

NOTE ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

JIUN-CHENG CHEN AND HSIAN-HUA TSENG

ABSTRACT. We prove the characterization of \mathbb{P}^n for possibly singular varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

We work over \mathbb{C} . Recall the following characterization of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n .

Theorem 1.1 ([CMSB02] and [Ke01]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$. If $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n+1$ for all curves $C \subset X$, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

This result was first proved by Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron [CMSB02] and later simplified by Kebekus [Ke01]. The main goal of this paper is to relax the assumption on smoothness.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ with at most isolated LCIQ singularities. Assume that there is a K_X -negative extremal ray R such that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n+1$ for every curve $[C] \in R$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

The next corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ with at most isolated LCIQ singularities. If $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n+1$ for all curves $C \subset X$, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

Combining methods from the minimal model program (MMP) in dimension 3, we obtain two stronger results when $\dim X = 3$.

Proposition 1.4 (=Corollary 5.2). *Let X be a projective variety of dimension 3 with only canonical singularities. Assume that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq 4$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proposition 1.5 (=Proposition 5.3). *Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein projective variety of dimension 3. Assume that X has only isolated singularities, and $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq 4$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.*

We collect basic facts on free and very free curves in Section 2. When X is smooth, all corresponding statements in that section can be found in [Ko96].

Date: February 8, 2020.

The proofs for the singular cases require few (if any) changes since we make a very strong assumption that a general rational curve from a suitable family does not meet the singular locus X_{sing} of X . This assumption holds for varieties we are interested in. The proof is in Section 3. The main novelty is to apply techniques developed in [CT05]. In Section 4, we recall results from [Ke00] and [Ke01]. We do not claim any originality of results in that section; they are included in this paper for completeness of the proof and for the reader's convenience. In the last section, we present the proofs of two stronger results when $\dim X = 3$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Stefan Kebekus for helpful discussions. Part of this research was conducted while we were attending the AMS summer institute of algebraic geometry. We like to thank the conference organizers. The first author started working on this paper during his visit at National Center of Theoretical Sciences in Hsinchu, Taiwan. He likes to thank their hospitality and support. The research of the second author is supported in part by Clay Mathematics Institute Liftoff fellowship program.

2. FREE AND VERY FREE CURVES

We recall several basic facts on free and very free rational curves in this section. When the variety X is smooth, all statements we collect here can be found in [Ko96]. These statements still hold for singular X as long as the rational curves do not meet the singular locus X_{sing} .

Remark 2.1. This condition is quite strong. It is easy to find examples that all rational curves have to meet the singular locus X_{sing} .

Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ be a rational curve. Assume that $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ is birational to its image and $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ does not meet the singular locus X_{sing} . Since X is smooth along $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$, the pull-back of the tangent bundle TX to \mathbb{P}^1 is a vector bundle. It is a well-known result that

$$f^*TX \cong \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_n)$$

(this is usually attributed to Grothendick, however, it seems has been known before Grothendick) where $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ are integers.

Definition 2.2. *Notation and assumptions as above. The rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ is free if $f^*(TX)$ is generated by global sections. The rational curve is very free if $f^*TX \otimes \mathcal{I}_p$ is generated by global section $\forall p \in \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Remark 2.3. It is clear that a rational curve is free res. very free is equivalent to $a_i \geq 0$ res. $a_i \geq 1$.

The next proposition is a standard result. It only works when the ground field $k = \bar{k}$ is uncountable and $ch(k) = 0$, e.g. $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.4 ([Ko96] Theorem II.3.11). *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ be any rational curve which does not meet X_{sing} . There exist subset $X^{free} \subset X$, which is the complement of a countable union of proper subvarieties of X , such that if $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ intersects X^{free} , then $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ is free.*

We usually need to work on a family of (non-singular) rational curves. Let Z be an irreducible variety and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ \pi_Z \downarrow & & \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

be a family of morphisms over Z whose domain curves are non-singular rational curves. Let $[f] \in Z$ be a member of this family.

