

Super, quantum and non-commutative species

Rafael Díaz and Eddy Pariguan

December 2, 2024

Dedicated to the memory of Gian-Carlo Rota.

Abstract

We lay down the categorical foundations for the study of super, quantum and non-commutative combinatorics.

1 Introduction

This paper is part of a program aim to uncover the categorical foundations of quantum field theory QFT. The program is based on two observations

1. Many statements coming from QFT may be understood in a rigorous way when regarded as taking part in formal geometry. We mention three main examples
 - Perturbative finite dimensional Feynman integrals are rigorous objects if one is willing to use fields which are formal power series, i.e., series which may very well be divergent, see [9].
 - Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds in the sense of Kontsevich. The work of Kontsevich [10] is done in the C^∞ context but can be applied in the formal context as well. It is interesting to notice that in both cases a formal variable \hbar needs to be introduced.
 - The classification of infinite dimensional Lie groups is a notoriously difficult problem. However the classification of formal supersymmetries has been accomplished by Kac in [8].
2. Results in formal geometry have an underlying categorical meaning, see [1], [6], [7]. Considering the three examples above we were led to the following constructions
 - A categorical version of Feynman integrals is constructed in Section 5. This construction requires the extension of the notion of combinatorial species due to Joyal, to the notion of \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{C} species where \mathcal{G} is a semisimple groupoid and \mathcal{C} is a symmetric

monoidal category. The category of \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{C} species is the category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}}$ of functors from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{C} .

- The categorical version of Kontsevich star product is given in Section 6. Section 7 on quantum species deals with case of constant Poisson bracket, i.e., the Weyl algebra. The categorification of Weyl algebra is most important for its application to the normal ordering of annihilation and creation operators see [3] and [4].
- The categorification of formal supersymmetries has been accomplished in [2]. It produces a plethora of examples of what might be called categorical Lie algebras.

Let us explain what do we mean by the notion of categorification. It is customary to base the foundations of mathematics upon set theory; from our point of view this is neither necessary nor sufficient. In many cases it is more enlightening to look for the categorical foundations of a given mathematical construction. The process of uncovering the categorical foundations of a set theoretical construction is named categorification. Let **Cat** denote the category whose objects are small categories (categories \mathcal{C} whose objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ are sets) and whose morphisms $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ from category \mathcal{C} to category \mathcal{D} are functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let **Set** denote the category whose objects are sets and whose morphisms $\mathbf{Set}(X, Y)$ from X to Y are maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$. There is a natural functor $D : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ called *decategorification* given by

- $D(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}$, for all $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Cat})$.
- Given functor $E : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ then $D(E) : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})/\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})/\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the induced map.

Thus $D(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of isomorphism classes of objects of \mathcal{C} . We say that $D(\mathcal{C})$ is the decategorification of \mathcal{C} and also that \mathcal{C} is a categorification of $D(\mathcal{C})$. For example, the category \mathbb{B} whose objects are finite sets and its morphisms are bijections between finite sets, is a categorification of \mathbb{N} the set of natural numbers.

In general, we are interested in the categorification of dressed sets rather than bare ones. For example one might try to find out what is the categorical analogue of a ring. We show in Section 3 that a partial categorification of a ring R is a monoidal category provided with direct sums negative objects and dotted with a R -valuation. The main goal of this paper is to describe categorifications of several types of affine spaces, namely, noncommutative, quantum and super affine spaces. This is accomplished by identifying affine spaces with the ring of functions on them and finding monoidal categories with natural valuations on such ring of functions.

2 Valuations on monoidal categories

Let us recall that a monoidal category is a category \mathcal{C} provided with a bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and natural isomorphisms $\alpha_{x,y,z} : x \otimes (y \otimes z) \rightarrow (x \otimes y) \otimes z$, satisfying the identity

$$\alpha_{x \otimes y, z, w} \alpha_{x, y, z \otimes w} = (\alpha_{x, y, z} \otimes \text{id}_w) \alpha_{x, y \otimes z, w} (\text{id}_x \otimes \alpha_{y, z, w}).$$

A symmetric monoidal category is a monoidal category \mathcal{C} together with natural isomorphisms $s_{x,y} : x \otimes y \longrightarrow y \otimes x$ satisfying the following identities

1. $s_{x,y} \circ s_{y,x} = \text{id}$.
2. $(s_{x,z} \otimes \text{id}_y) \alpha_{x,z,y} (\text{id}_x \otimes s_{y,z}) = \alpha_{z,x,y} s_{x \otimes y, z} \alpha_{x,y,z}$.

Definition 1. A (symmetric) monoidal category with negative objects is a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1, N)$ such that

- $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$ is a (symmetric) monoidal category.
- \mathcal{C} admits direct sums \oplus . Operations \oplus and \otimes satisfy the distributive law (up to isomorphisms).
- $N : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor¹ such that
 - $N^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
 - $N(x \oplus y) = N(x) \oplus N(y)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
 - The unique morphism $c_x : 0 \longrightarrow x \oplus N(x)$ is an isomorphisms for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 2. For each (symmetric) monoidal category \mathcal{C} , there exists a (symmetric) monoidal category with negative objects $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ and a functor $i : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ such that for any (symmetric) monoidal category with negative objects and each functor $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ there is a unique functor $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi \circ i = \varphi$, i.e., the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C} \\
 \searrow \varphi & & \swarrow \exists! \psi \\
 & \mathcal{D} &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. First we define $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ as the symmetric monoidal category with $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$. Direct sums are given by $(a_1, a_2) \oplus (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 \oplus b_1, a_2 \oplus b_2)$. Tensor product is given by $(a_1, a_2) \otimes (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 \otimes b_1 \oplus a_2 \otimes b_2, a_1 \otimes b_2 \oplus a_2 \otimes b_1)$. $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal category with negative functor N given by $N(a, b) = (b, a)$ for all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$. The category $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is obtained by localization as $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}[S^{-1}]$ where S is the collection of all morphisms of the form $c_{a,b} : (0, 0) \rightarrow (a \oplus b, a \oplus b)$. The inclusion $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is given by $i(a) = (a, 0)$ for all $a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. □

¹We often write $-$ instead of N .

Definition 3. Let R be a ring. A R -valuation on a monoidal category with negative objects \mathcal{C} is map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow R$ such that for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ the following properties hold

- 1) $|x| = |y|$ if $x \cong y$. 2) $|x \oplus y| = |x| + |y|$. 3) $|x \otimes y| = |x||y|$.
4) $|1| = 1$. 5) $|0| = 0$. 6) $|N(x)| = -|x|$.

If \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category with direct sums (no negative functor N), then we omit property 6) in the definition above. In this situation R needs to be just a rig. Note that the identity map $I : R \rightarrow R$ may be regarded as a valuation on the symmetric monoidal category R where $\text{Ob}(R) = R$ and morphisms are identities.

Lemma 4. Let $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow R$ be a R -valuation on a (symmetric) monoidal category \mathcal{C} . There exist a natural R -valuation $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow R$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ such that $|i(x)| = |x|$ for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Define $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow R$ by $|(a, b)| = |a| - |b|$. □

We use Lemma 4 by defining valuations on rigs rather than rings. Next paragraphs introduce a list of examples of symmetric monoidal categories provided with valuations.

Let \mathbb{B} be the monoidal category whose object are finite sets and whose morphisms are bijections. A monoidal structure on \mathbb{B} is given by setting $x \oplus y = x \sqcup y$ (disjoint union) and $x \otimes y = x \times y$ (cartesian product), for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $|x| = \text{cardinality of } x$ defines a \mathbb{N} -valuation on \mathbb{B} .

Let **Vect** be the category of finite dimensional vector spaces. A monoidal structure on **Vect** is given by $V \oplus W$ (direct sum of vectors spaces) and $V \otimes W$ the usual tensor product of vector spaces, for all $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Vect})$. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Vect}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $|V| = \dim(V)$ defines a \mathbb{N} -valuation on **Vect**.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Vect}$ be the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. Let $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Vect})$, i.e., $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$. Direct sums and tensor products in $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Vect}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V \oplus W &= (V_0 \oplus W_0) \oplus (V_1 \oplus W_1) \\ V \otimes W &= [(V_0 \otimes W_0) \oplus (V_1 \otimes W_1)] \oplus [(V_0 \otimes W_1) \oplus (V_1 \otimes W_0)] \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Vect}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $|V| = |V_0 \oplus V_1| = \dim(V_0) - \dim(V_1)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -valuation on $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Vect}$.

We recall that the Möbius function of a finite partially ordered set (x, \leq) is defined recursively as follows: for all pairs $i \leq k$ in x

$$\sum_{i \leq j \leq k} \mu_x(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let **CPoset** be the category of finite collections of finite partially ordered sets having a minimum and a maximum. Direct sum is the disjoint union of collections of posets. Tensor product of posets $(x, <_x)$ and $(y, <_y)$ is given by

$(x, <_x) \otimes (y, <_y) = (x \times y, <_{x \times y})$ where $(i, j) <_{x \times y} (k, l)$ if and only if $i <_x k$ and $j <_y l$ for all $(i, j), (k, l) \in x \times y$; this product is extended additively for arbitrary collections in **CPoset**. The map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathbf{CPoset}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $|\{(x_1, \leq_1), \dots, (x_n, \leq_n)\}| = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{x_i}(\min, \max)$, where μ_x is the Möbius function of x , defines a \mathbb{Z} -valuation on the category **CPoset**.

