FUNCTION SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS: THE PÖSCHL-TELLER POTENTIAL

GESTUR ÓLAFSSON AND SHIJUN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We address the function space theory associated with the Schrödinger operator $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V$. The discussion is featured with potential $V(x) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1) \operatorname{sech}^2 x$, which is called in quantum physics the Pöschl-Teller potential. Using biorthogonal dyadic system, we introduce Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (including Sobolev spaces) associated with H. We then use interpolation method to identify these spaces with the classical ones for a certain range of p, q > 1. A physical implication is that the corresponding wave function $\psi(t, x) = e^{-itH} f(x)$ admits appropriate time decay in the Besov space scale.

INTRODUCTION

Let $H = -d^2/dx^2 + V$ be a Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R} with real valued potential function V. In quantum physics, H is the energy operator of a particle with one degree of freedom with potential V(x). The Schrödinger equation

$$i \partial_t \psi = H\psi, \qquad \psi(0, x) = f(x)$$

has solution given by $\psi(t, x) = e^{-itH} f(x)$. If the potential has certain decay at ∞ , then one expects that asymptotically, as time tends to infinity, the motion of the associated perturbed quantum system resembles the free evolution. In fact, if V is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|^2) |V(x)| dx < \infty$, then it is known, c.f. [CK01], [GH98], [Z04a], that the essential spectrum of H and $H_0 = -d^2/dx^2$ coincide and there is no singular continuous spectrum; the wave operators $W_{\pm} = s - \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}$ exists and are complete.

Recently, several authors have considered function spaces associated with Schrödinger operators, cf. [JN94, E95, E96, DZ98, DZ02, BZ04]. One of the goals has been to develop the associated Littlewood-Paley theory, in order to give a unified approach. Motivated by the treatment in [BZ04, E95] we study the negative potential

(0.1)
$$V(x) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)\operatorname{sech}^2 x$$

Date: July 19, 2021.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 42B25; Secondary: 35P25.

Key words and phrases. spectral multiplier, Schrödinger operator, Littlewood-Paley theory.

G. Ólafsson was supported by NSF grants DMS-0139473 and DMS-0402068. S. Zheng was partially supported by DARPA grant MDA 972-01-1-0033. He also gratefully thanks NSF for support during his visit at Louisiana State University in 2003.

GESTUR ÓLAFSSON AND SHIJUN ZHENG

called Pöschl-Teller potential. It is a fundamental model in soliton theory. In this article, we will mainly consider the case where $\lambda = n + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In that case we obtain a compact expression for eigenfunctions of H. It turns out that for the absolute continuous part of H, the analysis is simpler than the barrier potential, although H has a nonempty pure point spectrum.

Denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ the space of compactly supported functions on the line. Suppose $\Phi, \varphi \Psi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy the "biorthogonal" condition (see Section ??)

(0.2)
$$\Phi(x)\Psi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x)\psi_j(x) = 1,$$

where $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi(2^{-j}x)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q < \infty$. The Triebel-Lizorkin spaces associated with H, denoted by $F_p^{\alpha,q} := F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, is defined to be the completion of the subspace $L_0^2(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} < \infty\}$, where the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}$ is initially defined for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by:

(0.3)
$$||f||_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} = ||\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} |\varphi_j(H)f|^q\right)^{1/q}||_p.$$

The main result is an equivalence theorem for $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ using Peetre's maximal function, which suggests that that $||f||_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}^{\phi}$ and $||f||_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}^{\psi}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$, for given two systems of smooth dyadic systems $\{\phi_j\}, \{\psi_j\}$. The following is proved in Theorem 2.7:

Theorem 0.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. If $\varphi_j^* f$ is defined for $j \geq 0$ with $s > 1/\min(p, q)$, we have for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

(0.4)
$$\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} \sim \|\Phi^* f\|_p + \|\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (2^{j\alpha}\varphi_j^* f)^q\right)^{1/q}\|_p$$

Furthermore, $F_p^{\alpha,q}$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$) and it is independent of the choice of $\{\Phi, \varphi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$.

Here $\varphi_i^* f = \varphi_{j,s}^* f$ denotes the Peetre type maximal function, see Section ?? for details.

As in [BZ04] the essential part is to obtain the decay estimates of the kernel of the operator $\phi_{\lambda}(H)$ as well as of its derivatives. This requires an examination of the differential properties of the eigenfunctions $e_k(x) := e(x, k)$. For $k = i, 2i, \ldots, ni$, where as usually $i = \sqrt{-1}$, the bounded states are Schwartz functions. In Section 1 we solve the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (1.5) for $k \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{i, \ldots, ni\}$ based on a general expression suggested in [Lam80, p.40, p.54]. Furthermore, we determine precisely the transmission and reflection coefficients.

We mention that our results are also true for translation and regular scaling (i.e., $V \longrightarrow \alpha^2 V(\alpha)$) of the potential V.

A natural question is: what is the relation between the new function spaces and classical ones, namely, $F_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$. Concerning identification of the F and B spaces, it can be shown that the following holds:

Theorem 5.2 If $\alpha > 0$, 1 and <math>2p/(p+1) < q < 2p, then

$$F_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$$

and if $\alpha > 0, 1 \le p < \infty, 1 \le q \le \infty$, then

$$B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

For $0 , it would be interesting to see whether it holds that <math>F_p^{0,1} = \mathcal{H}^p$, where \mathcal{H}^p is the Hardy spaces associated with H defined by atomic decomposition or the maximal function associated to the heat kernel, cf. [DZ98, DZ02].

In a sequal to this paper we will study the spectral multiplier problem on the F and B spaces.

1. The eigenfunctions of H

In this section we derive a simple expression for the eigenfunctions on the Schrödinger operator $H = -d^2/dx^2 - \lambda(\lambda - 1)\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$ in the case where $\lambda = n + 1$ is an integer.

1.1. The Lippmann-Schwinger equation. Consider the eigenvalue problem

(1.1)
$$He(x,k) = k^2 e(x,k),$$

with asymptotic behavior

(1.2)
$$e_{\pm}(x,k) \sim \begin{cases} T_{\pm}(k)e^{ikx} & \text{for } x \to \pm \infty \\ e^{ikx} + R_{\pm}(k)e^{-ikx} & \text{for } x \to \mp \infty \end{cases}$$

We will often use the notation

(1.3)
$$e(x,k) = \begin{cases} e_+(x,k) & \text{for } k > 0\\ e_-(x,k) & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases}$$

The coefficients $T_{\pm}(k)$ and $R_{\pm}(k)$ in (1.2 are called the *transmission coefficients* and *reflection coefficients*, resp. They satisfy the relation

(1.4)
$$|T_{\pm}(k)|^2 + |R_{\pm}(k)|^2 = 1.$$

The equations (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent to the Lippmann-Schwinger equation

(1.5)
$$e_{\pm}(x,k) = e^{ikx} + \frac{1}{2i|k|} \int e^{i|k||x-y|} V(y) e_{\pm}(y,k) \, dy,$$

where \pm indicates the sign of k. For $V(x) = -\lambda(\lambda - 1)\operatorname{sech}^2 x$, we seek a solution to the integral equation (1.5) for each k.

1.2. Inductive construction of the solution. Let us recall how to obtain the solution for the eigenvalue problem (1.1) for integer values of $\lambda = n + 1$. By regularity, a weak solution u solving $Hu = k^2 u$ is smooth on the domain where u is smooth. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denote by T_n the differential operator

(1.6)
$$T_n = \frac{d}{dx} - n \tanh x \,.$$

With $y_n = e(x, k)$ the equation (1.1) can then be written as

(1.7)
$$y_n'' + n(n+1) \operatorname{sech}^2 x \, y_n = -k^2 y_n$$

If n = 0, then the general solution is given by $y_0 = Ae^{ikx} + Be^{-ikx}$. For $n \ge 1$ we obtain

(1.8)
$$y_n(x) = T_n y_{n-1}(x) = T_n \cdots T_1 y_0(x) = A(k) e^{ikx} + B(k) e^{-ikx}$$

Lemma 1.3. Assume that $\lambda = n + 1$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists a polynomial $p_n(t,ik)$ of degree n in both t and k, such that

$$e_{\pm}(x,k) = A_n^{\pm}(k)p_n^{\pm}(\tanh x, ik)e^{ikx}.$$

Furthermore the following holds:

(1) The constant $A_n^{\pm}(k)$ is given by

$$A_n^+(k) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j+ik}$$
 and $A_n^-(k) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j-ik}$.

(2) The transmission coefficient $T_{\pm}(k)$ are

$$T_{+}(k) = (-1)^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j - ik}{j + ik}$$
 and $T_{-}(k) = (-1)^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j + ik}{j - ik}$.

(3) The reflection coefficients $R_{\pm}(k)$ are all zero.