Lemma 2.5 ([Ko96] Theorem II.3.14.3). *Notation as above. If $a_3 \geq 1$, then a general deformation $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ of f is an embedding.*

Proposition 2.6 ([Ko96] Corollary II.3.10.1). *Notation as above. Let x be a general point of X . Assume that $g(0) = x$ for all $[g] \in Z$. Let $[f] \in Z$ such that the image of f does not meet X_{sing} . Assume further that $F : U \rightarrow X$ is dominant. Then for a general member $[h] \in Z$, the image $h(\mathbb{P}^1)$ does not meet X_{sing} and the vector bundle h^*TX is ample.*

3. UNTWISTED RATIONAL CURVES

We assume that X is a projective variety of dimension $n \geq 3$ with at most isolated LCIQ singularities in this section. For such an X , one can find a proper LCI Deligne-Mumford stack $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that X is a coarse moduli space of \mathcal{X} and π is an isomorphism over $X_{reg} = X \setminus X_{sing}$. It is not hard to see that $K_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi^*K_X$ and

$$C \cdot K_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi_*C \cdot K_X$$

for any (twisted) curve $C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ [CT05].

The main goal of this section is to prove the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Notation and assumptions as above. Assume further that there is a $-K_X$ -extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ such that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n + 1$ for every curve $[C] \in R$. Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ be a general point. Then there is a rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which passes through x and does not meet $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$.*

We start with a weaker proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Notation as above. Let R be any K_X -negative extremal ray. Then there is a twisted stable map $f : C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that C has at most one stacky point, $-K_X \cdot C \leq n + 1$ and $[\pi \circ f(C)] \in R$.*

Proof. Let R be such an extremal ray and $f : C \rightarrow X$ be a curve whose class is in the ray R . Choose a finite cover $D \rightarrow C$ such that $D \rightarrow X$ can be lifted to $g : D \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We may assume that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $g : D \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are

defined over $\mathbb{Z} \subset S \subset \mathbb{C}$ where S is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} . The mod p reductions of $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $g : D \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are denoted by $\pi_p : \mathcal{X}_p \rightarrow X_p$ and $g_p : D_p \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_p$ respectively. It follows from [CT05] that R remains extremal for almost all p . The characteristic p case follows from [CT05]: essentially, this follows by applying the Frobenius map and bend and break techniques. Let $h_p : \mathcal{C}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_p$ be the twisted curve satisfying the conditions

- (1) \mathcal{C}_p has at most 1 twisted point,
- (2) $-K_{X_p} \cdot \mathcal{C}_p \leq n + 1$, and
- (3) $[\pi_p \circ h_p(\mathcal{C}_p)] \in R$.

as in the proposition. Also note that the coarse moduli space C_p of \mathcal{C}_p is rational.

To prove the characteristic 0 case, we apply the the standard technique of reduction mod p (see [Ko96] Meta Theorem II.5.10.3).

Consider the two conditions for a twisted stable map $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ (over the base scheme $\text{Spec } S$)

- (1) $-K_X \cdot \mathcal{C} \leq n + 1$, and
- (2) $[\pi \circ h(\mathcal{C})] \in R$.

There are only finitely many components of the moduli space of twisted stable maps such that the corresponding twisted stable maps satisfy these two conditions. Note that the locus where the source curve has at most 1 stacky point is open. The image of these components in $\text{Spec } S$ is constructible. Since the image in $\text{Spec } S$ contains almost all p , it also contains the generic fiber. This shows that there is twisted stable map with at most 1 stacky point. \square

Unless mentioned otherwise, we make further assumptions in the rest of this paper.

Assumptions 3.3. *From now on we assume that X is a projective variety of $\dim X = n \geq 3$ with isolated LCIQ singularities and has the property that there is a K_X -negative extremal ray R such that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n + 1$ for every curve $[C] \in R$.*

Let R be an extremal ray as in Assumptions 3.3. By Lemma 3.2, there is a twisted stable map $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) \leq n + 1$. Together with Assumptions 3.3, it follows that $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$.

Recall that a twisted stable map $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence class of twisted curves; that is, we forget the parametrization of \mathcal{C} . Choosing a representative of this equivalence class yields a twisted curve into \mathcal{X} . (Usually it does not matter which representative we choose.) Abusing the notation, we still use $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ to denote this twisted curve.

The next lemma is a simple observation.

