

DISTORTION IN TRANSFORMATION GROUPS

DANNY CALEGARI AND MICHAEL H. FREEDMAN

ABSTRACT. We exhibit rigid rotations of spheres as distortion elements in groups of diffeomorphisms, thereby answering a question of Handel. We also show that every homeomorphism of a sphere is, in a suitable sense, as distorted as possible in the group $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of abstract groups as geometric objects has a long history, but has been pursued especially vigorously since the work of Gromov (see [4],[5]). Typically the focus is on finitely presented groups; however, interesting results have also been obtained from this perspective in the theory of transformation groups — i.e. groups of homeomorphisms of manifolds ([9],[2]).

The topic of this paper is distortion in transformation groups, especially groups of homeomorphisms of spheres. Informally, an element g in a finitely generated group G is *distorted* if the word length of g^n grows sublinearly in n . One also sometimes says that the *translation length* of g vanishes. Geometrically, this corresponds to the condition that the homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to G sending n to g^n is not a quasi-isometric embedding.

One can also make sense of the concept of distortion in infinitely generated groups. An element g in a (not necessarily finitely presented) group G is distorted if there is a finitely generated subgroup H of G containing g such that g is distorted in H as above. To show that an element is undistorted, one typically tries to define an appropriate real-valued function on G which is (almost) subadditive, and which grows linearly on g^n . For example, *quasi-morphisms* are useful in this respect, and highlight one point of contact between distortion and the theory of bounded cohomology. On the other hand, exhibiting distortion is typically done *ad hoc*, and there do not seem to be many very general or flexible constructions known.

In this paper, we study distortion in groups of homeomorphisms of spheres, especially groups consisting of transformations with a definite amount of analytic regularity (i.e. C^1 or C^∞). By contrast with [9] and [2], we do not insist that our groups preserve a probability measure; the considerable additional flexibility this affords has the consequence that our results have more of an existential character than those of the papers cited above, exhibiting distortion rather than ruling it out.

1.1. Statement of results.

Notation 1.1. If G is a group, and H is a subgroup, we write $H < G$.

In §2 and §3 we summarize some basic definitions and analytic lemmas, and fix notation for what follows.

In §4 we exhibit rigid rotations of S^2 as distortion elements in the group $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ of C^∞ diffeomorphisms of the sphere.

Our main result in this section is:

Theorem A. *For any angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the rigid rotation r_θ of S^2 is a distortion element in a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$. Moreover, the distortion function of r_θ can be chosen to grow faster than any given function.*

Here r_θ is a clockwise rotation about a fixed axis through angle θ . To say that the distortion function grows faster than any given function means that for any $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we can find a finite group $G < \text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ for which there are words of length $\sim n_i$ in the generating set which express powers $r_\theta^{f(n_i)}$ of r_θ for some sequence $n_i \rightarrow \infty$, where $f(n) > g(n)$ for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This answers a question of Handel, motivated by results of Franks and Handel in [2].

In §5 we go down a dimension, and study rigid rotations of S^1 . Our main result here is:

Theorem B. *For any angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the rigid rotation r_θ of S^1 is a distortion element in a finitely generated subgroup of C^1 homeomorphisms of S^1 . Moreover, the distortion function of r_θ can be chosen to grow faster than any given function.*

The proof of Theorem B makes use of Pixton's results from [7], and the arguments should be familiar to people working in the theory of foliations.

Remark 1.2. The possibility of proving Theorem B was pointed out to the first author by Franks and Handel, after reading an early version of this paper.

The main difference between rotations of S^2 and S^1 for our purposes is the existence of a *fixed point* for a rotation of S^2 .

Finally, in §6 we relax our analytic conditions completely, and study distortion in $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$. Here our main result is quite general:

Theorem C. *Let h_1, h_2, \dots be any countable collection of homeomorphisms of S^n . Then there is a finitely generated subgroup H of $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$ (depending on $\{h_i\}$) such that every h_i is simultaneously distorted in H . Moreover, the distortion function of all the h_i can be chosen to grow simultaneously faster than any given function.*

The proof of Theorem C uses the full power of the Kirby–Siebenmann theory of homeomorphisms of manifolds for a key factorization step. It is an interesting question whether one can exhibit distortion in an arbitrary homeomorphism of the sphere without recourse to such sophisticated technology.

1.2. Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Michael Handel for suggesting the problem which motivated Theorem A, and to thank him and John Franks for reading preliminary versions of this paper, and for making clarifications and corrections.

2. DISTORTION ELEMENTS

2.1. Basic definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a finitely generated group, and let S be a finite generating set. By convention, we assume $S = S^{-1}$. Given $g \in G$, the *length of g with respect to S* is the minimum integer n such that g can be expressed as a product

$$g = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$$

where each $s_i \in S$. We write

$$\ell_S(g) = n$$

By convention, we take $\ell_S(\text{Id}) = 0$.