Proof. As n is fixed here, we will omit the subscript n in the following except in obvious induction steps. As $\frac{d}{dx}(\tanh x) = 1 - \tanh^2 x$ it follows from (1.8) that

(1.9)
$$e_{\pm}(x,k) = A^{\pm}(k)p_n^{\pm}(x,ik)e^{ikx} + B(k)q_n^{\pm}(x,ik)e^{-ikx}$$

where $p^{\pm}(x,k) := p^{\pm}(\tanh x,ik)$ and $q^{\pm}(x,k) = q^{\pm}(\tanh x,ik)$ are polynomials of degree n in k and $\tanh x$.

Assume for the moment, that k > 0. We will therefore also omit the superscript \pm for the moment. Substituting (1.9) into the asymptotics for k > 0,

$$e(x,k) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} T_+(k)e^{ikx}, & x \to \infty, \\ e^{ikx} + R_+(k)e^{-ikx}, & x \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$
$$(k) = 0 = R_+(k),$$

we obtain B

(1.10)
$$A(k)p(-1,ik) = 1$$

and

(1.11)
$$T_{+}(k) = A(k)p(1,ik).$$

This implies easily the recurrence formula

(1.12)
$$p_n(\tanh x, ik) = \frac{d}{dx} p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) + (ik - n \tanh x) p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik).$$

Note that $\frac{d}{dx}p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) = p'_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik)(1 - \tanh^2(x))$. As $p'_{n-1}(t, ik)$ is a polynomial in t it follows that

$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} p'_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) = p'_{n-1}(\pm 1, ik)$$

is bounded, and hence

(1.13)
$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \frac{d}{dx} p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) = 0.$$

By the recursion formula (1.12) we therefore get

(1.14)
$$p_n(\pm 1, ik) = (ik \mp n)p_{n-1}(\pm 1, ik)$$

and by induction

(1.15)
$$p(1,ik) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^n j - ik$$

and

(1.16)
$$p(-1,ik) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} j + ik = (-1)^{n} \overline{p(1,k)}$$

By (1.10) we now get:

(1.17)
$$A_n(k) = \frac{1}{p_n(-1,ik)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j+ik}.$$

By the recursion formula (1.12) and (1.14) we get $p(1, ik) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (j - ik)^{-1}$ and hence (1.10) and (1.19) imply the the statement for $T_{+}(k)$.

For k negative we notice the following changes have to be made. Instead of (1.10) we now have

(1.18)
$$A_n(k)p(1,ik) = 1$$

and instead of (1.19) we have

(1.19)
$$T_{+}(k) = A(k)p(-1,ik)$$

The claim follows now from (1.15) and (1.16).

 $\mathbf{5}$

The proof shows that $p_n^+(\tanh x, ik) = p_n^-(\tanh x, ik)$. Furthermore, simple induction shows that

(1.20)
$$p_n(\tanh x, -k) = (-1)^n p(\tanh(-x), ik) \,.$$

We therefore get the following explicit formula for the eigenfunctions e(x, k):

Theorem 1.4. Assume that $k \neq 0$. Then

$$e(x,k) = (\operatorname{sign}(k))^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j+i|k|}\right) P_n(x,ik) e^{ikx}$$

where $P_n(x,k) = p_n(tanh(x),ik)$ is defined by the recursion formula

$$p_n(\tanh x, ik) = \frac{d}{dx} p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) + (ik - n \tanh x) p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik).$$

In particular the function

$$\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \ni (x,k) \mapsto e(x,k) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic, and e(x, -k) = e(-x, k). Finally, the function

$$(x,y,k) \mapsto e(x,k)\overline{e(y,k)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^2 + k^2}\right) P_n(x,ik)P_n(-y,ik)e^{ik(x-y)k}$$

is real analytic on \mathbb{R}^3 .

1.5. The point spectrum. In this subsection we discuss briefly the point spectrum of H. We start with:

Lemma 1.6. If $\lambda = n(n+1)$, then the point spectrum of H is given by

$$\sigma_p = \{-1, -4, \dots, -n^2\}.$$

Proof. By [GH98, Theorem 6.1, Theorem 7.3] or [Ti58] the point spectrum is given by the points μ^2 , such that $\text{Im}\mu > 0$ and μ and T(k) has a pole at μ . The claim now follows from the explicite description of T(k) in Lemma 1.3.

In (1.7) replace k by ji, where $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and denote the solution by $y_{n,j}$. We have two particular solutions: $y_{n,n} = \cosh^n x$ and $y_{n,n+1} = \operatorname{sech}^n x$. Induction shows that

$$y_{n,n+2} = T_{n+1} \operatorname{sech}^n x$$

and

$$y_{n,n+3} = T_{n+2}y_{n,n+2}$$
.

For n = 1 the particular solution is $y_{1,3} = -3 \tanh x \operatorname{sech} x$. For n = 2 the point spectrum is $\sigma_p = \{-1, -4\}$, and the corresponding eigenfunctions (bound states) are $\operatorname{sech} x \tanh x$ and $\operatorname{sech}^2 x$.

Finally we note that ([Lam80, p.46]) the bound-state solution is orthogonal to the propagating solutions, that is,

$$\int \operatorname{sech}(kz)(i\eta - k \tanh(kz))e^{i\eta z} \, dz = 0$$

1.7. Examples. Assume first that $\lambda = n + 1 = 2$. In this case n = 1, the continuous spectrum is $\sigma_c = [0, \infty)$, and the point spectrum is $\sigma_p = -1$.

The boundary condition $u(\pm \infty) = 0$ leads to the single eigenvalue E = -1 with the associated eigenfunction $u = \operatorname{sech}(x)$. In quantum theory, the interpretation of this result is that a particle is confined by a potential well having a shape proportional to $\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$ while the single value of E is proportional to the energy that the particle confined by this well can possess. When the sign of the potential is reversed so that $V(x) = 2\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$, the potential is repulsive and no bound state occurs. The solutions for the eigenfunctions in the continuous spectrum are given by

$$e(x,k) = \operatorname{sign}(k) \frac{ik - \tanh(x)}{1 + i|k|} e^{ikx}$$

If $\lambda = 3$. Thus n = 2. Then $\sigma_c = [0, \infty)$, and $\sigma_p = \{-1, -4\}$. The eigenfunctions in the continuous spectrum for $k \neq 0$ are give by

$$e(x,k) = \frac{3\tanh^2(x) - 3ik\tanh(x) - k^2 - 1}{-k^2 + 3i|k| + 2} e^{-kx}$$

For point spectrum $\sigma_p = \{-1, -4\}$, the corresponding eigenfunctions (bounded states) are sech x tanh x and sech² x respectively.

1.8. The continuous parameter $\lambda > 1$. For $\alpha > 0$ set $a = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\frac{k}{\alpha}), b = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - i\frac{k}{\alpha})$. Then the fundamental system (1.1) of two real standard solutions u_e and u_o , even and odd in x, are given by [Flu74],

$$u_e(x) = \cosh^{\lambda}(\alpha x)F(a, b, \frac{1}{2}; -\sinh^2 \alpha x)$$

and

$$u_e(x) = \cosh^{\lambda}(\alpha x) \sinh(\alpha x) F(a + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2 \alpha x)$$

Since $-\sinh^2 \alpha x \sim -\frac{e^{2\alpha|x|}}{4}$ and $|x| \to \infty$, we obtain by the asymptotic of hypergeometric functions:

$$u_e(x) \sim \frac{e^{\lambda \alpha |x|} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2^{\lambda}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-a)} 2^{2a} e^{-2a\alpha |x|} + \frac{\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b)} 2^{2b} e^{-2b\alpha |x|} \right\}$$

and

$$u_{o}(x) \sim \pm \frac{e^{(\lambda+1)\alpha|x|}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{2^{\lambda+1}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1-a)} 2^{2a+1} e^{(-2a+1)\alpha|x|} + \frac{\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1-b)} 2^{2b+1} e^{-(2b+1)\alpha|x|} \right\}$$

(\pm sign corresponding to x > 1 or x < 1), [Le75, p.249].