Lemma 3.4. *Assumptions as above. Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a twisted rational curve with at most 1 twisted point on \mathcal{C} . Assume further that the class of the coarse curve $[\overline{\pi \circ f}(\mathbb{P}^1)] \in R$ and $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$. Then $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is birational.*

Proof. This follows from the fact the $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$ is the smallest possible intersection number by Assumptions 3.3. Thus $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ can not be a multiple cover of its image. \square

Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a twisted stable map with at most 1 twisted point and $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$. Let $y \in f(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a general point in the image of \mathcal{C} (we do not and can not assume y is general in \mathcal{X} for the time being). Here we abuse the notation again: we use $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ to denote a representative in the equivalence class. We may assume $f(0) = y$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a twisted stable map with at most 1 twisted point and $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$. Then $\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, n + 1, f|_0) \geq 2$.*

Proof. We start with the case when \mathcal{C} does have a twisted point, denote it by ∞ . Consider the dimension estimate from [CT05]:

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, f|_0) \geq \mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) + (1 - 1)(\dim \mathcal{X}) - (\text{age term at } \infty).$$

Note that the age term is (strictly) less than n (see the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [CT05]). It follows that $\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, n + 1, f|_0) > n + 1 - n = 1$. Since $\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, n + 1, f|_0)$ is an integer, we get

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, n + 1, f|_0) \geq 2.$$

The case when \mathcal{C} has no twisted point, i.e. $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, follows from a similar dimension estimate (without the age term)

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, f|_0) \geq \mathbb{P}^1 \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) + (1 - 1)(\dim \mathcal{X}) = n + 1 \geq 2.$$

\square

Remark 3.6.

- (1) Suppose that \mathcal{C} does have a twisted point, denoted by ∞ . Note that any (representable) automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ will fix the twisted point $\infty \in \mathcal{C}$. It is not hard to see that $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, 0) = 1$ where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, 0)$ are the automorphisms which fix 0. Intuitively, an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, 0)$ can be viewed as an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 fixing 0 and ∞ .
- (2) One observes that $g(\infty) = f(\infty)$ when $[f]$ and $[g]$ are in the same component of $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, f|_0)$ since the image of a twisted point has to be a twisted point on \mathcal{X} , and these points are isolated by assumption.

Lemma 3.7. *Notation as above. The curve \mathcal{C} has no twisted point, i.e. $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{C} \cdot (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$ is the smallest possible intersection number for curves whose classes are in R . Therefore the curve $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ can not be further broken. Suppose that \mathcal{C} does have a twisted point. By Remark 3.6, the image of the twisted point ∞ is fixed for every $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ in the same component. The dimension of such morphisms is at most 1, i.e.

the dimension of $Aut(\mathcal{C}, 0)$; otherwise we can apply bend and break to get a curve with smaller $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ degree. However, $dim_{[f]}Mor(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0) \geq 2$ by Proposition 3.5. This shows that the curve \mathcal{C} has no twisted point at all. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Notation as above. $dim_{[f]}Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0) \geq n+1$.*

Remark 3.9.

- (1) The equality in Corollary 3.8 holds whenever $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is very free.
- (2) It's easy to compute the dimension of the space of twisted stable maps passing through x . Abusing the notation, we consider $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as a twisted stable map. Let $[f] \in H_x \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1)$ be an irreducible of the subfamily of twisted stable maps whose images contain x . It follows easily that

$$\begin{aligned} dim_{[f]}H_x &= dim_{[f]}Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0) - dim Aut(\mathbb{P}^1, 0) \\ &\geq n+1 - 2 = n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[f] \in M \subset Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0)$ be an irreducible component of dimension at least $n+1$. (In fact, there is only one irreducible component which contains $[f]$.)