Let **OMan_v** be the category of pairs (M, v_M) where M is a finite dimensional oriented smooth manifold and $v_M \in \Omega^{\dim(M)}(M)$ is a top form on M . Morphisms **OMan_v** $((M, v_M), (N, v_N))$ from (M, v_M) to (N, v_N) are given by $\{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is smooth, } f^*v_N = v_M\}$. Monoidal structure on **OMan_v** is given by $M \oplus N = M \cup N$ (disjoint union) and $M \otimes N = M \times N$ (tensor product) for all $M, N \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{OMan}_v)$. The top form on $M \times N$ is given by $v_{M \times N} = \pi_M^*v_M \wedge \pi_N^*v_N$, where $\pi_M(\pi_N)$ is the projection from $M \times N$ onto $M(N)$. The map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathbf{OMan}_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $|(M, v_M)| = \int_M v_M$ defines a \mathbb{R} -valuation on the category **OMan_v** by Fubini's theorem.

Let **Top** the category of topological spaces with finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -cohomology groups. Direct sum is disjoint union and tensor product is cartesian product of topological spaces. By the Künneth formula the map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Top}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ given by $|X| = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^i(X))t^i$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -valuation on the category **Top**.

Let **Symp** be the category of finite dimensional symplectic manifolds. Given symplectic manifolds (M, ω_M) and (N, ω_N) we set **Symp** $((M, \omega_M), (N, \omega_N)) = \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f^*\omega_N = \omega_M\}$. Monoidal structure on **Symp** is given by $M \oplus N = M \cup N$ (disjoint union) and $M \otimes N = M \times N$, for all $M, N \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Symp})$ and $w_{M \times N} = \pi_M^*w_M + \pi_N^*w_N$. The valuation on **Symp** is given by

$$|(M, \omega_M)| = \int_M \omega_M^{\dim M/2}.$$

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category provided with a valuation $||$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the category of \mathbb{N} -graded objects, i.e., the category of functors $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where \mathbb{N} is the category whose objects are the natural numbers and with identity morphisms only. Direct sum is given by $(F \oplus G)(k) = F(k) \oplus G(k)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Tensor product is $(F \otimes G)(k) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} F(i) \otimes G(j)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow R[[t]]$ given by $|F| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |F(k)|t^k$ is a $R[[t]]$ -valuation on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let **FGD** be the category of finite groupoids. A finite groupoid G is a category such that $\text{Ob}(G)$ is a finite set, $G(x, y)$ is finite for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(G)$, all morphisms in G are invertible. \otimes and \oplus in **FGD** are the usual Cartesian product and disjoint union of categories. The valuation map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathbf{FGD}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is given by $|G| = \sum_{x \in \text{D}(G)} \frac{1}{|G(x, x)|}$.

Let M be a compact topological space and $\mathbf{Vect}(M)$ be the category of finite rank \mathbb{C} -vector bundles on M . The canonical map $\pi : \mathbf{Vect}(M) \longrightarrow K_0(M)$ where $K_0(M)$ is the zero group of the K -theory on M is a valuation.

We close this section making a technical assumption. Let x be a set of cardinality n and $f : x \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ be any map. Consider the category $\mathbb{L}(x)$ of linear ordering on x . It is such that $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{L}(x)) = \{\alpha : [n] \rightarrow x \mid \alpha \text{ is a bijection}\}$ and $\mathbb{L}(x)(\alpha, \beta) = \{\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n] \mid \beta\sigma = \alpha \text{ and } \sigma \text{ is a bijection}\}$. Consider the functor $F : \mathbb{L}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by $F(\alpha) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n f(\alpha(i))$. The image of $F(\sigma)$ of a morphism σ in $\mathbb{L}(x)$ is obtained using the symmetry map of \mathcal{C} . From now on we assume that our symmetric monoidal categories are such that for all $f : x \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ as above the colimit of F exists. This assumption allows us to define $\bigotimes_{i \in x} f(i) := \text{colim}(F)$.

3 Categorification of non-commutative affine space

Given a commutative ring R , noncommutative formal R -affine n -dimensional space is the space whose associated ring of functions is $R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$ the ring of formal power series in non-commutative variables x_1, \dots, x_n . In this section we categorify non-commutative n -affine space by defining a monoidal category $\text{NC}(n)$ such that any symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} with valuation $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow R$ induces a valuation $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}) \longrightarrow R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$.

Definition 5. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we denote by $\text{NC}(n)$ the category such that

1. Objects of $\text{NC}(n)$ are triples $(x, <_x, f)$ where
 - x is a finite set.
 - $<_x$ is a total order on x .
 - f is a map $f : x \rightarrow [n]$.
2. $\text{NC}(m)((x, <_x, f), (y, <_y, g)) = \{\varphi : x \rightarrow y \mid g \circ \varphi = f, \text{ and } \varphi(i) <_y \varphi(j) \text{ for all } i <_x j\}$, for objects $(x, <_x, f), (y, <_y, g)$ in $\text{Ob}(\text{NC}(n))$.

Notice that there is at most one morphism between any pairs of objects in the category $\text{NC}(n)$. Given small categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} we denote by $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}$ the category of all functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . That is $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \{F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \mid F \text{ is a functor}\}$. Given $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}})$, the set of morphisms $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$ consists of all natural transformation $\alpha : F \longrightarrow G$.

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. The category $\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ of functors from $\text{NC}(n)$ to \mathcal{C} will be called the category of non-commutative \mathcal{C} -species of type n . We denote by $(\mathcal{C}^n)_0^{\text{NC}(n)}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ whose objects are functors $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$ such that $F(\emptyset) = 0 \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

The category $\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)}$ is useful mainly when \mathcal{C} does not admit arbitrary direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_i$ for any set I . Recall that disjoint union of posets is given by $(x, <_x) \sqcup (y, <_y) = (x \sqcup y, <_{x \sqcup y})$, where $<_{x \sqcup y}$ restricted to x is equal to $<_x$, $<_{x \sqcup y}$ restricted to y is equal to $<_y$ and $i <_{x \sqcup y} j$ for all $i \in x, j \in y$.

Definition 7. An ordered partition of a ordered set $(x, <_x)$ into n -pieces is a n -tuple $((x_1, <_{x_1}), \dots, (x_n, <_{x_n}))$ such that $(x_1, <_{x_1}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (x_n, <_{x_n}) = (x, <_x)$. We denote by $\text{OPar}(x, <_x)$ the set of all ordered partition of $(x, <_x)$.


Definition 8. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. Let $F, G, G_1, \dots, G_n \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})$ and $(x, <, f)$ in $\text{Ob}(\text{NC}(n))$, the following formulae define the sum, product, composition and derivative of non-commutative species, respectively

1. $(F \oplus G)(x, <, f) = F(x, <, f) \oplus G(x, <, f)$.
2. $(FG)(x, <, f) = \bigoplus F(x_1, <_1, f|_{x_1}) \otimes G(x_2, <_2, f|_{x_2})$, where the sum runs over all $(x_1, <_1)$ and $(x_2, <_2)$ such that $(x_1, <_1) \sqcup (x_2, <_2) = (x, <_x)$.
3. $F(G_1, \dots, G_n)(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{p, g} F(p, <_p, g) \otimes \bigotimes_{B \in p} G_{p(B)}(B, <_B, f|_B)$, where the sum runs over all $p \in \text{OPar}(x, <)$ and $g : p \rightarrow [n]$.
4. $\partial_i : \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ where $\partial_i(F)$ is given by

$$\partial_i(F)(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{<_*} F(x \sqcup \{*\}, <_*, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\})$$

where the sum runs over all orderings $<_{x \sqcup \{*\}}$ of $x \sqcup \{*\}$ such that when restricted to x , $<_{x \sqcup \{*\}}$ is equal to $<_x$.

Graphically the following picture explains the meaning of the derivative



where the sum runs over all possible ways to insert the edge $(*, i)$ in the order set $(x, <, f)$. For any $(x, <, f) \in \text{Ob}(\text{NC}(n))$ we shall write $x_f = x_{f(1)} \dots x_{f(n)}$.

Theorem 9. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category provided with a R -valuation given by $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow R$. The map $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}) \longrightarrow R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$ given by

$$|F| = \sum_{f: [m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f)|x_f, \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$$

is a $R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ -valuation on $\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$. Moreover $|\partial_i F| = \partial_i |F|$, and $|F(G_1, \dots, G_n)| = |F|(|G_1|, \dots, |G_n|)$, for all $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$ and $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$.

Proof. Let $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|F \oplus G| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F \oplus G([m], f)| x_f = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f) \oplus G([m], f)| x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f)| x_f + \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |G([m], f)| x_f = |F| + |G| \\
|FG| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |FG([m], f)| x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \sum_{m_1 \sqcup m_2 = m} \left(|F(m_1, f|_{m_1})| x_{f|_{m_1}} \right) \left(|G(m_2, f|_{m_2})| x_{f|_{m_2}} \right) \\
&= |F||G|
\end{aligned}$$

Let $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(G_1, \dots, G_n)| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F(G_1, \dots, G_n)([m], f)| x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \left(\left| \bigoplus_{p,g} F(p, g) \otimes \bigotimes_{b \in p} G_{p(b)}(b, f|_b) \right| \right) x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \left(\sum_{p,g} \prod_{b \in p} |F(p, g)| |G_{p(b)}(b, f|_b)| \right) x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \sum_{p,g} \left(\prod_{b \in p} |F(p, g)| |G_{p(b)}(b, f|_b)| x_{f|_b} \right) \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f)| \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{g:[m_i] \rightarrow [n]} |G_i([m_i], g_i)| x_{g_i} \right) \\
&= |F|(|G_1|, \dots, |G_n|) \\
|\partial_i F| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |\partial_i F([m], f)| x_f \\
&= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \sum_{<_*} |F([m] \sqcup \{*\}, <_*, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\})| x_f = \partial_i(|F|)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\partial_i(x_g) = x_f$ if and only if the domain of g is isomorphic to $[m] \sqcup \{*\}$ and $g = f \sqcup \{(*, i)\}$. \square

Example 10. Let $(x, <, f) \in \text{Ob}(\text{NC}(n))$ we have the following

1. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ the non-commutative singleton species $X_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ is given by $X_i(x, <, f) = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ if $|x| = 1$ and $f(x) = i$, otherwise $X_i(x, <, f) = 0$. Clearly

$$|X_i| = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |X_i([m], <_m, f)| x_f = x_i.$$

where $<_m$ denotes the standard order on $[m]$.