The function ℓ_S depends on the choice of generating set S , but only up to a multiplicative constant:

Lemma 2.2. *If S_1, S_2 are two finite generating sets for G , then there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \ell_{S_2}(g) \leq \ell_{S_1}(g) \leq C \ell_{S_2}(g)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Each $s \in S_1$ can be expressed as a word of length $n(s)$ in the elements of S_2 , and vice versa. Then take C to be the maximum of the $n(s)$ over all $s \in S_1 \cup S_2$. \square

Definition 2.3. Let G be a finitely generated group, and let S be a symmetric finite generating set as above. An element $g \in G$ is a *distortion element* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_S(g^n)}{n} = 0$$

Remark 2.4. By lemma 2.2, the property of being a distortion element is independent of the choice of generating set S .

Remark 2.5. With this definition, torsion elements are distortion elements. Some authors (including [2]) explicitly require distortion elements to be *nontorsion*.

Remark 2.6. Note that ℓ_S is subadditive, in the sense that

$$\ell_S(g_1 g_2) \leq \ell_S(g_1) + \ell_S(g_2)$$

This implies the existence of a limit in definition 2.3 above.

Sometimes, we shall pay attention to the growth rate of $\ell_S(g^n)$ as a function of n to make qualitative distinctions between different kinds of distortion elements. We define the *distortion function* to be the function

$$D_{S,g} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

defined by the property

$$D_{S,g}(n) = \max\{i \mid \ell_S(g^i) \leq n\}$$

We can remove the dependence of this function on S as follows. For two functions

$$f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

we write $f \lesssim g$ if there is a constant $k \geq 1$ such that

$$f(n) \leq kg(kn + k) + k \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and then write $f \sim g$ if $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$. It is straightforward to see that \lesssim is transitive, and that \sim is an equivalence relation. In case $f \sim g$, we say that f, g are *quasi-equivalent*.

With this definition, the quasi-equivalence class of $D_{S,g}$ is independent of S , and may be denoted D_g .

Finally, we may define a distortion element in an arbitrary group:

Definition 2.7. Let G be a group. An element $g \in G$ is a distortion element if there is a finitely generated subgroup $H < G$ with $g \in H$ such that g is a distortion element in H .

Note that for such an element g , the distortion function, up to quasi-equivalence, may certainly depend on the choice of subgroup H .

3. DISCRETE GROUPS AND WREATH PRODUCTS

3.1. Transformation groups.

Notation 3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the group of homeomorphisms of S^n by $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$, and the group of (C^∞) diffeomorphisms by $\text{Diffeo}(S^n)$. We denote the group of C^r homeomorphisms by $C^r \text{Homeo}(S^n)$. Similarly, for any compact manifold M we have $\text{Homeo}(M)$, $\text{Diffeo}(M)$ and $C^r \text{Homeo}(M)$. If we wish to restrict to orientation-preserving subgroups, we denote this by a $+$ superscript. We denote the relation of (C^∞) diffeomorphism by \approx .

3.2. Conjugation notation.

Notation 3.2. For a group Γ and elements $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we abbreviate the conjugate $\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$ by

$$\alpha^\beta := \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$$

Notice with this convention that

$$(\alpha^\beta)^\gamma = \alpha^{\beta\gamma}$$

3.3. The group G . We describe a particular explicit group $G < \text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ which will be important in the sequel. By stereographic projection, we may identify S^2 conformally with $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$.

Let d be the similarity

$$d : z \rightarrow 2z$$

and let r be the rotation

$$r : z \rightarrow -z$$

Then $\langle d, r \rangle$ is abstractly isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and acts discretely and properly discontinuously on \mathbb{C}^* with quotient a (topological) torus. A fundamental domain for the action of the cyclic subgroup $\langle d \rangle$ is the annulus A defined by

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 \leq |z| \leq 2\}$$

We let ∂A^+ and ∂A^- denote the components $|z| = 2$ and $|z| = 1$ of ∂A respectively. We define a disk D by

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - 3/2| \leq 1/4\}$$

Notice that D is disjoint from its translates by nontrivial elements of G , and projects homeomorphically to the quotient torus T .

We let t be a C^∞ transformation with the following properties:

- t is the identity outside the annulus $0.99 \leq |z| \leq 2.01$
- t restricted to the annulus $1.01 \leq |z| \leq 1.99$ agrees with r

Notice that we may choose t with these properties such that

$$t^r = t$$

We define $G = \langle d, r, t \rangle$, and think of it as a subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ fixing 0 and ∞ . We also think of A, D as subsurfaces of S^2 . We let H denote the subgroup $H = \langle d, r \rangle$.

3.4. Wreath products. Let $H, G < \text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ and $D \subset S^2$ be as in §3.3. Let $\zeta_t, t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-parameter subgroup of diffeomorphisms of the unit disk with support contained in the interior. After conjugating by a diffeomorphism, we think of ζ_t as a 1-parameter subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ with support contained in the interior of D .

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbb{R}^H denote the set of functions from H to \mathbb{R} , which can be thought of as an abelian group with respect to addition. The *wreath product* $H \wr \mathbb{R}$ is the semi-direct product

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^H \rightarrow H \wr \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

where H acts on \mathbb{R}^H by

$$f^{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)$$

for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H$.