Corollary 3.10. *Notation as above. A general member in $M \subset Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0)$ does not meet the preimage of the singular locus of X .*

Proof. Consider the subfamily ($\subset M$) of morphisms which meet $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$. We claim this family has dimension at most 2: Let $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $z \in \pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$. The dimension of the subfamily of morphisms $h : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $h(0) = y$ and $h(t) = z$ is at most 1 by bend and break. Since t is chosen from a 1-dimensional family, i.e. \mathbb{P}^1 , and $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$ is finite, the claim follows. The corollary follows easily from our claim. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Choose a general $[f] \in Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0)$ such that $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ does not meet $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$ (Corollary 3.10). We may also assume that

$$Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0)$$

is smooth at $[f]$ by Proposition 2.6 ([Ko96] Corollary II.3.10.1). Take the irreducible component $M \subset Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n+1, f|_0)$ which contains $[f]$ (there is only one such component). Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_M & \xrightarrow{F_M} & X \\ \pi_M \downarrow & & \\ & & M \end{array}$$

be the family of morphisms into X over M . Note that the image of a general member $[f] \in M$ does not meet $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$ (see Corollary 3.10). To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that F_M is dominant. We prove this

by a simple dimension count. Let $x_1 \in X$ be a general point. Consider the fiber of F_M over x_1 . The dimension of $F_M^{-1}(x_1)$ is at most 2; otherwise we can apply bend and break to obtain a lower $-K_X$ -degree rational curve in the ray R . Note that $\dim_{[f]} M = n + 1$ (Corollary 3.8 and Remark 3.9) and $\dim U_M = n + 2$. This shows that F_M is dominant. \square

It is well-known that $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$, the space (stack) of twisted stable maps with $-K_X$ -degree $n + 1$, is quasi-finite over the coarse moduli space $K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$ [AV02]. Since $n + 1$ is the minimal $-K_X$ -degree by assumption, the domain curves do not degenerate and the universal family of stable map $U \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$.

Lemma 3.11. *The proper Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ is a projective scheme.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, any stable map $[f] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ is birational to its image in \mathcal{X} . Therefore, $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ has no nontrivial automorphism. This shows $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ is a proper algebraic space. Since it is also quasi-finite over the projective scheme $K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$, it is a projective scheme. \square

Let $[f] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ be a twisted stable map into \mathcal{X} which does not meet the preimage of X_{sing} . Let $Z \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$ be an irreducible component which contains $[f]$ and \tilde{Z} the normalization. Consider the finite morphism $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$. Let Z' be the component of $K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$ which contains the image of Z , i.e. stable maps of the form $[\pi \circ h]$ with $[h] \in Z$. We also take the normalization \tilde{Z}' of Z' . The next lemma compares these two components. It is needed in the next section.

Lemma 3.12. *Notation as above. The natural map $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}'$ is an isomorphism.*

Remark 3.13. We do not claim at this point that $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1) \cong K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$, though it turns out to be the case. We have not excluded the possibility that there is a component of $K(\mathbb{P}^1, X, n + 1)$ consisted of rational curves which can not be lifted to \mathcal{X} .

Proof. The morphism $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}'$ is finite. Since both \tilde{Z} and \tilde{Z}' are normal, it suffices to show the morphism is also birational. This is clear since a general twisted stable map $[h] \in \tilde{Z}$ does not meet the set $\pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$. That is, $h : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ lies in $\pi^{-1}(X_{reg})$. Since $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over X_{reg} , we can identify an open set of \tilde{Z} with an open set of \tilde{Z}' . This concludes the proof. \square

4. RESULTS FROM [Ke00] AND [Ke01]

In this section, we go back to work on the variety X , rather than the stack \mathcal{X} . All results in this section are taken from [Ke00] and [Ke01]. We follow his notation as closely as possible. Let $x \in X$ be a general point on X . Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a twisted rational curve such that

- (1) $\mathbb{P}^1 \cdot_f (-K_{\mathcal{X}}) = n + 1$,
- (2) $[\pi \circ f(\mathbb{P}^1)] \in R$ and
- (3) the image $f(\mathbb{P}^1)$ contains x and does not meet $\pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$.

The existence of such a curve follows from Corollary 3.1. Denote by $[f] \in H_x \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{X}, n + 1)$ an irreducible component of the subfamily of stable map through x . Recall that $\dim_{[h]} H_x = n - 1$ for a general $[h] \in H_x$ (Remark 3.9). Let $\tilde{H}_x \rightarrow H_x$ be the normalization. Be Lemma 3.12, the variety \tilde{H}_x can be viewed as an irreducible component of the subfamily of stable maps (into X) passing through x . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_x & \xrightarrow{i_x} & X \\ \pi_x \downarrow & & \\ \tilde{H}_x & & \end{array}$$

where $\pi_x : U_x \rightarrow \tilde{H}_x$ is the universal family over \tilde{H}_x and $i_x : U_x \rightarrow X$ the universal morphism into X . Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of X at the general point x . There is a rational map $\tilde{i}_x : U_x \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ lifting the morphism $i_x : U_x \rightarrow X$. We need to consider \tilde{H}_x since H_x may not be normal a priori. Taking normalization is not necessary in [Ke01] since he proves H_x is smooth for a very general x at the very beginning.