2. The non-commutative specie $1 \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ is given by $1(x, <, f) = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ if $x = \emptyset$ and $1(x, <, f) = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$|1| = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |1([m], <_m, f)| x_f = 1.$$

3. The non-commutative exponential species $\text{NCE}_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ is given by $\text{NCE}_n(x, <, f) = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ for all $(x, <, f) \in \text{Ob}(\text{NC}(n))$. Clearly

$$|\text{NCE}_n| = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |\text{NCE}_n([m], <_m, f)| x_f = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} x_f.$$

Moreover $\partial_i(\text{NCE}_n) = \text{NCE}_n$ for $i \in [n]$.

Proposition 11. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category

1. $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoidal category.
2. $((\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})^n, \circ, (X_1, \dots, X_n))$ is a monoidal category where $((F_1, \dots, F_n) \circ (G_1, \dots, G_n))_i = F_i(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ for $(F_1, \dots, F_n), (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in (\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})^n$ and $i \in [n]$.

For $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$ will write $F^a = FF \dots F$. If $F \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})^n)$ then $F^{(a)} = F \circ F \circ \dots \circ F$.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$ be a monoidal category. A monoid M in \mathcal{C} is an object $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ together with morphisms $m \in \mathcal{C}(M \otimes M, M)$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}(1, M)$ such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m} & M \otimes M \\ m \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{m} & M \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 \otimes M & \xrightarrow{u \otimes 1} & M \otimes M & \xleftarrow{1 \otimes u} & M \otimes 1 \\ & \searrow 1_L & \downarrow m & \swarrow 1_R & \\ & & M & & \end{array}$$

where 1_L and 1_R denotes left and right identities respectively, see [12].

Definition 12. A non-commutative n -operad is a monoid \mathcal{C} in the monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}, \circ, (X_1, \dots, X_n))$.

Theorem 13. A non-commutative n -operad in \mathcal{C} is given by a collection of objects $\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{O}^j(f)\}$ in \mathcal{C} where $j \in [n]$ and $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ for some $m \geq 1$, together with a unit maps $u_j : 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^j(1)$ and composition maps

$$\gamma : \mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}^{f(i)}(g_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^j\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i\right)$$

where $g_i : [k_i] \longrightarrow [n]$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m k_i \longrightarrow [m]$ is given by $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i|_{[k_j]} = g_j$.

These data should satisfy the following axiom of associativity.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}^{f(i)}(g_i) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{s=1}^{|k|} \mathcal{O}^{\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i(s)}(h_{is}) \right) & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{O}^j\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i\right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{s=1}^{|k|} \mathcal{O}^{\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m g_i(s)}(h_{is}) \right) \\
\downarrow \text{shuffle} & & \downarrow \gamma \\
& & \mathcal{O}^j\left(\bigsqcup_i \bigsqcup_s h_{is}\right) \\
& & \uparrow \gamma \\
\mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \left(\mathcal{O}^{f(i)}(g_i) \otimes \bigotimes_{s=1}^{k_i} \mathcal{O}^{g_i(s)}(h_{is}) \right) \right) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes (\otimes_m \gamma)} & \mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}^{f(i)}(\bigsqcup_s h_{is}) \right)
\end{array}$$

Set $1_j : [1] \longrightarrow [n]$ given by $1_j(1) = j$, for $j \in [n]$. The following axioms of unity should hold

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}^j(f) = \mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{O}^j(f) = \mathcal{O}^j\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m 1_{f(i)}\right) \\
\downarrow \text{id} \otimes u_{f(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{f(1)} & \nearrow \gamma & \\
\mathcal{O}^j(f) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}^{f(i)}(1_{f(i)}) & & \\
\\
\mathcal{O}^j(f) = 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}^j(f) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{O}^j(f) \\
\downarrow u_j & \nearrow \gamma & \\
\mathcal{O}^j(1_j) \otimes \mathcal{O}^j(f) & &
\end{array}$$

Figure 1 illustrate the meaning of the composition maps γ . Figure 2 explains graphically the associativity axiom.




Figure 1: Example of map γ .




Figure 2: Example of the associativity for γ .

Example 14. Let \mathcal{C} be any monoidal category enriched over a symmetric monoidal category. There is a non-commutative n -operad associated with any n -sequence of objects (a_1, \dots, a_n) in \mathcal{C} given by $\mathcal{O}^i(f) = \mathcal{C}(\bigotimes_{j=1}^m a_{f(i)}, a_j)$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$.

Example 15. Abusing language we say that $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{NC}(n)})$ is a non-commutative n -operad if $(F, F, \dots, F) \in ((\mathcal{C}^n)_0^{\text{NC}(n)})$ is a non-commutative n -operad. $\text{NCE}_n - 1$ is a non-commutative n -operad.

Next we define the non-commutative analogue of the binomial coefficients defined by Rota in [13].

Definition 16. 1. A family of maps $\{c_f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]}$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$c_f(a + b) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{f_{<i}}(a) c_{f_{\geq i}}(b) \quad (1)$$

where $f_{<i} : [1, i - 1] \rightarrow [n]$ and $f_{\geq i} : [i, m] \rightarrow [n]$ is called a non-commutative multiplicative family.

2. A family of maps $\{c_{f,i} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n], i=1, \dots, m}$ such that

$$c_{f,i}(a + b) = \sum_p c_{p,i}(a) \prod_{i=1}^k c_{f(i),p(i)}(b), \quad (2)$$

where the sum runs over $p : [k] \rightarrow [n]$ and $(x_1, f_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (x_k, f_k) = (m, f)$ is called non-commutative compositional sequence.

Theorem 17. Let $c = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} c_f x_f \in R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$.

1. For $a \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we set $c^a = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} c_f(a) x_f$, then $\{c_f\}_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]}$ is a noncommutative multiplicative sequence associated to C .

2. Let $C \in \text{NC}(n)$ be such that $|C| = c$, then

$$c_f(a) = |\bigoplus_{\text{OPar}(n,a)} \bigotimes_{\pi \in \text{OPar}} C(\pi, <_\pi, f|_\pi)|, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} c_f(a) x_f &= |C|^a = |C^a| = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |C^a([\pi], <, f)| x_f \\ &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} \left| \bigoplus_{\text{OPar}(m,a)} \bigotimes_{\pi \in \text{OPar}} C(\pi, <_\pi, f|_\pi) \right| x_f. \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote by **Digraph** the category whose objects are direct graphs. A direct graph Γ is a triple $(V_\Gamma, E_\Gamma, (s, t))$ where V_Γ is a set of vectors, E_Γ is a set of edges and $(s, t) : E_\Gamma \rightarrow V_\Gamma \times V_\Gamma$. Let **T** denote the category of rooted trees. A rooted tree is a direct graph Γ such that

1. There is a distinguished vertex $r \in V_\Gamma$ called root.
2. For every vertex $i \in V_\Gamma$ there is a unique path from i to r .




Figure 3: Example of a colored planar tree.

\mathbf{T} is a full subcategory of **Digraph**. Notice that $|s^{-1}(r)| = 0$. A vertex $i \in V_\Gamma$ is said to be a leaf of \mathbf{T} if $|t^{-1}(i)| = 0$. The set of leaves of Γ is denoted by $\text{leaves}(\Gamma)$. \mathbf{PT} is the category whose objects are rooted trees together with a linear ordering on $t^{-1}(v)$ for each $v \in V_\Gamma$. Morphism in \mathbf{PT} are morphism in \mathbf{T} that respect the linear ordering on $t^{-1}(v)$ for each $v \in V_\Gamma$. Objects of \mathbf{PT} are called planar rooted trees. \mathbf{CPT} stands for the category whose objects are planar rooted trees Γ together with a map $l : V_\Gamma \rightarrow [n]$, see Figure 3. Let \mathbf{CPT}^a be the full subcategory of \mathbf{CPT} such that the length of each path from a leaf to the root is a . Colored trees in \mathbf{CPT}_i are such that $l(r) = i$. \mathbf{CPT}_i^a is the category such that $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{CPT}_i^a) = \text{Ob}(\mathbf{CPT}^a) \cap \text{Ob}(\mathbf{CPT}_i)$. Let $\mathbf{CPT}_i(x, <, f)$ be the full subcategory of \mathbf{CPT} such that a colored planar tree Γ belongs to $\mathbf{CPT}_i(x, <, f)$ if and only if

1. $\text{leaves}(\Gamma) = x$.
2. $l(i) = f(i)$, for all $i \in x$.
3. The order in x induced by the planar structure of Γ is $<_x$.
4. $l(r) = i$.

Also we define the subcategory $\mathbf{CPT}_i^a(x, <_x, f)$ of $\mathbf{CPT}_i(x, <, f)$ by $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{CPT}_i^a(x, <_x, f)) = \mathbf{CPT}^a \cap \mathbf{CPT}_i(x, <_x, f)$.