If the energy $E = k^2$ is non-zero, which corresponds to the scattering solution, we have the following asymptotic expressions for x > 0 or x < 0:

$$u_e(x) \simeq C_e \cos(k|x| + \phi_e)$$

and

$$u_o(x) \simeq \pm C_o \cos(k|x| + \phi_o)$$

with the abbreviations,

(1.21)
$$\phi_e(x) = \arg \frac{\Gamma(ik/\alpha)e^{-i\frac{k}{\alpha}\log 2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2} + i\frac{k}{2\alpha})\Gamma(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} + i\frac{k}{2\alpha})}$$

(1.22)
$$\phi_o(x) = \arg \frac{\Gamma(ik/\alpha)e^{-i\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\log 2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-1}{2}+i\frac{k}{2\alpha})\Gamma(1-\frac{\lambda}{2}+i\frac{k}{2\alpha})}.$$

Seeking a solution of the asymptotic form

$$u = \begin{cases} Te^{ikx} & x > 0\\ e^{ikx} + Re^{-ikx} & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

we compute T, R as

$$T = (e^{2i\phi_e} - e^{2i\phi_o})/2, R = (e^{2i\phi_e} + e^{2i\phi_o})/2.$$

Hence,

$$|T|^2 = \sin^2(\phi_e - \phi_o), \ |R|^2 = \cos^2(\phi_e - \phi_o),$$

which satisfy the conservation law $|T|^2 + |R|^2 = 1$.

Using (1.21), (1.22) we can write

$$\phi_e - \phi_o = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sinh \pi k}{\sin \pi \lambda} \right).$$

For the discrete spectrum let k = ij, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ yields the eigenvalues $-(\lambda - j - 1)^2$, $j \leq \lambda - 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence for the eigenstate equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + V = Eu,$$

the corresponding energies are, for $j \leq \lambda - 1$

$$E_n = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\lambda - 1 - j)^2,$$

where the zero energy is a resonance (as $k \to 0$) rather than an eigenvalue.

1.9. Projection of the spectral operator $\phi(H)$. Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{ac} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{pp}$, where \mathcal{H}_{ac} denotes the absolute continuous subspace of H and \mathcal{H}_{pp} the pure point subspace. The corresponding orthogonal projections will be denoted by E_{ac} respectively E_{pp} . Note that $E_{ac} = E_{[0,\infty)}$ and $E_{pp} = E_{\sigma_p}$, where E stand for the spectral projection. Hence, if $f \in L^2$, then $f = E_{ac}f + E_{pp}f$. It follows that

$$\phi(H)f = \phi(H)E_{ac}f + \phi(H)E_{pp}f = \phi(H)\big|_{\mathcal{H}_{ac}}f + \phi(H)\big|_{\mathcal{H}_{pp}}f$$

For $\phi \in C_0$ we have

(1.23)
$$\phi(H)f(x) = \int K(x,y)f(y)dy + \sum_{k^2 \in \sigma_p} \phi(k^2)(f,e_k)e_k$$

where

(1.24)
$$K(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \phi(k^2) e(x,k) \bar{e}(y,k) dk$$

is the kernel of $\phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_{ac}}$. As $e(\cdot, k)$ is smooth for $k \neq 0$, it follows from (1.24) that K(x, y)is smooth if ϕ is compactly supported. Hence, if σ_p is finite, which is the case when $\int x|V(x)|dx < \infty$, and if $e(\cdot, k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$, as in our case, we have $\|\phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_p} f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}$, $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$.

2. Decay estimates of the spectral operators $\phi_i(H)$

In this section we introduce the Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces associated with the Schrödinger operator H and show that they are well-defined, i.e., different dyadic systems give rise to equivalent quasi-norms. In doing so, we show that the quasi-norm can be characterized by using Peetre type maximal function $\varphi_j^* f$.

Let $\Phi, \varphi, \Psi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy [FJW, E95]

$$\begin{split} i) & \text{supp } \Phi, \text{supp } \Psi \subset \{ |\xi| \le 1 \}; \ |\Phi(\xi)|, |\Psi(\xi)| \ge c > 0 \text{ if } |\xi| \le \frac{1}{2}; \\ ii) & \text{supp } \varphi, \text{supp } \psi \subset \{ \frac{1}{4} \le |\xi| \le 1 \}; \ |\varphi(\xi)|, |\psi(\xi)| \ge c > 0 \text{ if } \frac{3}{8} \le |\xi| \le \frac{7}{8}; \\ iii) & \Phi(\xi)\Psi(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\psi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \ \forall \ \xi \in \mathbb{R} \,. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.1. The Triebel-Lizorkin space $F_p^{\alpha,q} := F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ associated with H is the completion of the set $\{f \in L^2 : \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}$, where

$$||f||_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} = ||(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} |\varphi_j(H)f|^q)^{1/q}||_p.$$

Note, that $1 , <math>F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ corresponds to Sobolev type spaces [Tr92, p.15]. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\phi_j(x) = \phi(2^{-j}x)$ and $K_j(x,y) = \phi(2^{-j}H)(x,y)$.

As in [BZ04], the following lemma is essential to establish the Peetre type maximal inequalities Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. We postpone the proof till Section 3. To simplify the notation we set

(2.1)
$$w_j(x) := (1 + 2^{j/2}|x|).$$

Lemma 2.2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist constants $C_n, D_n > 0$ such that

(1)
$$|K_j(x,y)| \le C_n 2^{j/2} w_j (x-y)^{-n};$$

(2) $|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_j(x,y)| \le D_n 2^j w_j (x-y)^{-n}$

Note that the statement here is *simpler* than the corresponding statements in Lemmas 3.1-3.3 in [BZ04].

Remark 2.3. If $H_{\alpha} = -\Delta + V_{\alpha}$ on \mathbb{R}^d , where $V_{\alpha}(x) = \alpha^2 V(\alpha x)$ is the regular scaling, then for $\phi \in L^{\infty}$ we have:

(2.2)
$$\phi(H_{\alpha})(x,y) = \alpha^{d} \phi(H)(\alpha x, \alpha y).$$

To see this, we note that $\delta_{\alpha} \circ H \circ \delta_{\alpha^{-1}} = \alpha^{-2}H_{\alpha}$, hence $\delta_{\alpha}\phi(H)\delta_{\alpha^{-1}} = \phi(\alpha^{-2}H_{\alpha})$. *¿From this we obtain*

$$\phi(H)(x,y) = \alpha^{-d}\phi(H_{\alpha})(\alpha^{-1}x,\alpha^{-1}y).$$

If
$$H_h = -\Delta + V_h, V_h = V(\cdot - h)$$
 is a translation of $V, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have for $\phi \in L^{\infty}$,

(2.3)
$$\phi(H_h)(x,y) = \phi(H)(x-h,y-h),$$

where we note that $H_h = \tau_h \circ H \circ \tau_{-h}$, hence $\phi(H_h) = \tau_h \circ \phi(H) \circ \tau_{-h}$.

The above identities mean that the decay estimates for the kernels, hence the results in this paper, still hold true for the case when our V is replaced by its regular scaling or translation.

For s > 0 define the *Peetre maximal function* for *H* by:

(2.4)
$$\phi_j^* f(x) := \phi_{j,s}^* f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\phi_j(H)f(t)|}{w_j(x-t)^s}$$

and

$$\phi_j^{**}f(x) := \phi_{j,s}^{**}f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|(\phi_j(H)f)'(t)|}{w_j(x-t)^s}.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}$ and s > 0. Then there exists a constant $c_s > 0$ such that

$$\phi_{j}^{**}f(x) \le C_{s}2^{j/2}\phi_{j}^{*}f(x).$$

Proof. Using the diadic partition of unity from the beginning of the section and noting that the sum contains at most three non-zero terms we write using the convention that $\psi_{-1} = 0$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_j(H)f)(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} (\varphi\psi)_{j+\nu}(H)\phi_j(H)f(t)$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_{j+\nu}(t,y)\phi_j(H)f(y) \, dy \,,$$

where $K_{j+\nu}(t,y)$ denotes the kernel of $(\varphi\psi)_{j+\nu}(H)$.

Apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\frac{\left|\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_j(H)f)(t)\right|}{w_j(x-t)^s} \le C_n \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 2^{j+\nu} \frac{|\phi_j(H)f(y)|}{w_{j+\nu}(t-y)^n w_j(x-t)^s} \, dy \,.$$

We now claim that

(2.5)
$$\frac{|\phi_j(H)f)(y)|}{w_j(x-t)^s} \le \max_k \phi_j^* f(x) w_j(t-y)^s.$$

To confirm the claim we note that the left hand side of (2.5) is bounded by

$$\frac{|\phi_j(H)f)(y)|}{w_j(x-y)^s} \cdot \frac{w_j(x-y)^s}{w_j(x-t)^s} \leq \phi_j^* f(x) \frac{w_j(x-t)^s w_j(y-t)^s}{w_j(x-t)^s} \\ = \phi_j^* f(x) w_j(t-y)^s \,,$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\left|\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{j}(H)f)(t)\right|}{w_{j}(x-t)^{s}} \leq C_{n} \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} 2^{j+\nu} \phi_{j}^{*}f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_{j}(t-y)^{s}}{w_{j+\nu}(t-y)^{s}} dy$$
$$\leq C_{n} 2^{(j+n)/2} \phi_{j}^{*}f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{w_{j}(t-y)^{n-s}} dy$$
$$\leq C_{n,s} 2^{j/2} \phi_{j}^{*}f(x)$$

provided $n - s > 1 \leftrightarrow n = [s + 1] + 1$. This proves Lemma 2.4.