We list some properties of \tilde{H}_x .

Proposition 4.1.

- (1) *The variety \tilde{H}_x is projective and is smooth away from a finite set of points.*
- (2) *The evaluation morphism i_x is finite away from $i^{-1}(x)$. In particular, the morphism i_x is surjective.*
- (3) *For a general point $[l] \in \tilde{H}_x$ the corresponding curve $l \subset X$ does not meet the preimage of X_{sing} .*
- (4) *For a general point $[l] \in \tilde{H}_x$ the corresponding curve $l \subset X$ is smooth and the restriction of the tangent bundle $TX|_l$ is ample.*

Proof. The variety H_x is non-empty by Corollary 3.1. The first half of (1) follows easily from [AV02] and the fact that these curves do not degenerate. The smoothness statement follows from Proposition 2.6 ([Ko96] Corollary II.3.10.1). (2) follows from the standard bend and break techniques, [Ko96] Corollary II.5.5. (3) is just a restatement of Corollary 3.10. The first part of (4) follows from Lemma 2.5 ([Ko96] Theorem II.3.14); the second part follows from Proposition 2.6 ([Ko96] Corollary II.3.10.1). \square

The next proposition can be found in [Ke00] or [Ke01].

Proposition 4.2 (= [Ke01] Corollary 2.3). *The preimage $i^{-1}(x)$ contains a section, which we call σ_∞ , and at most a finite number of other points, called z_i .*

Proposition 4.3 ([Ke00]). *If $E \cong \mathbb{P}(T_X^* |_x)$ is the exceptional divisor of the blow up, then the restricted morphism*

$$\tilde{i}_x |_{\sigma_\infty} : \sigma_\infty \rightarrow E$$

is finite.

Proposition 4.4 (= [Ke01] Proposition 3.1).

(1) *The map*

$$\tilde{i}_x |_{\sigma_\infty} : \sigma_\infty \cong \tilde{H}_x \rightarrow E$$

is an embedding. In particular, \tilde{H}_x is smooth.

(2) *The tangent map $T\tilde{i}_x$ has maximal rank along σ_∞ . In particular, $N_{\sigma_\infty, U_x} \cong N_{E, \tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$.*

We now sketch the proof that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. The argument is taken from [Ke01]. We do not claim any originality. Consider the morphism $i_x : U_x \rightarrow X$ and its Stein factorization

$$U_x \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} X$$

where α contracts the divisor σ_∞ , and β is a finite map.

Note that $\mathbf{R}^1\pi_x\mathcal{O}_{U_x} = 0$. Pushing forward the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_x}(\sigma_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_x}(\sigma_\infty) |_{\sigma_\infty} \rightarrow 0$$

gives the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle of rank 2 and $U_x \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. Since

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) = 0,$$

the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

splits, and the bundle $U_x \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}})$. This implies that there exists $\alpha' : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ which contracts $\sigma_\infty \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Since α and α' contract the same curve class, we have $\alpha \cong \alpha'$. Therefore $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. To conclude the proof, we need to show that

$$Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\beta} X$$

is an isomorphism. Since $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow X$ is finite (and surjective) and X has only isolated singularities, we may assume X is smooth in comparing the K_Y and β^*K_X . We obtain $-K_Y = \beta^*(-K_X) + R$ where R is the (effective) ramification divisor.

We need the following general fact: Let $C_1 \subset Y$ and $C_2 \subset Y$ be any two curves. If $[C_1] = [C_2]$ in the Mori cone $\overline{NE}(Y)$, then $\beta_*[C_1] = \beta_*[C_2]$ in the Mori cone $\overline{NE}(X)$ by the projection formula.