Theorem 18. 1. Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle^n$ with $c_i(0) = 0$ and $\partial_j c_i = \delta_{ij}$. Set $(c^a)_i = \sum_{f: [m] \rightarrow [n]} c_{f,i} \langle a \rangle x_f$, the sequence $\{c_{f,i} \langle a \rangle\}$ is a non-commutative compositional sequence.

2. Suppose that $C \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})^n)$ satisfies $|C| = c$, then

$$c_{f,i} \langle a \rangle = \left| \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{CPT}_i^a(x, <_x, f))} \bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} C_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)) \right|$$

3. If $C \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})^n)$ is such that $C_i = X_i - F_i$ with $F_i(x, \langle, f) = 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$, then

$$c_{f,i}(x, \langle_x, f) = \left| \begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \text{D}(CPT_i(x, \langle_x, f))} \bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} F_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)) \end{array} \right|.$$

4 Categorification of affine space

In this section we introduce the category of \mathcal{C} -valued commutative species following the approach found in [1]. We show that commutative and non-commutative \mathcal{C} -species are intertwined by a pair of adjoint functors.

Definition 19. We denote by \mathbb{B}^n the category such that

- $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \{(x, f) : x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}) \text{ and } f : x \longrightarrow [n]\}$.
- $\mathbb{B}^n((x, f), (y, g)) = \{\alpha : x \longrightarrow y : \alpha \text{ is bijective and } g \circ \alpha = f\}$.

Definition 20. The category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ of all functors from \mathbb{B}^n to \mathcal{C} will be called the category of \mathcal{C} -species of type n .

Definition 21. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category. Let $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$, $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}_0)^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ and $(x, f) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n)$, the following formulae defines the sum, product, composition and derivative of commutative species

1. $(F \oplus G)(x, f) = F(x, f) \oplus G(x, f)$.
2. $FG(x, f) = \bigoplus_{y \subset x} F(y, f|_y) \otimes G(x - y, f|_{x-y})$.
3. $F(G_1, \dots, G_n)(x, f) = \bigoplus_{p, g} F(p, g) \otimes \bigotimes_{B \in p} G_{p(B)}(B, f|_B)$, where $p \in \text{Par}(x)$ and $g : p \rightarrow [n]$.
4. $\partial_i : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ where $\partial_i(F)$ is given by the formula $\partial_i F(x, f) = F(x \sqcup \{*\}, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\})$, for all $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$

For $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ will write $F^a = FF \dots F$. If $F \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbb{B}^n})^n)$ then $F^{(a)} = F \circ F \circ \dots \circ F$. For $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we will write $a! = a_1! \dots a_n!$, $[a] = ([a_1], \dots, [a_n])$ and $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$.

Theorem 22. Let R be a ring and \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category provided with a R -valuation $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow R$. The map

$$|\cdot| : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n} \longrightarrow R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]] \text{ given by } |F| = \sum_{f: [m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f)| \frac{x^a}{a!} \text{ is a } R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]\text{-valuation on } \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}.$$

Moreover $|\partial_i F| = \partial_i |F|$, and

$$|F(G_1, \dots, G_n)| = |F|(|G_1|, \dots, |G_n|).$$

Example 23. For $(x, f) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n)$

- The singleton specie $X_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $X_i(x, f) = 1_C$ if $|x| = 1$ and $f(x) = i$ otherwise $X_i(x, f) = 0$.
- The specie $1 \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ is given by $1(x, f) = 1_C$ if $x = \emptyset$ and $1(x, f) = 0$ otherwise.
- The exponential specie $E \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ is given by $E(x, f) = 1_C$.

It should be clear that $|X_i| = x_i$, $|1| = 1$ and $|E| = e^{x_1 + \dots + x_n}$.

Example 24. $\text{ADN} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^{\mathbb{B}^4})$ is the species such sets $A, T, C, G \in \mathbb{B}$

1. $\text{ADN}(A, T, C, G)$ is the set of ordered restricted pairing on $A \sqcup T \sqcup C \sqcup G$.
2. An ordered restricted pairing α is a map $\alpha : \{0, 1\} \times [n] \longrightarrow A \sqcup T \sqcup C \sqcup G$ such that
 - α is a bijection.
 - $\alpha(0, i) \in A$ (C) if and only if $\alpha(1, i) \in T$ (G).
 - $\alpha(1, i) \in A$ (C) if and only if $\alpha(0, i) \in T$ (G).

$$3. |\text{ADN}| \in \mathbb{N}[[a, t, c, g]] \text{ is given by } |\text{ADN}|(a, t, c, g) = \frac{1}{1 - 2at - 2cg}.$$

An operad \mathcal{O} on a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} consists of a family $\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{O}(n) : n \geq 1\}$ of objects of \mathcal{C} together with the following data

1. A composition law $\gamma_k : \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}(j_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(j)$, for all $k \geq 1$ and $j_s \geq 0$ such that $\sum j_s = j$.
2. A right action by the symmetric group S_i on $\mathcal{O}(i)$ for each i , $\mathcal{O}(i) \times S_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(i)$.
3. A unit map $\eta : 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Maps γ_k are required to be associative, unital and equivariant [5], [11]. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ whose objects are functor $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ such that $F(\emptyset) = 0 \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 25. The collection $\{\mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbb{B}^n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an operad in Cat .

Proof. For $k \geq 1$, composition $\gamma_k : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^k} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{n_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{n_k}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k}}$ are given by $\gamma_k(F, G_1, \dots, G_k) = F(G_1, \dots, G_k)$, for all $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^k})$ and $G_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{n_i}})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $n_s \geq 1$. Given $\sigma \in S_n$ and $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ define $F\sigma \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ by $F\sigma(x, f) = F(x, \sigma f)$. The map $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n} \times S_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ given by $F \longmapsto F\sigma$ provides $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ with a S_n -action. □

Theorem 26. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category.*

1. *The following maps are functorial*

- $S : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ given by $S(F)(x, <, f) = F(x, f)$.
- $\Pi : \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$ given by $\Pi(G)(x, f) = \bigoplus_{<} G(x, <, f)$ where the sum runs over the total orders $<$ on x .

2. Π is a left adjoint of S .

Proof. We must show that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}(\Pi F, G) = \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}(F, SG)$. An element $S \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}(\Pi F, G))$ is natural transformation $S : \Pi F \rightarrow G$, given by morphisms $S(x, f) : \Pi F(x, f) \rightarrow G(x, f)$ one for each pair $(x, f) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ such that for all morphism $\varphi : (x, f) \rightarrow (y, g)$ in \mathbb{B}^n the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi F(x, f) & \xrightarrow{S(x, f)} & G(x, f) \\ \Pi F(\varphi) \downarrow & & \downarrow G(\varphi) \\ \Pi F(y, g) & \longrightarrow & G(y, g) \end{array}$$

is commutative. A morphism $S(x, f) : \bigoplus_{<} F(x, <, f) \rightarrow G(x, f)$ is the same as a family of morphisms $S(x, <, f) : F(x, <, f) \rightarrow SG(x, <, f)$ which in turns defines a natural transformation $S : F \rightarrow SG$. \square

Consider the linear map $\pi : R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ given by $\pi(x_f) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{|f^{-1}(i)|}$ for $x_f \in R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$. Consider also the linear map $s : R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle$ given by $s\left(\frac{x^a}{a!}\right) = \sum_f \prod_{i \in [a_1 + \dots + a_n]} x_{f(i)}$, where the sum runs over all maps $f : [a_1 + \dots + a_n] \rightarrow [n]$ such that $f^{-1}(i) = a_i$.

Theorem 27. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category provided with a R -valuation. The following diagrams commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{||} \quad} & R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \\ S \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{||} \quad} & R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{||} \quad} & R\langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \\ \Pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{||} \quad} & R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$

$$\begin{aligned}
|S(F)| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |S(F)([m], <_m, f)| x_f = \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} |F([m], f)| x_f \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n], f^{-1}(i)=a_i} |S(F)([m], f)| \right) x_f \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} F([a]) \sum_f \prod_{i \in [a_1 + \dots + a_n]} x_{f(i)} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} |F([a])| s\left(\frac{x^a}{a!}\right) = s|F|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi|F| &= \sum_{f:[m] \rightarrow [n]} F([m], <_m, f) \pi(x_f) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{f^{-1}(i)=a_i} |F([m], <, f)| \right) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{f^{-1}(i)} \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{|f^{-1}(i)=a_i} |F([m], <, f)| \right) a! \frac{x^a}{a!} \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{[a_1 + \dots + a_n]}} |F([a_1 + \dots + a_n], <_{[a_1 + \dots + a_n]}, f \circ \sigma) \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\sum_{<} |F([a_1, \dots, a_n], <, f)| \right) \frac{x^a}{a!} = |\Pi(F)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 28. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. The shuffle bifunctor $\text{Sh} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)}$ is given on objects by

$$\text{Sh}(F, G)(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{y \subset x} F(y, <_y, f|_y) \otimes G(x - y, <_{x-y}, f|_{x-y})$$

for all $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$.

Theorem 29. 1. For $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$ the functor Π satisfies

- (a) $\Pi(F \oplus G) = \Pi(F) \oplus \Pi(G)$.
- (b) $\Pi(FG) = \Pi(F)\Pi(G)$.
- (c) $\Pi(\partial_i F) = \partial_i(\Pi F)$.