The choice of 1-parameter group ζ_t determines a faithful homomorphism

$$\rho : H \wr \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(S^2)$$

as follows. For $f \in \mathbb{R}^H$, define

$$\rho(f) = \prod_{\gamma \in H} \zeta_{f(\gamma)}^\gamma$$

Together with the action of H on S^2 (in its capacity as a transformation group) this defines a faithful homomorphism ρ . For the sake of brevity, in the sequel we will omit ρ , and think of $H \wr \mathbb{R}$ itself as a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(S^2)$.

3.5. Conjugation action of t . Notice that the element t from §3.3 is in the normalizer of the subgroup \mathbb{R}^H of $\text{Homeo}(S^2)$. The conjugation action is given by

$$f^t(\gamma) = \begin{cases} f(r\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma = r, \text{Id} \\ f(\gamma) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3.6. Analytic quality. Given $f \in \mathbb{R}^H$, thought of as an element of $\text{Homeo}(S^2)$ as in §3.4, the analytic quality of f is *a priori* only C^0 . However, if we can estimate the norm of $f(d^n), f(rd^n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can improve this *a priori* estimate.

Notice that any $f \in \mathbb{R}^H$ is C^∞ away from $0, \infty$. In particular, any f with finite support is C^∞ on all of S^2 . Furthermore, conjugation by d preserves the C^1 norm, and blows up the C^r norm by 2^{r-1} . It follows that if we have an estimate

$$|f(d^n)|, |f(rd^n)| = o(2^{-|n|(r-1)})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is C^r at 0 (here our notation $|f(h)|$ just means the absolute value of $f(h)$ for $h \in H$, where we think of f as a function from H to \mathbb{R}). By the change of co-ordinates $z \rightarrow 1/z$ one sees that f is also C^r at ∞ under the same hypothesis, and is therefore C^r on all of S^2 .

We summarize this as a lemma:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^H$ be thought of as an element of $\text{Homeo}(S^2)$ as in §3.4. Then we have the following estimates:*

- *If $|f(\gamma)|$ is bounded independently of $\gamma \in H$ then f is Lipschitz*
- *If $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} |f(\gamma)| = 0$ then f is C^1*
- *If $|f(d^n)|, |f(rd^n)| \rightarrow 0$ faster than any exponential (as a function of n), then f is C^∞*

4. ROTATIONS OF S^2

4.1. Distortion in C^1 groups. Suppose M is a smooth compact manifold, and $h \in \text{Diffeo}(M)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the derivative dh^n acts on the space $\mathcal{X}(M)$ of smooth vector fields on M . For any norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the dual space $\mathcal{X}^*(M)$ we can consider the growth rate of $\|dh^n\|$. Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ is chosen to have the property that

$$\|d(fg)\| \leq \|df\| \|dg\|$$

for any $f, g \in \text{Diffeo}(M)$. Then it is immediate that the distortion function of h in any finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(M)$ cannot grow faster than the quasi-equivalence class of the growth rate of the function

$$N(h) := \min\{i \mid \log \|dh^i\| \geq n\}$$

For example, if we fix a Riemannian metric on M , for any $f \in \text{Diffeo}(M)$ we can define

$$\|df\| = \max_v \text{length}(df(v))$$

where the maximum is taken over all vectors v on M of length 1. (Of course this is just the usual $L^\infty C^1$ norm.) If h has a fixed point p at which $dh(p)$ has a real eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| > 1$, then $N(h)$ grows linearly with n , and therefore h is undistorted in $\text{Diffeo}(M)$.

Notice that whenever the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is chosen to have the property that every element of $C^r\text{Homeo}(M)$ has finite norm, then the growth rate of $N(h)$ for $h \in C^r\text{Homeo}(M)$ puts *a priori* bounds on the distortion function of h with respect to subgroups of $C^r\text{Homeo}(M)$. The norm defined above is an example for $r = 1$.

Example 4.1. Oseledec's theorem (see [8], Chapter 2) says that for $h : M \rightarrow M$ a C^1 diffeomorphism of a compact manifold of dimension n , and for μ an ergodic h -invariant probability measure, there are real numbers $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k$ called *Lyapunov exponents*, and a μ -measurable dh -invariant splitting $TM = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E^i$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |dh^n(v)| = \lambda_l$$

for almost every $v \in \bigoplus_{i=l}^k E^i$ but not in $\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^k E^i$. In particular, if $\lambda_1 > 0$, then h is undistorted in $C^1\text{Homeo}(M)$.

Example 4.2. Let M be a compact manifold, and suppose $h \in C^1\text{Homeo}(S)$ has positive topological entropy. Then there is an ergodic h -invariant probability measure μ for which h has positive μ -entropy. The Pesin–Ruelle inequality (see [8], Chapter 3) says

$$\sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i \geq \mu\text{-entropy of } h$$

where the λ_i are the Lyapunov exponents for h with respect to the measure μ . It follows that some Lyapunov exponent λ_1 for μ is positive, and therefore, as in Example 4.1, h is undistorted in $C^1\text{Homeo}(M)$.

