - a + 1 \geq 0.$$

In summary,  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  for all  $p_i < p_j \leq \sqrt{m}$ . □

*Proof of (4.4).* when  $p_i = 2$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right\rfloor \equiv 0$ ,  $m = 2sp_j + t$ ,  $t = ap_j + b$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq p_j - 1$ ,  $s \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] - \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ &= 2sp_j \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p_j} \right) - 2sp_j \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \\ &\quad + t - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor \\ &\quad - \left( t - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) + \left\lfloor \frac{t - \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &= s + \left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + 0 + \left\lfloor \frac{t+\theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3t}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 \\ &= s + 3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - a - a - \left\lfloor \frac{b+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{3a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 \\ &= s + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j+b+\theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3a + \frac{3b}{p_j}}{2} \right\rfloor \bmod 2 + \left( ap_j + b - 3a - \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) \bmod 2}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor a + \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor \bmod 2 + \left( ap_j + b - a - \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor \right) \bmod 2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 1 \\ \varepsilon_3 &= \left\lfloor \frac{a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 1 \\ \varepsilon_4 &= \left\lfloor \frac{b+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor \leq 1, \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\Delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 \leq 3.$$

- (1) If  $\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{a \bmod 2 + (ap_j + b - a) \bmod 2}{2} \right\rfloor$ :  
 If  $a = 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ ,  $\Delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon_4 \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \geq s - \Delta\varepsilon \geq 0$ ;  
 Else for  $a = 1$ , then  $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{1+b \bmod 2}{2} \right\rfloor$ .  $\lambda_{i,j} = 2p_j - 2$ ,  $\theta_{i,j} = 2p_j - \lambda_{i,j} = 2$ .  

$$\left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_4 = \left\lfloor \frac{p_j + b + 2}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{b+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 0 - 0 = 0 & \text{if } b + 2 < p_j \\ 1 - 1 = 0 & \text{if } b + 2 \geq p_j, \end{cases}$$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{a + \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \begin{cases} 0 - 0 = 0 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 0 \\ 1 - 1 = 0 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 1 \\ 2 - 1 = 1 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 2, \end{cases}$$
 So  $\varepsilon = s + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_3 \right) + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{ap_j + b + \theta_{i,j}}{2p_j} \right\rfloor - \varepsilon_4 \right) - \varepsilon_1 \geq 1 + 0 + 0 - 1 = 0$ .
- (2) If  $\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 1$ , then  $\varepsilon \geq s + 1 + 0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 - 1 \geq 1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$ :  
 If  $a = 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \geq 1 - \varepsilon_1 - 0 \geq 0$ ;  
 Else for  $a = 1$ , then  $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \geq 1 - 0 - \varepsilon_3 \geq 0$ .
- (3) If  $\left\lfloor \frac{3b}{p_j} \right\rfloor = 2$ , then  $\varepsilon \geq s + 2 + 0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \geq 0$ .

In summary,  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  for all  $2 = p_i < p_j \leq \sqrt{m}$ .  $\square$

Eq. (4.3) means that, after deleted the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_j = 0, p_j - 2$  from  $Z$ , the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_i \neq 0, p_i - 2$  will have at least,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & S(m, p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \\ & = S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2) + \Delta(p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta(p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2) \geq 0$  is the extra items of  $Z_k \bmod p_i \neq 0, p_i - 2$  and  $Z_k \bmod p_j \neq 0, p_j - 2$ . Thus the effect of  $\left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right]$  is that it constructs a new effective nature sequence with at least  $\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil$  items which satisfy the condition  $Z_k \bmod p_j \neq 0, p_j - 2$ .

This lemma means that, from equation (4.1) and (3.5), we can let  $m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil + t, t \geq 0$ , and operated by  $\left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]$  to get the items which have no multiples of  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  in  $Z$  and  $Z'$ .