2. For $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ the functor S satisfies

- (a) $S(F \oplus G) = S(F) \oplus S(G)$ for all $F, G \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n}$.

$$(b) S(FG) = \text{Sh}(SF, SG).$$

$$(c) \partial_i(SF) = S(\partial_i F).$$

$$(d) \Pi S = n!.$$

Proof. Let $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{NC}(n)})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(F \oplus G)(x, f) &= \bigoplus_{<} F \oplus G(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{<} (F(x, <, f) \oplus G(x, <, f)) \\ &= (\Pi(F) \oplus \Pi(G))(x, f). \\ \Pi(FG)(x, f) &= \bigoplus_{<} FG(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{<} \bigoplus_{x_1 \sqcup x_2 = x} F(x_1, <_1, f|_{x_1}) \otimes G(x_2, <_2, f|_{x_2}) \\ &= \Pi(F)\Pi(G)(x, f). \\ \Pi(\partial_i F)(x, f) &= \Pi F(x \sqcup \{*\}, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\}) = \bigoplus_{<_*} F(x \sqcup \{*\}, <_*, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\}) \\ &= \partial_i(\Pi F)(x, f). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F, G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$.

$$\begin{aligned} S(F \oplus G)(x, <, f) &= F \oplus G(x, f) = F(x, f) \oplus G(x, f) = (S(F) \oplus S(G))(x, <, f). \\ S(FG)(x, <, f) &= FG(x, f) = \bigoplus_{y \subset x} F(y, f|_y) \otimes G(x - y, f|_{x-y}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{y \subset x} SF(y, <_y f|_y) \otimes SG(x - y, <_{x-y} f|_{x-y}) \\ &= \text{Sh}(SF, SG)(x, <, f). \\ S(\partial_i F)(x, <, f) &= \bigoplus_{<_*} SF(x \sqcup \{*\}, <_*, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\}) = F(x \sqcup \{*\}, f \sqcup \{(*, i)\}) \\ &= S(\partial_i F)(x, <, f). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Pi(S(F))(x, f) = \bigoplus_{<} S(F)(x, <, f) = \bigoplus_{<} F(x, f) = n!F(x, f) \quad \square$$

Definition 30. 1. A sequence of maps $\{c_n : \mathbb{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k}$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^+$

$$c_n(a + b) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^k} \binom{n}{j} c_j(a) c_{n-j}(b) \quad (3)$$

where $\binom{n}{j} = \binom{n_1}{j_1} \dots \binom{n_k}{j_k}$ is called polybinomial sequence.

2. A sequence of maps $\{c_n^i : \mathbb{N}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k, i \in [k]}$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^+$

$$c_n^i(a + b) = \sum_{i, j} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_k} \binom{i_s}{j_1, \dots, j_{p(s)}} \frac{1}{p(s)!} c_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^i(\alpha) \prod c_{j_1, \dots, j_{p(s)}}^{i_s}(\beta). \quad (4)$$

is called polymultinomial sequence.

Theorem 31. Let $c = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \in R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

1. If $a \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we set $c^a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k} c_n(a) \frac{x^n}{n!}$. The sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k}$ is polybinomial sequence.
2. Assume that there exists $C \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ such that $|C| = c$ then

$$c_n(a) = \left| \bigoplus_{x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_a = x} \bigotimes_{i=1}^a c(x_i, f|_{x_i}) \right|.$$

Notice that for one variable the corresponding coefficients satisfy the following relations

$$c_n(a+b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c_k(a) c_{n-k}(b)$$

and

$$c_n(a+b) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^k} \frac{1}{k!} \binom{n}{i} c_k(a) c_i(b)$$

where $i = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $c_i(b) = c_{i_1}(b) \dots c_{i_k}(b)$ and $\binom{n}{i} = \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_k}$. This coefficient are called binomial coefficients and multinomial coefficients respectively, see [13].

We define \mathbf{CT} , $\mathbf{CT}^a(x, f)$, $\mathbf{CT}_i(x, <)$ as above omitting the planar condition.

Theorem 32. 1. Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^n$ with $c_i(0) = 0$. Set $(c_{n,i}\langle a \rangle)_i = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^k} c_{n,i}\langle a \rangle \frac{x^n}{n!}$, the sequence $\{c_{n,i}\langle a \rangle\}$ is called compositional sequence.

2. Suppose that $C \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})^n)$ satisfies $|C_i| = c_i$ and let (x, f) be an object on \mathbb{B}^n such that $f^{-1}(i) = n_i$. then

$$c_{n,i}\langle a \rangle = \left| \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(CT_i^a(x, f))} \left(\bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} C_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)) \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)} \right|.$$

3. If $C \in \text{Ob}((\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})^n)$ is such that $C_i = X_i - F_i$ with $F_i(x, f) = 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$, then

$$c^{-1}(x, f) = \left| \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(CT_i^a(x, f))} \left(\bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} F_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)) \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)} \right|.$$

Above the notation $\left(\bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} F_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)) \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}$ represent the colimit (which we

assume that exists) of the functor that sends $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{CT}_i(x, f))$ isomorphic to Γ , into

$$\bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma \setminus x} F_{l(v)}(t^{-1}(v)).$$

5 Categorification of Feynman integrals

In order to find the appropriated categorification of finite dimensional Feynman integrals we need to generalize our notion of species to the context of $\mathcal{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ species where \mathcal{G} is a semisimple groupoid such that for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$ the cardinality of $\mathcal{G}(x, x)$ is finite and \mathcal{C} is a symmetric monoidal category provided with a R -valuation. We call a groupoid semisimple if

1. It admits direct sums.
2. Every object in \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of simple objects. $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$ is said to be simple if $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$ implies that either $x_1 \cong x$ and $x_2 \cong 0$ or $x_1 \cong 0$ and $x_2 \cong x$.

A $R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ -weight on \mathcal{G} is a map $\omega : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ such that for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$

1. $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ if x is isomorphic to y .
2. $\omega(x \oplus y) = \omega(x)\omega(y)$.
3. $\omega(0) = 1$.

We define the category of $\mathcal{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ species to be the category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}}$ of functors from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{C} . Using these notation one defines a map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}}) \longrightarrow R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ by $|F| = \sum_{x \in \text{D}(\mathcal{G})} |F(x)| \frac{\omega(x)}{|\mathcal{G}(x, x)|}$

for all $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}})$.

Recall that a partition of a set x is a disjoint collection of non-empty subsets of x whose union is x . A pairing on a set x is a partition with blocks of cardinality two. We denote by $P(x)$ the set of pairings on x .

For the applications to Feynman integrals we shall look at the groupoid **Graph** whose objets Γ are triples $(F_\Gamma, V_\Gamma, E_\Gamma)$ such that

- F_Γ is a finite set. Elements in F_Γ are called flags.
- V_Γ is a partition of F_Γ . Blocks of V_Γ are called vertices of Γ .
- E_Γ is a matching on F_Γ . Blocks of E_Γ are called edges of Γ .

Figure 4 illustrates how in objects are **Graph** is represented in pictures. A morphism $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ in **Graph** is a bijection $\varphi : F_{\Gamma_1} \longrightarrow F_{\Gamma_2}$ such that $\varphi(V_{\Gamma_1}) = V_{\Gamma_2}$ and $\varphi(E_{\Gamma_1}) = E_{\Gamma_2}$. In $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}}$ we define direct sum as follows $(F \oplus G)(\Gamma) = F(\Gamma) \oplus G(\Gamma)$ for all $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Graph})$. Tensor product is given by $(F \otimes G)(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2 = \Gamma} F(\Gamma_1) \otimes G(\Gamma_2)$. This definition turns $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}}, \oplus, \otimes)$ into a symmetric monoidal category with direct sums. Assume we are given a $R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ -weight on **Graph**.

Theorem 33. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}}) \longrightarrow R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ given by

$$|F| = \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Graph})} |F(\Gamma)| \frac{\omega(\Gamma)}{|\mathbf{Graph}(\Gamma, \Gamma)|}$$

defines a $R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ -valuation on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}}$.

We shall use the following $R[[g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots]]$ -weight on \mathbf{Graph}

$$\omega(\Gamma) = \prod_{v \in V_\Gamma} g_{|v|} \text{ for all } \Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Graph}).$$

We shall need also the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pert} : \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_k, \dots]] \\ \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_a x^a &\mapsto \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_a x^a \frac{g_{|a|}}{|a|!} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: Graphical representation of graphs

The main advantage of the Gaussian measure is that it has a clear cut combinatorial meaning whereas a similar understanding of the Lebesgue measure seems to be lacking. The combinatorial meaning of Gaussian integrals may be summarize in the remarkable identity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = |P([2n])|. \quad (5)$$

Example 34. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = |P([4])| = 3.$




Figure 5: Pairings on [4].

Using polarization and diagonalization formula (5) implies the following identity for any positive defined symmetric n^2 real matrix A and any object (e, f) in \mathbb{B}^n .

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i \in e} x_{f(i)} e^{-\sum A_{ij}^{-1} x_i x_j} dx = \sum_{\sigma \in P(e)} \prod_{p \in \sigma} A_{f(p)}, \quad (6)$$

where for $p = \{i, j\}$, $f(p) = \{f(i), f(j)\}$.

Assume that we have objects O_{ij} in \mathcal{C} , for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, such that $O_{ij} = O_{ji}$ and $|O_{ij}| = A_{ij}$.

Given $(e, f) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ we define \mathbf{Graph}^e to be the full subcategory of \mathbf{Graph} whose objects are graphs Γ such that

1. $e \subset F_\Gamma$.
2. $\{i\} \in V_\Gamma$ for all $i \in e$.

Definition 35. The Feynman functor $\text{Fey}^{e,f} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}^e}$ sends a functor $S \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ into the functor $\text{Fey}^{e,f}(S) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{Graph}^e})$ given by

$$\text{Fey}^{e,f}(S)(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_f \left(\bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma} S(v, f|_v) \bigotimes_{e \in E_\Gamma} O(f(e)) \right) \text{ for all } \Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Graph}^e)$$

where the sum runs over all extensions $f : F_\Gamma \rightarrow [n]$ of $f : e \rightarrow [n]$.