By contrast, if the C^1 norm of h^n is bounded independently of n , then the group $\langle h \rangle$ is equicontinuous, and is precompact in the group of Lipschitz homeomorphisms of M , by the Arzela–Ascoli theorem. By [10] (i.e. the Hilbert–Smith conjecture for Lipschitz actions), a compact group of Lipschitz homeomorphisms of a smooth manifold M is a Lie group. In our case, this group is abelian, since it contains a dense abelian subgroup $\langle h \rangle$, and is therefore (up to finite index) a finite dimensional torus. Thus the uniformly equicontinuous case reduces to that of torus actions.

A key case to understand in this context is when the torus in question is S^1 . Maybe the simplest case is that of a rigid rotation of a sphere, and it is this case which we take up in the next few sections.

4.2. Rotations of S^2 . For each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ we let r_θ denote the rigid rotation of S^2 with fixed points equal to 0 and ∞ . In stereographic co-ordinates,

$$r_\theta : z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty \approx S^2$. Notice that r_π is just $r \in G$ from §3.3.

For $\theta \in \pi\mathbb{Q}$ the element r_θ is torsion in $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$. We will show in this section that r_θ is a distortion element in $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ for arbitrary θ . Moreover, the distortion function can be taken to grow faster than any given function.

4.3. Factorizing rotations. We can factorize r_θ in a natural way as a product of two diffeomorphisms whose support is contained in closed subdisks of S^2 . This will be important for some later applications.

Let h be a smooth function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following properties:

- $h(t) = 0$ for $t < 1/2$ and $h(t) = 1$ for $t > 2$
- $h(t) + h(1/t) = 1$
- h is monotone decreasing and strictly positive on $(1/2, 2)$
- h is infinitely tangent to the constant function 1 at 2 and to the constant function 0 at $1/2$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define r_θ^+ by

$$r_\theta^+ : z \rightarrow e^{ih(|z|)\theta}z$$

and define r_θ^- by the identity

$$r_\theta^+ r_\theta^- = r_\theta$$

Notice that as θ varies over \mathbb{R} , the set of transformations r_θ^- and r_θ^+ form a smooth subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$. Moreover, the support of the group $\{r_\theta^- \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is equal to the disk

$$E^- = \{z \mid |z| < 2\}$$

Similarly, the support of r_θ^+ is the disk (in S^2)

$$E^+ = \{z \mid |z| \geq 1/2\}$$

Notice the important fact that $z \rightarrow 1/z$ conjugates $r_{-\theta}^+$ to r_θ^- for any θ . The reason for the sign change is that a 1-parameter family of rotations which has a

clockwise sense at one fixed point has an anticlockwise sense at the other fixed point.

4.4. Construction of the group. Throughout the remainder of this section we assume that θ has been fixed.

Let $j \in \text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ satisfy the following properties:

- j takes D to E^- and conjugates r_t^- to a 1-parameter subgroup ζ_t :

$$\zeta_t = (r_t^-)^j$$

- j takes rD to d^3E^+ (i.e. the image of the disk E^+ under the similarity $z \rightarrow \delta z$) and conjugates $(r_t^+)^{d^{-3}}$ to ζ_{-t}^r :

$$\zeta_{-t}^r = (r_t^+)^{d^{-3}j}$$

The existence of such a diffeomorphism j follows from the disjointness of the disks E^-, d^3E^+ and the fact that the subgroups r_t^- and r_{-t}^+ are abstractly conjugate, by $z \rightarrow 1/z$, as pointed out in §4.3.

Now form the group \mathbb{R}^H as in §3.4 by means of the subgroup $\zeta_t = (r_t^-)^j$.

Let $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ be chosen for all non-negative integers i subject to the following constraints:

- $t_i = n_i\theta \pmod{2\pi}$ where $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ grow as fast as desired (i.e. faster than some function we are given in advance)
- $t_i \rightarrow 0$ faster than any exponential function

Define the element $f \in \mathbb{R}^H$ by

$$f(d^i) = t_i \text{ if } i \geq 0, \quad f(rd^i) = 0 \text{ for all } i$$

By Lemma 3.4 the function f is in $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ with respect to the identification of \mathbb{R}^H with a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(S^2)$.

Now, for any i , the element

$$f_i := f^{d^i} (f^{d^{it}})^{-1}$$

is contained in \mathbb{R}^H , and satisfies

$$f_i(\gamma) = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } \gamma = \text{Id} \\ -t_i & \text{if } \gamma = r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$g_i := f_i^{j^{-1}}$$

Then g_i agrees with $r_{t_i}^-$ on E^- and agrees with $(r_{t_i}^+)^{d^{-3}}$ on d^3E^+ .