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & m \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = S(m, p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \\ & = \left( \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil + t \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ & = S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2) + \Delta(p_i \nmid 0, p_i - 2) \\ & = \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] + t \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ & \geq \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.4.**

$$(4.7) \quad m \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{6} \right\rceil - 1.$$

*Proof.* For  $p_i = 2, p_j = 3, \frac{\tilde{1}}{2} = 0$ , let  $m = 6s + t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] = 6s \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] + t \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \\ & \geq 6s \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\right) = s = \left\lceil \frac{m}{6} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{6} \right\rceil - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For the residual class of modulo 6,  $X = \{6s + 1, 6s + 2, \dots, 6s + 6\}$ ,  $X_k \bmod 6 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , there are 4 elements  $(2, 3, 4, 6)$  of multiples of 2 or 3. For the other elements  $X_k \bmod 6 = \{1, 5\}$ , there is at least one with  $X_k \bmod 6 = 5$ , i.e.,  $X_k \bmod 2 \neq 0, X_k \bmod 3 \neq 0$ , and  $X_k \bmod 3 \neq (3 - 2) = 1$ . The twin primes have at least  $\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor \geq \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil - 1$ , or  $m \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{3}\right] \geq \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil - 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i_m, j$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad m \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \geq \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right],$$

where  $\frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \equiv 0$  for  $p_i = 2$ .

*Proof.* From equation (2.16),(4.1),(4.3)-(4.6), For  $i_1 \neq i_2$ , we have  $S(m, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \geq S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2), S(m, p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \geq S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2)$ , so that  $S(m, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2; p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \geq S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2; p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2)$ .  $\dots \dots \dots S(m, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2; p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2; \dots, p_{i_m} \nmid 0, p_{i_m} - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \geq S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_{i_1} \nmid 0, p_{i_1} - 2; p_{i_2} \nmid 0, p_{i_2} - 2; \dots, p_{i_m} \nmid 0, p_{i_m} - 2)$ .

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & m \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \\ &= S(m, p_1 \nmid 0; p_2 \nmid 0, p_2 - 2; \dots; p_{i_m} \nmid 0, p_{i_m} - 2; p_j \nmid 0, p_j - 2) \\ &\geq S(\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil, p_1 \nmid 0; p_2 \nmid 0, p_2 - 2; \dots; p_{i_m} \nmid 0, p_{i_m} - 2) \\ &= \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, suppose that for  $1 < r \leq i_m$ ,

$$(4.10) \quad m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] + t,$$

where  $t \geq 0$ . It means that the effect of the operator  $\left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right]$  when operating on  $m$  is that dividing  $Z = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  into two set  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, m' = \lceil m(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}) \rceil\}$  and  $X' = \{X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_t, t = m - m' \geq 0\}$ . From equation (2.18), (2.19), (4.1), (4.3), and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m \prod_{i=r-1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \\ &= m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_{r-1}}\right] \\ &= \left( \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] + t \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{1''}{p_{r-1}}\right] \\ &\geq \left( \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \right) \left[1 - \frac{1'}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{1''}{p_{r-1}}\right] \\ &= \left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil \prod_{i=r-1}^{i_m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned}
& m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&= m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] - m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&\quad - m \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&= \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] + t \\
&\quad - \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} \right] - t \left[ \frac{1'}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_{r-1}} \right] - t \left[ \frac{1''}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&= \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_{r-1}} \right] + t \left[ 1 - \frac{1'}{p_{r-1}} - \frac{1''}{p_{r-1}} \right] \\
&\geq \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=r-1}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, if

$$m \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] < \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right],$$

then for any  $p_i, p_j$ , before deleting the multiples of other primes, it must have

$$m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] < \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right].$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.3. So this lemma is true.

If  $p_{r-1} = 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad & m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right] \\
& \geq \left[ m \left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right) \right] \prod_j \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_{r-1}} \right] \prod_{i=r}^{i_m} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

□

With Lemma 4.3, the operator  $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right]$  can be represented by  $\left( 1 - \frac{3}{p_j} \right)$ , and other operator  $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i} \right]$  can operate on this inequality unchanged.