We are ready to show Peetre maximal inequality.

Lemma 2.5. Denote by M the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. If $0 < r < \infty$ there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\phi_j^* f(x) C_{1/r} [M(|\phi_j(H)f|^r)]^{1/r}(x).$$

Proof. The same as those found in [BZ04, E95], which is a modification of the proof in the Fourier case [Tr83, p.16]. \Box

Remark 2.6. It is known that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on $L^p, 1 . Lemma 2.5 implies that$

(2.6)
$$\|\phi_j^* f\|_p \le c \|\phi_j(H)f\|_p, \quad 0$$

Moreover, if $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\{f_j\}$ is a sequence of functions, then

(2.7)
$$\| (\sum_{j} |Mf_{j}|^{q})^{1/q} \|_{L^{p}} \leq C_{p,q} \| (\sum_{j} |f_{j}|^{q})^{1/q} \|_{L^{p}},$$

by the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued H-L maximal function inequality.

We are ready to state the main theorem on characterization of $F_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ using the Peetre maximal function.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. If $\varphi_j^* f$ is defined for $j \geq 0$ with $s > 1/\min(p, q)$, we have for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

(2.8)
$$\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}} \sim \|\Phi^* f\|_p + \|\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (2^{j\alpha} \varphi_j^* f)^q\right)^{1/q}\|_p$$

Furthermore, $F_p^{\alpha,q}$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$) and it is independent of the choice of $\{\Phi, \varphi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$.

Proof. Noting that $\phi_j^* f(x) \ge |\phi_j(H)f(x)|$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\Phi^*f\|_p + \|(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha}\varphi_j^*f)^q)^{1/q}\|_p \le C \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}$$

but this follows from (2.7) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{2^{j\alpha}\varphi_{j}^{*}f\}\|_{L^{p}(\ell^{q})} &\leq C_{\epsilon,s}\|\{2^{j\alpha}[M(|\varphi_{j}(H)f|^{r})]^{1/r}\}\|_{L^{p}(\ell^{q})} \\ &= C_{s}\|\{\sum_{0}^{\infty}[M(2^{j\alpha r}|\varphi_{j}(H)f|^{r})]^{q/r}\}^{r/q}\|_{L^{p/r}}^{1/r} \\ &\leq C_{s,p,q}\|\{2^{j\alpha}\varphi_{j}(H)f\}\|_{L^{p}(\ell^{q})} \\ &= C_{s,p,q}\|f\|_{F_{p}^{\alpha,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $F_p^{\alpha,q}$ is independent of the generating function ϕ , i.e. if $\tilde{\phi}$ is another function given a diadic partition of unity then for $f \in L^2$, $\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}^{\phi}$ and $\|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}^{\tilde{\phi}}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $F_p^{\alpha,q}$.

Write $\phi_j(H) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \phi_j(H) \tilde{\phi}_{j+\nu}(H)$ by the identity $\phi_j(x) = \phi_j(x) \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \tilde{\phi}_{j+\nu}(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}, j \ge 0$, where as before we use the convention that $\psi_{-1} \equiv 0$.

We have by Lemma 2.2 (1),

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{j}(H)f(x)| &\leq 2^{j/2} \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\tilde{\phi}_{j+\nu}(H)f(y)|}{w_{j}(x-y)^{n}} \, dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} 2^{j/2} \tilde{\phi}_{j+\nu}^{*} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_{j}(x-y)^{s}}{w_{j}(x-y)^{n}} \, dy \\ &= C_{N} \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \tilde{\phi}_{j+\nu}^{*} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

provided n - s > 1. Thus, for $f \in L^2$

$$\|\{2^{j\alpha}\varphi_j(H)f\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} \le C_{s,p,q}\|\{2^{j\alpha}\tilde{\phi}_j^*f\}\|_{L^p(\ell^q)} \sim \|f\|_{F_p^{\alpha,q}}^{\psi}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.7.

As expected from Lemma 2.5 we can define the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$ and obtain a maximal function characterization as well.

Definition 2.8. The homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q} := \dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ associated with H is the completion of the set $\{f \in L^2 : \|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}} < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}}$, where

$$||f||_{\dot{F}_{p}^{\alpha,q}} = ||(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha}\phi_{j}(H)f)^{q})^{1/q}||_{p}.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q \leq \infty$. If $\phi_j^* f$ is defined for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s > 1/\min(p, q)$, then for $f \in L^2$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p}^{\alpha,q}} \sim \|\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{j\alpha}\varphi_{j}^{*}f)^{q}\right)^{1/q}\|_{p}.$$

Furthermore, $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{F}^{\alpha,q}_p}^{\varphi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{F}^{\alpha,q}_p}^{\psi}$ are equivalent norms on the quasi-Banach space $\dot{B}^{\alpha,q}_p$.

The proof is completely implicit in that of Theorem 2.7 and hence omitted.

Moreover, like in the Fourier case and [Tr83], Peetre maximal inequality allows us to define and characterize H-Besov spaces.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 . We define the Besov space <math>B_p^{\alpha,q} := B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$ associated with H to be the completion of the set $\{f \in L^2 : \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}} < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}}$, where

$$||f||_{B_p^{\alpha,q}} = ||\Phi(H)f||_p + \{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} ||\varphi_j(H)f||_{L^p}^q \}^{1/q}.$$

Thus $B_p^{\alpha,q}$ is a quasi Banach space (Banach space if $p, q \ge 1$). The proof of the following Theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 2.7 and thus left to the reader.

Theorem 2.10. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 , <math>0 < q \le \infty$. If $\Phi^* f$, $\phi_j^* f$ is defined for j > 0 with s > 1/p, then for $f \in L^2$

$$\|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}} \sim \|\Phi^* f\|_p + (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha q} \|\varphi_j^* f\|_{L^p}^q)^{1/q}$$

Furthermore, $B_p^{\alpha,q}$ is well defined and independent of the choice of generating functions $\varphi = \varphi_0$.

Choosing ϵ small enough in Lemma 2.5 we obtain by taking $r < p(\leftrightarrow s > 1/p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{2^{j\alpha}\phi_{j}^{*}f\}\|_{B_{p}^{\alpha,q}} &\leq C_{s}(\sum_{j}2^{j\alpha q}\|[M(|\phi_{j}(H)f|^{r})]^{1/r}\|_{L^{p}}^{q})^{1/q} \\ &= C_{s}(\sum_{j}2^{j\alpha q}\|M(|\phi_{j}(H)f|^{r})\|_{L^{p/r}}^{q/r})^{1/q} \\ &\leq C(\sum_{j}2^{j\alpha q}\||\phi_{j}(H)f|^{r}\|_{L^{p/r}}^{q/r})^{1/q} \\ &= C(\sum_{j}2^{j\alpha q}\|\phi_{j}(H)f\|_{p}^{q})^{1/q} \\ &= C_{s}\|f\|_{B_{p}^{\alpha,q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function inequality.

The definition and characterization for the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$ can be formulated similarly.

3. HIGH ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section we proof Lemma 2.2. We divide the estimates into high and low energy cases.

Recall from Theorem 1.4 that

$$e(x,k) = (\operatorname{sign}(k))^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j+i|k|}\right) P_n(x,ik)e^{ikx}$$

where $P_n(x,k) = p_n(tanh(x),ik)$ is defined by the recursion formula

$$p_n(\tanh x, ik) = \frac{d}{dx} p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik) + (ik - n \tanh x) p_{n-1}(\tanh x, ik).$$

By the same Theorem the function

$$e(x,k)\overline{e(y,k)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^2 + k^2}\right) P_n(x,ik)P_n(-y,ik)e^{ik(x-y)}$$

is analytic in $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\underline{e(x, -k)} = \underline{e(-x, k)}$. Furthermore we will also use the obviously relation $\phi(\lambda^2 H)(x, y) = \overline{\phi(\lambda^2 H)(y, x)}$. For simplicity we write

(3.1)
$$Q(x,y,k) = P(x,ik)P(y,-ik) = P(x,ik)\overline{P(y,ik)}.$$

In this section we set $\lambda = 2^{-j/2}$ and $\psi(x) = \phi(x^2)$, where $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$ is fixed. Then

$$K_j(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \psi(\lambda\xi) e(x,k) \overline{e(y,k)} \, dk.$$

3.1. High and low energy estimates. Using the above explicit formula for $e(x,k)\overline{e(x,k)}$ we set

$$I^{+}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{j}(k^{2}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^{2} + k^{2}}\right) Q(x,y,k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk$$

and

$$I^{-}(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \phi_j(k^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^2 + k^2}\right) Q(x,y,k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk$$

Then we have

(3.2)
$$2\pi\phi_j(H)|_{H_c}(x,y) = I^+(x,y) + I^-(x,y)$$

It is enough to deal $I^+(x,y)$ since $I^-(x,y) = I^+(-x,-y)$ by a change of variable $k \to -k$.