Notice that g_i preserves the foliation of $S^2 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ by circles of equal latitude, and acts on each of these circles by a rotation. In this way, we can abbreviate g_i by a picture, which is the graph of the function

$$\text{latitude} \rightarrow \text{rotation angle}$$

Now, if m is a diffeomorphism of S^2 of the form

$$m : z \rightarrow zm'(|z|)$$

where $m' : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is infinitely tangent to 1 at $0, \infty$, then m conjugates g_i to another diffeomorphism which preserves circles of equal latitude and acts on each

of these circles by a rotation. It follows that the conjugation action of m on g_i can also be represented in our graphical notation.

We claim that there are elements $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \text{Diffeo}(S^2)$ of this form such that for any g_i we have an identity

$$g_i(g_i)^{m_1}(g_i)^{m_2}((g_i)^{m_3})^{-1} = r_{2t_i}$$

The proof is given graphically by figure 1.




FIGURE 1. These figures denote the conjugates of g_i by the m_i , and demonstrate how an appropriate algebraic product of these conjugates is equal to r_{2t_i}

Now, $r_{2t_i} = r_\theta^{2n_i}$. Since the n_i have been chosen to grow faster than any function given in advance, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. *For any angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the rigid rotation r_θ of S^2 is a distortion element in a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(S^2)$. Moreover, the distortion function of r_θ can be chosen to grow faster than any given function.*

The finitely generated group in question is

$$\langle f, j, d, r, t, m_1, m_2, m_3, r_\theta \rangle$$

5. ROTATIONS OF S^1

In this section we show how to modify the construction of §4 to exhibit a rigid rotation as a distortion element in the group of C^1 homeomorphisms of S^1 . But first, we exhibit a rotation as a distortion element in the group of *Lipschitz* homeomorphisms of S^1 .

5.1. Rotations of S^1 . As in the previous section, we denote by r_t the rotation of S^1 through angle $t \in [0, 2\pi)$.

The first difference is that we cannot factorize a 1-parameter group of rotations as the product of two 1-parameter groups with support contained in an interval. (One way to see this is to use Poincaré’s rotation number; see e.g. [1] for a definition.)

Let θ be fixed, and we choose $t_i \rightarrow 0, n_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ goes from 0 to ∞ , with

$$t_i = n_i\theta \pmod{2\pi}$$

as in §4.4.

Let I^\pm be two intervals which form an open cover of S^1 . Then for t_i sufficiently close to 0, we can factorize r_{t_i} as a product of two diffeomorphisms ζ_i^+, ζ_i^- with support contained in I^+, I^- respectively. It is clear that we may choose ζ_i^+, ζ_i^- so that their support is exactly equal to an interval, and they are both conjugate to translations on these intervals.

Let J be an open interval in S^1 which we parameterize by arclength as $[-1, 1]$. We let t be a diffeomorphism of S^1 with support equal to J , and with no fixed points in J . Then the restriction of t to J is conjugate to a translation, and we let J_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a tiling of J by fundamental domains for t .

Fix one such interval $J_0 \subset J$ and let s be a diffeomorphism of S^1 with support equal to J_0 , and with no fixed points in J_0 . We let J_{0i} for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a tiling of J_0 by fundamental domains for s .

Now let k^\pm be diffeomorphisms of S^1 taking I^\pm respectively to the interval J_{00} .

We let $f^\pm \in \text{Homeo}(S^1)$ have support contained in J , and define it to be the product

$$f^+ = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_i^{(k^+)^{-1} s^{-j} t^{-i}}$$

and similarly, define

$$f^- = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_i^{(k^-)^{-1} s^{-j} t^{-i}}$$

Notice by Lemma 3.4 that f^\pm are Lipschitz (though *not* C^1).

Then for each i ,

$$\left((f^+)^{t^i} \left((f^+)^{t^i s^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \right)^{k^+} = \zeta_i$$

and

$$\left((f^-)^{t^i} \left((f^-)^{t^i s^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \right)^{k^-} = \zeta_i$$

and therefore $r_{n_i, \theta}$ can be expressed as a word of length $\sim 4i$ in the group

$$\langle f^+, f^-, s, t, k^+, k^- \rangle$$

5.2. A C^1 example. By a slight modification, using a trick of Pixton we can actually improve the Lipschitz example of §5.1 to a C^1 example.

We note that by suitable choice of factorization of r_{t_i} we can assume the following:

- The support of $\zeta_i, \tilde{\zeta}_i$ is *exactly* equal to I^+, I^- respectively
- On I^+ , each ζ_i is conjugate to a translation, and similarly for I^-

Now, the elements ζ_i for distinct i will not be contained in a fixed 1-parameter subgroup of $\text{Diffeo}(I^+)$, but they are all *conjugate* into a fixed 1-parameter subgroup. The final condition we insist on is:

- The conjugating maps can be taken to be C^1 and converge in the C^1 topology to the identity.