## 5. EXPLANTATION

Let  $m = sp_i p_j + ap_j + b \geq p_j^2$ , then for all  $p_i < p_j$ ,  $m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j} \right]$  is effective to a nature sequence  $X = \{f(Z)\}$  whose number is not less than  $\lceil m(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil$ . The reason is as follows. When a nature sequence is deleted by the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_j = 0, p_j - 2$ , the sequence is subtracted by  $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor$ . We can arrange the  $m$  items in a table of  $p_j$  rows (Table 1).  $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{p_j} \right\rfloor$  will delete the  $p_j$ th row, and  $\left\lfloor \frac{m+2}{p_j} \right\rfloor$  will delete the  $(p_j - 2)$ th row. Thus there are  $(p_j - 2)$  rows left in which each item  $Z_k \bmod p_j \neq 0, p_j - 2$ .

TABLE 1. Set Z

1	$p_j + 1 \cdots (p_i - 1)p_j + 1$	$\cdots (sp_i - 1)p_j + 1$	$sp_i p_j + 1 \cdots sp_i p_j + ap_j + 1$
2	$p_j + 2 \cdots (p_i - 1)p_j + 2$	$\cdots (sp_i - 1)p_j + 2$	$sp_i p_j + 2 \cdots sp_i p_j + ap_j + 2$
$\cdots$	$\cdots \cdots \cdots$	$\cdots \cdots$	$\cdots \cdots \cdots$
$b$	$p_j + b \cdots (p_i - 1)p_j + b$	$\cdots (sp_i - 1)p_j + b$	$sp_i p_j + b \cdots sp_i p_j + ap_j + b$
$\cdots$	$\cdots \cdots \cdots$	$\cdots \cdots$	$\cdots \cdots$
$p_j$	$2p_j \cdots p_i p_j$	$\cdots sp_i p_j$	$sp_i p_j + p_j \cdots$

But every  $p_i$  items ( $0 \leq Z_k \bmod p_i \leq p_i - 1$ ) in any row of the first  $sp_i$  columns consist in a complete system of residues modulo  $p_i$ , because  $C_1 = \{1, p_j + 1, 2p_j + 1, \dots, (p_i - 1)p_j + 1\}$  and  $C_r = \{C_1 + r\}$  are both complete system of residues modulo  $p_i$ , where  $r$  is any (row or column) constant. There are  $(p_j - 2)$  such rows or  $sp_i(p_j - 2)$  items left. These items are effective to a nature sequence when deleting the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0, p_i - 2$ .

$$sp_i p_j \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] = sp_i(p_j - 2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] = s(p_i - 2)(p_j - 2).$$

Let  $t = ap_j + b$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq p_j - 1$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq p_i - 1$ .  $t \left[\frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right]$  will delete at most  $a + (a + 1) \leq t \frac{1}{p_j} + sp_i p_j \frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{m}{p_j}$  items. If we add these items by removing those from the end of sequence then the sequence is again effective to a nature sequence, the sequence left has at least,

$$\begin{aligned} M(j) &\geq sp_i(p_j - 2) + t \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] - [a + (a + 1)] \\ &\geq sp_i(p_j - 3) + t + sp_i - 4a - 2 \\ &= sp_i(p_j - 3) - 3 \left[\frac{t}{p_j}\right] + t + (sp_i - a - 2) \\ &\geq sp_i p_j \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) + \left[t - \frac{3t}{p_j}\right] + (sp_i - a - 2) \\ &= \left[(sp_i p_j + t) \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right)\right] + (sp_i - a - 2) \\ &= \left[m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right)\right] + (sp_i - a - 2). \end{aligned}$$

For  $s \geq 2$  or  $a \leq p_i - 2$ , we have  $(sp_i - a - 2) = (s - 1)p_i + (p_i - a - 1) - 1 \geq 0$ ,  $M(j) \geq \left[m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right)\right]$ .

For  $s = 1$  and  $a = p_i - 1$ , the items of  $t$  have  $p_j$  rows,  $p_i - 1$  columns and some  $b$  items. In each of the first  $b$  rows, there are exact  $p_i$  items which consist in a complete system of residues modulo  $p_i$ , and these items can be considered as an effective nature sequence when deleting the multiples of  $p_i$  ( $Z_k \bmod p_i = 0, p_i - 2$ ). The other items have at most  $p_j$  rows and  $p_i - 1$  columns where the multiples of  $p_j$  have at most  $2(p_i - 1)$ . As before, we can add these items to make the  $t$  as an effective nature sequence, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M(j) &\geq sp_i(p_j - 2) + t \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_j}\right] - 2(p_i - 1) \\ &\geq \left[m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right)\right] + (sp_i - a - 1) \geq \left[m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any  $p_i < p_j$ , the original sequence of  $m \geq p_j^2$ , when deleted by the items of  $Z_k \bmod p_j = 0, p_j - 2$  from  $Z$ , is effective to reconstruct a new natural sequence having at least  $\left\lceil m \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil$  items.