Let d be the degree of $\beta : Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow X$. Let C' be the preimage of a general curve $[C] \in H_x$. Consider the restriction morphism $\beta |_{C'} : C' \rightarrow C$. It is a d to 1 cover. Since $\rho(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$, $[C'] = k[l]$ where k is a positive integer

and $[l]$ is the class of a general line in \mathbb{P}^n . Consider the image of the line l under β . Since

$$k\beta_*[l] = \beta_*[C'] = d[C] \in \overline{NE}(X),$$

it follows that the classes $\beta_*[l]$ and $[C]$ lie in the same ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$. Note that $k = 1$ since $[C]$ is a curve with the minimal $-K_X$ -degree in the extremal ray R . It also follows that the $\beta_*[l] = \beta_*[C'] = d[C] \in \overline{NE}(X)$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 &= l \cdot (-K_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = l \cdot [\beta^*(-K_X) + R] = \beta_*l \cdot (-K_X) + l \cdot R \\ &= dC \cdot (-K_X) + l \cdot R \geq dC \cdot (-K_X) = d(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $d = 1$ and concludes the proof.

5. THREEFOLDS

We start with a simple proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein projective variety of $\dim X = n \geq 3$. Assume that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq n + 1$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Assume that there is a crepant partial resolution $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that Y has only isolated LCIQ singularities. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. Since $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is crepant, $K_Y = \pi^*K_X$. Let R be an extremal ray which is not contracted by $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. Let $[C] \in R$ be any curve. By assumption $C \cdot (-K_Y) \geq n + 1$. By Theorem 1.2, we have $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Note the Picard number $\rho(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$ and X is normal. If $\pi : Y \cong \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow X$ is not an isomorphism, then π contracts at least one (and hence all) curve classes; that is, X has to be a point. This is impossible. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a projective variety of $\dim 3$ and X has only canonical singularities. Assume that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq 4$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proof. Note that a canonical threefold has a crepant terminal partial resolution $Y \rightarrow X$ and any terminal threefold has only isolated hyper-quotient singularities. The corollary follows from these two facts and Proposition 5.1. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein projective threefold with only isolated singularities. Assume that $C \cdot (-K_X) \geq 4$ for every curve $C \subset X$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proof. Take any resolution $Y \rightarrow X$ and run the relative MMP over X . The final outcome is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial projective threefold $h : Z \rightarrow X$ with only terminal singularities and K_Z is h -nef. Write

$$K_Z = h^*K_X + \sum a_i D_i.$$

Note that $a_i \leq 0$ and h is an isomorphism over the open set $X_{reg} = X \setminus X_{sing}$. We can then conclude that each irreducible component of the exceptional set (it may not be a divisor) is contracted to a point in X_{sing} . The consequence:

every extremal ray which is not contracted by h has non-negative intersection number with every divisorial component D_i of the exceptional set.

Consider

$$C \cdot (-K_Z) = C \cdot [-h^*(K_X) - \sum a_i D_i].$$

Since $a_i \leq 0$ and $C \cdot D_i \geq 0$, it follows that $C \cdot (-K_Z) \geq 4$. This implies that Z is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^3 . Since $\rho(\mathbb{P}^3) = 1$ and X is normal and $h : Z \cong \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow X$ is birational, the morphism h has to be an isomorphism. This concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACV03] D. Abramovich, A. Corti, and A. Vistoli. *Twisted bundles and admissible covers*, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003) 3547–3618.
- [AV02] D. Abramovich and A. Vistoli. *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15, no. 1 27–75, 2002.
- [Am03] F. Ambro. *Quasi-log varieties*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 240 (2003), Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebry, 220–239; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 1(240), 214–233, 2003.
- [CMSB02] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka and N.I. Shepherd-Barron. *Characterizations of projective spaces and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), 1–88, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.
- [CT05] J.- C. Chen and H.- H. Tseng. *Cone Theorem via Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Preprint math.AG/0505043, 2005.
- [De01] O. Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [Ke00] S. Kebekus. *Families of singular rational curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. 11 (2002), no. 2, 245–256, math.AG/0004023.
- [Ke01] S. Kebekus. *Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 147–155, Springer, Berlin, 2002, math.AG/0107069.
- [Ko96] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 134, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208-2370, USA

E-mail address: jcchen@math.northwestern.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, 1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z2, Canada

E-mail address: hhtseng@math.ubc.ca