To see that this is possible, observe that for two diffeomorphisms ϵ -close to the identity in the C^1 norm, the commutator is ϵ^2 -close to the identity, also in the C^1 norm. So for diffeomorphisms ϕ_g defined by the property

$$\phi_g : \theta \rightarrow \theta + g(\theta)$$

for $g : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\phi_{g_1} \phi_{g_2} \sim \phi_{g_1 + g_2}$$

with error which is comparable in size in the C^1 norm to the products of the C^1 norms of g_1, g_2 . The claim follows.

We will exploit this topological similarity in what follows.

5.3. Pixton actions. Consider an interval I on which a diffeomorphism $r : I \rightarrow I$ acts in a manner smoothly conjugate to a translation, with fundamental domains I_i . Given another diffeomorphism $\phi : I_0 \rightarrow I_0$ we form the suspension $\Phi : I \rightarrow I$ by

$$\Phi = \prod_i \phi^{r^i}$$

Note that $\langle r, \Phi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. If ϕ restricted to I_0 is smoothly conjugate to a translation, then *a priori* the action of $\langle r, \Phi \rangle$ on I is Lipschitz. However, Pixton showed that it is *topologically* conjugate (i.e. by a homeomorphism) to a C^1 action.

For the convenience of the reader, we give an outline of the construction of a Pixton action. One chooses co-ordinates on I so that the ratio $|I_i|/|I_{i+1}|$ converges to 1 as $|i| \rightarrow \infty$. For instance, near I , the endpoints of the I_n could be the harmonic series $1/2, 1/3, \dots$ so that the ratio of successive lengths is $i/(i+1) \rightarrow 1$. Then we require $r : I_i \rightarrow I_{i+1}$ to expand the linear structure near the endpoints and contract it in the middle, so that the norm of the first derivative of $\Phi|_{I_{i+1}}$ is smaller than that of $\Phi|_{I_i}$ by a definite amount. Then both r and Φ are C^1 tangent to the identity at the endpoints of I , and are therefore C^1 on the entire interval. See [7] for rigorous details of this construction.

This construction has the following virtue: if ϕ is contained in a smooth 1-parameter subgroup ϕ_t , and we form the associated 1-parameter subgroup Φ_t so that

$$\langle r, \Phi_t \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$$

then we can form a Pixton action of this larger group which is C^1 .

5.4. t and T . Naively, one sees that by careful choice of s , one can arrange for the action of $f^+|_{J_0}$ to be C^1 . However, to make $f^+ C^1$ on all of J requires us to modify the definition slightly.

We will construct T , a diffeomorphism of S^1 with support equal to J , conjugate to a translation on J , and with fundamental domains J_i , just like t .

We let χ_t be a 1-parameter subgroup containing ζ_0 . For each i , we require that

$$\zeta_i^{(k^+)^{-1}t^{-i}T^i} \in (\chi_t)^{(k^+)^{-1}}$$

which is possible, by the discussion at the end of §5.2. By choosing co-ordinates on J suitably as above, we can insist that both T and t are C^1 .

Now we choose co-ordinates on J_0 so that s and χ_t form a Pixton action of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ there, as in §5.3.

We define

$$f^+ = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_i^{(k^+)^{-1}t^{-i}T^i s^{-j}T^{-j}}$$

Note that f^+ is actually C^1 .

Moreover, we have the following formula

$$\left((f^+)^{T^i} \left((f^+)^{T^i s^{-1}} - 1 \right) T^{-i} \right)^{k^+} = \zeta_i$$

Relabelling T as T^+ and defining T^- similarly in terms of the ζ_i , one can define f^- analogously. Putting this together, we have shown

Theorem B. *For any angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the rigid rotation r_θ of S^1 is a distortion element in a finitely generated subgroup of C^1 homeomorphisms of S^1 . Moreover, the distortion function of r_θ can be chosen to grow faster than any given function.*

The finitely generated group in question is

$$\langle f^+, f^-, s, t, T^+, T^-, k^+, k^- \rangle$$

One should remark that for an arbitrary rigid rotation r of S^n where n is arbitrary, either r has fixed points, in which case the construction of §4 shows that r is a distortion element in $\text{Diffeo}(S^n)$, or else the construction of this section can be generalized to show that r is a distortion element in $C^1 \text{Homeo}(S^n)$, in either case with distortion growing faster than any recursive function.

By our discussion in subsection 4.1, we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5.1. *Let h be a C^1 diffeomorphism of M . Then h is a distortion element in $C^1 \text{Homeo}(M)$ whose distortion function can be chosen to grow faster than any given function if and only if some finite power of h is contained in a C^1 action of a finite dimensional torus on M .*

6. DISTORTION IN $\text{HOMEO}(S^n)$

The group $\text{Homeo}(M)$ for an arbitrary manifold M is considerably more complicated than $\text{Diffeo}(M)$ or even $C^1 \text{Homeo}(M)$. In this section, we first make a couple of comments about distortion in $\text{Homeo}(M)$ in general, and then specialize to the case of $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$.