We note, that

$$k \mapsto R(x, y, k) = R(k) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^2 + k^2}\right) Q(x, y, k)$$

is a rational function with numerator and denumerator both of degree 2n, and uniformly bounded it x and y. It follows that for $|k| > \epsilon > 0$, we have $|\frac{d^j}{dk^j}R(x, y, k)| \leq C_j|k|^{-j}$ where $C_j > 0$. Furthermore $|\frac{d^j}{dk^j}\psi(\lambda k)| \leq ||\psi^{(n)}||_{\infty}\lambda^j$. Note, that the left hand in only non-zero for $|k| \in [2^{j/2-1}, 2^{j/2}]$. This gives first of all an uniform estimate, independent of x and y:

$$|I^{+}(x,y)| \le ||R||_{\infty} \int_{2^{j/2-1}}^{2^{j/2}} |\psi(\lambda k)| \, dk \le 2^{-1} ||R||_{\infty} ||\psi||_{\infty} \lambda^{-1} \, dk \le 2^{-1} ||R||_{\infty} ||\psi||_{\infty} \||\psi||_{\infty} \||\psi|||_{\infty} \||\psi|||_{\infty} \||\psi||_{\infty} \||\psi||_{\infty} \||\psi|||_{\infty} \||\psi||_{\infty} \||\psi||$$

Let $I_j = [2^{j/2-1}, 2^{j/2}]$ and note that $|I_j| = 2^{j/2-1}$, where $|\cdot|$ stand for the standard Lebesgue measure. Then integration by parts gives:

$$\begin{aligned} |I^{+}(x,y)| &= \left| \frac{(-1)^{n}}{i^{n}(x-y)^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n}}{dk^{n}} [\psi(\lambda k)R(k)] e^{ik(x-y)} dk \right| \\ &\leq |x-y|^{-n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{s=0}^{n} \binom{n}{s} \frac{d^{s}}{dk^{s}} (\psi(\lambda k)) \frac{d^{n-s}}{dk^{n-s}} R(k) \right| dk \\ &\leq C_{n} |x-y|^{-n} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \lambda^{s} \binom{n}{s} \int_{|k| \in I_{j}} \left| \frac{d^{n-s}}{dk^{n-s}} R(k) \right| dk \\ &= C_{n}' \lambda^{n-1} / |x-y|^{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\lambda^s \int_{|k| \in I_j} \left| \frac{d^{n-s}}{dk^{n-s}} R(k) \right| \, dk \le C \lambda^{n-1}$$

for all s = 0, 1, ..., n. It follows, that there exists a constant C_n such that

(3.3)
$$|I^+(x,y)| \le C_n 2^{j/2} / (1+2^{j/2}|x-y|)^n = C_n 2^{j/2} w_j (x-y)^{-n} .$$

The same estimate holds also for for $I^{-}(x, y)$. Hence it follows that

(3.4)
$$|\phi_j(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_c}(x,y)| \le C_n \lambda^{-1} / (1+\lambda^{-1}|x-y|)^n \qquad j > 0.$$

The low energy estimates follows in the same way by setting j = 0 using that

$$2\pi\Phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_c}(x,y) = \int_{-1}^1 \Phi(k^2) |R(x,y,k)| e^{ik(x-y)} dk.$$

Hence

$$|\Phi(H)|_{\mathcal{H}_c}(x,y)| \le C_n (1+|x-y|)^{-n}$$

3.2. **Proof of Lemma 2.2 part 2.** In the following the subscript \mathcal{H}_c is suppressed, and we denote by $\phi_j(H)(x, y)$ the kernel of the continuous part of the operator $\phi_j(H)(x, y)$.

According to equation 1.24, we know the kernel $\phi_j(H)(x, y) = K_j(x, y) \ (j \ge 0)$ has a unified expression:

$$\phi_j(H)(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \phi_j(k^2) R(x,y,k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk$$

As $\phi_j(k^2)$ has compact support, and $x \mapsto \frac{d}{dx}\phi_j(k^2)R(x,y,k)e^{ik(x-y)}$ is smooth and hence bounded on the support of $\phi_i(k^2)$, we can interchange the order of integration and differentiation to get:

$$2\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi_j(H)(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \phi_j(k^2) R(x,y,k) e^{ik(x-y)} dk$$

=
$$\int \phi_j(k^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [R(x,y,k) e^{ik(x-y)}] dk,$$

=
$$\int \phi_j(k^2) |A(k)|^2 [ikP(x,ik) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x,ik)] P(y,-ik) e^{ik(x-y)} dk$$

The function $\left[\partial/\partial x\right]P(x,ik)$ is a polynomial of tanh x and ik having degree n in k. Note also, that

$$\left. \frac{d^i}{dk^i} [k\phi_j(k^2)] \right| = \lambda^i C(k) \|\phi_j^{(i)}\|_{\infty} = O(\lambda^{i-1})$$

where C(k) is a polynomial in $k, i \ge 0$. By the same arguments as in the beginning of this section, we get

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_j(H)(x,y)\right| \le C_n \lambda^{n-2} (1+|x-y|)^{-n}, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

Together with the fact that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_j(H)(x,y)\right| \le O(\lambda^{-2})$$

this implies that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\phi_j(H)(x,y)\right| \le C_n \lambda^{-2} (1+\lambda^{-1}|x-y|)^{-n}$$

completing the proof of Lemma 2.2 (2).

4. Interpolation of $F_p^{0,2} \sim L^p$, 1

Let ϕ_j, ψ_j be as in §3. We may assume that $\|\Phi\|_{\infty}, \|\phi\|_{\infty}, \|\Psi\|_{\infty}, \|\psi\|_{\infty}$ are all ≤ 1 . Let $\phi_0 = \Phi$. Define

$$Q: L^2 \to L^2(\ell^2) \quad Qf = \{\phi_j(H)f\}_0^\infty.$$

and

$$R: L^{2}(\ell^{2}) \to L^{2} \quad R(\{g_{j}\}_{0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{j}g_{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_{j}(H)g_{j}$$

Note that $||f||_{F_p^{0,2}(H)} = ||Qf||_{L^p(\ell^2)}$ It is easy to see that $RQ = Id : L^2 \to L^2$ and $QR \leq 3Id : L^2(\ell^2) \to L^2(\ell^2)$. We will use Q and R to identify $F_p^{0,2}(H)$ with L^p .

Theorem 4.1. Let $1 . Then <math>F_p^{0,2}$ and L^p are isomorphic and have equivalent norms.

To prove the theorem, we first show that $Q: L^p \to L^p(\ell^2)$ and $R: L^p(\ell^2) \to L^p$, 1 . First we note that

(4.1)
$$||f||_{F_p^{0,2}(H)} \lesssim ||f||_p$$

and

(4.2)
$$\|g\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_{F_p^{0,2}(H)}$$

for $f, g \in L^2 \cap L^p \cap F_p^{0,2}(H)$. Here (4.1) follows directly from the definition of Q (and $F_p^{0,2}(H)$) and equation (4.2) follows from the identity $R \circ Q = id_{L^2}$, i.e., $\sum \varphi(H)\psi_j(H) = id$. By continuity extension of Q, R, (4.1) and (4.2) hold for all of $F_p^{0,2}(H)$ and L^p . So we obtain the continuous embedding

$$(4.3) L^p \subset F_p^{0,2}(H)$$

(4.4)
$$F_p^{0,2}(H) \subset L^p$$

which proves Theorem 4.1.

The remaining part of this section will be devoted to showing the boundedness of Q and R. In the following, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 imply that Q is bounded from L^p to $L^p(\ell^2)$, and, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.6 imply that R is bounded from $L^p(\ell^2)$ to L^p by interpolation and duality.

Lemma 4.2. $Q: L^2 \to L^2(\ell^2)$ and $R: L^2(\ell^2) \to L^2$ are well-defined bounded operators. *Proof.* Let $\{g_j\} \in L^2(\ell^2)$. Note that $R_j = \psi_j(H)$ is bounded on $L^2: ||R_jg||_2 \le ||\psi||_{\infty} ||g||_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j g_j, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j g_j) &= \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_j g_j, R_{j+\nu} g_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=-1}^{1} \sum_{j} \|R_j g_j\|_2 \|R_{j+\nu} g_j\|_2 \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{j} \|g_j\|_2^2 \\ &= 3 \|g_j\|_{L^2(\ell^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now derive some needed estimates for the kernel of $Q_j = \phi_j(H)$. As before, $Q_j(x, y)$ denotes the kernel of $\phi_j(H)E_{ac} = \phi_j(H_{ac})$.