Theorem 36. Let $S \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n})$ be such that $S(x, f) = 0$ if $|x| \leq 2$. The following identity holds

$$\frac{(2\pi)^n}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int e^{-A_{ij}^{-1} x_i x_j + \text{Pert}(S)} dx = |\text{Fey}^{e,f}(S)|$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int e^{-A_{ij}^{-1}x_i x_j + \sum_{|a|\geq 3} s_a \frac{x^a}{|a|!} g_{|a|}} \prod_{i \in e} x_{f(i)} dx = \\
& \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int e^{-A_{ij}^{-1}x_i x_j} \prod_{|a|\geq 3} \left(\sum_{k_a=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s_a \frac{x^a}{|a|!} g_{|a|})^{k_a}}{k_a!} \right) \prod_{i \in e} x_{f(i)} dx = \\
& \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \int e^{-A_{ij}^{-1}x_i x_j} \prod_{|a|\geq 3} \left(\sum_{k_a=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_a^{k_a} x^{k_a a} g_{|a|}^{k_a}}{k_a! |a|^{k_a}} \right) \prod_{i \in e} x_{f(i)} dx \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

Let k be a sequence $k = (k_3, k_4, \dots, k_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^\infty$ with $k_n = 0$ for n big enough. (7) is equal to

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \sum_k \left(\prod_{|a|\geq 3} \frac{s_a^{k_a} g_{|a|}^{k_a}}{k_a! |a|^{k_a}} \right) \int \prod_{i \in e} x_{f(i)} x^{\sum_a k_a a} e^{-A_{ij}^{-1}x_i x_j} dx \tag{8}$$

Let $z = y \sqcup e$ and $(y, g) \sqcup (e, f)$ be such that (y, g) is any colored set with $g^{-1}(i) = \sum_{a=3}^{\infty} k_a a_i$.

Then (8) becomes

$$\sum_k \sum_{\sigma \in P(z)} \left(\prod_{|a|\geq 3} \frac{s_a^{k_a} g_{|a|}^{k_a}}{k_a! |a|^{k_a}} \right) \prod_{p \in \sigma} A_{f(p)} = \sum_{\Gamma \in D(\mathbf{Graph})} |\text{Fey}(S)(\Gamma)| \frac{\omega(\Gamma)}{\mathbf{Graph}(\Gamma, \Gamma)} = |\text{Fey}(S)|.$$

□

In the computations above we assume that we could interchange infinite sums and integrals. This is the formal step in the definition of Feynman integrals.

6 Categorification of deformation quantization

In this section we assume that the reader is familiar with the notations used in [10]. A Poisson manifold is a pair $(M, \{, \})$ where M is a m -dimensional smooth manifold provided with a bracket $\{, \} : C^\infty(M) \otimes C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$ satisfying the following

1. $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$, for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.
2. $\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}$, for all $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$.
3. $\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}$, for all $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$.
4. $\{, \}$ is a local bidifferential operator.

Axiom (4) below means that $\{f, g\}(x) = \alpha^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g$ in local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_m where α^{ij} is an antisymmetric m^2 matrix with entries on $C^\infty(M)$. $\alpha = \alpha^{ij} \partial_i \otimes \partial_j \in \Gamma(M, \wedge^2 TM)$ is called the Poisson bivector associated to the Poisson manifold $(M, \{, \})$.

Definition 37. Let M be a Poisson manifold. A formal deformation of $C^\infty(M)$ is a star product $\star : C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]} C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ such that

1. \star is associative.
2. $f \star g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(f, g) \hbar^n$, where $B_n(-, -)$ are bi-differential operators.
3. $f \star g = fg + \frac{1}{2}\{f, g\}\hbar + O(\hbar^2)$, where $O(\hbar^2)$ are terms of order \hbar^2 .

In [10] a canonical \star -product is constructed for any finite dimensional Poisson manifold. For manifold (\mathbb{R}^m, α) with Poisson bivector α the \star -product is given by the formula

$$f \star g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^n}{n!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{n,2})} \omega_\Gamma B_{\Gamma, \alpha}(f, g), \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{n,2}$ is the collection of *admissible graphs* and ω_Γ are some constants independent of m and α . Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be directed graphs. We say Γ_1 is included in Γ_2 , $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$, if $V_{\Gamma_1} \subset V_{\Gamma_2}$, $E_{\Gamma_1} \subset E_{\Gamma_2}$ and $(s_1, t_1) = (s_2|_{E_{\Gamma_1}}, t_2|_{E_{\Gamma_1}})$. If $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ we define the graph Γ_2/Γ_1 as follows

1. $V_{\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1} = (V_{\Gamma_2} \setminus V_{\Gamma_1}) \sqcup \{*\}$.
2. $E_{\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1} = E_{\Gamma_2} \setminus (s_2^{-1}(V_{\Gamma_1}) \cap t_2^{-1}(V_{\Gamma_1}))$.
3. $s_{\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1} = s_2$.
4. t_{Γ_2/Γ_1} is equal to t_2 on $E_{\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1} \setminus t_2^{-1}(V_{\Gamma_1})$ and to $\{*\}$ on $E_{\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1} \cap t_2^{-1}(V_{\Gamma_1})$.

For $k, n \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{k,n}$ the full subcategory of **Digraph** whose objects Γ , called *admissible graphs of type (k, n)* are such that

1. $V_\Gamma = V_\Gamma^1 \sqcup V_\Gamma^2$ where V_Γ^1 and V_Γ^2 are totally ordered sets with $|V_\Gamma^1| = k$, $|V_\Gamma^2| = n$.
2. $E_\Gamma = V_\Gamma^1 \times [2]$.
3. $t(e) \neq s(e)$ for all $e \in E_\Gamma$.
4. $s(v, i) = v$ for all $(v, i) \in E_\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_{k,n})$ and assume that $V_\Gamma^2 = \{i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_n\}$. We define the relation $<_L$ and $<_R$ as follows for $\Gamma_1 \in \text{Ob}(\bigsqcup_{k=0}^m \mathcal{G}_{k,n})$ we put $\Gamma_1 <_L \Gamma$ iff 1) $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$, 2) $V_{\Gamma_1}^0 = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s\}$, for some $s \leq n$ 3) Γ/Γ_1 is admissible. Similarly $\Gamma_1 <_R \Gamma$ iff 1) $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$. 2) $V_{\Gamma_1}^0 = \{i_s < i_{s+1} < \cdots < i_n\}$ 3) Γ/Γ_1 is admissible.

In order to categorify the Poisson manifold (\mathbb{R}^m, α) , i.e., find the monoidal category with a natural valuation on the ring $(\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_m, \hbar]], \star)$ with \star defined by (9) we make the following assumptions




Figure 6: Example of graph $\Gamma_1 <_L \Gamma$ and the associated quotient Γ/Γ_1 .

1. A categorification $|| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given.
2. For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ we are given $A^{ij} \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^m})$ such that $A^{ij} = -A^{ji}$ and $|A^{ij}| = \alpha^{ij}$.
3. We are given a functor $\Omega : \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_{k,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending Γ into Ω_Γ

$$\sum_{\Gamma_1 <_L \Gamma} \Omega_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma/\Gamma_1} = \sum_{\Gamma_2 <_R \Gamma} \Omega_{\Gamma/\Gamma_2} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma_2} \quad (10)$$

making the pentagon and hexagon axioms hold.

We denote objects in \mathbb{B}^{m+1} by triples (x, f, y) where $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$ and $f : x \rightarrow [m]$.

Theorem 38. $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{m+1}}, \oplus, \star)$ is a monoidal category with the following \star -product

$$F \star G(x, f, y) = \bigoplus_{y_1 \sqcup y_2 \sqcup y_3 = y} \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{|y_3|, 2})} \bigoplus_{I : E_\Gamma \rightarrow [m]} \Omega_\Gamma \otimes A_{\Gamma, I, b} \otimes B_{\Gamma, I, b} \quad (11)$$

where

- $I : E_\Gamma \rightarrow [m]$ and $b : x \rightarrow V_\Gamma$ are any maps.
- $A_{\Gamma, I, b} = \bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma} A^{I(e_v^1)I(e_v^2)}(b^{-1}(v) \sqcup E_v, f|_{b^{-1}(v)} \sqcup I|_{E_v})$.
- $B_{\Gamma, I, b} = F(b^{-1}(\bar{1}) \sqcup E_{\bar{1}}, f|_{b^{-1}(\bar{1})} \sqcup I_{E_{\bar{1}}}) \otimes G(b^{-1}(\bar{2}) \sqcup E_{\bar{2}}, f|_{b^{-1}(\bar{2})} \sqcup I_{E_{\bar{2}}})$.

Proof. The key issue is that

$$F \star (G \star H)(x, y, f) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma_1 <_R \Gamma} \left(\bigoplus_{\Gamma_1 <_R \Gamma} \Omega_{\Gamma/\Gamma_1} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma_1} \right) \otimes A_{\Gamma, I, b} \otimes B_{\Gamma, I, b}$$

where the sum runs over all $y_1 \sqcup y_2 \sqcup y_3 = y$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{|y_3|,3}$ and $I : E_\Gamma \longrightarrow [m]$.

$$(F \star G) \star H(x, f, y) = \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\Gamma_1 <_L \Gamma} \Omega_{\Gamma/\Gamma_1} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma_1} \right) \otimes A_{\Gamma,I,b} \otimes B_{\Gamma,I,b}$$

where the sum runs over all $y_1 \sqcup y_2 \sqcup y_3 = y$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{|y_3|,3}$ and $I : E_\Gamma \longrightarrow [m]$. (Above A, B are defined as in Theorem 40). Identity (10) guarantees associativity. \square

Theorem 39. *The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{m+1}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_m, \hbar]], \star)$ given by*

$$|F| = \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^m \times \mathbb{N}} |F([a], [b])| \frac{x^a \hbar^b}{a! b!}$$

is a $(\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_m, \hbar]], \star)$ -valuation on $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^m}, \oplus, \star)$.