**Example 5.1.**  $n = 41, P = \{2, 3, 5\}, Z = \{1, 2, \dots, 41\}$ .

For  $p_j = 5$ , after deleted the item of  $Z_k \bmod p_j = 0, 5 - 2$ , it becomes  $Z \rightarrow Z' = \{1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41\}$ . we can rearrange these items as  $Z' = \{1, 2, (21), 4, (29), 6, 7, (26), 9, (22), 11, 12, (19), 14, (27), 16, 17, |, 24, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41\}$ . The first  $\left\lceil n \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_j}\right) \right\rceil = 17$  items can be taken as an effective natural sequence from the original one when deleting the items of  $Z_k \bmod 3 = 0, 3 - 2$ . The other sequence  $X = \{24, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41\}$ , having at least zero item when deleting the multiples of all primes, will be neglected in further procession.

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For a given  $n$ , consider the possible twin primes of  $[Z_k, Z_k + 2]$  in set  $Z = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p_v^2 \leq n < p_{v+1}^2$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *The number of twin prime pairs in  $n$ ,*

$$(6.1) \quad D(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{p_v}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil + D(\sqrt{n}) - 2.$$

*Proof.* Because  $m(v) = n \geq p_v^2$ . Let  $m(j-1) = \lceil m(j)(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m(j-1) &= \lceil m(j)(1 - 3/p_j) \rceil \geq p_j^2(1 - 3/p_j) = p_j(p_j - 3) \\ &\geq (p_{j-1} + 2)(p_{j-1} - 1) = p_{j-1}^2 + p_i - 2 \geq p_{j-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

And for any  $i \leq (j-1)$ , we have  $m(i) \geq p_i^2$ .

From equation (2.12), (4.8), (4.11) and (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(n) &= n \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \prod_{i=2}^v \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ &\geq \left\lceil n \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_v}\right) \right\rceil \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \prod_{i=2}^{v-1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ &\geq \left\lceil \left\lceil n \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_v}\right) \right\rceil \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_{v-1}}\right) \right\rceil \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \prod_{i=2}^{v-2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_i}\right] \\ &\geq \dots \\ &\geq \left\lceil n \prod_{i=3}^v \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_i}\right) \right\rceil \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{\tilde{1}}{p_2}\right] \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{6} \prod_{i=3}^v \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_i}\right) \right\rceil - 1 \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=3}^v \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_i}\right) &= \frac{p_3-3}{p_3} \frac{p_4-3}{p_4} \dots \frac{p_{v-1}-3}{p_{v-1}} \frac{p_v-3}{p_v} \\ &= \frac{p_3-3}{p_v} \frac{p_4-3}{p_3} \frac{p_5-3}{p_4} \dots \frac{p_v-3}{p_{v-1}} = \frac{2}{p_v} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $n \geq p_v^2$ , so

$$D_0(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{3p_v} \prod_{i=3}^v \left(1 - \frac{3}{p_i}\right) \right\rceil - 1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{p_v}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil - 1.$$

From equation (2.13),(2.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
D(n) &= D_0(n) + D(\sqrt{n}) - D_1 \\
&\geq \left\lceil \frac{p_v}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil - 1 + D(\sqrt{n}) - D_1 \\
&\geq \left\lceil \frac{p_v}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil + D(\sqrt{n}) - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that there is no twin prime when greater than enough large number  $n_M$ .

$$(6.2) \quad D(n) \leq D(n_M) \quad \text{for enough } n > n_M.$$

Consider the pairs of twin prime in the range  $[1, n]$ , where  $n = n_M^2$ ,

$$(6.3) \quad D(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{p_V}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil + D(\sqrt{n}) - 2.$$

where  $p_V$  is the maximum prime in  $n$ .