6.1. Mapping class groups. For an arbitrary compact manifold M , there is a natural homomorphism

$$\text{Homeo}(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(M)/\text{Homeo}_0(M) =: \text{MCG}(M)$$

where $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ is the normal subgroup consisting of homeomorphisms isotopic to the identity, and $\text{MCG}(M)$ is the *mapping class group* of M . For reasonable M , this group is finitely presented, and quite amenable to computation. Clearly for $f \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ to be a distortion element, it is necessary for the image $[f]$ of f in $\text{MCG}(M)$ to be a distortion element.

Example 6.1. A pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a closed surface S of genus ≥ 2 is not a distortion element in $\text{Homeo}(S)$.

6.2. Distortion in $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$. For suitable manifolds M , there are certainly undistorted elements in $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$.

Example 6.2. Let T^2 denote the 2-torus. Let $h : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ preserve the foliation of T^2 by meridians, and act as a rigid rotation on each meridian, where the angle of rotation is not constant. This angle of rotation defines a map $\theta : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, where the first factor labels the meridian, and the second factor is the amount of rotation. If θ is homotopically trivial, h is in $\text{Homeo}_0(T^2)$. In this case, we claim h is undistorted in $\text{Homeo}_0(T^2)$. To see this, suppose to the contrary that h is distorted in some finitely generated subgroup H . Without loss of generality, we may expand H to a larger finitely generated group, where each generator h_i has support contained in

a closed disk in T^2 . If \tilde{h}_i denotes a lift of h_i to the universal cover \mathbb{R}^2 , then there is a constant C such that

$$|d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\tilde{h}_i(p), \tilde{h}_i(q)) - d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(p, q)| \leq C$$

for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the same constant C works for all i .

Now, if I is a small transversal to the foliation of T^2 by meridians, intersecting meridians where the function θ is nonconstant, it follows that if we denote $I_n := h^n(I)$, then a lift \tilde{I}_n of I_n has the property that the endpoints are distance $\sim Kn$ apart for some positive constant K . By the discussion above, this implies that any expression of h^n in the generators h_i and their inverses has word length at least $\sim nK/C$. This shows that h is undistorted, as claimed.

Example 6.3. Let M be a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\gamma \subset M$ be a simple closed geodesic, and let N be an embedded tubular neighborhood. Let $h : M \rightarrow M$ rotate γ some distance, and be fixed outside N . Then the argument of Example 6.2 shows that h is undistorted in $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$. Since M is hyperbolic of dimension at least 3, Mostow rigidity [6] implies that $\text{MCG}(M)$ is finite. It follows that h is undistorted in the full group $\text{Homeo}(M)$.

Question 6.4. *Is h as in Example 6.2 undistorted in $\text{Homeo}(T^2)$?*

The method of construction in Example 6.2 produces an undistorted element of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ whenever $\pi_1(M)$ contains an undistorted element. Moreover, if $\text{MCG}(M)$ is finite, the element is undistorted in $\text{Homeo}(M)$. This begs the following obvious question:

Question 6.5. *Is there an infinite, finitely presented group G in which every element is distorted?*

Remark 6.6. A finitely presented infinite torsion group would answer Question 6.5 affirmatively.

The following construction gets around Question 6.5, at a mild cost.

Example 6.7. Let M be a closed manifold with $\pi_1(M)$ infinite. Then \tilde{M} inherits a path metric pulled back from M with respect to which the diameter is infinite. It follows that \tilde{M} contains a ray r — that is, an isometrically embedded copy of \mathbb{R}^+ which realizes the minimal distance between any two points which it contains. The ray r projects to M where it might intersect itself. By abuse of notation, we refer to the projection as r . If the dimension of M is at least 3, then we can perturb r an arbitrarily small amount so that it is embedded in M (though of course not properly embedded). In fact, we can even ensure that there is an embedded tubular neighborhood N of r whose width tapers off to zero as one escapes to infinity in r in its intrinsic path metric. Let h be a homeomorphism of M , fixed outside N , which translates the core (i.e. r) by some function

$$r(t) \rightarrow r(t + f(t))$$

where $f(t)$ is positive, and goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Such a homeomorphism may be constructed for instance by coning this translation of r out to ∂N with respect to some radial co-ordinates. Then h might be distorted, but the distortion function can be taken to increase as slowly as desired, by making f go to 0 as slowly as

desired. For example, we could ensure that the distortion function grows slower than n^r for all $r > 1$.

6.3. Homeomorphisms of spheres. We now specialize to S^n . We make use of the following seemingly innocuous lemma:

Lemma 6.8 (Kirby–Siebenmann, Quinn). *Let $h \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^n)$. Then h can be factorized as a product*

$$h = h_1 h_2$$

where the support of h_1 avoids the south pole, and the support of h_2 avoids the north pole.

For h sufficiently close to the identity in the compact-open topology, this can be proved by the geometric torus trick. For an arbitrary homeomorphism, it requires the full power of topological surgery theory. See [3] for details in the case $n \neq 4$ and [11] for the case $n = 4$.