Proof. One checks that

$$F \star G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{n,2})} \Omega_\Gamma B_\Gamma(F, G) \right) \frac{\hbar^n}{n!}$$

where $B_\Gamma(F, G)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{I: E_\Gamma \rightarrow [m]} \prod_{v \in V_\Gamma^0} \left(\prod_{e \in E_\Gamma, t(e)=v} \partial_{I(e)} \right) A^{I(v,1)I(v,2)} \left(\prod_{e \in E_\Gamma, t(v)=1} \partial_{I(e)} \right) F \left(\prod_{e \in E_\Gamma, t(e)=v} \partial_{I(e)} \right) G.$$

Taking valuation and looking out page 5 of [10] ones obtains the desired result. \square




Figure 7: Example of a graph $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}_{3,2})$ and $B_\Gamma(F,G)$.

Theorem 40.

$$(((F_1 \star F_2) \star F_3) \cdots \star F_n)(x, f, h) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_{|h_{n+1}|,n})} \bigoplus \Omega_\Gamma \otimes A_\Gamma \otimes B_\Gamma$$

where the sum runs over $h_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup h_{n+1} = h$ and

1. $\bigoplus_{\Gamma_1 < L \cdots < L \Gamma_{n-2} < L \Gamma} \Omega_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-2} \Omega_{\Gamma_i/\Gamma_{i-1}} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma_i/\Gamma_{n-2}}$.
2. $A_\Gamma = \bigotimes_{v \in V_\Gamma} A^{I(e_v^1)I(e_v^2)}(b^{-1}(v) \sqcup E_v, f|_{b^{-1}(v)} \sqcup I|_{E_v})$.
3. $B_\Gamma = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m F_i(b^{-1}(\tilde{i}) \sqcup E_{\tilde{i}}, f|_{b^{-1}(\tilde{i})} \sqcup I_{E_{\tilde{i}}})$.

Proof. Use induction and formula (11). □

7 Categorification of quantum phase space

In this section we consider the categorification of the quantum phase space of a free particle with n -degrees of freedom. Quantum phase space is the deformation quantization of the classical phase space, which is the symplectic manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \{, \})$ with the canonical bracket $\{x_i, x_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $\{x_i, y_j\} = \{y_i, y_j\} = 0$ for $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The ring of functions on the associated quantum phase space is isomorphic to the so called Weyl algebra which we proceed to introduce.

Definition 41. Let R be a commutative ring. The Weyl algebra W_n is given by $W_n = R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ where I_W is the ideal generated by the following relations

$$[y_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij} \hbar, \quad [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

We denote objects in \mathbb{B}^{2n+1} by (x, f, h) where $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$, $f : x \rightarrow [2] \times [n]$ and $h \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$. The color $(1, i)$ corresponds to the variable x_i ; the color $(2, i)$ corresponds to the variable y_i .

Definition 42. The symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{2n+1}}, \oplus, \star)$ of $2n$ -dimensional quantum phase space is given by

1. $F \oplus G(x, f, h) = F(x, f, h) \oplus G(x, f, h)$.
2. $F \star G(x, f, h) = \bigoplus F(x_1 \sqcup h_3, f|_{x_1} \sqcup \{2\} \times g, h_1) \otimes G(x_2 \sqcup h_3, f|_{x_2} \sqcup \{1\} \times g, h_2)$ where the sum runs over $x_1 \sqcup x_2 = x$, $h_1 \sqcup h_2 \sqcup h_3 = h$ and $g : h_3 \rightarrow [n]$.

Figure 8 shows the graphical interpretation of the product $F \star G$. Our next Theorem can be deduced from Proposition 49 below

Theorem 43. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{2n+1}}) \rightarrow W_n$ given by

$$|F| = \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}} |F([a], [b], [c])| \frac{x^a y^b \hbar^c}{a! b! c!}$$

is a W_n -valuation on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{2n+1}}$.




Figure 8: Graphical interpretation of $F \star G$.

Using inductively formula (2) of Definition 42 ones obtains

Theorem 44. Given $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^{2n+1}})$, then

1. $F_1 \star \dots \star F_m(x, f, h) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigotimes_{i < j} F_j(x_j \sqcup h_{ij} \sqcup h_{jk}, f|_{x_j} \sqcup \{1\} \times g \sqcup \{2\} \times g, h_{jj})$ where the sum runs over $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n x_i = x$, $\bigsqcup_{i \leq j} h_{ij} = h$ and $g : \bigsqcup_{i < j} h_{ij} \rightarrow [n]$.

$$2. F_1 \star \cdots \star F_m(x, f, h) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in O_n} O(\Gamma).$$

Proof. (1) follows inductively from formulae (2) of Definition 42.

Let O_n be the set of graphs Γ such that

1. $F_\Gamma = x \sqcup h_1 \sqcup [2] \times h_2$, where $h_1 \sqcup h_2 = h$.
2. $g : h_2 \rightarrow [n]$ is any map. We shall use the extension $\widehat{g} : [\overline{2}] \rightarrow [2] \times [n]$.
3. $V_\Gamma = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ where $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n B_i = F_\Gamma$, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ and if for $s \in h_2$, $(2, s) \in B_i$ and $(1, s) \in B_j$ then $i < j$.
4. $E_\Gamma = \{(s, 1), (s, 2) \mid s \in h_2\}$.

We associate to each $\Gamma \in O_n$ an object $O_\Gamma \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows

$$O(\Gamma) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m F_i(B_i \cap (x \sqcup [2] \times h_2), f|_{B_i \cap x} \sqcup \widehat{g}|_{B_i \cap ([2] \times h_2)}, B_i \cap h_1).$$

With this notation it should be clear that (2) is a reformulation of (1). \square

Proposition 45. *Let $m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$. A sequence $\{c_{m,n,s}(r)\}$ such that*

$$c_{m,n,s}(r+t) = \sum c_{m_1, n_1 + s_3, s_1}(r) c_{m_2 + s_3, n_2, s_2}(t) \binom{s}{s_1, s_2, s_3} \binom{m}{m_1} \binom{n}{n_1} \quad (12)$$

is called a quantum multinomial sequence

1. Let $c = \sum_{a,b,c} c_{a,b,c} \frac{x^a y^b \hbar^c}{a! b! c!} \in W_n$, for $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we set $c^r = \sum_{a,b,c} c_{a,b,c}(r) \frac{x^a y^b \hbar^c}{a! b! c!}$. The sequence $\{c_{a,b,c}(r)\}$ is a quantum multinomial sequence.

2. Assume that $|C| = c$ then

$$c_{m,n,s}(r) = \left| \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in O_n} \bigotimes_{i=1}^m F_i(B_i \cap (x \sqcup [2] \times h_2), f|_{B_i \cap x} \sqcup \widehat{g}|_{B_i \cap ([2] \times h_2)}, B_i \cap h_1) \right|. \quad (13)$$

Definition 46. *Let n, a, b be integers such that $n - a - b$ is even or zero. The quantum binomial is given by $\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] := \frac{n!(n-a-b)!!}{a!b!(n-a-b)!}$.*

Theorem 47. $|(X+Y)^n([a], [b], [c])| = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] a!b!c!$.

Proof. According to Proposition 44 in order to construct $(X + Y)^n([a], [b], [c])$ we should (see Figure 10)

1. Choose a partition of $[n]$ in 3 blocks of cardinality $a, b, 2c$ respectively. There are $\binom{n}{a, b, 2c}$ ways of doing this. Choose a linear order on the first and second blocks. There are $a!b!$ such possible orderings.
2. Choose a pairing on $[2c]$. There are $(2c - 1)!!$ possible choices.
3. Choose a bijection between $[c]$ and the pairing selected in (2). This yields a $c!$ factor.

All together we see that

$$|(X + Y)^n([a], [b], [c])| = \binom{n}{a, b, 2c} a!b!(2c - 1)!c! = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] a!b!c!. \quad (14)$$

□

Using the formula above

$$(x + y)^n = |(X + Y)^n| = \sum_{a+b+2c=n} \frac{n!(2c - 1)!c!}{(2c)!} \frac{x^a y^b \hbar^c}{a! b! c!} = \sum_{a+b+2c=n} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] x^a y^b \hbar^c.$$




Figure 9: Graph contributing to the computation of $(x + y)^{13}$

Proposition 48. For $n, a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a + b + 2c = n + 1$ the following identity holds

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n + 1 \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a - 1, b \end{matrix} \right] + \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a, b - 1 \end{matrix} \right] + (a + 1) \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a + 1, b \end{matrix} \right]. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Follows from identity (14), $(X + Y)^{n+1} = (X + Y)(X + Y)^n$ and identity (2)(b) below. □

Proposition 49. 1. The following formulae hold in $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^3}, \star)$

- (a) $\overbrace{X \star X \star \dots \star X}^p \star \overbrace{Y \star Y \star \dots \star Y}^q = X^p Y^q.$
- (b) $YX = XY + H.$

$$(c) \frac{Y^n}{n!} \star \frac{X^m}{m!} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min} \frac{X^{m-i}}{m-i!} \frac{Y^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \frac{H^i}{i!}, \text{ where } \min = \min\{n, m\}.$$

2. The following identities hold in W_1

$$(a) \frac{y^n}{n!} \star \frac{x^m}{m!} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min} \frac{x^{m-i}}{m-i!} \frac{y^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \frac{\hbar^i}{i!}, \text{ where } \min = \min\{n, m\}.$$

$$(b) yx^n = x^n y + nx^{n-1}\hbar.$$

$$(c) y^n x = xy^n + ny^{n-1}\hbar.$$

Proof. (1) is obvious. Formula (2) of Definition 42 implies that for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{B}$ $Y \star X(a, b, c) = 1$ if $|a| = |b| = 1$ and $|c| = 0$, or $|a| = |b| = 0$ and $|c| = 1$. Otherwise $YX(a, b, c) = \emptyset$. This result exactly agree with $(XY + H)(a, b, c)$ for all $a, b, c \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^3)$.