Define

$$\tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \int_{I_{k}} Q_{j}(x,y)b_{k}(y)dy & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I_{k}| \ge 1\\ \int_{I_{k}} (Q_{j}(x,y) - Q_{j}(x,\bar{y}))b_{k}(y)dy & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I_{k}| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $y \in I = (\bar{y} - \frac{t}{2}, \bar{y} + \frac{t}{2})$, t = |I| and $I^* = (\bar{y} - t, \bar{y} + t)$. Then the following holds:

(1) If
$$2^{j/2}|I| \ge 1$$
, then

$$\sup_{y \in I} \int_{R \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x,y)| dx \le C(2^{j/2}|I|)^{-1}$$
(2) If $2^{j/2}|I| = 1$ if

(2) If $2^{j/2}|I| < 1$, then

$$\sup_{y \in I} \int_{R \setminus I^*} |Q_j(x, y) - Q_j(x, \bar{y})| dx \le C 2^{j/2} |I|.$$

In particular we have

(4.5)
$$\int_{R\setminus I^*} \sum_j |\tilde{Q}_j(x,y)| \le 3C.$$

Proof. For the first inequality, let $2^{j/2}|I| \ge 1$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I^*} |Q_j(x,y)| \, dx \leq C_n \int_{|x-y|>t/2} \frac{2^{j/2}}{(1+2^{j/2}|x-y|)^n} \, dx$$
$$\leq C(2^{j/2}|I|)^{-1}, \qquad (n=2).$$

If $2^{j/2}|I| < 1, y \in I, \bar{y} = \text{center of } I = (\bar{y} - \frac{t}{2}, \bar{y} + \frac{t}{2}).$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash I^*} |Q_j(x,y) - Q_j(x,\bar{y})| \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash I^*} |\int_{\bar{y}}^y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_j(H)(x,z) dz| dx \\ &\leq C_n |y - \bar{y}| \int_{|x-\bar{y}| > t} \frac{2^j}{(1 + 2^{j/2 - 1} |x - \bar{y}|)^n} \, dx \\ &\leq C_n 2^{j/2} t \int_{|u| > (2^{-j/2 + 1})^{-1} t} \frac{du}{(1 + |u|)^n} \qquad (n = 2) \\ &\leq C 2^{j/2} |I|. \end{split}$$

Now (4.5) follows easily from the above two inequalities.

Lemma 4.4. Q is bounded from L^1 to weak $L^1(\ell^2)$, i.e.,

$$|\{x: (\sum_{0}^{\infty} |Q_{j}f(x)|^{2})^{1/2} > \lambda\}| \le C\lambda^{-1} ||f||_{1}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$. By the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, there exists a sequence of intervals $\{I_k\}$ and and functions $\{b_k\}$ with supp $b_k \subset I_k$ such that with $g = \sum_k b_k$ we have f = g + b, for some $g \in L^2$. Furthermore:

i) $|g(x)| \leq C\lambda$ a.e. ii) $b_k(x) = f(x) - |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} f dx$ iii) $\lambda \leq |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} |f| dx \leq 2\lambda$. iv) $|D_\lambda| = |\cup_k I_k| = \sum_k |I_k| \leq \lambda^{-1} ||f||_1$.

Let $\{e_n\}_m$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H}_{pp} and λ_m the corresponding eigenvalues in σ_p . Note that this set is finite.

$$\begin{split} \int \sum_{j} |Q_{j}g(x)|^{2} dx &= \sum_{j} \|\phi_{j}(H)g\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{j} (\|\mathcal{F}^{*}\phi_{j}(k^{2})\mathcal{F}g\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{\sigma_{p}} \|\phi_{j}(\lambda_{n})(g,e_{m})e_{m}\|_{2}^{2}) \\ &= \sum_{j} (\|\phi_{j}(k^{2})\mathcal{F}g(k)\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{\sigma_{p}} |\phi_{j}(\lambda_{n})|^{2}|(g,e_{m})|^{2}) \\ &\leq \int (\sum_{j} |\phi_{j}(k^{2})|^{2})|\mathcal{F}g(k)|^{2} dk + 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \sum_{\sigma_{p}} |(g,e_{m})|^{2} \\ &\leq 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \int |\mathcal{F}g(k)|^{2} dk + 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \|E_{pp}g\|^{2} \\ &\leq 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \|E_{ac}g\|^{2} + 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \|E_{pp}g\|^{2} \\ &= 3\|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \|g\|^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we note that $\mathcal{F}: L^2 \to L^2$ surjection and $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{H}_{ac} \to L^2$ isometry. By Chebychev inequality we have

$$|\{x: (\sum_{0}^{\infty} |Q_j g(x)|^2)^{1/2} > \lambda/2\}| \le C\lambda^{-2} ||g||_2^2 \le C\lambda^{-1} ||f||_1.$$

We now only need to show

$$|\{x \notin \cup I_k^* : (\sum_j |Q_j b(x)|^2)^{1/2} > \lambda/2\}| \le C\lambda^{-1} ||f||_1$$

The left hand side is bounded by

$$\frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k^*} (\sum_j |Q_j b_k(x)|^2)^{1/2} dx.$$

For each k, since $\int b_k = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{j} |Q_{j}b_{k}(x)|^{2})^{1/2} &\leq \sum_{j} |Q_{j}(E_{ac} + E_{pp})b_{k}(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j} |\int \tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y)b_{k}(y) \, dy| + \sum_{j} |\sum_{\sigma_{pp}} \phi_{j}(\lambda_{m})(b_{k},e_{m})e_{m}(x)| \\ &\leq \int \sum_{j} |\tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y)b_{k}(y)| \, dy + \sum_{\sigma_{pp}} (\sum_{j} |\phi_{j}(\lambda_{m})| ||b_{k}||_{1} |e_{m}(x)| \\ &\leq \int \sum_{j} |\tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y)b_{k}(y)| \, dy + 3 ||\phi||_{\infty} ||b_{k}||_{1} \sum_{\sigma_{pp}} |e_{m}(x)| \end{aligned}$$

where we note that $e_m \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ and σ_{pp} is finite, having *n* eigenvalues.

Equation (4.5) gives now the following estimates for the left hand side

$$l.h.s. \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_{k}^{*}} \sum_{j} \int |\tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y)| |b_{k}(y)| \, dy dx + 3 \|\phi\|_{\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_{k}^{*}} \|b_{k}\|_{1} (\sum_{\sigma_{pp}} |e_{m}(x)|) \, dx \\ \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{y \in I_{k}} |b_{k}(y)| \, dy \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_{k}^{*}} \sum_{j} |\tilde{Q}_{j}(x,y)| \, dx + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \|b_{k}\|_{1} \sum_{\sigma_{pp}} \|e_{m}\|_{1} \\ \leq \frac{C'}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{y \in I_{k}} |b_{k}(y)| \, dy \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{1}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have the following estimates which will be used in the proof of Lemma 4.6:

If $2^{j/2}|I_k| \ge 1$, then

$$\sum_{2^{j/2}|I_k| \ge 1} \int_{\mathbb{R} - I^*} |R_j(x, y)| \le C |I_k|^{-1} \sum_{2^{j/2}|I_k| \ge 1} 2^{-j/2} \le C.$$

If $2^{j/2}|I_k| < 1$, then

j:

$$\sum_{j:2^{j/2}|I_k|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}-I^*} |R_j(x,y) - R_j(x,\bar{y})| \le C|I_k| \sum_{2^{j/2}|I_k|<1} 2^{j/2} \le 2C.$$

Remark 4.5. $R_j f(x) = \int \psi_j(H)(x, y) f(y) dy + \sum_{\sigma_{pp}} \psi_j(\lambda_m)(f, e_m) e_m(x)$ is well defined for $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ and for $f \in L^1$ by all appropriate means, since $\psi_j(H)(x, \cdot) \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $R_j = \psi_j(H)$. Then $R = \{R_j\}$ are bounded from $L^1(\ell^2)$ to weak- L^1 .

Proof. We will show that there exists a positive constant C such that

(4.6)
$$|\{x: |\sum_{0}^{N} R_j f_j(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C\lambda^{-1} ||\{f_j\}||_{L^1(\ell^2)}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f_j\} \in L^1(\ell^2)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then, the inequality holds for $N = \infty$ and $\{f_j\} \in L^1(\ell^2) \cap L^2(\ell^2)$ by passing to the limit, noting that $\sum_{0}^{\infty} R_j f_j(x) \in L^2$ if $\{f_j\} \in L^2(\ell^2)$. Finally, the lemma follows by the fact that $L^1(\ell^2) \cap L^2(\ell^2)$ is dense in $L^1(\ell^2)$.