Using this lemma, we can produce another factorization:

Lemma 6.9. *Let E_1, E_2 be two closed disks in S^n whose interiors cover S^n . Then any $h \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^n)$ can be factorized as a product of at most 6 homeomorphisms, each of which has support contained in either E_1 or E_2 .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that E_1 and E_2 contain collar neighborhoods of the northern and southern hemisphere respectively.

Given $h \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^n)$, we factorize h as $h_1 h_2$ as in Lemma 6.8. Let e_2 be a radial expansion centered at the south pole, with support contained in E_2 , which takes $\text{supp}(h_1) \cap E_2$ into $E_2 \cap E_1$. Then $e_2 h_1 e_2^{-1}$ has support contained in E_1 . Similarly, we can find e_1 with support contained in E_1 such that $e_1 h_2 e_1^{-1}$ has support contained in E_2 . Then

$$h = e_2^{-1} (e_2 h_1 e_2^{-1}) e_2 e_1^{-1} (e_1 h_2 e_1^{-1}) e_1$$

expresses h as the product of 6 homeomorphisms, each with support in either E_1 or E_2 . \square

Remark 6.10. Notice in the factorization in Lemma 6.9 that the homeomorphisms e_1, e_2 definitely depend on h .

Theorem C. *Let h_1, h_2, \dots be any countable collection of homeomorphisms of S^n . Then there is a finitely generated subgroup H of $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$ (depending on $\{h_i\}$) such that every h_i is simultaneously distorted in H . Moreover, the distortion function of all the h_i can be chosen to grow simultaneously faster than any given function.*

Proof. The subgroup $\text{Homeo}^+(S^n)$ of $\text{Homeo}(S^n)$ has index 2, so after replacing each h_i by h_i^2 if necessary, we can assume each $h_i \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^n)$.

Fix a cover of S^n by disks E_1, E_2 as in Lemma 6.9. Let $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ grow sufficiently quickly, and relabel the sequence

$$h_1^{n_1}, h_1^{n_2}, h_2^{n_2}, h_1^{n_3}, h_2^{n_3}, h_3^{n_3}, h_1^{n_4}, \dots, h_1^{n_i}, \dots, h_i^{n_i}, h_1^{n_{i+1}} \dots$$

as g_1, g_2, \dots

Applying Lemma 6.9, we write each g_i as a product

$$g_i = g_{i,1} g_{i,2} \dots g_{i,6}$$

where each $g_{i,j}$ has support contained in either E_1 or E_2 .

From now on, the construction proceeds as in §4 and §5, with the added simplification that we do not need to worry about the analytic quality of the construction.

We let $B_{i,j}$ be a family of disjoint balls in S^n for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there are homeomorphisms f_1, f_2 for which f_1 takes $B_{i,j}$ to $B_{i+1,j}$ for all i, j , and f_2 takes $B_{1,j}$ to $B_{1,j+1}$, and fixes $B_{i,j}$ with $i > 1$.

Let k_1, k_2 be homeomorphisms taking $B_{1,1}$ to E_1 and E_2 respectively.

For each $l \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ we define G_l with support equal to the union of the $B_{i,j}$ by the formula

$$G_l = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} g_{i,l}^{k_m f_2^{-j} f_1^{-i}}$$

where $m = 1$ if $g_{i,l}$ has support in E_1 , and $m = 2$ if $g_{i,l}$ has support in E_2 .

Then as before, we can write $g_{i,l}$ as a word of length $\sim 2i$ in G_l, f_1, f_2, k_1, k_2 and their inverses. Since we can do this for each i, l , we can exhibit each h_i as a distortion element, whose distortion function grows as fast as desired. Note that by choosing the n_i to all be mutually coprime, we can ensure that the h_i are all actually contained in the group in question. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Calegari, *Dynamical forcing of circular groups*, Trans. AMS, to appear; eprint math.GR/0312066
- [2] J. Franks and M. Handel, *Distortion elements in group actions on surfaces*, eprint math.DS/0404532
- [3] R. Kirby and L. Siebenmann, *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings and triangulations*, Ann. Math. Studies **88**, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1977)
- [4] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic Groups*, in Essays on Group Theory, MSRI publications **8**, Springer (1987), 75–263
- [5] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, in Geometric Group Theory vol. 2, London Math. Soc. LNS **182** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- [6] G. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Ann. Math. Studies **78**, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1973)
- [7] D. Pixton, *Nonsmoothable, unstable group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 259–268
- [8] M. Pollicott, *Lectures on ergodic theory and Pesin theory on compact manifolds*, London Math. Soc. LNS **180** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- [9] L. Polterovich, *Growth of maps, distortion in groups and symplectic geometry*, Invent. Math. **150** (2002), 655–686
- [10] D. Repovš, E. Ščepin, *A proof of the Hilbert-Smith conjecture for actions by Lipschitz maps*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), no. 2, 361–364
- [11] F. Quinn, *Ends of maps III. Dimensions 4 and 5*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), no. 3, 503–521

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA CA, 91125

MICROSOFT RESEARCH, 1 MICROSOFT WAY, REDMOND WA, 98052