Recall that $\frac{X^n}{n!}(a, b, c) = 1$ if $|a| = n$ and $|b| = |c| = 0$, and 0 otherwise. Since $\frac{Y^n}{n!} \star \frac{X^m}{m!}(a, b, c) = \frac{Y^n}{n!}(b \cup c) \otimes \frac{X^m}{m!}(a \cup c)$, then $\frac{Y^n}{n!} \star \frac{X^m}{m!}(a, b, c) = 1$ if and only if $|b| + |c| = n$ and $|a| + |c| = m$ and zero otherwise so $\frac{Y^n}{n!} \star \frac{X^m}{m!}(a, b, c) = 1$ iff $|b| = n - |c|$, $|a| = m - |c|$ and $|c| \leq \min\{n, m\}$, that is

$$\frac{Y^n}{n!} \star \frac{X^m}{m!} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min} \frac{X^{m-i}}{m-i!} \frac{Y^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \frac{H^i}{i!}.$$

Taking valuation of (c) setting $a = 1$, $m = n$ for (b), and $b = n$, $m = 1$ for (c) ones gets part (2) of the proposition. □

Proposition 50. 1. $[XY, X^n] = nX^nH$.

$$2. e^Y e^X = e^X e^Y e^H.$$

Proof. 1. We have

$$Y \star X^n(a, b, c) = \bigoplus Y(a_1, b_1 \sqcup c_0, c_1) \otimes X^n(c_0 \sqcup a_2, b_2, c_2)$$

where the sum runs over all set a, b, c such that $a_1 \sqcup a_2 = a$, $b_1 \sqcup b_2 = b$ and $c_0 \sqcup c_1 \sqcup c_2 = c$.

- $|b_1| = 1$, $c_0 = \emptyset$ implies that $c = \emptyset$. We have the specie $X^n Y$.
- $|b_1| = \emptyset$, $|c_0| = 1$, $|a_2| = n - 1$. In this case we have the specie $nYX^{n-1}H$.

finally, we have $[XY, X^n] = nX^nH$.

$$2. e^Y e^X(a, b, c) = \bigoplus e^Y(a, b \cup c) \otimes e^X(a \cup c, b) = e^Y(b \cup c)e^X(a \cup c) = 1_c = e^X e^Y e^H(a, b, c),$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{B}$. □

Consider the product $Y^4 \star X^3$, the possible graphs arising in this case are depicted in Figure 10. Thus we see that $y^4 \star x^3 = |Y^4 \star X^3| = x^3 y^4 + 12xy^3\hbar + 36xy^2\hbar^2 + 24y\hbar^3$.




Figure 10: Graphs contributing to the computation of $y^4 \star x^3$.

8 Categorification of formal superspace

In this section we study de categorification of formal supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. The ring of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{R}^{n|m}]] = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \otimes \wedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$. Any $f \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{R}^{n|m}]]$ can be written as $f = \sum_{I \subset [n]} f_I \theta_I$ for $I \subset [n]$ and $f_I \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, where for $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$ we set $\theta_I = \theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_k}$.

The algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{R}^{n|m}]]$ is given by

$$fg = \left(\sum_{I \subset [n]} f_I \theta_I \right) \left(\sum_{J \subset [n]} g_J \theta_J \right) = \sum_{K \subset [n]} \left(\sum_{I \cup J = K} \text{sgn}(I, J) f_I g_J \right) \theta_K$$

where $\theta_I \theta_J = \text{sgn}(I, J) \theta_{I \cup J}$ and $\text{sgn}(I, J) = (-1)^{|\{(i,j) \in I \times J \mid j < i\}|}$. More generally if $I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_p = I$ we define

$$\text{sgn}(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_p) = (-1)^{|\{(i,j) \mid i \in I_k, j \in I_l, 1 \leq k < l \leq p \text{ and } j < i\}|}$$

Definition 51. Given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)$ the category such that

- $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)) = \{(x, f, I) : x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^n), f : x \rightarrow [n], I \subset [m]\}$.
- $\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)((x, f, I), (y, g, J)) = \{a : x \rightarrow y \text{ is a bijection} \mid ga = f\}$ if $I = J$; otherwise it is the empty set.

Definition 52. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category. The category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)}$ of functors from the category $\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)$ to the category \mathcal{C} will be called the category of superspecies of type $n|m$.

Figure 11 is the graphical representation of a superspecies in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^5 \times P(8)}$ where standard lines are boson and bold lines are fermions.




Figure 11: Superspecie.

Definition 53. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)}$, the following formulae define the sum, product and derivative of superspecies

1. $(F \oplus G)(x, f, I) = F(x, f, I) \oplus G(x, f, I)$.
2. $FG(x, f, I) = \bigoplus \text{sgn}(I_1, I_2) F(x_1, f|_{x_1}, I_1) \otimes G(x_2, f|_{x_2}, I_2)$, where the sum runs over all $x_1 \sqcup x_2 = x$ and $I_1 \sqcup I_2 = I$.

Theorem 54. $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)}$ is a monoidal category. The map $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$ given by

$$|F| = \sum_{(a, I) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times P([m])} |F([a], I)| \frac{x^a}{a!} \theta_I$$

is a $\mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m]$ -valuation on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times F(m)}$. Moreover .

Next we define the super analogue of the binomial coefficients defined by Rota in [13], and provided a combinatorial interpretation. We also include a combinatorial interpretation for the inverse of a superspecie.

Proposition 55. 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $I \subset [m]$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^+$. A sequence $c_{n, I}(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a super multiplicative sequence if

$$c_{n, I}(a + b) = \sum_{j, A} \binom{n}{j} \text{sgn}(A, A^c) c_{j, A}(a) c_{n-j, I-A}(b) \quad (16)$$

where $j \leq n$ and $A \subset I$.

2. For $c = \sum_{n, I} c_{n, I} \frac{x^n}{n!} \theta_I \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{R}^k[m]]]$ set $c^a = \sum_{n, I} c_{n, I}(a) \frac{x^n}{n!} \theta_I$. The sequence $c_{n, I}(a)$ is a super multiplicative sequence.

3. If $|C| = c$ then

$$c_{n,I}(a) = \left| \bigoplus \operatorname{sgn}(I_1, \dots, I_a) \bigotimes_{i=1}^a F(x_i, f|_{x_i}, I_i) \right|$$

where the sum above runs over all partitions $x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_a = x$,
 $I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_a = I$.

4. if $C = 1 + F$ where $F \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{B}^n \times P(m)})$ is such that $F(\emptyset) = 0$ then

$$C^{-1}(x, f, I) = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{|x|+|I|} \bigoplus_{\sqcup_1^a x_i = x} \bigoplus_{\sqcup_1^a I_i = I} \operatorname{sgn}(I_1, \dots, I_a) \bigotimes_{i=1}^a F(x_i, f|_{x_i}, I_i).$$

Applications of the theory of superspecies to the study of formal simple supersymmetries will be develop in [2].

Acknowledgment

This paper was influenced in many ways by conversations of the first author with a true teacher and friend Professor Gian-Carlo Rota.

References

- [1] Bergeron, Labelle, and Leroux, *Combinatorial species and tree-like structures*, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Rafael Díaz and Eddy Pariguan, *Categorification of formal supersymmetries*, Work in progress.
- [3] ———, *Symmetric quantum Weyl algebras*, *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal* **11** (2004), 187–203.
- [4] ———, *Quantum symmetric functions*, *Communications in Algebra* **33** (2005), no. 6, 1947–1978.
- [5] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, *Duke Math. J* (1994), no. 76, 203–272.
- [6] A. Joyal, *Une théorie combinatoire des séries formelles*, *Advances in mathematics* (1981), no. 42, 1–82.
- [7] ———, *Foncteurs analytiques et espèces de structures*, *Combinatoire énumérative. Lecture notes in mathematics* (1986), no. 1234, 126–159.
- [8] Victor Kac, *Classification of supersymmetries*, math-ph/0302016, 2003.

- [9] David Kazhdan, *Introduction to QFT*, Quantum fields and Strings: A course for mathematicians **1** (1999), 377–385.
- [10] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds I*, math. q-alg/9709040, 1997.
- [11] I. Kriz and J. P. May, *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*, Astérisque (1995), no. 233.
- [12] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, vol. 5 Graduate Text in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New York, NY, 1971.
- [13] Gian-Carlo Rota, *Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics. Introductory papers and commentaries*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.

Rafael Díaz. Universidad Central de Venezuela (UCV). rdiaz@euler.ciens.ucv.ve
Eddy Pariguan. Universidad Central de Venezuela (UCV). eddy@euler.ciens.ucv.ve