Alternatively, suppose $\{f_j\} \in L^1(\ell^2)$ only. Observe that (4.6) suggests that the partial sum $R_N f = \sum_0^N R_j f_j$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure, therefore there exists a unique function, which we define to be Rf, so that $R_N f$ converges to Rf in measure and $Rf = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_j f_j$ satisfies (4.6).

So, it is sufficient to show (4.6). Calderón-Zygmund decomposition: Let $F(x) = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j(x)|^2)^{1/2} \in L^1$. There exists a collection of countable disjoint open intervals $\{I_k\}$ such that

a) $|F(x)| \leq \lambda$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_k I_k$, b) $\sum_k |I_k| \leq \lambda^{-1} ||F||_1$, c) $\lambda \leq |I_k|^{-1} \int_{I_k} |F(x)| dx \leq 2\lambda$, $\forall k$.

Define

$$g_{j}(x) = \begin{cases} |I_{k}|^{-1} \int_{I_{k}} f_{j} dy, & x \in I_{k} \\ f_{j}(x) & otherwise \end{cases}$$
$$b_{j}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{j} - g_{j}, & x \in I_{k} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
Then, if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{k} I_{k}, (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |g_{j}(x)|^{2})^{1/2} = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_{j}(x)|^{2})^{1/2}.$ And, if $x \in I_{k}$
$$(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |g_{j}(x)|^{2})^{1/2} \leq (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |I_{k}|^{-2} |\int_{I_{k}} f_{j}(y) dy|^{2})^{1/2}$$
$$\leq |I_{k}|^{-1} \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_{j}(y)|^{2})^{1/2} dy$$
$$\leq 2\lambda$$

by Minkowski inequality.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{g_j(x)\}\|_{L^2(\ell^2)}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_k} (\sum_j |g_j(x)|^2) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k} (\sum_j |g_j(x)|^2) \, dx \\ &\leq (2\lambda)^2 \sum_k |I_k| + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_k} (\sum_j |f_j|^2)^{1/2} \, dx \\ &\leq C\lambda \|F\|_1 \, . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Chebychev inequality and Lemma 4.2, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x: |\sum_{0}^{N} R_{j}g_{j}(x)| > \lambda/2\}| &\leq C\lambda^{-2} \|\sum_{0}^{N} R_{j}g_{j}\|^{2} \\ &\leq C'\lambda^{-2} \|\{g_{j}\}\|_{L^{1}(\ell^{2})}^{2} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|F\|_{1}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show

$$|\{x \notin \cup I_k^* : |\sum_{0}^{N} R_j b_j(x)| > \lambda/2\}| \le C\lambda^{-1} ||F||_1.$$

The left hand side is not exceeding $\frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup I_{k}^{*}} |\sum_{j=0}^{N} R_{j} b_{j,k}(x)| dx$, where $b_{j,k} = b_{j} \chi_{I_{k}}$. For each k, define

$$\tilde{R}_{j}^{k}(x,y) = \begin{cases} R_{j}(x,y) & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I_{k}| \ge 1\\ R_{j}(x,y) - R_{j}(x,\bar{y}_{k}) & \text{if } 2^{j/2}|I_{k}| < 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that the domain of R_j has a natural extension from $L^1 \cap L^2$ to L^1 . Then, since $\int b_{j,k} = 0$ and by Jensen inequality $(\sum_{j=0}^N |\tilde{R}_j^k(x,y)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^N |\tilde{R}_j^k(x,y)|$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash I_{k}^{*}} |\sum_{j=0}^{N} R_{j} b_{j,k}(x)| \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash I_{k}^{*}} |\sum_{j=0}^{N} \int_{I_{k}} \tilde{R}_{j}^{k}(x,y) b_{j,k}(y) dy| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{N} |b_{j,k}|^{2}(y))^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash I_{k}^{*}} (\sum_{j=0}^{N} |\tilde{R}_{j}^{k}(x,y)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{N} |b_{j,k}(y)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy \\ &\leq C \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{N} |f_{j}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy + C \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{N} |g_{j}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy \\ &\leq 2C \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_{j}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy, \end{split}$$

where we used the pointwise estimate by Minkowski inequality

$$\left(\sum_{0}^{N} |g_{j}(y)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{0}^{\infty} (|I_{k}|^{-1} \int_{I_{k}} |f_{j}(y)|)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |I_{k}|^{-1} \int_{I_{k}} (\sum_{0}^{N} |f_{j}(y)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} dy.$$

Hence

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup I_k^* : |\sum_{0}^{N} R_j b_j(x)| > \lambda/2\}| \le \frac{4C}{\lambda} \sum_{k} \int_{I_k} (\sum_{j} |f_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \le \frac{4C}{\lambda} \|(\sum_{j} |f_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_1.$$

as desired.

By now, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x: |\sum_{0}^{N} R_{j}f_{j}(x)| > \lambda\}| &\leq |\{x: |\sum_{0}^{N} R_{j}g_{j}(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| + |\{x: |\sum_{0}^{N} R_{j}b_{j}(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|F\|_{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of N. Passing to limit, since convergence a.e. implies convergence in measure, we obtain

$$|\{x: |\sum_{0}^{\infty} |R_j f_j(x)| > \lambda\}| \le C\lambda^{-1} ||F||_1.$$

5. Remark on time decay of the wave function

We conclude the paper with a time decay result on the wave function $\psi(t, x) = e^{-itH} f$ based on on the *H*-Besov space method. Generalized Besov space method has been considered in e.g. [J92], [JN94], [JP85], [BZ04] in the study of PDEs related to perturbation for Schrödinger operators.

By [BZ04, Theorem 7.1] or [JN94, Theorem 5.1] we know that if V is in the Kato class \mathcal{K} and if $\mathcal{D}(H^m) = W_p^{2m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for $1 \leq q \leq \infty, 0 < \alpha < m, B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is easy to see that if V is C^{∞} with all derivatives bounded, then the domain condition on H is verified for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $V \sim \operatorname{sech}^2 x$ has this property, $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Combining this with [JN94, Theorem 5.2], we obtain the time decay of $\psi(t, x)$ on ordinary Besov spaces. Let $\langle t \rangle = (1 + t^2)^{1/2}$.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let $\beta = |\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}|$. Then e^{-itH} maps $B_p^{\alpha+2\beta,q}(\mathbb{R})$ continuously to $B_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, e^{-itH} maps $B_p^{2\beta,q}(\mathbb{R})$ continuously to L^p . In both cases the operator norm is less than or equal to $C\langle t \rangle^{\beta}$. That is,

$$\|\psi(t,x)\|_{B_p^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\beta} \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha+2\beta,q}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$\|\psi(t,x)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\beta} \|f\|_{B^{2\beta,q}_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Compare Proposition 7.3 in [BZ04].

Finally we give an interpolation result. We know that $B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}), \alpha > 0$. In particular, $F_p^{\alpha,p}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.1, $F_p^{0,p}(H) = L^p = F_p^{0,p}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus we obtain Theorem 5.2 using complex interpolation method.

Theorem 5.2. If $\alpha > 0$, 1 and <math>2p/(p+1) < q < 2p, then

$$F_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = F_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R})$$

and if $\alpha > 0$, $1 \le p < \infty$, $1 \le q \le \infty$, then

$$B_p^{\alpha,q}(H) = B_p^{2\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In general e^{-itH} is not bounded on L^p unless p = 2. [JN94,RS04]. However one can obtain the boundedness on F and B spaces.

Let S_p be a set of numbers q such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \leq q < 2 & \text{if} \quad p < 2; \\ 2 & \text{if} \quad p = 2; \\ 2 < q \leq p & \text{if} \quad p > 2. \end{array}$$

Based on the mapping property: if $\alpha > 0, 1 \le p < \infty, 1 \le q \le \infty, \beta = |\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|, e^{-itH}$: $F_p^{\alpha+2\beta,p} \to F_p^{\alpha,p}$ and $F_p^{2\beta,p} \to L^p$, and the interpolation $(F_p^{\alpha+2\beta,p}, F_p^{2\beta,p})_{\theta} \to (F_p^{\alpha,p}, F_p^{0,2})_{\theta}$, we obtain

Proposition 5.3. If $\alpha_1 > 0$, $1 , <math>q_1 \in S_p$, $\beta := \beta(p) = |\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}|$, then

(5.1)
$$e^{-itH}: F_p^{\alpha_1+2\beta,p}(H) \to F_p^{\alpha_1,q_1}(H).$$

If $\alpha > 0$, $1 \le p < \infty$, $1 \le q_1 \le \infty$,

$$B_p^{\alpha+2\beta,q}(H) \to B_p^{\alpha,q}(H)$$

with operator bound $C\langle t \rangle^{\beta}$.