Then the twin prime between  $n_M$  and  $n = n_M^2$  will have,

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta D(n) &= D(n) - D(n_M) = D(n) - D(\sqrt{n}) \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{p_V}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil - 2 = \left\lceil \frac{W(V)}{3} \right\rceil - 2. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.5) \quad W(V) = p_V \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i}.$$

If  $W(V) > 6$  then  $\Delta D(n) \geq 1$ , there will be at least one twin prime between  $n_M$  and  $n = n_M^2$ .

For  $V \geq V_0 = 5, p_{V_0} = 11$ ,

$$(6.6) \quad W(5) = 11 \frac{48}{57} = 10.057 > 6$$

Suppose that for  $V$ ,  $W(V) = p_V \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} > 6$ , then for  $V+1$ ,

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} W(V+1) &= p_{V+1} \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \frac{p_{V+1}-3}{p_V} \\ &= p_V \prod_{i=3}^{V-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \frac{p_{V+1}-3}{p_V} \frac{p_{V+1}-3}{p_V} \\ &= W(V) \frac{p_{V+1}^2 - 3p_{V+1}}{p_V^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Because,  $p_{V+1} = p_V + 2\Delta$ ,  $\Delta \geq 1$ ,  $p_V \geq 5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{V+1}^2 - 3p_{V+1} - p_V^2 &= (p_V + 2\Delta)^2 - 3(p_V + 2\Delta) - p_V^2 \\
&= p_V^2 + 4\Delta p_V + 4\Delta^2 - 3p_V - 6\Delta - p_V^2 \\
&= 3(\Delta - 1)p_V + \Delta(p_V + 4\Delta - 6) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\frac{p_{V+1}^2 - 3p_{V+1}}{p_V^2} > 1$ , and

$$(6.8) \quad W(V+1) > W(V) > 6.$$

So that  $\Delta D(n) \geq 1$ . It contracts the supposition of Eq. (6.2). Therefore ‘there are infinitely many pairs of twin prime’. From Eq. (6.4) and (6.5),  $\Delta D(n)$  approaches infinity as  $n$  grows without bound. The proof is completed. □

**Example 6.2** (Actual vs. Simplified Formula). Let

$$(6.9) \quad D'(n) = \left\lceil \frac{p_v}{3} \prod_{i=3}^{v-1} \frac{p_{i+1}-3}{p_i} \right\rceil - 2.$$

Then from Eq. (6.3), it should have  $D(n) \geq D'(n)$ .

Figure 1 shows the actual pairs of twin prime  $D(n)$  (solid line) in the range of  $[1, p_v^2 + 1]$ , and its simplified formula  $D'(n)$  (dashed line) from Eq. (6.9). It clearly shows that  $D(n) \geq D'(n)$ , and  $D'(n)$  has no up bound for enough large  $n$ .




FIGURE 1. The minimum number of actual prime pairs (solid) and its simplified formula (dashed) against  $v$ .




FIGURE 2. The ratio of  $r = D(n)/D'(n)$  against  $v$ .

Figure 2 shows the ratio of

$$(6.10) \quad r = \frac{D(n)}{D'(n)}.$$



It shows that, this ratio is greater than one and seems to progress as  $n$  increase. It also shows that the formula (Eq. 6.9) used in our proof is only a small part of the actual twin prime pairs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kenneth H. Rosen, *Elementanry Number Theory and Its Applications*, Fifth Edition, Pearson Education Asia Limited and China Maxhine Press, 2005.
- [2] Hardy, G. H. and Littlewood, J. E. *Some Problems of 'Partitio Numerorum*. III. On the Expression of a Number as a Sum of Primes." *Acta Math.* **44**(1923), 1-70.
- [3] K. Indlekofer and A. Jrai, *Largest known twin primes*, *Math. Comp.*, **65** (1996) 427-428.
- [4] M. Suzuki, *Alternative formulations of the twin prime problem*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **107:1** (2000) 55-56.

CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, A20 DATUN RD. CHAOYANG DST. BEIJING 100012, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `z1du@bao.ac.cn`

CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 99 DONGGANG RD. SHIJIAZHUANG, HEBEI, 050031, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `shouyudu@yahoo.com.cn`