If we try to use interpolation coupled with $L^2 \to L^2$, we proceed

$$(F_p^{\alpha_1+2\beta,p}, F_2^{0,2})_{\theta} \to (F_p^{\alpha_1,q_1}, F_2^{0,2})_{\theta}.$$

We find that for each $t \in (0, 1)$

$$F_{p_t}^{\alpha_2 + 2\beta_t, p_t}(H) \to F_{p_t}^{\alpha_2, q_2}(H) \quad \forall \alpha_2 > 0, 1 < p_t < \infty$$

where $1/p_t = (1-t)/p + t/2$, $q_2 \in S_{p_t}$. It means the interpolation with $F_2^{0,2} \to F_2^{0,2}$ does not help improve (5.1) at all. This can also be observed from the two-triangle shaped region bounded by $\{(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q_1}) : q_1 \in S_p\}$.

The result for B spaces follows from Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.7 in [JN94].

References

- [AGHH] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, H. Holden, Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [BZ04] J.J. Benedetto, S. Zheng, Besov spaces for the Schrödinger operator with barrier potential (submitted). http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.CA/0411348, (2004).
- [BL] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [B99] H.R. Beyer, On the completeness of the quasinormal modes of the Pöschl-Teller potential, Comm. Math. Phys. 204 (1999), no. 2, 397-423.
- [Ca64] A.P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Stud. Math., 24 (1964), 113-190.
- [CaT] A.P. Calderón, A. Torchinsky, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, I,II, Advances in Math. 16 (1975), 1-64, and 24 (1977), 101-171.
- [CK01] M. Christ, A. Kiselev, One-Dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: spectra and asymptotics, Notes for IPAM tutorial, 2001 Workshop on Oscillatory Integrals and Dispersive Equations.
- [CK02] _____, Scattering and wave operators for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying nonsmooth potentials, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12** (2002), no. 6, 1174-1234.

- [CMS86] M. Cwikel, M. Milman, Y. Sagher, Complex interpolation of some quasi-Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 65 (1986), 339-347.
- [CS88] M.Cwikel, Y.Sagher, Analytic families of operators on some quasi-Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 979-984.
- [DF05] Piero D'Ancona, Luca Fanelli, Decay estimates for the wave and Dirac equations with a magnetic potential, http://arXiv.org/abs/math.AP/0504370.
- [DP05] Piero D'Ancona, Vittoria Pierfelice, On the wave equation with a large rough potential, http://arXiv.org/math.AP/0310199.
- [DPV05] Piero D'Ancona, Vittoria Pierfelice, Nicola Visciglia, Some remarks on the Schrödinger equation with a potential in $L_t^r L_x^s$, to appear in Math. Annalen, http://arXiv.org/math.AP/0501125.
- [DOS] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz and A. Sikora, Plancherel type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers, J. Funct. Anal. (2002).
- [DZ98] J. Dziubański, Atomic decomposition of H^p spaces associated with some Schrödinger operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47(1998), 75-98.
- [DZ02] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, H^p spaces for Schrödinger operators, in: Fourier Analysis and Related Topics, Banach Center Publ. 56, Inst. Math., Polish Acad. Sci., 2002, 45-53.
- [E95] J. Epperson, Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces for Hermite expansions, *Studia Math.* 114(1), 1995.
- [E96] _____, Hermite multipliers and pseudo-multipliers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 7, 2061–2068.
- [FGr] Y.F. Fang, M.G. Grillakis, On the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in 2+1 dimensions, preprint.
- [FM84] V. Ferrari and B. Mashoon, New approach to the quasinormal modes of a black hole. *Phys. Rev. D.* **30** (1984), 295-304.
- [Flu74] S. Flügge, Practical Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [FJW] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G.Weiss, Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces, Conference Board of the Math. Sci. 79, 1991.
- [FMV05a] Giulia Furioli, Camillo Melzi, Alessandro Veneruso, Littlewood-Paley decompositions and Besov spaces on Lie groups of polynomial growth, http://arXiv.org/math.CA/0502406.
- [FMV05b] _____, Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation with the full Laplacian on the Heisenberg group, http://arXiv.org/math.AP/0502497.
- [GH98] C.-A. Guerin, M. Holschneider, Time-dependent scattering on fractal measures, J. Math. Physics 39(8), 1998.
- [He90a] W. Hebisch: A multiplier theorem for Schrödinger operators. Colloq. Math, 60/61 (1990), no. 2, 659-664.
- [J92] A. Jensen, High energy resolvent estimates for Schrödinger operators in Besov spaces. Festschrift on the occasion of the 70th birthday of Shmuel Agmon, J. Anal. Math. 59 (1992), 45-50.
- [JN94] A. Jensen, S. Nakamura, Mapping properties of functions of Schrödinger operators between L^p spaces and Besov spaces, in Spectral and Scattering Theory and Applications, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 23, 1994.
- [JP85] A. Jensen, P. Perry, Commutator methods and Besov space estimates for Schrödinger operators, J. Operator Theory, 14 (1985), 181-188.
- [JSS] J.-L. Journé, A. Soffer, C.D. Sogge, Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., vol. XLIV (1991), 573-604.
- [Kee] J.P. Keener, Principles of applied mathematics, Transformation and approximation, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1988.
- [KMS] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon and J. Stalker, Decay of solutions to the wave equation on a spherically symmetric static background, *preprint*.
- [KO02] B. Krötz, G. Olafsson, The c-function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces, Invent. Math. 149 (2002), no. 3, 647-659.

- [Lam80] G.L. Lamb, Jr., Elements of Soliton Theory, Pure & Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, 1980.
- [Le75] N.N. Lebedev, Special functions and their applications, Translation by R.A. Silverman, New York, Dover Publications, 1972.
- [Ob90] F. Oberhettinger, Tables of Fourier Transforms and Fourier transforms of Distributions, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Ob73] _____, Fourier Expansions : A Collection of Formulas, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [O87] G. Olafsson, Fourier and Poisson transformation associated to a semisimple symmetric space, Invent. Math. 90 (1987), no. 3, 605–629.
- [Pa80] L. Päivärinta, On the spaces $L_p^A(\ell_q)$: Maximal inequalities and complex interpolation, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math. Dissertationes **25**, Helsinki 1980.
- [PT33] G. Pöschl, E. Teller, Bemerkungen zur Quantenmechanik des harmonischen Oszillators, Z. Phys. 83 (1933), 143-151.
- [RS] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III: Scattering Theory, IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [RVVW] R.Rochberg, A. Tabacco Vignati, M.Vignati, G.Weiss, Interpolation of quasinormed spaces by the complex method. In: "Function Spaces and Applications", Proc. Conf. Lund 1986, Lect. Notes Math. 1302. Berlin, Springer 1988, 91-98.
- [RoTao] I. Rodnianski, T. Tao, Long-time decay estimates for the Schrödinger equation on Manifolds, preprint. http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.AP/0412416, (2004).
- [Sch05] W. Schlag, Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators: A survey. http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.AP/0501037, (2005).
- [Sh95] Z. Shen, L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45 (1995), no. 2, 513-546.
- [St93] E.M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [Tay96] M. Taylor, Partial Differential Equations II, Qualitative Studies of Linear Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences 116, Springer Verlag, 1996.
- [Ti58] E.C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations. (Part I, II), Oxford Univ. Press, 1958.
- [Tr83] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1983.
- [Tr92] _____, Theory of Function Spaces II, Monographs Math. 84, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [Tr78] _____, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, Berlin, VEB Deutsch. Verl. Wissenschaften 1978, Amsterdam, North-Holland 1978.
- [V88] A. Tabacco Vignati, Complex interpolation for families of quasi-Banach spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 37 (1988), 1-21.
- [Zh01] Q. Zhang, Global bounds of Schrödinger heat kernels with negative potentials, J. Func. Anal. 182 (2001), no.2, 344-370.
- [Z04a] S. Zheng, A representation formula related to Schrödinger operators, Anal. Theo. Appl. 20 (2004), no.3. http://lanl.arXiv.org/math.SP/0412314.
- [Z04b] _____, Perturbed Fourier transform associated to Schrödinger operators, *preprint* (2005).
- [Z03] _____, Besov Spaces for Schrödinger Operators, Dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park, 2003.
- [Z05] _____, Littlewood-Paley theory, atomic decomposition and Schrödinger equations on \mathbb{R}^d , (In preparation). Presented at the 111th AMS Conference, Atlanta, 2005.

(Gestur Ólafsson) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803

E-mail address: olafsson@math.lsu.edu *URL*: http://www.math.lsu.edu/~olafsson

(Shijun Zheng) Department of Mathematics, Industrial Mathematics Institute, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803 E-mail address: shijun@math.sc.edu URL: http://www.math.sc.edu/~shijun